J Fail. Anal. and Preven. (2023) 23:2295–2297
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11668-023-01783-9

EDITORIAL

Cracks in the Facade: Unmasking the Hidden Threats of Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (RAAC)—A Tale of Failure, Consequences, and Redemption

Daniel Thomas

Submitted: 2 September 2023 / Accepted: 25 September 2023 / Published online: 8 October 2023

Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (RAAC) is a remarkable building material that emerged in the mid-20th century, offering a lightweight alternative to traditional concrete. Its unique properties and construction methods have made it a popular choice for builders during the period from the 1950s to the mid-1990s. RAAC, at its core, consists of a carefully balanced mixture of cement, lime, water, and a crucial ingredient known as the aeration agent. This mixture undergoes a rigorous process of high-pressure curing in an autoclave, resulting in a porous, lightweight concrete with a multitude of applications in the construction industry. What distinguishes RAAC from conventional concrete is its lower density, primarily due to the incorporation of the aeration agent. This unique ingredient forms countless tiny air pockets within the concrete, granting it an exceptional degree of thermal and sound insulation. These qualities have made RAAC particularly desirable for applications where insulation and energy efficiency are key priorities. However, the coin of uniqueness comes with a flip side. While RAAC offers distinct advantages, it is less robust in terms of strength when compared to traditional concrete. This inherent weakness arises from the presence of these air voids, which compromise the material's structural integrity to some extent. As a result, RAAC can be more susceptible to damage over time, especially in adverse environmental conditions or when subjected to excessive loads [1].

The reduced strength of RAAC has led to instances of failure and structural concerns in the buildings constructed with this material [2]. The vulnerabilities associated with RAAC are a critical concern that necessitates a comprehensive understanding of its properties and behavior. Consequently, this article delves deeper into the potential failure modes of RAAC, examining factors such as water ingress, freeze-thaw damage, corrosion of the aeration agent, corrosion of steel reinforcement, overloading, and structural defects. In the world of construction, knowledge is power, and understanding the potential failure modes of Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (RAAC) is paramount to ensuring the structural integrity and safety of buildings constructed with this material. Let us delve into the intricate web of factors that can lead to RAAC failures:

Water ingress stands as the most pervasive nemesis of RAAC structures. This stealthy adversary often enters the concrete through cracks, gaps, or defects in the material's surface. Once inside, water poses a dual threat. It can corrode the steel reinforcement, weakening the concrete's structural integrity, while also undermining the aeration agent's effectiveness. Freeze-thaw damage is a formidable foe for RAAC, particularly in regions with cold climates. When water infiltrates the concrete and subsequently freezes, it expands, exerting immense pressure on the surrounding material. Over time, this cyclic process can lead to micro-cracking and deterioration, compromising the overall strength and durability of RAAC structures. The aeration agent, a critical component of RAAC, is not immune to the relentless march of time and environmental factors. Corrosion of this agent can result from exposure to moisture, chemicals, or aggressive environmental conditions. As the aeration agent degrades, it diminishes the concrete's insulation and lightweight properties, effectively eroding the material's unique advantages. Every material has its limits, and RAAC is no exception. Overloading, or subjecting RAAC structures to excessive weight or stress,

D. Thomas (✉)
Creation Engineering, Bridgend, UK
e-mail: daniel.thomas@creationengineering.co.uk

can lead to catastrophic failures. The material has a specified load-bearing capacity, and surpassing this threshold can result in deformation, cracking, or even structural collapse. Sometimes, the Achilles' heel of RAAC structures lies in their inception. Poor design or construction practices can introduce structural defects that compromise the integrity of the building. From inadequate support systems to improper curing, these defects can create weak points that are susceptible to failure, ultimately jeopardizing the safety of

In the intricate world of construction, the story of failure often intertwines with human decisions and environmental challenges. When it comes to Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (RAAC), understanding the factors that contribute to its vulnerabilities is essential for ensuring the longevity and safety of structures.

