PLANT TISSUE CULTURE





Organogenesis *versus* somatic embryogenesis pathway efficiencies in *in vitro* propagation of white and water yams

Chukwunalu O. Ossai^{1,2} · Morufat O. Balogun^{1,2} · Norbert G. Maroya²

Received: 26 July 2023 / Accepted: 1 November 2023 / Editor: Jianxin Chen $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}}$ The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The primary goal of this study was to compare the multiplication rates of yam varieties propagated through organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis (SE). Callus was induced from axillary bud explants of three genotypes of *Dioscorea rotundata* (Asiedu, Ekiti2a, and Kpamyo) and two genotypes of *Dioscorea alata* (Swaswa and TDa2014) cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 9.1 μ M 2,4-dichlorophenoxylacetic acid and 5.4 μ M naphthaleneacetic acid. Plantlets were regenerated in MS containing 4.4 μ M benzylaminopurine and 34 μ M uniconazole-P through SE. Single-node cuttings of the five genotypes were grown in MS for 8 wk *via* organogenesis. The SE and organogenesis regenerants were acclimatized and potted in a 2 (propagation techniques (PTs))×5 (genotypes) factorial arranged in a completely randomized design (r=10). The multiplication ratios (MR), number of tubers (NoT) of the SE, and organogenesis regenerants were collected and analyzed using ANOVA, and means were separated using DMRT ($P \le 0.05$). The SE and organogenesis MR ranged from 1:2 (TDa2014) to 1:8 (Asiedu) and 1:4 (Asiedu) to 1:5 (Ekiti2a and TDa2014), respectively. The NoT differed among genotypes, ranging from 1.15 ± 0.49 (Swaswa) to 2.45 ± 1.39 (Asiedu), and between PTs, ranging from 1.42 ± 0.70 (SE) to 1.86 ± 1.11 (organogenesis). The optimum propagation pathway was genotype-specific.

Keywords Yam seed system · Yam species · Organogenesis · Somatic embryogenesis · Yam Plantlet

Introduction

Yam (*Dioscorea* sp.) is a multi-species tuber crop cultivated in different parts of the world (Adeigbe *et al.* 2015). Over 93% of yam production worldwide occurs in the yam belt region of West Africa with Nigeria alone producing about 70% of the world's total (FAO 2018). In 2012, yam produced in Nigeria was valued at \$7.75 billion, and it was cultivated from 2.9 million hectares of land. This contributed over 12% of total gross domestic products in Nigeria with an estimated profit of over US \$13,000 per hectare harvested (IITA 2013). However, despite Nigeria being the leading producer of yam, the country is not among the top ten exporters of yam, and its availability is still below the consumer demand, implying that bulk of the yam produced in Nigeria were consumed within the country. This is due to predominantly informal (farmer-saved seeds) seed system characterized by low propagation ratio, scarcity of clean seed yam, and uncontrolled sprouting after dormancy break, which causes postharvest losses (Balogun *et al.* 2018).

Different propagation methods have been adopted over the years to address the problem of low propagation ratio of yam, each with its pros and cons. In the traditional system of yam cultivation, tubers weighing up to 200 g are used for planting, and in some cases, tuber sizes above 200 g are cut into smaller sizes (minisetting) for planting (Balogun 2009; Otoo *et al.* 2016). In a well-developed formal seed system, minitubers should be specifically reserved for planting while bigger tubers (ware yam) serve for consumption (Aighewi *et al.* 2021). Also in the traditional system, a milking method of harvest is done (Okoli *et al.* 1982), which allows the harvesting of whole tuber at 66% growing period and seed yam at full senescence, thus doubling the propagation ratio to 1:2.

Other propagation methods developed include the partial sectioning technique (Nwosu 1975), the minisett technique (Okoli *et al.* 1982; Aighewi *et al.* 2014), and the vine rooting technique (Acha *et al.* 2004). Despite the progress reported in



Chukwunalu O. Ossai c.ossai@cgiar.org

¹ Department of Crop Protection and Environmental Biology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

² International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria

the above propagation methods over the years, the propagation ratio of yam cannot be compared to the 1:300 obtained in cereals (Mbanaso *et al.* 2011). Tissue culture is one such method with rapid means of propagating yam *in vitro*, having the ability to clean yam from pathogens. There are several reports of its use in the propagation of different yam species using different plant parts (Shu *et al.* 2005). The most common method in yam propagation *in vitro* has been through the regeneration of plantlets from organs with pre-existing meristems (organogenesis) (Balogun and Gueye 2013).

