

Treatment of Refractory Opioid Use Disorder

Comparison of Treatment Options for Refractory Opioid Use Disorder in the United States and Canada: A Narrative Review



Simeon Kimmel, MD, MA^{1,2,3}, Paxton Bach, MD, MSc^{4,5}, and Alexander Y. Walley, MD, MSc^{1,3,6}

¹Grayken Center for Addiction, Clinical Addiction Research and Education Unit, Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston Medical Center, Boston, USA; ²Section of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Boston Medical Center, Boston, USA; ³Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, USA; ⁴British Columbia Centre on Substance Use, Vancouver, Canada; ⁵Department of Medicine, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; ⁶Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Boston, USA.

J Gen Intern Med 36(6):1793
DOI: 10.1007/s11606-021-06727-3
© Society of General Internal Medicine 2021

In our article, “Comparison of Treatment Options for Refractory Opioid Use Disorder in the United States and Canada: a Narrative Review,” we presented a patient who had experienced recurrent complications from opioid use disorder to explore how evidence-based treatments and harm reduction approaches available in Vancouver, Canada, but restricted in the United States (U.S.) could have altered his circuitous, costly, and morbid clinical course.¹ The accompanying figure presented a linear timeline, illustrating admissions to acute and post-acute care, dispositions, and efforts by the hospital Addiction Consult Service to engage the patient in treatment with methadone, buprenorphine, and extended-release naltrexone (the only three Food and Drug Administration approved medications for opioid use disorder (OUD) in the U.S.). In their letter, Li, Mitton, and Bearnot recommend that journey mapping be used to better visualize and analyze this patient’s care from the patient’s own perspective. Approaches like journey mapping, which center around the patient’s experience of care, can certainly yield important insights as Bearnot and Mitton have previously demonstrated with OUD-associated endocarditis.² As they acknowledge in the last sentence of their letter and in their manuscript, much of the power of journey mapping lies in the visual comparison of patient experiences from their perspectives. Our linear timeline provides an absolute representation of a single patient’s interaction with the health system from the perspective of us as providers and the health care system. Rather than formulating

this case as a patient who is not ready for treatment or who has such severe addiction that he cannot be treated, this patient’s story highlights that we, as providers, and the health system could and should do more. Thus, we presented evidence for the use of pharmacy-based methadone, slow-release oral morphine, injectable opioid agonist therapies, and hospital-based supervised injection services—approaches which would have been available to this patient had he been hospitalized in Vancouver rather than Boston.

Corresponding Author: Simeon Kimmel, MD, MA; Grayken Center for Addiction, Clinical Addiction Research and Education Unit, Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston Medical Center, Boston, USA (e-mail: Simeon.Kimmel@bmc.org).

Declarations:

Conflict of Interest: Dr. Kimmel consulted for Abt Associates on a Massachusetts Department of Public Health Project to improve access to medications for opioid use disorder in skilled nursing facilities and the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry as part of the Opioid Response Network. Drs. Walley and Bach report no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Kimmel S, Bach P, Walley AY. Comparison of Treatment Options for Refractory Opioid Use Disorder in the United States and Canada: a Narrative Review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2020;35(8):2418-2426. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-020-05920-0>
2. Bearnot B, Mitton JA. “You’re Always Jumping Through Hoops”: Journey Mapping the Care Experiences of Individuals With Opioid Use Disorder-associated Endocarditis. *J Addict Med.* 2020. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000000648>

Publisher’s Note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.