

Correction to: Comparison of Single-Phase and Two-Phase Composite Thermal Barrier Coatings with Equal Total Rare-Earth Content

Amarendra K. Rai¹ · Michael P. Schmitt² · Mitchell R. Dorfman³ · Dongming Zhu⁴ · Douglas E. Wolfe^{5,6}

Published online: 3 August 2018
© ASM International 2018

Correction to: J Therm Spray Tech (2018) 27:556
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11666-018-0713-3>

Addendum is for Figure 8 caption.

Current caption: Thermal cycling results for samples tested at 1150 °C for 60 min, followed by 10-min fan cooling. Results indicate that the single-phase sample has

the best thermal cyclic life, while the spray-dried coating exhibits a lower lifetime.

Correct caption: Thermal cycling results for samples tested at 1150 °C for 50 min, followed by 10-min fan cooling. Results indicate that the single-phase spray-dried sample has the best thermal cyclic life, while the two-phase core-clad coating exhibits a lower lifetime.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11666-018-0713-3>.

✉ Michael P. Schmitt
m.schmitt@hamrindustries.com

¹ UES, Inc., 4401 Dayton-Xenia Road, Dayton, OH 45432, USA

² HAMR Industries LLC, 1315 W College Avenue, State College, PA 16801, USA

³ Oerlikon Metco, Danbury, NY, USA

⁴ NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, OH 44135, USA

⁵ Materials Science and Engineering Department and the Applied Research Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

⁶ Engineering Science and Mechanics Department, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA