ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS





Bariatric Surgery and the Risk of Cerebrovascular Events: a Meta-analysis of 17 Studies Including 3,124,063 Subjects

Zixin Cai¹ · Qirui Zhang^{2,3} · Yingling Jiang⁴ · Wei Liu^{2,3} · Jingjing Zhang¹

Received: 21 March 2022 / Revised: 15 August 2022 / Accepted: 17 August 2022 / Published online: 21 September 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Purpose To perform a meta-analysis of the literature to evaluate the prevalence of cerebrovascular comorbidities between patients undergoing bariatric surgery and those not undergoing bariatric surgery.

Materials and Methods Studies about the risk of cerebrovascular disease both before and after bariatric surgery were systematically explored in multiple electronic databases, including PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Embase, from the time of database construction to May 2022.

Results Seventeen studies with 3,124,063 patients were finally included in the meta-analysis. There was a statistically significant reduction in cerebrovascular event risk following bariatric surgery (OR 0.68; 95% CI 0.58 to 0.78; $I^2 = 87.9\%$). The results of our meta-analysis showed that bariatric surgery was associated with decreased cerebrovascular event risk in the USA, Sweden, the UK, and Germany but not in China or Finland. There was no significant difference in the incidence of cerebrovascular events among bariatric surgery patients compared to non-surgical patients for greater than or equal to 5 years, but the incidence of cerebrovascular events less than 5 years after bariatric surgery was significantly lower in the surgical patients compared to non-surgical patients compared to non-surgical patients compared to non-surgical patients for greater than or equal to 5 years.

Conclusion Our meta-analysis suggested that bariatric surgery for severe obesity was associated with a reduced risk of cerebrovascular events in the USA, Sweden, the UK, and Germany. Bariatric surgery significantly reduced the risk of cerebrovascular events within 5 years, but there was no significant difference in the risk of cerebrovascular events for 5 or more years after bariatric surgery in the USA.

Keywords Bariatric surgery · Cerebrovascular · Meta-analysis

Zixin Cai and Qirui Zhang contributed equally to this work.

Key Points

• Bariatric surgery was associated with decreased cerebrovascular event risk in the USA, Sweden, the UK, and Germany but not in China or Finland.

• There was no significant difference in the incidence of cerebrovascular events among bariatric surgery patients compared to non-surgical patients for greater than or equal to 5 years, but the incidence of cerebrovascular events less than 5 years after bariatric surgery was significantly lower in the surgical patients compared to non-surgical patients in the USA population.

• This is the first study to report a meta-analysis comparing bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular events, including the largest highly representative population in the relevant area.

🖂 Wei Liu

liuweixy@csu.edu.cn

☑ Jingjing Zhang

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Introduction

There has been an exponential rise in the global prevalence of obesity in recent decades. Obesity has a negative impact on life expectancy by increasing the risk of chronic health conditions, including cardiovascular disease, insulin resistance, sleep apnea, and other medical conditions [1]. There has been an exponential rise in bariatric surgery worldwide, with a significantly increasing rate every year. The NIH guidelines from 1991 advocated for bariatric surgery based on BMI and medical comorbidities. Patients suffering from obesity with a body mass index $(BMI) > 40 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ or a BMI} > 35 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ are recommended for}$ bariatric surgery [2]. In recent years, the relationship between bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular events has received widespread attention. However, studies comparing bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular outcomes remain unclear. Thus, the aim of the current study was to conduct a meta-analysis to reveal the effects of bariatric surgery on cerebrovascular outcomes.

If bariatric surgery reduces the risk of cerebrovascular events, perhaps guidelines could be considered that recommend that overweight patients undergo bariatric operation to effectuate weight loss. Based on the above considerations, we performed a pooled analysis by integrating the results of previous works to obtain more robust and accurate estimates regarding the effect of bariatric surgery on cerebrovascular outcomes, which are vital to guide clinical management and counsel patients.

Methods

Search Strategy

The study was designed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) checklist [3]. A systematic search was carried out on published studies for updates until May 2022 without language restriction in the PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases. We used the search terms "bariatric surgery" and "cerebrovascular disease." Relevant articles were independently reviewed by two individuals.