Recent reports on protocols for inducing somatic embryos from organs without pre-existing meristems and subsequently regenerating plantlets from them, a system known as somatic embryogenesis (SE), have offered a new look at the yam propagation ratio (Dodeman et al. 1997; Ossai et al. 2018). This system is regarded as the peak expression of cell totipotency (Gutiérrez-Mora et al. 2012) in plants, and SE has been applied in over 100 plant species (Merkle 1997). Unlike organogenesis, the success in producing transgenic plants is limited to SE that has an intervening callus phase (Fehér 2008). However, both SE and organogenesis can be induced in same tissue culture conditions (Castillo et al. 2000) which makes differentiating SE from organogenesis complicated and in most cases requires histological analysis of the processes that suggest embryo-like origin in SE (Gaj 2004). In yam, reports on the applicability of SE have been reported in Dioscorea floribunda (mule's hoof), Dioscorea composite (barbasco), Dioscorea alata (water yam), Dioscorea bulbifera (aerial yam), Dioscorea rotundata (white yam), and D. zingiberensis (Chinese yam) (Shu et al. 2005). Despite the success reported, the SE system is limited to improved varieties and genotype-specific (Suarez et al. 2011; Manoharan et al. 2016; Balogun et al. 2017). Hence, there is a need to evaluate it in more genotypes including landraces for wide applicability (Landi and Mezzetti 2005). In addition, there is no report on the post-flask performance of yams regenerated through SE in comparison with the more optimized in vitro propagation method (organogenesis). This study, therefore, reported an evaluation of multiplication rates of improved white and water yam genotypes a Nigerian white yam landrace to organogenesis and SE, and the post-flask performances of the regenerants towards effective out-scaling of the production of yam plantlets in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Study location/source of planting material The experiment was carried out at the Tissue Culture Laboratory, Cell Biology Unit of the Bioscience Center of IITA under the Yam Improvement for Income and Food Security in West Africa Phase II (YIIFSWA-II) project. Virus-free plantlets



of three genotypes of *Dioscorea rotundata* Poir. (Asiedu, Ekiti2a, and Kpamyo) and two genotypes of *Dioscorea alata* L. (Swaswa and TDa2014) were maintained *in vitro* in yam multiplication medium (YMM). The YMM contained Murashige and Skoog (MS; Murashige 1974), 100.0 mg L⁻¹ myo-inositol, 30.0 g L⁻¹ sugar, 1.0 mg L⁻¹ kinetin, 20.0 mg L⁻¹ L-cysteine, 7.0 g L⁻¹ agar, and 1.0 g L⁻¹ activated charcoal (AC) at a pH of 5.7 ± 0.01 (Balogun *et al.* 2017) which were sourced from the YIIFSWA Project of IITA. All the reagents and plant growth regulators (PGRs) used in this study were sourced from Bristol Scientific, a Sigma-Aldrich distributor in Lagos, Nigeria.

Plantlet propagation through organogenesis Five single-node cuttings of above *Dioscorea rotundata* (Asiedu, Ekiti2a, and Kpamyo) and *Dioscorea alata* (Swaswa and TDa2014) genotypes were subcultured into plastic vented vessels containing 70 mL of YMM. The cultures were arranged in a completely randomized design (CRD) and replicated three times in a chamber conditioned at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and 16-h photoperiod (4000 lx) for eight (8) wk. At the 8th wk of culture, the number of nodes per plantlet was recorded.

Plantlet propagation through somatic embryogenesis-callus induction Axillary bud explants (0.1 to 0.5 cm), excised from 2-wk-old plantlets (young plant) of Kpamyo, Asiedu, Ekiti2a, Swaswa, and TDa2014 (4 explants per Petri plate), were cultured into YMM medium supplemented with 9.1 μ M 2,4-dichlorophenoxylacetic acid (2,4-D) and 5.4 μ M naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) under laminar flow hood. The cultures were incubated in a dark condition for 4 wk for callus induction.

Somatic embryo formation and maturation The induced calluses were transferred to a PGR-free YMM for embryo formation. The cultures were incubated at 16-h photoperiod (4000 lx) and $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 3 wk. At 2 wk of callus transfer to PGR-free YMM, the embryogenic development stage (either globular, heart shaped, torpedo, and cotyledonal) was confirmed by viewing the calluses with a stereo photomicroscope (Koolertron) equipped with a digital 5-inch LCD 1080p at 40 × at the Virology Laboratory Unit of IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Plantlet regeneration The somatic embryos (at different developmental stages) at 3 wk of callus transfer to PGR-free YMM were thereafter transferred into Petri plates (16.7 mL per plate) containing plantlet regeneration medium (PRM) consisting of YMM supplemented with 4.4 μ M of BAP and 34 μ M uniconazole-P. The medium was autoclaved at 121°C and 15 Psi for 15 min. The cultures were kept at 16-h photoperiod (4000 lx) at 25 ± 1°C.

Histological studies of somatic embryogenesis stages This was carried out at the Department of Botany, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Callus tissues were sampled at 4, 6, and 8 wk of culturing (WOC) and fixed in formaldehyde:acetic acid:alcohol mixed by volume at a ratio of 5:5:90 mL and kept at 10°C for an interval of 48 h before dehydration in 70% ethanol. After dehydration, they were embedded in pawpaw tissues (sourced from the Anatomy Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria) and sectioned longitudinally using a rotary microtome (Leica RM 2155-UK), stained with 0.05% toluidine blue (sourced from Bristol Scientific, Lagos, Nigeria) for 4 min, and mounted on cytological glass slides (Manoharan *et al.* 2016). Images were obtained with the aid of an Olympus light microscope ($40 \times$).

Post-flask evaluation of plantlets produced through somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis The in vitro produced plantlets were acclimatized following the protocol developed by Balogun et al. (2017). Ten (10) plantlets each of Asiedu, Ekiti2a, Kpamyo, Swaswa, and TDa2014 produced through somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis, respectively, were hardened. The roots of the plantlets were rinsed in sterile distilled water before planting them in a rectangular bowl containing moistened coco peat (500 g). The top of the bowl was covered with a laser perforated nylon sheet (http://www. vivi.nu) that controls aeration. The plants were kept in the screen house at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C with an average daily light intensity of 3916.6, 7410, and 980 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 10:00 am, 2:00 pm, and 6:00 pm, respectively. The laser perforated nylon sheet was uncovered after 2 wk of acclimatization, and the acclimatized plantlets were transferred to plastic pots filled with 4 kg sterilized fertigated topsoil with perforations on the base for water drain-off to prevent water logging. A pot contained one plant per genotype. The plants were watered every 4 d while they were fertigated with nutrient solution (0.04 g L^{-1} ammonium nitrate, 0.23 g L⁻¹ potassium nitrate, 0.24 g L⁻¹ calcium nitrate, 0.06 g L⁻¹ magnesium sulfate, 0.28 g L⁻¹ potassium sulfate, 0.20 g L^{-1} potassium phosphate, 0.06 g L^{-1} triple super phosphate, and 0.01 g L^{-1} Terratiga chelate) (Maroya *et* al. 2017) every 4 d until harvest (7 mo after planting).

Experimental design, data collection, and statistical analysis For the SE regeneration, the experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design (CRD) and replicated 3 times. Each replicate comprised of three Petri plates, each with 5 explants per Petri plate per genotype. The following data were collected per explant per genotype: (1) percentage of explant forming callus, (2) number of days to callus formation, (3) number of days from explant incubation to plantlet regeneration per explant, (4) number of plantlets regenerated per explant, and (5) number of roots formed. Data collected were analyzed using ANOVA (SAS 9.0 version), and the genotypic means were separated using the Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) at $P \le 0.05$. For the calculation of propagation rate of both organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis regeneration pathways, the minimum number of nodes, maximum number of nodes, average number of nodes, and days from culture to subculture were collected to compare the multiplication efficiency within a 16-wk period. For the post-flask study, the experiment was a 5 (genotypes) × 2 (propagation techniques: organogenesis and SE) factorial in a CRD replicated 10 times. Data were collected on the number of new leaves formed after hardening, number of nodes after hardening, plant height (cm) after hardening, number of nodes at 2, 4, 6, and 8 wk after potting, number of tubers at harvest, and tuber weights (g) per plant at harvest (7 mo after potting). The data were analyzed using ANOVA, and differences in treatment means were separated using the DMRT at $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

Response of selected genotypes of white and water yam to somatic embryogenesis The shortest days to callus formation (15 d) were observed in Asiedu, which was significantly faster than the days taken by Swaswa by 5 d and TDa2014 by 11 d (Table 1). There were no differences between Kpamyo, Asiedu, and Ekiti2a in the percentage of callus formation with \geq 95%. This is 47% higher than Swaswa and 62% higher than TDa2014. The number of plantlets regenerated was significantly higher than the rest of the genotypes. The

Table 1. Mean values ofsomatic embryogenesis traitsin five genotypes of *Dioscorea*rotundata Poir. and *Dioscorea*alata L

Genotypes	NDTCF	% CF	NPR	NDTPR	NRF
Asiedu	$14.63 \pm 3.60c$	96.67±7.78a	4.83±3.33b	$66.48 \pm 10.47a$	3.33±1.61a
Kpamyo	$16.85 \pm 5.63 \mathrm{bc}$	$100.00 \pm 0.00a$	$4.08 \pm 2.57b$	63.29±9.16ab	$4.08 \pm 1.73a$
Ekiti2a	$16.29 \pm 4.14 \text{bc}$	$95.00 \pm 9.05a$	$7.92 \pm 3.97a$	$58.28 \pm 6.88b$	$3.83 \pm 1.75a$
Swaswa	$20.75 \pm 3.95 \mathrm{b}$	$47.92 \pm 25.00b$	$1.67 \pm 1.35c$	68.89±12.31a	$1.50 \pm 1.33b$
TDa2014	$26.08 \pm 2.81a$	$33.33 \pm 12.31b$	$1.58 \pm 1.38c$	65.78 ± 5.84 ab	$1.25 \pm 0.92b$

Means with the same *letter* down the group are not significantly different from each other. *NDTCF* number of days to callus formation, % *CF* percentage callus formation at 4 wk of culturing, *NPR* number of plantlets regenerated at 12 wk of culturing, *NDTPR* number of days to plantlet regeneration, *NRF* number of roots formed at 12 wk of culturing



Table 2. Propagation ratesof five Dioscorea rotundataPoir. and Dioscorea alata L.genotypes regenerated throughsomatic embryogenesis andorganogenesis

Genotypes	Organogenesis				Somatic embryogenesis			
	MinN	MaxN	ANN	Age (wk)	MinN	MaxN	ANN	Age (wk)
Asiedu	9	15	16	16	12	27	21	16
Ekiti2a	9	49	25	16	9	24	15	16
Kpamyo	16	25	21	16	3	24	15	16
Swaswa	9	25	18	16	9	30	19	16
TDa2014	9	36	25	16	6	15	10	16
Average	10.4	30	21	16	7.8	24	16	16

MinN minimum number of nodes, MaxN maximum number of nodes, ANN average number of nodes, DTS days from culture to subculture

plantlets were regenerated in Ekiti2a within a period of 58 d and this was significantly faster than the days from culture to regeneration in Asiedu and Swaswa by 8 and 10 d, respectively. The number of roots formed by Asiedu, Kpamyo, and Ekiti2a was not significantly different from each other, but they were statistically higher than Swaswa and TDa2014.

