




The Timing of Pregnancies After Bariatric Surgery has No Impact on Children's Health—a Nationwide Population-based Registry Analysis

Hannes Beiglböck¹ · Eric Mörth^{2,3} · Berthold Reichardt⁴ · Tanja Stamm^{5,6}  · Bianca Itariu¹ · Jürgen Harreiter¹ · Jakob Eichelter⁷ · Gerhard Prager⁷ · Alexandra Kautzky-Willer¹ · Peter Wolf¹ · Michael Krebs¹

Received: 29 May 2022 / Revised: 16 October 2022 / Accepted: 25 October 2022 / Published online: 7 November 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose Bariatric surgery has a favorable effect on fertility in women. However, due to a lack of data regarding children's outcomes, the ideal time for conception following bariatric surgery is unknown. Current guidelines advise avoiding pregnancy during the initial weight loss phase (12–24 months after surgery) as there may be potential risks to offspring. Thus, we aimed to analyze health outcomes in children born to mothers who had undergone bariatric surgery. The surgery-to-delivery interval was studied.

Materials and Methods A nationwide registry belonging to the Austrian health insurance funds and containing health-related data claims was searched. Data for all women who had bariatric surgery in Austria between 01/2010 and 12/2018 were analyzed. A total of 1057 women gave birth to 1369 children. The offspring's data were analyzed for medical health claims based on International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes and number of days hospitalized. Three different surgery-to-delivery intervals were assessed: 12, 18, and 24 months.

Results Overall, 421 deliveries (31%) were observed in the first 2 years after surgery. Of these, 70 births (5%) occurred within 12 months after surgery. The median time from surgery to delivery was 34 months. Overall, there were no differences noted in frequency of hospitalization and diagnoses leading to hospitalization in the first year of life, regardless of the surgery-to-delivery interval.

Conclusion Pregnancies in the first 24 months after bariatric surgery were common. Importantly, the surgery-to-delivery interval had no significant impact on the health outcome of the children.

Keywords Bariatric surgery · Pregnancies · Infertility · Registry analysis · Healthcare research · Health outcomes

Introduction

Obesity is a risk factor for infertility in women and weight loss after bariatric surgery has been shown to reverse this [1, 2]. Moreover, it was demonstrated that bariatric surgery had a favorable effect on sexual hormones in women with

morbid obesity [3, 4]. Obesity is also a major risk factor for gestational diabetes (GDM), which itself is associated with higher health risks, not only for the women but also for their children [5]. Likewise, maternal obesity is a known risk factor for preterm delivery [6]. Some studies demonstrated that bariatric surgery reduced the likelihood of gestational diabetes but was associated with an increased risk of small for gestational age newborns [7–9]. Additionally, it was shown that children born to women who had bariatric surgery had a higher risk of perinatal complications compared to non-operated controls [10]. Bariatric surgery was also associated with an increased risk of preterm birth [11]. However, another study demonstrated that bariatric surgery reduced the risk of adverse delivery outcomes compared to women without bariatric surgery [12]. The time elapsed between bariatric surgery and birth could thus also

Key Points

1. Bariatric surgery improves fertility rates.
2. Early pregnancies, before the end of the recommended phase of contraception, after bariatric surgery were frequently observed.
3. The timing of pregnancy after bariatric surgery had no relevant impact on children's health outcomes.

✉ Tanja Stamm
tanja.stamm@meduniwien.ac.at

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

substantially impact neonatal outcomes [10] and clinical practice guidelines recommend a period of 12–18 months after surgery during which conception should be avoided [13]. Other guidelines advise that pregnancy should be avoided in the weight loss phase following bariatric surgery [14, 15]. However, evidence from large, registry-type studies in a real-world setting is sparse. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze the impact of the timing of pregnancies after bariatric surgery on children's health outcomes in a real-world, population-based registry study.