Study Selection

We included studies according to the following inclusion criteria: (1) articles reporting the relationship between bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular outcomes; (2) studies that included patients undergoing bariatric surgery; and (3) studies in which odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were collected or could be calculated from the information given. We excluded (1) reviews, editorials, correspondence, and meta-analyses; (2) studies with insufficient data; and (3) articles in non-English languages.

Data Abstraction and Quality Assessment

We recorded the data from the selected studies using standard electronic sheets. The following information was extracted: the first author, the publication year, the study design, the source of the population, the proportion of men and women, the sample size, the postoperative period, the diagnostic criteria of the cerebrovascular comorbidities, and ORs with their 95% CIs.

The included studies were evaluated by two independent reviewers (ZC and QZ) using the quality assessment method, and disagreements were resolved via discussion. The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of the literature. Studies with NOS scores \geq 7 were defined as high quality.

Statistical Analysis

ORs were used to estimate the association between bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular comorbidities. Interstudy heterogeneity was assessed using Q value and I^2 values. When the I^2 value was greater than 50%, the random effects model was selected; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was used. For the qualitative interpretation of heterogeneity, $I^2 < 50\%$ was considered to represent moderate heterogeneity, while $I^2 > 75\%$ indicated extreme heterogeneity [4]. The potential for publication bias was evaluated graphically using both funnel plot inspection and Egger's regression method for funnel plot asymmetry in the adjusted analyses of outcomes [5]. Sensitivity analysis was also performed to explore the stability of the results. Statistical analysis was conducted using Stata Statistical Software (version 12.0; STATA Corp., College Station, TX).

Results

Study Selection

A total of 758 articles were retrieved via a primary search of the literature databases. After the removal of duplicates, 362 articles remained (Fig. 1). After screening both abstracts and titles, 49 studies were retrieved for full-text screening. Fourteen articles were excluded for review, and no relevant data about outcomes were identified in 18 articles. Ultimately, a total of 3,124,063 patients from 17 articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final meta-analysis [6–22]. The flowchart of the study selection process for the meta-analysis is presented in Fig. 1.

Description of Included Studies

The basic characteristics of the included studies and quality evaluation are shown in Table 1. Our research included studies from seven different countries. The length of followup ranged from 1 to 14.7 years after bariatric surgery. The results of the literature quality assessment showed that the average score for the quality of the included studies was \geq 7, and all of them were of high quality.

Overall Analysis

A total of 17 studies with 3,124,063 patients were pooled in the meta-analysis. The heterogeneity test (I^2 value) revealed that the studies were heterogeneous ($I^2 = 87.9\% > 50\%$); therefore, a random effects model was implemented for the analysis. As a result, the meta-analysis showed that the



Fig. 1 Flowchart of study selection

surgery group had a lower risk of cerebrovascular events than the no-surgery group (OR = 0.68; 95% CI 0.58–0.78) (Fig. 2). To explore the sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were conducted based on country. The results of our meta-analysis show that bariatric surgery was associated with decreased cerebrovascular event risk in the USA (OR 0.63; 95% CI 0.49 to 0.81; $I^2 = 91.5\%$), Sweden (OR 0.75; 95% CI 0.62 to 0.91; $I^2 = 8.1\%$), the UK (OR 0.38; 95% CI 0.23 to 0.63; $l^2 = 0\%$), and Germany (OR 0.16; 95%) CI 0.07 to 0.36) but not in China (OR 0.90; 95% CI 0.54 to 1.52; $I^2 = 86.4\%$) or Finland (OR 0.98; 95% CI 0.84 to 1.14; $I^2 = 9.6\%$) (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference in the incidence of cerebrovascular events compared with those without bariatric surgery after bariatric surgery for more than or equal to 5 years (OR 0.86; 95% CI 0.62 to 1.19; $I^2 = 61.3\%$), but the incidence of cerebrovascular events within 5 years after bariatric surgery was significantly lower than that of non-surgery patients in the USA population (OR 0.59; 95% CI 0.41 to 0.84; $l^2 = 94.6\%$) (Fig. 4). The sensitivity and publication bias analyses are displayed in Fig. 5. The results of the sensitivity analyses indicated that the meta-analysis had low sensitivity and that the overall results were robust and stable. The funnel plot displayed a symmetrical and funneled shape and Begg's regression test (p > 0.05) suggested the absence of publication bias. In addition, the pooled results of bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular events were reliable after applying the trim and fill approach (Fig. 6).

Discussion

Association Between Bariatric Surgery and Cerebrovascular Events

This study evaluated 17 studies involving 3,124,063 individuals from a broad range of populations. Overall, the results from our study indicated that people with bariatric surgery had decreased odds of cerebrovascular comorbidities compared to nonbariatric surgery controls. Bariatric surgery significantly reduced the risk of cerebrovascular events within 5 years, but there was no significant difference in the risk of cerebrovascular events for 5 or more years after bariatric surgery in the USA. Weight loss after bariatric surgery is difficult to predict. Weight gain after bariatric surgery demonstrates the chronic and progressive nature of obesity. Therefore, follow-up after bariatric surgery is critical and requires a team approach for long-term benefits after bariatric surgery. This finding was consistent across most countries.

NumberAuthorYearCountryDesign1Jiewen Jin2020ChinaRetrosy2Ali Aminian2019USARetrosy3David P. Fisher2018USACohort3David P. Fisher2018USARetrosy3David P. Fisher2018USARetrosy4Michael D2021USARetrosy4Michael D2021USARetrosy5Ali Aminian2020USARetrosy6Erik Stenberg2020USARetrosy7Henry Buchwald1998USARetrosy	able 1	Studies included in t	he meta-a	malysis										
1Jiewen Jin2020ChinaRetrosy2Ali Aminian2019USARetrosy3David P. Fisher2018USACohort3David P. Fisher2018USARetrosy3David P. Fisher2018USARetrosy4Michael D2021USARetrosy4Michael D2021USARetrosy5Ali Aminian2021USARetrosy6Erik Stenberg2021USARetrosy7Henry Buchwald1998USARetrosy	Jumber	Author	Year	Country	Design	Number	Age	Male percent	Diagnostic criteria of cerebrovascular time	Follow-up	NOS	OR	Ci 1	Ci 2
2Ali Aminian2019USARetrosi3David P. Fisher2018USACohort3David P. Fisher2018USASavid P. Fisher2018USA3David P. Fisher2018USARetrosi4Michael D2021USARetrosi4Michael D2021USARetrosi5Ali Aminian2020USARetrosi6Erik Stenberg2020USARetrosi7Henry Buchwald1998USARetrosi		Jiewen Jin	2020	China	Retrospective	1,526,820	57.06 (0.08)	35.8% Male	Death	NA	6	0.71	0.62	0.81
 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA Cohort 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 USA 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA 8 Retrost 		Ali Aminian	2019	NSA	Retrospective cohort	48,300	60.84~(10.59)	27.8% Male	Ischemic cerebrovas- cular accident	4 years	6	0.54	0.37	0.79
 3 David P. Fisher 3 David P. Fisher 3 David P. Fisher 4 Michael D 2018 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA Retrosf 4 Michael D 2021 USA Retrosf 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 USA Retrosf tional 	_	David P. Fisher	2018	USA	Cohort	20,235	49.5 (10.0)	24.1% Male	Ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, carotid stenting, or carotid endarterec- tomy	1 year	L	0.39	0.21	0.71
 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 5 Ali Aminian 2020 USA 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 Sweden Cohort 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retrost 		David P. Fisher	2018	USA						3 years		0.39	0.22	0.68
 3 David P. Fisher 2018 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 4 Michael D 2021 USA 5 Ali Aminian 2020 USA Retrost tional 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 Sweden Cohort 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retrost 		David P. Fisher	2018	USA						5 years		0.69	0.38	1.25
4Michael D2021USARetrost4Michael D2021USARetrost5Ali Aminian2020USARetrost6Erik Stenberg2020SwedenCohort7Henry Buchwald1998USARetrost		David P. Fisher	2018	USA						7 years		0.58	0.25	1.36
 4 Michael D 2021 USA 5 Ali Aminian 2020 USA Retrost tional 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 Sweden Cohort 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retrost 		Michael D	2021	USA	Retrospective cohort	1,390,804	45 ± 9	20.8% Male	Ischemic stroke	1 year	8	0.54	0.47	0.61
 4 Michael D 2021 USA Retrospinonal 5 Ali Aminian 2020 USA Retrospinonal 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 Sweden Cohort 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retrospinonal 		Michael D	2021	USA						3 years		0.96	0.92	1
 5 Ali Aminian 2020 USA Retrositional 6 Erik Stenberg 2020 Sweden Cohort 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retrosi 		Michael D	2021	USA						5 years		0.78	0.65	0.9
6 Erik Stenberg 2020 Sweden Cohort 7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retros		Ali Aminian	2020	NSA	Retrospective observa- tional	7201	53.1 (44, 60.8)	32.2% Male	Cerebrovascular events (ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, or carotid interven- tion/surgery)	4.9 years	Г	0.73	0.49	1.08
7 Henry Buchwald 1998 USA Retrosp		Erik Stenberg	2020	Sweden	Cohort	11,863	52.1 ±7.46	34.2% Male	Subarachnoid hemor- rhage, intracer- ebral hemorrhage, ischemic stroke, or acute cerebral event not specified as hem- orrhage or ischemia registered in the NPR for in-hospital or outpatient care	4 years	×	0.81	0.63	1.01
		Henry Buchwald	1998	USA	Retrospective	838	51	90.7% Male	Cerebrovascular events (cerebrovas- cular accidents and transient ischemic attacks)	5 years	7	1.3	0.91	1.85

Table 1 (continued)												
Number	Author	Year	Country	Design	Number	Age	Male percent	Diagnostic criteria of cerebrovascular time	Follow-up	O SON	JR (Ci 1	Ci 2
×	Osama Moussa	2021	UK	Cohort	8424	50	20.1% Male	Cerebrovascular events: composite of acute ischemic stroke, transient ischemic event, non- traumatic subarach- noid hemorrhage, and non-traumatic intracranial hemor- rhage	11.4 years	8	.352 (201.0	0.637
6	Osama Moussa	2020	UK	Cohort	3701	36 (29-44)	20.2% Male	Ischemic stroke	11.2 years	7 0	.536 ().164	1.748
10	Thomas R	2017	USA	Retrospective	45,462	44.6 (11.3)	23.8% Male	Cerebrovascular accident/transient ischemic attack	NA	8	.03 (.01	0.25
=	Shao-Lun Hung	2020	Germany	Retrospective	6265	32.39(8.63)	39.55% Male	Intracranial hemor- rhage, epidural hem- orrhage, ischemic stroke, and transient ischemic attack	3 years	٥ 0	.162 ().073	0.36
12	Hedong Han	2019	China	Retrospective	24,534	60.94 (0.10)	38.6% Male	Acute ischemic stroke	NA	8 1.	.21 (.84	1.73
13	Maddalena Ardissino	2020	UK	Cohort	1186	49.63	34.91% Male	Ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack or established cerebrovascular atherosclerosis	42.7 months	0	.0227 (0000946	5.451
14	STEFANO ROMEO	2012	Finland	Prospective	607	49 (6)	41% Male	Stroke	13.3 years	7 0.	.73 (.41	1.3
15	Lars Sjostrom	2012	Sweden	Prospective	2037		29.4% Male	Stroke	14.7 years	7 0.	.66	.49	0.9
16	Christian Herder	2013	Finland	Prospective	3299	47.2(6)	30.9% Male	Stroke	10-13 years	7 0.) 866.	.921	1.083
17	Wenjing Tao	2014	UK	Cohort	22,487	NA	25% Male	Cerebrovascular dis- ease (cerebral infarc- tion or bleeding)	1 years	0	.48 (.07	3.47

Fig. 2 Forest plot comparing the odds of cerebrovascular risk between bariatric surgery and nonbariatric surgery patients

. Study ID	ES (95% CI)	% Weight
Jiewen Jin 2020	0.71 (0.62, 0.81)	7.81
Ali Aminian 2019 🔶	0.54 (0.37, 0.79)	5.40
David P.Fisher 2018	0.39 (0.21, 0.71)	3.47
David P.Fisher 2018	0.39 (0.22, 0.68)	3.78
David P.Fisher 2018	0.69 (0.38, 1.25)	3.56
David P.Fisher 2018	0.58 (0.25, 1.36)	2.24
Michael D 2021	0.54 (0.47, 0.61)	7.83
Michael D 2021	0.96 (0.92, 1.00)	8.28
Michael D 2021	0.78 (0.65, 0.90)	7.57
Ali Aminian 2020 🔶	0.73 (0.49, 1.08)	5.24
Erik Stenberg 2020 +	0.81 (0.63, 1.01)	6.88
Henry Buchwald 1998	- 1.30 (0.91, 1.85)	5.64
Osama Moussa 2021 -	0.35 (0.19, 0.64)	3.58
Osama Moussa 2020	- 0.54 (0.16, 1.75)	1.32
Thomas R 2017	0.03 (0.01, 0.25)	0.77
Shao-Lun Hung 2020	0.16 (0.07, 0.36)	2.44
Hedong Han 2019	- 1.21 (0.84, 1.73)	5.58
Maddalena Ardissino 2020 C	0.02 (0.00, 5.45)	0.07
STEFANO ROMEO 2012	0.73 (0.41, 1.30)	3.69
Lars Sjostrom 2012 +	0.66 (0.49, 0.90)	6.17
Christian Herder 2013	1.00 (0.92, 1.08)	8.13
Wenjing Tao 2014	0.48 (0.07, 3.47)	0.54
Overall (I-squared = 87.9%, p = 0.000)	0.68 (0.58, 0.78)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
9.5e-05 1	10571	