Relative multiplication rates of yam propagated *via* **somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis** The average number of nodes obtained from the cultured explant at sixteen (16) wk of culturing *via* organogenesis ranged from 16 nodes (Asiedu) to 25 nodes (Ekiti2a and TDa2014); however, through SE, the average number of nodes ranged from 10 nodes (TDa2014) to 21 nodes (Asiedu) (Table 2). Between the organogenesis and SE propagation methods, the average number of nodes obtained in the five genotypes *via* organogenesis (21) was 24% higher than the average nodes obtained in the genotypes *via* SE (16).

Histology of callus tissues at 4, 6, and 8 wk of culturing The photomicrograph of the three stages (4, 6, and 8 WAI) of somatic embryogenesis processes of the three white yam and two water yam genotypes revealed that at 4 WAI, there was no definite tissue arrangement in all the genotypes, rather an active cellular proliferation with no definite tissue arrangement pattern. However, at 6 and 8 WAI, there was structural tissue arrangement with visible vascular bundles (Fig. 1).

Post-flask performance of somatic embryogenesis- and organogenesis-produced plantlets of white and water yam Asiedu produced the highest number of new leaves (4.00 ± 2.34) during acclimatization, which was not significantly different from Ekiti2a (3.70 ± 2.15) , Kpamyo (3.20 ± 1.94) , and Swaswa (3.20 ± 1.23) but was significantly higher than TDa2014 (2.40 ± 1.47) . The number of nodes produced by Asiedu (6.60 ± 3.69) was not significantly different from TDa2014 (6.55 ± 2.67) , but they were both significantly higher than Kpamyo (4.45 ± 2.19) . The height (10.02 ± 7.07) of Asiedu was significantly higher than the rest of the genotypes evaluated. The survival rates



of the plantlets produced through somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis were not significantly different. However, the number of new leaves (3.96 ± 1.89) , number of nodes (6.44 ± 2.67) , and the height $(8.73 \pm 2.26 \text{ cm})$ of the plants produced through somatic embryogenesis were significantly higher than plantlets produced via organogenesis with 2.64 ± 1.71 , 5.36 ± 2.83 , and 5.33 ± 2.26 cm for number of new leaves, number of nodes, and the plant height, respectively. The interaction between genotypes and propagation pathways was significant for the number of leaves, number of nodes, and plant height except for the hardening survival rate (Table 3). The number of nodes produced after potting increased gradually from 4 to 8 wk in all the genotypes. At eight (8) WAP, Asiedu had the highest number of nodes (75.70 ± 35.16) , which was significantly higher than the rest of the genotypes. The number of tubers (2.45 ± 1.39) produced by Asiedu and the average tuber weight (68.36 ± 20.79) , respectively, were significantly higher than the rest of the genotypes. The number of tubers produced by TDa2014 (1.75 ± 0.72) was not significantly different from Kpamyo (1.55 ± 0.60) and Ekiti2a (1.30 ± 0.73) but was significantly ($P \le 0.05$) higher than Swaswa (1.15 ± 0.49), while the weight of tubers produced by Asiedu (68.36 ± 20.79) was significantly ($P \le 0.05$) higher than the other genotypes. However, the number of tubers produced by the plantlets raised through organogenesis (1.86 ± 1.11) was significantly higher than the somatic embryogenesis-raised plantlets (1.42 ± 0.70) . The interaction between the genotypes and propagation pathways was significant ($P \le 0.05$) for the number of nodes and tuber weight (Table 4).

Variations in post-flask growth and yield performance among genotypes of white and water yam produced via different micropropagation pathways The average number of new leaves, number of nodes, number of leaves, and plant height after 2 wk of acclimatization varied across genotypes and source of plantlets (organogenesis and SE) (Fig. 2). In Kpamyo, the number of new leaves produced by the plantlets regenerated through SE (3.8) was 32% more than the new leaves produced by Kpamyo plantlets regenerated through



Figure 1. Photomicrographs of somatic embryogenesis phases of white and water *Dioscorea rotundata* Poir. and *Dioscorea alata* L. $at \times 10$. Keys: (a-c) Callus sections of Swaswa at 4, 6, and 8 wk of culturing respectively. (d-f) Callus sections of TDa2014 at 4, 6, and 8 wk of culturing respectively. (g-i) Callus sections of Asiedu at 4,

6, and 8 wk of culturing respectively. (j-l) Callus sections of Kpamyo at 4, 6, and 8 wk of culturing respectively. (m-o) Callus sections of Ekiti2a at 4, 6, and 8 wk of culturing respectively. *Arrow*: Vascular tissue arrangement.

organogenesis (2.6). In Asiedu, the number of new leaves produced by the plantlets regenerated through SE (5.9) was 64% more than the new leaves produced by Kpamyo plantlets regenerated through organogenesis (2.1). New leaf production in Ekiti2a multiplied *via* SE (4.1) was 20% more than organogenesis-raised plantlets (3.3). In Swaswa, the new number of leaf production in the SE-raised plantlets (3.2) was 50% more than the organogenesis-raised plantlets (1.6). However, in TDa2014, the number of new leaves produced by the organogenesis-raised plantlets (3.6) was 22% more than the SE-raised plantlets (2.8). On the NON, in Kpamyo, Asiedu, and Swaswa, the nodal production in the SE-raised plantlets was 16%, 64%, and 14%, respectively, more than the organogenesis-raised plantlets. However, in Ekiti2a and TDa2014, the NON produced in the organogenesis-raised plantlets were 5% and 32% higher than the SE-raised plantlets, respectively. In the NOL produced by Kpamyo and TDa2014, the organogenesis-raised plantlets were 3% and 30%, respectively, higher than the SE-raised plantlets. On the plant height, the SE-raised plantlets were taller than the organogenesis-raised plantlets.



Table 3. Ex vitro performanceof five (5) Dioscorea rotundataPoir. and Dioscorea alataL. genotypes propagated viasomatic embryogenesis andorganogenesis at 2 wk afteracclimatization

	Survival rate (%)	Number of new leaves	Number of nodes	Plant height (cm)	
Genotypes					
Asiedu	$95.00 \pm 0.09a$	$4.00 \pm 2.34a$	6.60±3.69a	$10.02 \pm 7.07a$	
Kpamyo	$90.00 \pm 0.13a$	3.20 ± 1.94 ab	$4.45 \pm 2.19b$	$5.27 \pm 2.49c$	
Ekiti2a	$100.00 \pm 0.00a$	$3.70 \pm 2.15a$	5.95±2.63ab	5.63 ± 1.66 bc	
Swaswa	$100.00 \pm 0.00a$	3.20 ± 1.23 ab	5.96±2.21ab	6.93 ± 3.40 bc	
TDa2014	$100.00 \pm 0.00a$	$2.40 \pm 1.47b$	6.55 ± 2.67a	7.33±2.2.43b	
Propagation pathwa	ay (PP)				
SE	$100.00 \pm 0.00a$	3.96±1.89a	6.44 <u>+</u> 2.67a	8.73±2.26a	
Org	$94.00 \pm 0.10a$	2.64±1.71b	$5.36 \pm 2.83b$	$5.33 \pm 2.26b$	
Genotypes by PP	ns	*	*	*	

Means with the same *letter* down the group are not significantly different from each other at 5% level of significance. *SE* somatic embryogenesis, *Org* organogenesis, *Ns* not significant. *Significant at 5% significance level

	Number of nodes			Number of tubers	Tuber weight (g)	
	4 wk	6 wk	8 wk			
Genotypes						
Asiedu	$30.60 \pm 14.17a$	$43.65 \pm 21.78a$	$75.70 \pm 35.16a$	$2.45 \pm 1.39a$	$68.36 \pm 20.79a$	
Kpamyo	$19.50 \pm 7.29 \mathrm{b}$	$27.80 \pm 9.46 \mathrm{b}$	$48.25 \pm 14.76 \mathrm{b}$	1.55 ± 0.60 bc	$46.68 \pm 14.05 \mathrm{b}$	
Ekiti2a	$14.20 \pm 9.78b$	$17.30 \pm 10.54c$	$31.60 \pm 21.30c$	$1.30 \pm 0.73 bc$	$33.72 \pm 20.56c$	
Swaswa	$16.30 \pm 6.67 b$	$28.00 \pm 13.25 \mathrm{b}$	38.90 ± 14.41 bc	$1.15 \pm 0.49c$	18.86 ± 8.55d	
TDa2014	$16.30 \pm 6.78b$	$28.05 \pm 13.29 \mathrm{b}$	45.45 ± 13.14 bc	$1.75 \pm 0.72b$	27.44 ± 10.91 cd	
Propagation pathv	vay (PP)					
SE	$22.26 \pm 12.08a$	$31.72 \pm 18.89a$	$51.06 \pm 30.25a$	$1.42 \pm 0.70b$	$36.38 \pm 23.40a$	
Org	$16.58 \pm 8.82b$	$26.60 \pm 13.07a$	$44.90 \pm 20.31a$	1.86±1.11a	41.64 ± 22.99a	
Genotypes by PP	*	*	*	*	*	

Means with the same *letter* down the group are not significantly different from each other at 5% level of significance. *SE* somatic embryogenesis, *Org* organogenesis. *Significant at 5% significance level

in Kpamyo, Asiedu, Ekiti2a, Swaswa, and TDa2014 by 14%, 69%, 1%, 43%, and 22%, respectively.

There was gradual increase in the number of nodes produced by all genotypes in both organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis from the 2nd to 8th WAP (Fig. 3). At 8 wk of potting, the NON produced by SE-raised plantlets in Kpamyo, Ekiti2a, and Asiedu were 8%, 26%, and 28% higher than the organogenesis-raised plantlets, respectively. However, in TDa2014 and Swaswa, the NON produced by the organogenesis-raised plantlets were 4% and 13% higher than the SEraised plantlets, respectively. There was 100% survival of the somatic embryogenesis-produced plantlets after hardening in all genotypes while in the organogenesis-raised plantlets, all the plantlets introduced to hardening survived except for Asiedu and Kpamyo that had 90% and 80% survivals, respectively (Fig. 4A). The number of tubers by the organogenesisraised plantlets after 7 mo of potting in Kpamyo, Ekiti2a, Asiedu, TDa2014, and Swaswa was 6%, 27%, 42%, 16%, and 8% higher than the SE-raised plantlets, respectively. The



number of tubers by the organogenesis-raised plantlets after 7 mo of potting in Kpamyo, Ekiti2a, Asiedu, TDa2014, and Swaswa was 6%, 27%, 42%, 16%, and 8% higher than the SEraised plantlets, respectively. In addition, the weight of tubers harvested from the organogenesis-raised plantlets after 7 mo of potting in Ekiti2a, Asiedu, TDa2014, and Swaswa was heavier than the SE-raised plantlets by 52%, 4%, 54%, and 11%, respectively. However, in Kpamyo, the weight of tuber produced by the SE-raised plantlets was 21% heavier than the organogenesis-raised plantlets (Fig. 4B). The sequence of activities (stages of regeneration) in the SE system started from the induction of embryogenic callus from axillary bud explant, followed by the production of somatic embryos and the maturation and production of plantlets from the somatic embryos (Fig. 5A-C). The plantlets obtained via SE and organogenesis were successfully acclimatized and potted. This led to the production of minitubers at 7 mo after potting from the plantlets obtained from both propagation pathways (Figs. 4 and 5).

Table 4. Relative post-flask
growth performance and tuber
yield of 5 *Dioscorea rotundata*Poir. and *Dioscorea alata*
L. genotypes propagated *via*
somatic embryogenesis and
organogenesis at 4 to 8 wk after
acclimatization and at harvest

Figure 2. (A) Number of new leaves, (B) number of nodes, (C) number of leaves, and (D) plant height of white and water Dioscorea rotundata Poir. and Dioscorea alata L. plantlets produced through somatic embryogenesis and organogenesis after 2 wk of acclimatization. Keys: NNL number of new leaves, NON number of nodes, NOL number of leaves, PH plant height, Org organogenesis, SE somatic embryogenesis.





Figure 3. Average number of nodes produced by somatic embryogenesis– and organogenesis-raised plantlets of white and water *Dioscorea rotundata* Poir. and *Dioscorea alata* L. in post-flask. Keys: NON2– NON8 number of nodes at 2, 4, 6, and 8 wk after potting, Org organogenesis, SE somatic embryogenesis.

Discussion

Somatic embryogenesis is one of the fastest means of crop propagation because each somatic cell is capable of producing somatic embryos that are bipolar in nature (Junaid *et al.* 2013), permitting large numbers of reproductive units per explant. However, the success of SE is controlled by factors, such as genotypes, explant parts and age, and plant growth regulators (PGRs) (Fehér, 2008). The optimization of PGRs

for the three basic stages of SE (initiation and proliferation of embryogenic callus, formation and maturation of somatic embryos, and plantlet regeneration) is very essential for the successful regeneration of plantlets from any somatic explant (Andrei and Peter 2014). In this study, at least 90% of the axillary bud explants initiated callus cultures in the three white yam genotypes within 2 to 3 wk of culture. This was two times higher than the two water yam genotypes, which had maximum of 50% callus induction rate. The successful



Figure 4. (*A*, *B*) Post-flask survival and tuber yield of *in vitro* produced plantlets of white and water *Dioscorea rotundata* Poir. and *Dioscorea alata* L. Keys: NoIntro number of plantlets introduced to hardening, NSH number of plantlets successfully hardened, NOT number of tubers harvested after 7 mo, Org organogenesis, SE somatic embryogenesis.







induction of callus at 2 wk of culture supported the findings of Suarez *et al.* (2011) who worked with another improved *D. rotundata* genotype using the leaf explant. However, their callus induction frequency was low (< 30%). The low rate of callus proliferation in the water yam genotypes could be due to the genotype by culture environment interactions that cause dieback of cultures, a situation earlier reported in callus culture of water yam (Belarmino and Gonzales 2008).

In this study, the plantlet regeneration process proceeded from the induction and proliferation of embryogenic callus in an auxin-based medium to the formation and maturation of somatic embryos maintained in a PGR-free yam



multiplication medium (YMM) and thereafter transferred to a cytokinin-based yam regeneration medium. These steps were successful in all the white and water yam genotypes evaluated with the landrace (Ekiti2a) having the highest number of plantlets regenerated. Organogenesis remains the most common means of propagating yam in vitro as SE has not been fully optimized for yam (Andrei and Peter 2014). The propagation ratios obtained through organogenesis in this study agree with the findings of Ondo et al. (2007) and Balogun et al. (2014); however, the organogenesis process is limited to the number of nodes in the mother plant whereas SE has been achieved using the leaves, stem, root, and axillary bud explants (Manoharan et al. 2016; Ossai et al. 2018). When these explants from one plant are factored in, an estimated 50 explants per plantlet of four nodes can be cultured simultaneously in an optimized protocol to give on average a propagation ratio of 1:300 in 8 wk or 1:800 in 16 wk of culture.

Since the regenerative pathways of organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis are difficult to differentiate morphogenically, and reports of both direct and indirect somatic embryogenesis occurring in same culture exist, the use of a histological study to identify the initiation of embryo-like structures becomes imperative (Gaj 2004). The histological observation of the cultured explants showed that at the callus proliferation phase, there was no definite tissue arrangement with dense cytoplasm. According to Ramos et al. (2014), the situation might be a result of active cell proliferation in an auxin medium, and it shows the origin of acquisition of embryogenic competence (Kamnoon and Preamudee 1999). However, at later stages (somatic embryo formation and plantlet regeneration) after the withdrawal of auxin in the culture medium, the tissue arrangement pattern revealed the vascular bundles (xylem and phloem). The numerous centers of meristematic arrangements, with cells in the center smaller than the outer layers, were more vacuolated which corresponds to the initiation of somatic embryos, embryo maturation, and plantlet regeneration stages. According to Kamnoon and Preamudee (1999), this center differentiates into embryoids that form plantlets. This finding is further validated by the presence of torpedo-shaped somatic embryos and plantlets regenerated as early as six (6) wk of culture during the confirmation of embryo formation. However, at 6 WOC, different stages of embryo formation were developed in a callus mass (Fig. 4C). This shows that the stages of embryo formation are variable within and between cultures.