Methods

Design

Medical health claims data from the Austrian health insurance system which covers 98% of the total Austrian population were retrospectively analyzed. The data structure provided by the Austrian health insurance was previously described by Beiglböck et al. [16]. Data belonging to women who had undergone bariatric surgery between 01/2010 and 12/2018 and who gave birth after the surgery were extracted and analyzed. Data from their respective offspring was also analyzed. The children's data comprised the period from 01/2010 to 04/2020. Three predefined surgery-to-delivery intervals were compared: 12 months, 18 months, and 24 months. We obtained the children's date of birth, date of death (if applicable), number of hospitalizations, number of days hospitalized, and the coded diagnoses according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD)-10 [17]. The used ICD-10 codes ranged from A00 to Z99 and are depicted in Table 2. Codes in a category, e.g., "A," and "B" were aggregated, except for the ICD-category P00-P96 which is of special interest regarding health-related problems in newborns (see Table 3 for detailed information and description of each subgroup in category "P"). The mothers' data included date of birth, date of death (if applicable), age at operation, age at death (if applicable), and type of bariatric surgical procedure based on medical single procedure (MEL)-codes [16]. The ethical committee of the Medical University of Vienna (No 2052/2018) approved the study protocol.

Statistical Analysis

We used R (<https://www.r-project.org/>) for reshaping the data sets and Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, 2022) and SPSS (IBM, version 27) for the analysis. Data distribution was checked by visualization using histograms. We calculated means and standard deviations for normally distributed variables and alternatively, if this was not the case, medians and interquartile ranges (IQR). Furthermore, chi-squared

tests were used to compare diagnoses between the reference points after bariatric surgery. Additionally, we compared the number of days hospitalized with Mann–Whitney *U* tests. Furthermore, unpaired *T*-tests were performed to compare the age of the mothers between the different groups (12 months, 18 months, 24 months). As this study was of an exploratory nature and was designed to generate hypotheses, corrections for multiple testing were not implemented. Because the lengths of observation periods differed, diagnoses and number of days in hospital were only calculated for the first year of the child's life. The significance level for statistical analyses was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Overall, 14,681 women who had undergone bariatric surgery between 01/2010 and 12/2018 were eligible for the analysis. Of these, 1057 women gave birth to 1369 children within the 01/2010 to 04/2020 observation period. Moreover, 271 women gave birth a second time, and 39 women delivered three times within the observation period. Two women gave birth to four children each, this was the maximum number observed. In addition, most of the children were male (736; 54%). The mean age of the mothers at the time of bariatric surgery was 27.1 ± 4.9 years, and the mean age at delivery was 30.4 ± 5.0 years. Generally, women who gave birth up to 24 months after bariatric surgery were older at the time of surgery compared to women who gave birth at least 24 months post-surgery (before: 28.1 ± 5.1 years, after: 26.7 ± 4.9 years; $p = 0.000$). Among all thresholds compared, women who gave birth before the specific reference point were older at the time of surgery compared to women who gave birth after (see Table 1). Moreover, comparing maternal age at delivery significant differences could be found for the 18 and 24 month thresholds (see Table 1).

Gastric bypass, performed in 72% of women, was the most frequent procedure, followed by sleeve gastrectomy which was performed in 17% of cases, and gastric banding which was performed in 10% of cases. Moreover, biliopancreatic diversion was performed in less than 1% (0.5%) of all cases. A total of 63 revision operations (5%) were recorded in the databases and in 4 cases (0.3%) a further operation following the revision operation was found.

The post-bariatric procedure deliveries were observed after a median of 34 months [interquartile range: 22–53 months]. A total of 70 births (5%) were recorded up to 12 months after bariatric surgery. Moreover, 182 deliveries (13%) occurred between 12 and 18 months post-surgery and 169 births (12%) were observed between 18 and 24 months after the bariatric procedure. Furthermore, most of the deliveries (948, 69%) in this analysis were recorded at least 24 months after the bariatric procedure.

Table 1 Demographics of mothers with a history of bariatric surgery and data on hospitalization of the offspring; data are given in median and interquartile range for normally distributed data and in mean and standard deviation for data not normally distributed

Reference point after bariatric surgery Date of birth according to specific reference	12 months		18 months		24 months	
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
Births	70 (5%)	1299 (95%)	252 (18%)	1117 (82%)	421 (31%)	948 (69%)
Maternal age at operation	28.7 ± 5.7*	27.0 ± 4.9*	28.0 ± 5.1*	26.9 ± 4.9*	28.1 ± 5.1*	26.7 ± 4.9*
Maternal age at birth	29.6 ± 5.7	30.5 ± 5.0	29.3 ± 5.1*	30.7 ± 5.0*	29.6 ± 5.1*	30.8 ± 4.9*
Hospitalization in the first year of life in offspring	26 (37%)	438 (34%)	79 (31%)	385 (35%)	136 (32%)	328 (35%)
Days hospitalized in the first year of life in offspring	7 [3;24]	6 [3;11]	6 [3;20]	6 [3;11]	6 [3;12]	6 [3;12]

* $p < 0.05$ regarding before/after for the specific reference point

Regarding the number of days spent in hospital in the first year of life, no significant differences were observed between the different reference points (see Table 1). In addition, the frequency of hospitalization in the first year of life was comparable between the specific reference points. However, regarding diagnoses in the first year of life, the diagnosis group H00–H59 (diseases of the eye and adnexa) demonstrated significant differences between the reference points. In contrast, no significant differences were observed for the most common diagnosis group P00–P96 (certain conditions originating in the perinatal period) (see Table 2). Furthermore, a sub analysis of this diagnosis group including the most important subgroup P05–P07, which comprises preterm birth and small for gestational age diagnoses, also showed no significant differences among the different thresholds (see Table 3).

Overall, two mothers died during the observation period, 8 months and 21 months after delivery and 14 months and 39 months after bariatric surgery respectively. Additionally, a child whose mother delivered 39 months after bariatric surgery died 14 months after birth due to severe comorbidities including brain malformation and hypopituitarism.

Discussion

This study shows that (i) early pregnancies after bariatric surgery are frequently observed but (ii) no significant impact of the surgery-to-delivery interval on the health outcomes of the offspring could be identified in the first year of life.

Bariatric surgery is linked to higher chances of pregnancy in patients with obesity and is associated with better delivery outcomes [12, 18]. However, due to the rising prevalence of obesity, and thus the rising number of bariatric surgeries, the management and timing of pregnancies after these procedures are of major interest [19].

The percentage of women who delivered early in this study (5%) is higher compared to other analyses reporting 2.4% and 4.3% within 12 months post-surgery respectively [12, 20]. With regards to the surgery-to-conception interval,

other studies demonstrated that up to 36.8% of pregnancies following bariatric surgery occurred within 12 months of the surgery [21–23]. The differences in numbers of early pregnancies after surgery might be explained by the different study designs and the sample size available for the respective analyses. Moreover, our analysis found that approximately every third delivery (31%) after bariatric surgery was recorded within the first 24 months following surgery. This percentage is within the previously reported range of 18.4 to 44.9% [12, 20]. However, insufficient perioperative counseling regarding contraception might be a reason for the relatively high number of women conceiving before the recommended surgery-to-conception interval [24]. On the other hand, the mothers' age at delivery in our study was comparable with those in other studies [10, 25, 26]. Additionally, the different surgical bariatric procedures used were comparable to Heusschen et al. [21]. This is important for comparison since a study showed that pregnancy outcomes after a bariatric procedure might vary among the different bariatric procedures [27]. In short, the most important finding of this study was that the surgery-to-delivery interval had no impact on the health outcomes for the offspring in the first year of life. This finding is in line with those showing that conception within 18 months following bariatric surgery had no significant impact on neonatal outcomes [28]. A further study also demonstrated that even in early conceptions within 12 months after bariatric surgery, perinatal outcomes were not worse compared to conceptions more than 12 months post-surgery [25]. Additionally, other analyses suggest that the time elapsed between sleeve gastrectomy and pregnancy had no impact on neonatal outcomes [26, 29]. However, it was shown that gestational weight gain was lower in pregnancies up to 12 months after surgery compared to pregnancies after 12 months post-surgery [23]. That might be of importance since it was shown that normal gestational weight gain was linked to favorable obstetric outcomes [30]. However, our study in a large registry analysis with more than 1300 mother–child pairs supports the findings from Rasteiro et al. They used a small cohort to demonstrate that no definitive threshold for postponing pregnancies after bariatric surgery

could be identified [22]. When compared between the different threshold groups, a higher number of diseases in the diagnosis group H00–H059 (diseases of the eye and adnexa) was only observed in children born between 18 and 24 months post-bariatric surgery. These numbers may not be clinically relevant as they were generally low and most diseases diagnosed in the group were mild in nature. Conjunctivitis is one example of a condition featuring in this group. The most relevant diagnosis group is P00–P96 (certain conditions originating in the perinatal period) which comprises all diagnoses of well-known potential problems including being small for gestational age (ICD-code: P05) and preterm birth (ICD-code: P07). This group demonstrated no difference between

the defined surgery-to-delivery intervals (see Table 2). The in-depth analysis of more specific subgroups of diagnoses revealed no differences between the groups (see Table 3). Additionally, no difference between the surgery-to-delivery interval and number of diagnoses in the ICD-group Q00–Q99 (congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalities) was found. This is in line with others showing that even early pregnancies are not associated with higher rates of congenital malformations [25].

It is worth noting that the study has some important limitations due to the available data recorded in the national health funds databases. Furthermore, the retrospective study design is a major limitation. Some major parameters

Table 2 ICD-10 diagnoses [17] for conditions leading to the hospitalization of children born to mothers with a history of bariatric surgery. Hospitalizations occurred in the first year of life and are organized by surgery-to-delivery interval. n.a.: not applicable

Date of birth after bariatric surgery	0–12 months	12–18 months	18–24 months	24 months or later	p-value
<i>n</i>	70	182	169	948	
<i>ICD-codes</i>					
<i>A00–B99</i>	2 (3%)	2 (1%)	8 (5%)	29 (3%)	0.272
<i>C00–D48</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a
<i>D50–D89</i>	0	0	0	1 (0%)	0.987
<i>E00–E90</i>	0	1 (1%)	0	6 (1%)	0.964
<i>F00–F99</i>	0	0	0	3 (0%)	0.938
<i>G00–G99</i>	0	1 (1%)	0	5 (1%)	0.974
<i>H00–H59</i>	0	1 (1%)	4 (2%)	2 (0%)	0.005
<i>H60–H95</i>	1 (1%)	2 (1%)	0	2 (0%)	0.141
<i>I00–I99</i>	1 (1%)	0	1 (1%)	4 (0%)	0.645
<i>J00–J99</i>	5 (7%)	12 (7%)	14 (8%)	68 (7%)	0.947
<i>K00–K93</i>	3 (4%)	1 (1%)	5 (3%)	15 (2%)	0.131
<i>L00–L99</i>	1 (1%)	0	2 (1%)	4 (0%)	0.425
<i>M00–M99</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a
<i>N00–N99</i>	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	3 (2%)	16 (2%)	0.714
<i>O00–O99</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a
<i>P00–P96</i>	12 (17%)	24 (13%)	26 (15%)	160 (17%)	0.707
<i>Q00–Q99</i>	2 (3%)	10 (5%)	7 (4%)	40 (4%)	0.810
<i>R00–R99</i>	2 (3%)	3 (2%)	10 (6%)	38 (4%)	0.223
<i>S00–T98</i>	3 (4%)	4 (2%)	6 (4%)	20 (2%)	0.508
<i>V01–Y98</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a
<i>Z00–Z99</i>	0	1 (1%)	0	6 (1%)	0.964
<i>U00–U85</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a

A00–B99 certain infectious and parasitic diseases; C00–D48 neoplasms; D50–D89 diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs and certain disorders involving the immune mechanism; E00–E90 endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases; F00–F99 mental and behavioral disorders; G00–G99 diseases of the nervous system; H00–H59 diseases of the eye and adnexa; H60–H95 diseases of the ear and mastoid process; I00–I99 diseases of the circulatory system; J00–J99 diseases of the respiratory system; K00–K93 diseases of the digestive system; L00–L99 diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue; M00–M99 diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue; N00–N99 diseases of the genitourinary system; O00–O99 pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium; P00–P96 certain conditions originating in the perinatal period; Q00–Q99 congenital malformations, deformations, and chromosomal abnormalities; R00–R99 symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified; S00–T98 injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes; V01–Y98 external causes of morbidity and mortality; Z00–Z99 factors influencing health status and contact with health services and U00–U85 codes for special purposes

Table 3 ICD-10 diagnoses within the category P00 – P96 (certain conditions originating in the perinatal period) [17] leading to the hospitalization of children born to mothers with a history of bariatric surgery. Hospitalizations occurred in the first year of life and are organized by surgery-to-delivery interval. *n.a.*, not applicable