Underlying Mechanisms of Bariatric Surgery Effects on Cerebrovascular Events

To date, the effect of bariatric surgery on cerebrovascular events is still unclear. The significantly lower risk of cerebrovascular events in the bariatric surgery group was related to the following: dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes [23, 24].

Bariatric surgery has been reported to reduce the thickness of the media wall and pulse wave velocity of patients with dyslipidemia and hypertension [25]. Bariatric surgery has also been reported to attenuate inflammatory responses in patients with atherosclerosis [26, 27]. With weight reduction being an important measure to improve hypertension, bariatric surgery may also reduce the need for antihypertensive medications, reducing the risk for the development of organ damage [28].

Glycemic control is associated with the microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes, and it is reasonable to hypothesize that the improvement in glycemic control may translate to improved cerebrovascular events in these patients. Several studies have validated the benefits of bariatric surgery in long-term weight management, with peak weight loss 2 years post-surgery and stable good glycemic control for up to 20 years [29].

Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, gastric bypass, and duodenal switch can alter hormone levels, such as those of ghrelin or GLP-1

[30]. Moreover, GLP-1 has been reported to influence blood glucose levels by decreasing hepatic gluconeogenesis [31]. Ghrelin promoted the synthesis of liver glycogen [32], increased blood glucose, and inhibited insulin release [33], all of which underscore the important effect of ghrelin in modulating glucose metabolism.

Strengths and Limitations

The present study has a number of strengths in terms of the following aspects. First, this is the first study to report a meta-analysis comparing bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular events, including the largest highly representative population in the relevant area. Second, we searched and collected articles from four comprehensive electronic databases (PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane library) without any restriction date; therefore, we were able to retrieve as many relevant articles as possible from all over the world and avoid the impact of publication bias. Third, several approaches, including subgroup analysis, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias analysis, were applied to establish whether the results of the present meta-analysis are reliable. Our results remained constant among these analyses.

There are several shortcomings in this meta-analysis that warrant mentioning. First, although we performed a subgroup analysis, we did not find the source of heterogeneity. Some confounding factors did not have enough studies to conduct **Fig. 3** The odds of cerebrovascular risk between bariatric surgery and nonbariatric surgery patients stratified by country

Study ID		ES (95% CI)	% Weight
China Jiewen Jin 2020 Hedong Han 2019 Subtotal (I-squared = 86.4%, p = 0.007)	•	0.71 (0.62, 0.8 1.21 (0.84, 1.7 0.90 (0.54, 1.5	17.81 35.58 213.38
USA Ali Aminian 2019 David P.Fisher 2018 David P.Fisher 2018 David P.Fisher 2018 Michael D 2021 Michael D 2021 Michael D 2021 Ali Aminian 2020 Henry Buchwald 1998 Thomas R 2017 Subtotal (I-squared = 91.5%, p = 0.000)	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	$\begin{array}{c} 0.54 \ (0.37, 0.7' \\ 0.39 \ (0.21, 0.7 \\ 0.39 \ (0.22, 0.6) \\ 0.69 \ (0.38, 1.2 \\ 0.54 \ (0.47, 0.6 \\ 0.96 \ (0.92, 1.0) \\ 0.78 \ (0.65, 0.9 \\ 0.73 \ (0.49, 1.0) \\ 1.30 \ (0.91, 1.8 \\ 0.03 \ (0.01, 0.2 \\ 0.63 \ (0.49, 0.8 \\ 0.8$	95.40 18.47 33.78 53.56 52.24 17.83 08.28 07.57 35.24 55.64 55.64 55.64 50.77 153.78
Sweden Erik Stenberg 2020 Lars Sjostrom 2012 Subtotal (I-squared = 8.1%, p = 0.297)	•	0.81 (0.63, 1.0 0.66 (0.49, 0.9 0.75 (0.62, 0.9	16.88 06.17 1)13.06
UK Osama Moussa 2021 Osama Moussa 2020 Maddalena Ardissino 2 020 Wenjing Tao 2014 Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.692)	+ *	0.35 (0.19, 0.6 0.54 (0.16, 1.7 0.02 (0.00, 5.4 0.48 (0.07, 3.4 0.38 (0.23, 0.6	43.58 51.32 50.07 70.54 35.52
Germany Shao-Lun Hung 2020 Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)	$\overleftarrow{\diamond}$	0.16 (0.07, 0.3 0.16 (0.07, 0.3	6⊉.44 6⊉.44
Finland STEFANO ROMEO 2012 Christian Herder 2013 Subtotal (I-squared = 9.6%, p = 0.293)	•	0.73 (0.41, 1.3 1.00 (0.92, 1.0 0.98 (0.84, 1.1	03.69 38.13 4)1.82
Overall (I-squared = 87.9%, p = 0.000) NOTE: Weights are from random effects	analysis	0.68 (0.58, 0.7	8)100.00
9.5e-05	1	10571	



Fig. 4 The odds of cerebrovascular risk between bariatric surgery and nonbariatric surgery patients stratified by follow-up time (<5 years or \geq 5 years)



Fig. 5 Publication bias funnel plots and sensitivity analysis





subgroup analysis. Second, most of the included studies were cross-sectional studies and the causal relationship between bariatric surgery and cerebrovascular events could not be identified; therefore, further prospective longitudinal cohort studies are needed. Finally, variability in the diagnostic criteria of cerebrovascular events may have contributed to the high heterogeneity.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrates the benefit of bariatric surgery on the risk of cerebrovascular disease. Based on our metaanalysis, bariatric surgery was associated with a lower rate of cerebrovascular disease. The risk of cerebrovascular disease was significantly reduced in the USA, Sweden, the UK, and Germany and was not significant in China or Finland. It is advisable to monitor patients closely after bariatric surgery, especially those at a high risk of cerebrovascular disease after bariatric surgery.

Author Contribution JZ coordinated the study. ZC conceived the study, and QZ, YJ, WL, and JZ contributed to the study design, literature search, figures, statistical analysis, and data synthesis of the outcomes and drafted and edited the final paper. All authors critically revised the report. All members have confirmed and agreed to the submission of the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81670481, 91749118, 81770775, 81730022), the Planned Science and Technology Project of Hunan Province (2017RS3015), and the National Key Research and Development Program (2019YFA0801903, 2019YFA0801900).

Data Availability All data generated or analyzed during the present study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics Approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Consent to Participate Informed consent does not apply.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Li Z, et al. Meta-analysis: pharmacologic treatment of obesity. Ann Intern Med. 2005;142(7):532–46.
- Poirier P, et al. Bariatric surgery and cardiovascular risk factors: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2011;123(15):1683–701.
- 3. Moher D, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- Huedo-Medina TB, et al. Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I2 index? Psychol Methods. 2006;11(2):193-206.
- Egger M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315(7109):629–34.
- 6. Jin J, et al. Prior bariatric surgery and perioperative cardiovascular outcomes following noncardiac surgery in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: hint from National Inpatient Sample Database. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2020;19(1):103.
- Aminian A, et al. Bariatric surgery is associated with a lower rate of death after myocardial infarction and stroke: a nationwide study. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2019;21(9):2058–67.
- 8. Fisher DP, et al. Association between bariatric surgery and macrovascular disease outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and severe obesity. JAMA. 2018;320(15):1570–82.
- 9. Williams, MD, et al. The effect of bariatric surgery on ischemic stroke risk. Surg Obes Relat Dis, 2021
- Aminian A, et al. How much weight loss is required for cardiovascular benefits? Insights from a metabolic surgery matchedcohort study. Ann Surg. 2020;272(4):639–45.
- Stenberg E, et al. Association between metabolic surgery and cardiovascular outcome in patients with hypertension: a nationwide matched cohort study. PLoS Med. 2020;17(9):e1003307.
- Buchwald H, et al. Effective lipid modification by partial ileal bypass reduced long-term coronary heart disease mortality and morbidity: five-year posttrial follow-up report from the POSCH

Program on the Surgical Control of the Hyperlipidemias. Arch Intern Med. 1998;158(11):1253–61.

