CORRECTION

Correction to: Comparative Effectiveness of Bariatric Surgeries in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²: a Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis

Maria Neves Carmona¹ · Hugo Santos-Sousa^{1,2} · Luís Lindeza¹ · Bernardo Sousa-Pinto^{3,4} · Jorge Nogueiro^{1,5} · André Pereira^{1,5} · Silvestre Carneiro^{1,5} · André Costa-Pinho^{1,2} · Eduardo Lima-da-Costa² · John Preto² · CRI-O group

Received: 5 November 2021 / Revised: 10 November 2021 / Accepted: 29 November 2021 / Published online: 2 December 2021
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Obesity Surgery

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-021-05725-y>

Some of the formatting mistakes identified during the proofing phase were not corrected which impairs comprehension of the information provided in the tables of the main article.

Regarding Table 1, the last ten columns of the table were moved to the beginning of the table in the published version. These columns should be in the end (right side) of the table. The correct version of Table 1 is provided here.

Table 2 included in the published version of the article is not from the correct version (the “clean” version) of the manuscript; it is from the annotated version, with all the changes made during the revision phase written in red, instead of black. The correct version is displayed here.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-021-05725-y>

✉ Hugo Santos-Sousa
h.santos.sousa@gmail.com

- ¹ Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Alameda Prof., Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal
- ² Obesity Integrated Responsibility Unit (CRI-O), São João University Medical Center, Alameda Prof. Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal
- ³ MEDCIDS - Department of Community Medicine, Information and Health Decision Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Rua Dr. Plácido da Costa, 4200-450 Porto, Portugal
- ⁴ CINTESIS - Center for Health Technologies and Services Research, University of Porto, Rua Dr. Plácido da Costa, 4200-450 Porto, Portugal
- ⁵ Surgery Department, São João University Medical Center, Alameda Prof. Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal

Table 1 Characteristics of the included studies

Study	Country	Follow-up (years)	Intervention	Alimentary LL (cm)	Bilio-pancreatic LL (cm)	Com-mon	Patients, No.	Patients, No.	Age (years)	BMI (kg/m ²)	Patients with T2DM, No.	Duration of T2DM (years)	Using insulin, No., (%)	HbA _{1c} (%)	TC (mg/dL)	LDL (mg/dL)	HDL (mg/dL)	TG (mg/dL)	SBP (mmHg)	DBP (mmHg)
Courcou-las2020	USA	5	LRYGB	-	-	-	20	16 (80.0)	45.4	35.7	20	7.6	10 (50.0)	8.6	200.2	124.3	41.8	115.5 ^a	139.7	81.3
			LAGB	-	-	-	21	17 (81.0)	47.7	35.6	21	6.1	3 (14.3)	7.9	189.5	107.4	40.0	139 ^a	134.5	77.1
			MT	-	-	-	20	17 (85.0)	48.9	35.7	20	5.7	3 (15.0)	7.0	182.0	105.5	44.1	119.5 ^a	132.0	76.3
Ikramud-din2018	USA, Taiwan	5	LRYGB	150	100	-	60	37 (65)	49	34.9	60	8.8	35 (61)	9.6	181	102	41	200	127	78
			MT	-	-	-	60	31 (55)	48	34.4	60	9.1	24 (43)	9.6	186	102	41	211	132	79
Lee2014	Taiwan	5	LOAGB	-	120	-	30	22 (73.3)	44.6	30.2	30	5.8	4 (3.3)	10.0	200.9	137.7	47.9	195.2	130.3	76.4
			LSG	-	-	-	30	22 (68.8)	46.4	31	30	6.9	7 (23.3)	9.9	230.6	142.9	42.8	262.2	128.7	80.1
Level2020	Venezuela	5	LOAGB	-	200	-	9	9 (100)	37.5	42.9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			LRYGB	150	100	-	24	24 (100)	36.8	42.6	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Min-grone2015	Italy	5	BPD	200	-	50	20	10 (50)	42.75	45.14	20	6.00	- (47)	8.88	5.54 ^b	3.41 ^b	0.99 ^b	2.49 ^b	154.50	95.90
			LRYGB	100	75	-	20	12 (60)	43.90	44.85	20	6.03	- (48)	8.56	4.71 ^b	2.83 ^b	1.13 ^b	1.66 ^b	145.75	91.50
			MT	-	-	-	20	10 (50)	43.45	45.62	20	6.08	- (47)	8.51	6.12 ^b	3.99 ^b	0.99 ^b	2.49 ^b	155.20	96.00
Peterli2018	Switzerland	5	LRYGB	150	50	-	113	79 (71.8)	42.1	44.2	28	-	6 (21.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			LSG	-	-	-	112	77 (72.0)	43.0	43.66	26	-	6 (23.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peterli2018	Norway, Sweden	5	LRYGB	150	50	-	31	23 (74)	35.2	54.8	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			LDS	200	-	100	30	19 (66)	36.1	55.2	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salminen2018	Finland	5	LRYGB	150	50-80	-	119	80 (67.2)	48.4	46.4	49	-	13 (26.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			LSG	-	-	-	121	87 (71.9)	48.5	45.5	52	-	16 (30.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schauer2017	USA	5	LRYGB	150	50	-	50	29 (58)	48.3	37.0	50	8.2	22 (44.9)	9.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
			LSG	-	-	-	50	39 (78)	47.9	36.2	50	8.5	20 (42.6)	9.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
			MT	-	-	-	50	31 (62)	49.7	36.8	50	8.9	17 (44.7)	8.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schouten2010	Netherlands	7	LAGB	-	-	-	50	40 (80)	39	47	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			VBG	-	-	-	50	40 (80)	37	47	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wentworth2017	Australia	5	LAGB	-	-	-	25	19 (76)	53	29	25	2.17	4 (16)	6.9	5.0 ^{b,c}	3.0 ^{b,c}	1.20 ^{b,c}	1.7 ^{b,c}	130	83
			MT	-	-	-	26	17 (65)	53	29	26	2.75	1 (4)	7.2	5.1 ^{b,c}	2.9 ^{b,c}	1.21 ^{b,c}	2.2 ^{b,c}	131	84