In yam, *in vitro* propagation is mostly through organogenesis (Balogun and Gueye 2013). Nonetheless, somatic embryogenesis could be more proficient because all somatic embryos can potentially regenerate into a whole plant (Mousavizadeh 2009). Unlike organogenesis that produces a unipolar seed, somatic embryogenesis produces a bipolar seed and vascular tissues without connection with the parent tissue (von Arnorld et. al. 2002), allowing a large number of reproductive units having root and shoot meristems per culture. The somatic embryogenesis process can be achieved in direct or indirect processes. In the direct process, embryos are formed from pre-embryonic cells with the embryos attached to the initial explant, thereby creating identical clones, while in the indirect process, they are formed from callus, an unorganized tissue that is formed from the initial explant tissue (Nakamura et al. 1991). Identifying the period of acquisition of vascular tissues from an undifferentiated mass of cells that is not attached to the initial explant after withdrawal from an auxin-rich medium (such as 2,4-D- and NAA-supplemented medium) is important in elucidating the regeneration pathway as the attainment of vascular tissue arrangement that corresponds to the embryo development and coordination (Lucas et al. 2013).

Above 80% of plantlet survival after acclimatization was achieved in this study for both organogenesis- and somatic embryogenesis-raised plantlets. The hardened plantlets also established well in pots irrespective of the propagation mode, and both vines and seed tubers were produced of which Asiedu showed superior growth and yield (tuber production) performances relative to other genotypes. Both micropropagation pathways (SE and organogenesis) remain incomplete until the in vitro plantlets have been successfully hardened because there could be 100% loss of in vitro produced plantlets in post-flask if the acclimatization process is not adequately optimized (Deb and Imchen 2010). The survival of the in vitro produced plantlets within 2 wk of acclimatization suggests that the roots formed in the culture medium may have enhanced the continued growth and development of the plants in hardening (Alfred and Uchenna 2013). The variety 'Asiedu', which is the new name registered for Breeders' line 'TDr 89/02665', has a high survival rate through rooted single-node vine cuttings at 90 d after cutting (Maroya et al. 2014). Agbaje et al. (2003) also reported a higher tuber yield from Asiedu against other improved yam genotypes they tested. The successful production of tubers (post-flask) from plantlets propagated through organogenesis and SE shows that the two systems can be used effectively in the multiplication of yam in vitro. However, with reports of somaclonal variations discovered in potatoes propagated through somatic embryogenesis, it is important to further screen the regenerants obtained through somatic embryogenesis for their true-to-type with the mother plants for full-scale production of clean breeder seed yam for commercial purposes.

In conclusion, the findings of this study have validated the genotype-dependent responses of yam to somatic embryogenesis, which poses a challenge in the adoption of the system in yam multiplication against the more optimized organogenesis protocol. In addition, the best propagation rates in



the genotypes were pathway-dependent as some genotypes had higher nodal production *via* SE while others *via* organogenesis. The axillary bud explant was effectively used in this study to propagate yam through somatic embryogenesis, and it could be a viable alternative to the propagation of yam relative to organogenesis as a result of the higher number of culture explants as against the organogenesis process that is limited to the meristematic parts. The regenerants through both organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis were successfully acclimatized, and yam tubers were produced from them.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation through the YIIFSWA-II project of IITA, Ibadan. The authors would like to thank Professor Iyiola Fawole, Professor M. A. Sonibare, Dr. Badara Gueye, and the late Dr. Christopher Ilori for their professional guidance.

Author contribution Conceptualization: C.O.O., M.O.B., and N.G.M. Data curation: C.O.O. Methodology: C.O.O., M.O.B., and N.G.M. Formal analysis: C.O.O. Writing-original draft: C.O.O. Writing-review and editing: C.O.O., M.O.B., and N.G.M

Funding This work was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1159088).

Data availability All data used during the study are available from the author Ossai Chukwunalu Okolie by request (c.ossai@cgiar.org).

Declarations

Ethical approval The use of plants in this study complies with international, national, and/or institutional (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture) guidelines.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Adeigbe OO, Ilori CO, Adewale BD (2015) Phenotypic diversity and ploidy level of some *Dioscorea dumetorum* genotypes. J Agric Vet Sci 8:47–52
- Acha IA, Shiwachi H, Asiedu R, Akoroda MO (2004) Effect of auxins on root development in yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*) vine. Trop Sci 44:80–84

