CORRECTION

Correction to: Effects of chemical sterilization of the culture media, porous membranes and luminosity on in vitro culture of *Eucalyptus grandis* × *Eucalyptus urophylla*

Letícia Vaz Molinari¹ · Denys Matheus Santana Costa Souza¹ · Maria Lopes Martins Avelar¹ · Sérgio Bruno Fernandes¹ · Douglas Santos Gonçalves¹ · Júlio César Tannure Faria¹ · Dulcineia de Carvalho¹ · Gilvano Ebling Brondani¹

Published online: 30 November 2020
© Northeast Forestry University 2020

Correction to: J. For. Res.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-020-01240-5>

In the original publication of the article, there were errors in the fig 3 and fig 6. The corrected Figs. 3 and 6 are given below:

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-020-01240-5>.

✉ Letícia Vaz Molinari
leticia_vaz03@hotmail.com

✉ Gilvano Ebling Brondani
gilvano.brondani@ufla.br

¹ Department of Forestry Sciences, Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Fig. 3 In vitro multiplication features recorded for different active-chlorine concentrations (0.000%, 0.001%, 0.003%, and 0.005%) and *E. grandis* Hill (ex. Maiden) × *E. urophylla* S.T. Blake clones (C1 and C2). **a** and **b**: Shoot chlorosis, **c** and **d**: Shoot oxidation, **e** and **f**: Shoot length, **g**: Number of shoots per explant. Means followed by the same letter did not differ in Tukey's test at 5% significance level

Fig. 6 In vitro adventitious rooting features observed as function of different light sources (dark and light) and sealing forms (W/M, 1/M and 3/M). **a**: Root length, **b**: Number of roots per explant, **c** and **d**: Root diameter, **e**: Rooting rate; **a**, **b** and **e**: lowercase letters represent statistical differences among different light sources in the same treatment (sealing forms). Means followed by the same letter did not differ in the Tukey's test at 5% significance level