Data regarding mean baseline total cholesterol (TC), mean low-density lipoprotein (LDL), mean high-density lipoprotein (HDL), mean triglycerides (TG), mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) and mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were only extracted for the studies that only included patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). ^aValues correspond to the median, not mean (contrary to the rest of values presented). ^bPresented in mmol/L. ^cData related to the patients with 5-year follow-up data. No data was available pertaining the initial group of patients. *BPD*, biliopancreatic diversion without duodenal switch; *LAGB*, laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding; *LOAGB*, laparoscopic one-anastomosis gastric bypass; *LRYGB*, laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; *LDS*, laparoscopic duodenal switch; *LSG*, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy; *MT*, medical treatment; *VBG*, vertical banded gastroplasty

Table 2 Net-league table presenting network meta-analyses estimates (grey boxes) and pairwise meta-analyses estimates (white boxes) of risk ratio (RR) of full remission of type 2 diabetes mellitus at 5 years of different treatments

VBG	--	--	--	--	0.09 [0.01, 1.27]	--	--
0.53 [0.04, 6.53]	LOAGB	--	1.00 [0.39, 2.58]	0.50 [0.27, 0.93]	--	--	--
0.44 [0.04, 5.19]	0.83 [0.40, 1.71]	LDS	0.81 [0.49, 1.34]	--	--	--	--
0.36 [0.03, 4.12]	0.68 [0.37, 1.25]	0.82 [0.55, 1.21]	LRYGB	0.83 [0.60, 1.14]	0.32 [0.01, 7.38]	--	0.16 [0.05, 0.53]
0.29 [0.03, 3.38]	0.55 [0.31, 0.96]	0.66 [0.40, 1.10]	0.81 [0.59, 1.11]	LSG	--	--	0.22 [0.05, 0.95]
0.18 [0.03, 1.07]	0.34 [0.06, 2.02]	0.42 [0.07, 2.32]	0.51 [0.09, 2.71]	0.63 [0.12, 3.40]	LAGB	--	0.38 [0.08, 1.77]
0.15 [0.00, 8.38]	0.27 [0.01, 8.60]	0.33 [0.01, 10.12]	0.41 [0.01, 12.09]	0.50 [0.02, 15.07]	0.80 [0.02, 30.62]	BPD	--
0.08 [0.01, 0.72]	0.14 [0.04, 0.49]	0.17 [0.05, 0.55]	0.21 [0.07, 0.63]	0.26 [0.08, 0.79]	0.42 [0.10, 1.66]	0.52 [0.02, 15.40]	MT

Comparisons between treatments should be read from right to left, and their RR is in the cell in common between the column-defining treatment and the row-defining treatment. RR less than 1 favour the column-defining treatment for the network estimates and the row-defining treatment for the direct estimate. The significant results in the network meta-analysis are presented in bold. *BPD*, biliopancreatic diversion without duodenal switch; *LAGB*, laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding; *LOAGB*, laparoscopic one-anastomosis gastric bypass; *LRYGB*, laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; *LDS*, laparoscopic duodenal switch; *LSG*, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy; *MT*, medical treatment; *VBG*, vertical banded gastroplasty