- Agbaje GO, Adegbite AA, Akinlosotu TA (2003) Performance of new hybrid Yam (*D. rotundata* Poir) varieties in the forest zone of Nigeria. Tropicultura 21:149–152
- Alfred OA, Uchenna EO (2013) Micropropagation and postflask management of sweet potato using available materials as substrates for hardening. Plant Knowl J 2:56–61
- Andrei S, Peter VB (2014) Somatic embryogenesis: life and death processes during apical-basal patterning. J Exp Bot 65:1343-1360
- Aighewi B, Maroya N, Kumar PL, Balogun M, Aihebhoria D, Mignouna D, Asiedu R (2021) Seed yam production using highquality minitubers derived from plants established with vine cuttings. Agronomy 11:978. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11 050978
- Aighewi BA, Maroya NG, Asiedu R (2014) Seed yam production from minisetts: a training manual. IITA, Ibadan (40pp)
- Balogun MO (2009) Microtubers in yam germplasm conservation and propagation: the status, the prospects and the constraints. Biotechnol Mol Biol Rev 4:001–010
- Balogun MO, Gueye B (2013) Status and prospects of biotechnology applications to conservation, propagation and genetic improvement of yam. In: Kishan Gopal Ramawat and Jean-Michel Merillon (eds). Bulbous plants: biotechnology. CRC Press Pp. 92–112
- Balogun MO, Maroya N, Asiedu R (2014) Status and prospects for improving yam seed systems using temporary immersion bioreactors. Academic J 13:1614–1622
- Balogun MO, Maroya N, Ossai C, Ajayi A, Aighewi B, Asiedu R (2018) Breeder seed yam production from soil to soilless systems: yam hydroponics. IITA, Ibadan, pp 4–25
- Balogun MO, Maroya N, Taiwo J, Ossai C, Ajayi A, Kumar PL, Pelemo O, Aighewi B, Asiedu R (2017) Clean seed yam tuber production using temporary immersion bioreactors. IITA, Ibadan, p 66
- Belarmino MM, Gonzales JR (2008) Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration in purple food yam (D. alata L.). Ann Tropic Res 30:22–33
- Castillo P, Marquez J, Rubluo A, Hernandez G, Lara M (2000) Plant regeneration from callus and suspension cultures of *Valeriana edulis* ssp. *procera via* simultaneous organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis. Plant Sci 151:115–119
- Deb CR, Imchen T (2010) An efficient *in vitro* hardening technique of tissue culture raised plants. Biotech 9:79–83
- Dodeman VL, Ducreux G, Kreis M (1997) Zygotic embryogenesis. J Exp Bot 48:1493–1509
- FAO (2018) FAOSTAT database. Food and agricultural organization, Rome
- Fehér A (2008) The initiation phase of somatic embryogenesis: what we know and what we don't. Acta Biologica Szegediensis 52:53–56
- Gaj MD (2004) Factors influencing somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration with particular reference to *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 43:27–27
- Gutiérrez-Mora A, González-Gutiérrez A, Rodriguez-Garay B, Ascencio-Cabral A, Li-Wei L (2012) Plant somatic embryogenesis: some useful considerations. Pp 1–22
- IITA (2013) Healthy yam seed production. IITA Publications. Retrieved from IITA Website http://www.iita.org/publications
- Junaid A, Srivastava PS, Sharma MP (2013) Chapter: Factors regulating somatic embryogenesis in plants. Book: Somatic embryogenesis and gene expression. Edition: 1st Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, pp 56:81
- Landi L, Mezzetti B (2005) TDZ, auxin and genotype effects on leaf organogenesis in *Fragaria*. Plant Cell Rep 25:281–288
- Lucas WJ, Groover A, Lichtenberger R, Furuta K, Yadav SR, Helariutta Y, He XQ, Fukuda H, Kang J, Brady SM, Patrick JW, Sperry J, Yoshida A, Lopez-Millan AF, Grusak MA, Kachroo P (2013) The plant vascular system: evolution, development and functions. J Integr Plant Biol 55:294–387



- Manoharan R, Tripathi JN, Tripathi L (2016) Plant regeneration from axillary bud derived callus in white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*). Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 126:481
- Maroya N, Balogun M, Aighewi B, Kumar PL, Ogbole S, Asiedu R (2017) Seed yam production using single node vine from plants in aeroponics. IITA, Ibadan, pp 1–4
- Maroya N, Balogun M, Asiedu R, Aighewi B, Kumar PL, Augusto J (2014) Yam propagation using aeroponics technology. Ann Res Rev Biol 4:3894–3903
- Mbanaso ENA, Egesi CN, Okogbenin E, Ubalua AO, Nkere CK (2011) Plant biotechnology for genetic improvement of root and tuber crops. In: Amadi CO, Ekwere KC, Chukwu GO, Olojede AO, Egesi CN (eds) Root and tuber crops research for food security and empowerment. National Root Crop Research Institute, Umudike, pp 45–64
- Merkle SA (1997) Somatic embryogenesis in ornamentals. In: Geneve JE, Preece JE, Merkle SA (eds) Biotechnology of ornamental plants. CAB International pp. 13–33
- Murashige T (1974) Plant propagation through tissue culture. Annal Plant Physiol 25:135–166
- Nwosu NA (1975) Recent developments in vegetative propagation of edible yam (*Dioscorea* species). Proc Agric Soc Nig 12:15

- Okoli OO, Igbokwe MC, Ene LSO, Nwokoye JU (1982) Rapid multiplication of yam by the minisett technique Research bulletin 2. National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike, Nigeria, p 12
- Ondo P, Kevers C, Dommes J (2007) Axillary proliferation and tuberization of *Dioscorea cayenensis-D. rotundata* complex. Plant Cell Tiss Org Cult 91:107–109
- Ossai C, Balogun M, Maroya N, Asiedu R (2018) Development of protocols for somatic embryogenesis in yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) towards scale up production in Temporary Immersion Bioreactor System. YIIFSWA Research Brief pp. 1–7
- Ramos RS, Melo EF, Melo CG, Rodrigues CR, Vieira MS, Barbosa MHP (2014) The use of histological analysis for the detection of somatic embryos in sugarcane. African J Biotech 13:762–767
- Shu Y, Ying-Cai Y, Hong-Hui L (2005) Plant regeneration through somatic embryogenesis from callus cultures of *Dioscorea zingibe*rensis. Plant Cell Tiss and Org Cult 80:157–161
- Suarez PIE, Torres ALA, Litz R (2011) Somatic embryogenesis in yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*). Rev Fac Nac Agron Medellín 64:6037–6042