<i>Date of birth after bariatric surgery</i>	<i>0–12 months</i>	<i>12–18 months</i>	<i>18–24 months</i>	<i>24 months or later</i>	<i>p-value</i>
<i>n</i>	70	182	169	948	
<i>ICD-codes</i>					
<i>P00–P04</i>	0	0	1 (1%)	4	0.956
<i>P05–P08</i>	7 (10%)	13 (7%)	16 (9%)	84 (9%)	0.856
<i>P10–P15</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a
<i>P20–P29</i>	3 (4%)	6 (3%)	0	30 (3%)	0.809
<i>P35–P39</i>	1 (1%)	0	0	13 (1%)	0.743
<i>P50–P61</i>	1 (1%)	4 (2%)	0	13 (1%)	0.775
<i>P70–P74</i>	0	1 (1%)	0	6 (1%)	0.964
<i>P75–P78</i>	0	0	0	0	n.a
<i>P80–P83</i>	0	0	0	1 (0%)	0.987
<i>P90–P96</i>	0	0	0	9 (1%)	0.746

P00-P04 fetus and newborn affected by maternal factors and by complications of pregnancy, labor and delivery; P05-P08 disorders related to length of gestation and fetal growth; P10-P15 birth trauma; P20-P29 respiratory and cardiovascular disorders specific to the perinatal period; P35-P39 infections specific to the perinatal period; P50-P61 haemorrhagic and hematological disorders of fetus and newborn; P70-P74 transitory endocrine and metabolic disorders specific to fetus and newborn; P75-P78 digestive system disorders of fetus and newborn; P80-P83 conditions involving the integument and temperature regulation of fetus and newborn and P90-P96 other disorders originating in the perinatal period

including body mass index, weight loss after surgery, weight gain during the pregnancy, nutritional status of mothers, comorbidities of mothers during pregnancy, and data on abortion were missing. The assessment of children's health in our analysis was limited to data on hospitalization and diagnoses made in hospital. Thus, minor health issues in children not requiring hospitalization were not covered in this study. However, the specific structure of the health care system in Austria promotes hospital admissions and therefore, we are confident that the available data provides sufficient sensitivity to draw conclusions on clinically significant differences depending on the surgery-to-delivery intervals. The study also demonstrates major strengths. One example is the sample size of approximately 1300 mother–child-pairs with no selection bias due to the nature of the Austrian healthcare system. The focus on the first year of life is a further strength as most other analyses focus on the perinatal period. As the Austrian health care system covers the costs for bariatric surgery, almost all bariatric surgeries within the included period were recorded in the database and analyzed in this study.

In summary, our analysis demonstrated that approximately every third birth following bariatric surgery was observed up to 24 months post-surgery. Very early pregnancies up to 12 months post-surgery were also detected in our study; according to the latest guidelines these should be avoided [31]. However, based on this registry analysis, surgery-to-birth interval had no relevant impact on the assessed health outcomes of the children. Nevertheless, further studies on long-term outcomes for children of mothers who have

had bariatric surgery are needed before clinical guidelines can be adapted.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the members of the Pharmacoeconomics Advisory Council of the Austrian Sickness Funds for provision of the data. We wish to thank Ms. Karin Allmer for quality assurance of the database query and Mr. Ludwig Weissengruber for the organizational support in the data generation. Moreover, we thank Sarah Ely for proofreading our manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by Medical University of Vienna.

Declarations

Ethical Approval All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Conflict of Interest M.K. has received research support from Sanofi, AstraZeneca, Fit for Me and Ipsen as well as speaker and consulting fees from AstraZeneca, Lilly, Takeda, and Sanofi. T.S. reports grants and personal fees from AbbVie, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, and Novartis, outside the submitted work. The other authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in

the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.


