In Reply to Wayne et al.



J Gen Intern Med 36(6):1795–6 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-021-06723-7 © Society of General Internal Medicine 2021

W e thank Wayne et al. for their thorough review of our article. We believe they raise some excellent points that are important to consider for any program attempting both educational outcomes research and procedural training. We offer the following discussion in response.

We agree that skills must initially be acquired to a high standard, and this has implications for skill decay. Most residency programs do not have the resources (e.g., finances, equipment, time, faculty)¹ to use simulation to train residents to mastery in all procedures. We were not aiming to meet a standard of simulation training that is not feasible or sustainable for most programs. In this study, we aimed to create what would be a reasonable evidence-based simulation curriculum², ³ in a large internal medicine residency with average resources to replace the traditional "see one, do one, teach one" paradigm and follow decay thereafter. Less important was the change in skill level that occurred as a result of the simulation, but rather to examine, using a novel assessment tool², what happens to skill over time in novice learners.

Although the cut point checklist score, particularly for the minimum passing standard (MPS), was less than prior studies of mastery learning,⁴⁻⁶ both the MPS and the unsupervised practice standard (UPS) were established using acceptable standard-setting procedures using experts from multiple institutions.^{6, 7} In addition, achievement of the MPS and UPS required a composite score that was not just checklist-based but also incorporated global skill level and entrustment measures, since both types of measures alone have their challenges in assessing competence³. We would also assert that although the magnitude of the individual decline in each PCAT component was relatively small, the composite scores suggested significant reduction in the number of learners meeting either the MPS or UPS over time.⁸ Using this type of criterionreferencing⁷ is clinically relevant for it predicts whether a trainee is safe to perform a procedure unsupervised, or they are not. This has implications when we think about

Received January 20, 2021 Accepted March 14, 2021 Published online April 5, 2021 extrapolation to the live patient environment and consider supervision requirements when residents perform procedures.

We agree that rater training and quality assurance of the ratings could have been more robustly assessed and this is a limitation of the study. We do feel that the training raters in the study received is on par (or less) with what raters would receive before using the Paracentesis Competency Assessment Tool (PCAT) to assess residents on live patient procedures.

Wayne et al. and others have done tremendous work in using simulation as a tool to improve procedural confidence and competence, and reduce cost and complications.^{4, 9–11} We do not view our findings as detracting from this important body of work. In fact, we show that re-training at 3 months may be protective in interrupting skill decay.⁸ Procedural education and determination of competence are a challenge, and we have recently asked the question: "What is the safest way for a patient to undergo an invasive bedside procedure in a teaching hospital?"¹² We believe simulation, repeated opportunities for training, and valid assessment decisions are a part of the solution, within a larger systems-based approach.¹² We thank Wayne et al. for their comments and contribution to this crucial conversation surrounding procedural safety.

Dana Sall, MD, MEd^{1,2,3} Eric J. Warm, MD¹ Benjamin Kinnear, MD, MEd^{1,4} Matthew Kelleher, MD, MEd^{1,4} Roman Jandarov, PhD⁵ Jennifer O'Toole, MD, MEd^{1,4}

- ¹Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine,
 Cincinnati, OH, USA
 ²Cincinnati Veteran's Affairs Medical Center,
- Cincinnati, OH, USA

³HonorHealth Internal Medicine Residency,

- Thompson Peak Medical Center,
- Scottsdale, AZ, USA
- ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medicine Center, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine,
- Cincinnati, OH, USA
- ⁵Department of Environmental Health, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine,
- Cincinnati, OH, USA

Corresponding Author: Dana Sall, MD, MEd; HonorHealth Internal Medicine Residency, Thompson Peak Medical Center, Scottsdale, AZ, USA (e-mail: dsall@honorhealth.com).

Funding This work was supported by resources from and use of facilities at the Cincinnati Veteran's Affairs Medical Center.

Declarations:

Conflict of Interest: Dr. Jennifer O'Toole consulted with and received honoraria payment from the I-PASS Patient Safety Institute. She also holds stock options in the I-PASS Patient Safety Institute, a non-publicly traded company

Disclaimers: This work does not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veteran's Affairs or the United States Government.

REFERENCES

- Santen SA, Hemphill RR, Pusic M. The Responsibility of Physicians to Maintain Competency. Jama. 2020;323(2):117-118. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.2019.21081
- Sall D, Wigger GW, Kinnear B, Kelleher M, Warm E, O'Toole JK. Paracentesis Simulation: A Comprehensive Approach to Procedural Education. MedEdPORTAL. 2018;14:10747. doi:https://doi.org/10. 15766/mep_2374-8265.10747
- Sawyer T, White M, Zaveri P, et al. Learn, see, practice, prove, do, maintain: an evidence-based pedagogical framework for procedural skill training in medicine. Acad Med. 2015;90(8):1025-33. doi:https://doi. org/10.1097/acm.000000000000734
- Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Vozenilek JA, O'Connor LM, McGaghie WC, Wayne DB. Simulation-based education with mastery learning improves paracentesis skills. J Grad Med Educ. 2012;4(1):23-7. doi:https://doi. org/10.4300/JGME-D-11-00161.1
- 5. Barsuk JH, McGaghie WC, Cohen ER, Balachandran JS, Wayne DB. Use of simulation-based mastery learning to improve the quality of

central venous catheter placement in a medical intensive care unit. J Hosp Med. 2009;4(7):397-403. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.468

- Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Caprio T, McGaghie WC, Simuni T, Wayne DB. Simulation-based education with mastery learning improves residents' lumbar puncture skills. Neurology. 2012;79(2):132-7. doi:https://doi. org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31825dd39d
- Yudkowsky R, Park YS, Lineberry M, Knox A, Ritter EM. Setting mastery learning standards. Acad Med. 2015;90(11):1495-500. doi:https://doi.org/10.1097/acm.00000000000887
- Sall D, Warm EJ, Kinnear B, Kelleher M, Jandarov R, O'Toole J. See One, Do One, Forget One: Early Skill Decay After Paracentesis Training. J Gen Intern Med. 2020; doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-020-06242x
- Barsuk JH, McGaghie WC, Cohen ER, O'Leary KJ, Wayne DB. Simulation-based mastery learning reduces complications during central venous catheter insertion in a medical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 2009;37(10):2697-701.
- Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Williams MV, et al. Simulation-Based Mastery Learning for Thoracentesis Skills Improves Patient Outcomes: A Randomized Trial. Acad Med. 2018;93(5):729-735. doi:https://doi.org/10. 1097/ACM.000000000001965
- Barsuk JH, Cohen ER, Feinglass J, McGaghie WC, Wayne DB. Use of simulation-based education to reduce catheter-related bloodstream infections. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(15):1420-3. doi:https://doi.org/ 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.215
- Warm EJ, Ahmad Y, Kinnear B, et al. A Dynamic Risk Management Approach for Reducing Harm from Invasive Bedside Procedures for Internal Medicine Residency Programs. Acad Med. 2020: accepted for publication.

Publisher's Note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.