RAAC structures are only as strong as their design. Poor design choices, such as inadequate support systems or miscalculations in load-bearing capacity, can place undue stress on the material. For instance, a roof that is not properly supported can lead to structural instability, causing cracks and potential collapses. Therefore, meticulous attention to design details is paramount in RAAC construction. Even the most well-conceived design can falter if not executed correctly during construction. Poor construction practices can introduce defects and weaknesses into the RAAC structure. One common pitfall is improper curing of the concrete, which can result in incomplete hydration and reduced strength. Therefore, adhering to precise construction protocols and ensuring the quality of workmanship are essential for preventing RAAC failures.

Neglecting maintenance is akin to leaving the door open for disaster. Over time, RAAC structures can develop cracks or surface defects, creating pathways for water and contaminants to infiltrate. The lack of regular inspections and necessary repairs can exacerbate these issues, ultimately leading to corrosion, weakening, and deterioration. Vigilant maintenance practices are crucial to preventing these insidious failures. RAAC, like any other material, can succumb to the relentless forces of nature when exposed to harsh environments. High humidity, salt air, and other aggressive conditions can accelerate the corrosion of steel reinforcements, compromise the aeration agent, and expedite the deterioration of the concrete. In such conditions, RAAC structures are especially vulnerable and require protective measures to withstand the elements.

RAAC failures are not mere inconveniences; they have the potential to unleash devastating consequences. Lives and well-being are at stake when structural failures occur. The fragility of RAAC, when compromised, can lead to injuries, destruction, or even tragic loss of life. The weight of these consequences must serve as a constant reminder of the importance of vigilance in the realm of construction. To mitigate the risks associated with RAAC failures, proactive measures are paramount. First and foremost, it is crucial to identify structures that employ RAAC, as they present unique vulnerabilities that demand specialized attention. Regular inspections, conducted by qualified professionals, should be instituted to monitor the condition of RAAC components. These inspections serve as early warning systems, helping to detect the first signs of deterioration or damage.

In cases where defects or vulnerabilities are identified, immediate action is imperative. Repairs should be carried out promptly, addressing the issues at their inception, before they escalate into more significant and potentially catastrophic problems. Temporary supports may also be necessary to ensure the safety of occupants and passersby, while repairs are underway.

The tale of RAAC is one of fragility. While it offers unique advantages in construction, it also demands unwavering attention to detail and a commitment to safeguarding the structures built with it. RAAC failures are not to be taken lightly; they represent a profound responsibility to prioritize safety, employ preventive strategies, and uphold the integrity of the built environment. Currently, in the UK, concerns about the safety of RAAC roofs in schools have been raised, and the UK government has taken steps to address the issue. In August 2023, the Department for Education (DfE) published new guidance that requires schools with confirmed RAAC to take several measures to mitigate the risk of collapse, including conducting regular inspections of the roof structure, installing temporary supports to strengthen the roof, and making contingency plans for evacuating the building if necessary. The DfE is also working to identify all schools and colleges with RAAC in their buildings. The department has launched a survey to help with this process, and it is expected that the results of the survey will be published in the coming months. The government's actions on RAAC are being welcomed by safety campaigners, who have long warned about the risks posed by this material. However, some critics have argued that the government is not doing enough to protect people from the risk of collapse. They have called for a more comprehensive program of inspections and repairs, and for the government to ban the use of RAAC in new buildings. The government has said that it is committed to ensuring the safety of all education settings, and that it will continue to monitor the situation and take further action as necessary. However, the problem of RAAC in British schools is a complex one, and there is no easy solution. The government's actions so far have been a step in the right direction, but more needs to be done to ensure the safety of all pupils and staff.

References

1. Z.O. Pehlivanlı, İ Uzun, İ Demir, Mechanical and microstructural features of autoclaved aerated concrete reinforced with autoclaved polypropylene, carbon, basalt and glass fiber. Constr. Build. Mater. **96**, 428–433 (2015)
2. R. Jasiński, Ł Drobiec, Study of autoclaved aerated concrete masonry walls with horizontal reinforcement under compression and shear. Proc. Eng. **161**, 918–924 (2016)