References

- Slopien R, Horst N, Jaremek JD, Chinniah D, Spaczynski R. The impact of surgical treatment of obesity on the female fertility. *Gynecol Endocrinol Taylor Francis*. 2019;35:100–2.
- Benito E, Gómez-Martin JM, Vega-Piñero B, Priego P, Galindo J, Escobar-Morreale HF, et al. Fertility and pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome following bariatric surgery. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2020;105:1–8.
- Beiglböck H, Fellinger P, Ranzenberger-Haider T, Itariu B, Prager G, Kautzky-Willer A, et al. Pre-operative obesity-associated hyperandrogenemia in women and hypogonadism in men have no impact on weight loss following bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2020;30(10):3947–54.
- Kopp HP, Krzyzanowska K, Scherthner GH, Kriwanek S, Scherthner G. Relationship of androgens to insulin resistance and chronic inflammation in morbidly obese premenopausal women: studies before and after vertical banded gastroplasty. *Obes Surg*. 2006;16:1214–20.
- Harreiter J, Dovjak G, Kautzky-Willer A. Gestational diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular risk after pregnancy. *Women's Heal*. 2014;10:91–108.
- Cnattingius S, Villamor E, Johansson S, Edstedt Bonamy A-K, Persson M, Wikström A-K, et al. Maternal obesity and risk of preterm delivery. *JAMA United States*. 2013;309:2362–70.
- Johansson K, Cnattingius S, Näslund I, Roos N, Lagerros YT, Granath F, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:814–24.
- Jacamon AS, Merviel P, Herrmann S, Pan-Petes B, Lacut K, Thereaux J. Outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery: results of a French matched-cohort study. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2020;16:1275–82.
- Rottenstreich A, Elchalal U, Kleinstern G, Beglaibter N, Khalailieh A, Elazary R. Maternal and perinatal outcomes after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;131:451–6.
- Parent B, Martopullo I, Weiss NS, Khandelwal S, Fay EE, Rowhani-Rahbar A. Bariatric surgery in women of childbearing age, timing between an operation and birth, and associated perinatal complications. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:128–35.
- Stephansson O, Johansson K, Näslund I, Neovius M. Bariatric surgery and preterm birth. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:805–6.
- Stephansson O, Johansson K, Söderling J, Näslund I, Neovius M. Delivery outcomes in term births after bariatric surgery: population-based matched cohort study. *PLoS Med*. 2018;15:1–15.
- Busetto L, Dicker D, Azran C, Batterham RL, Farpour-Lambert N, Fried M, et al. Obesity management task force of the European association for the study of obesity released “Practical Recommendations for the Post-Bariatric Surgery Medical Management”. *Obes Surg*. 2018;28(7):2117–21.
- Di Lorenzo N, Antoniou SA, Batterham RL, Busetto L, Godoroja D, Iossa A, et al. Clinical practice guidelines of the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) on bariatric surgery: update 2020 endorsed by IFSO-EC, EASO and ESPCOP. *Surg Endosc*. 2022;34:2332–58.
- Shawe J, Ceulemans D, Akhter Z, Neff K, Hart K, Heslehurst N, et al. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: consensus recommendations for periconception, antenatal and postnatal care. *Obes Rev an Off J Int Assoc Study Obes*. 2019;20(11):1507–22.
- Beiglböck H, Mörth E, Reichardt B, Stamm T, Itariu B, Harreiter J, et al. Sex-specific differences in mortality of patients with a history of bariatric surgery: a nation-wide population-based study. *Obes Surg*. 2022;32(1):8–17.
- World Health Organization (WHO) ICD-10 International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems 10th revision [Internet]. 2019. Available from: <https://icd.who.int/browse10/2019/en>
- Hsieh MF, Chen JH, Su YC, Chen CY, Lee CH. The increasing possibility of pregnancy postbariatric surgery: a comprehensive national cohort study in Asian population. *Obes Surg Obesity Surgery*. 2021;31:1022–9.
- Angrisani L, Santonicola A, Iovino P, Vitiello A, Higa K, Himpens J, et al. IFSO Worldwide Survey 2016: primary, endoluminal, and revisional procedures. *Obes Surg Obesity Surgery*. 2018;28:3783–94.
- Roos N, Neovius M, Cnattingius S, Lagerros YT, Säaf M, Granath F, et al. Perinatal outcomes after bariatric surgery: nationwide population based matched cohort study. *BMJ*. 2013;347:1–11.
- Heusschen L, Krabbendam I, van der Velde JM, Deden LN, Aarts EO, Meriën AER, et al. A matter of timing—pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg Obesity Surgery*. 2021;31:2072–9.
- Rasteiro C, Araújo C, Cunha S, Caldas R, Mesquita J, Seixas A, et al. Influence of time interval from bariatric surgery to conception on pregnancy and perinatal outcomes. *Obes Surg Obesity Surgery*. 2018;28:3559–66.
- Dolin CD, Chervenak J, Pivo S, Ude Welcome A, Kominiarek MA. Association between time interval from bariatric surgery to pregnancy and maternal weight outcomes. *J Matern Neonatal Med*. 2021;34:3285–91.
- Mengesha BM, Carter JT, Dehlendorf CE, Rodriguez AJ, Steinauer JE. Perioperative pregnancy interval, contraceptive counseling experiences, and contraceptive use in women undergoing bariatric surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;219:81.e1–81.e9.
- Sheiner E, Edri A, Balaban E, Levi I, Aricha-Tamir B. Pregnancy outcome of patients who conceive during or after the first year following bariatric surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;204:50.e1–50.e6.
- Sancak S, Çeler Ö, Çırak E, Karip AB, Aydın MT, Esenbulut N, et al. Timing of gestation after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG): does it influence obstetrical and neonatal outcomes of pregnancies? *Obes Surg*. 2019;29:2629–30.
- Cornthwaite K, Prajapati C, Lenguerrand E, Knight M, Blencowe N, Johnson A, et al. Pregnancy outcomes following different types of bariatric surgery: a national cohort study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2021;260:10–7.
- Wax JR, Cartin A, Wolff R, Lepich S, Pinette MG, Blackstone J. Pregnancy following gastric bypass for morbid obesity: effect of surgery-to-conception interval on maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Obes Surg*. 2008;18:1517–21.
- Basbug A, Ellibeş Kaya A, Dogan S, Pehlivan M, Goynumer G. Does pregnancy interval after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy affect maternal and perinatal outcomes? *J Matern Neonatal Med*. 2019;32:3764–70.
- Grandfils S, Demondion D, Kyheng M, Duhamel A, Lorio E, Pattou F, et al. Impact of gestational weight gain on perinatal outcomes after a bariatric surgery. *J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod*. 2019;48:401–5.
- Chapman K, Stoklossa CJ, Benson-Davies S, American Society for Bariatric Surgery. Nutrition for pregnancy after metabolic and bariatric surgery: literature review and practical guide. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2022;18:820–30.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Parts of preliminary data were presented at the 57th Annual Meeting of the EASD (European Association for the Study of Diabetes) 2021 (2021-09-28 virtual).