- Moussa O, et al. Long-term cerebrovascular outcomes after bariatric surgery: a nationwide cohort study. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2021;203:106560.
- Moussa O, et al. Effect of bariatric surgery on long-term cardiovascular outcomes: a nationwide nested cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(28):2660–7.
- McCarty TR, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a nationwide inpatient sample analysis, 2004–2012. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2018;14(1):74–80.
- 16. Hung SL, et al. The long-term risk of cardiovascular events in patients following bariatric surgery compared to a nonsurgical population with obesity and the general population: a comprehensive national cohort study. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2021;406(1):189–96.
- 17. Han H, et al. Benefits of bariatric surgery in patients with acute ischemic stroke-a national population-based study. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2019;15(11):1934–42.
- Ardissino M, et al. Atherosclerotic disease burden after bariatric surgery in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes. 2021;13(8):640–7.
- Romeo S, et al. Cardiovascular events after bariatric surgery in obese subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2012;35(12):2613-7.
- 20. Sjöström L, et al. Bariatric surgery and long-term cardiovascular events. JAMA. 2012;307(1):56–65.
- Herder C, et al. Adiponectin and bariatric surgery: associations with diabetes and cardiovascular disease in the Swedish Obese Subjects Study. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(5):1401–9.
- Tao W, et al. Causes and risk factors for mortality within 1 year after obesity surgery in a population-based cohort study. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2015;11(2):399–405.
- Sjöström L, et al. Lifestyle, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk factors 10 years after bariatric surgery. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(26):2683–93.
- 24. Mistry P, et al. Changes in glycaemic control, blood pressure and lipids 5 years following laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding combined with medical care in patients with type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal analysis. Clin Obes. 2018;8(3):151–8.
- Leeman M, et al. Structural and functional vascular improvement 1 year after bariatric surgery: a prospective cohort study. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2019;15(10):1773–9.
- Tschoner A, et al. Long-term effects of weight loss after bariatric surgery on functional and structural markers of atherosclerosis. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013;21(10):1960–5.
- 27. Hagman DK, et al. The short-term and long-term effects of bariatric/metabolic surgery on subcutaneous adipose tissue inflammation in humans. Metabolism. 2017;70:12–22.
- Neter JE, et al. Influence of weight reduction on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Hypertension. 2003;42(5):878–84.
- 29. Douglas IJ, et al. Bariatric surgery in the United Kingdom: a cohort study of weight loss and clinical outcomes in routine clinical care. PLoS Med. 2015;12(12):e1001925.
- Ionut V, et al. Gastrointestinal hormones and bariatric surgery-induced weight loss. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013;21(6):1093-103.
- Campbell JE, Drucker DJ. Pharmacology, physiology, and mechanisms of incretin hormone action. Cell Metab. 2013;17(6):819–37.
- 32. Heijboer AC, et al. Ghrelin differentially affects hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity in mice. Diabetologia. 2006;49(4):732-8.

3532

 Dezaki K, Sone H, Yada T. Ghrelin is a physiological regulator of insulin release in pancreatic islets and glucose homeostasis. Pharmacol Ther. 2008;118(2):239–49. **Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Zixin Cai¹ · Qirui Zhang^{2,3} · Yingling Jiang⁴ · Wei Liu^{2,3} · Jingjing Zhang¹

Qirui Zhang Doctorzhangjj@csu.edu.cn

- ¹ National Clinical Research Center for Metabolic Diseases, Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, Key Laboratory of Diabetes Immunology, Ministry of Education, Department of Metabolism and Endocrinology, The Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha 410011, Hunan, China
- ² Department of General Surgery, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410011, Hunan, China

- ³ Department of Biliopancreatic and Metabolic Surgery, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410011, Hunan, China
- ⁴ Department of Metabolism and Endocrinology, Zhuzhou Central Hospital/Zhuzhou Hospital Affiliated to Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Zhuzhou 412007, Hunan, China