Authors and Affiliations

Hannes Beiglböck¹ · Eric Mörth^{2,3} · Berthold Reichardt⁴ · Tanja Stamm^{5,6}  · Bianca Itariu¹ · Jürgen Harreiter¹ · Jakob Eichelter⁷ · Gerhard Prager⁷ · Alexandra Kautzky-Willer¹ · Peter Wolf¹ · Michael Krebs¹

Hannes Beiglböck
hannes.beiglboeck@meduniwien.ac.at

Eric Mörth
eric.morth@gmx.at

Berthold Reichardt
berthold.reichardt@oegk.at

Bianca Itariu
bianca.itariu@meduniwien.ac.at

Jürgen Harreiter
jürgen.harreiter@meduniwien.ac.at

Jakob Eichelter
jakob.eichelter@meduniwien.ac.at

Gerhard Prager
gerhard.prager@meduniwien.ac.at

Alexandra Kautzky-Willer
alexandra.kautzky-willer@meduniwien.ac.at

Peter Wolf
peter.wolf@meduniwien.ac.at

Michael Krebs
michael.krebs@meduniwien.ac.at

¹ Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine III, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, 1090 Vienna, Austria

² Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, 5008 Bergen, Norway

³ Mohn Medical Imaging and Visualization Centre, Haukeland University Hospital, 5021 Bergen, Norway

⁴ Austrian Social Health Insurance Fund, 7000 Eisenstadt, Austria

⁵ Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics and Intelligent Systems, Institute for Outcomes Research, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, 1090 Vienna, Austria

⁶ Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Arthritis and Rehabilitation, Vienna, Austria

⁷ Department of General Surgery, Division of Visceral Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, 1090 Vienna, Austria