18.2 Million Individuals at Increased Risk of Severe COVID-19 Illness Are Un- or Underinsured



J Gen Intern Med 35(8):2487–9 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-020-05899-8 © Society of General Internal Medicine 2020

BACKGROUND

As of April 20, more than 780,000 individuals had confirmed COVID-19 in the USA. In addition to threatening health, this outbreak could have financial consequences for those affected. One analysis estimated that 5.7 million Americans at high risk for severe COVID-19 are uninsured. Healthcare costs could deter such individuals from seeking care, particularly among disadvantaged groups, while burdening household finances. We analyzed a nationally representative survey to identify individuals at elevated risk for severe COVID-19 and, among these, those at high risk of financial toxicity from care at the start of the epidemic.

METHODS

We analyzed the 2018 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), a survey of the noninstitutionalized population conducted by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). We identified the "COVID-19-increased risk" population based on CDC guidance^{2, 3}: individuals 65 and older, and non-elderly adults with COPD, asthma, heart disease, severe obesity (BMI \geq 40), kidney disease, and diabetes.

We first identified demographic characteristics and relevant state-level policies associated with being in the COVID-19-increased risk group among adults < 65. We used multivariable logistic regressions adjusted for age and sex to examine the association between being at COVID-19-increased risk (vs not increased risk) and five characteristics/state-level policies: race, income, urban/rural county of residence, residence in a state that had implemented the Affordable Care Act's Medicaid expansion as of January 2018,⁴ and residence in a state that had issued a "stay-at-home" order as of March 30, 2020⁵ (as one indicator for outbreak risk).

We next examined the rate of "inadequate insurance" within the high-risk population of any age. Inadequate insurance was defined as being uninsured or underinsured, i.e., insured, but having skipped a doctor visit within the last year because of cost. We examined the relationship between the five characteristics/state-level policies listed

above and inadequate insurance in separate age- and sexadjusted regressions.

We used STATA/SE 16.1 for all analyses, with weights and appropriate methods to account for the BRFSS' complex sampling procedures. Analysis of the BRFSS does not constitute human subjects research per the Cambridge Health Alliance IRB.

RESULTS

Table 1 displays data on the prevalence of risk factors for severe COVID-19 among those < 65 years. Blacks, American Indians/Alaska Natives, and those of "other" race were significantly more likely, and Asians less likely, to be in the COVID-increased risk population relative to whites. Persons with lower (vs. high) incomes were more likely to be increased risk, as were those living in rural counties, Medicaid non-expansion states, and states that had not issued a stay-at-home order.

Within the COVID-19-increased risk population of adults, 16.9% (or 18.2 million individuals) were un- or underinsured. Among this increased risk group, those with low incomes, residing in a rural area, and of non-white race had higher rates of inadequate insurance (Table 2). High-risk persons living in Medicaid non-expansion states had 52% higher odds of being inadequately insured relative to those in expansion states (95% CI 1.43, 1.61; p < 0.001), and high-risk individuals residing in states that had not issued stay-at-home orders had 23% higher odds of inadequate insurance relative to those in other states (95% CI 1.16-1.30).

DISCUSSION

At the start of the outbreak, 18 million adults at increased risk of severe COVID-19 were inadequately insured and hence at risk of delay in seeking care because of cost concerns and of financial toxicity if hospitalized. Traditionally disadvantaged groups—racial minorities, low-income persons, and rural residents—were more likely to be at risk of severe COVID-19 (consistent with the experience of previous viral respiratory epidemics⁶) and of financial harm. Those living in states that failed to expand Medicaid or issue a stay-at-home order were also at greater risk of severe disease and inadequate coverage.

Gaps in insurance coverage, and states' decisions to reject Medicaid expansion and defer prevention measures, may hence exacerbate the damage wrought by

Table 1 Population at Increased Risk of COVID-19 Among Adults < 65 Years of Age*

	Unadjusted	Adjusted [†]				
	Not increased risk (%) (n = 198,238)	Increased risk (%) (n = 85,274)	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval		p value
Race [‡]						
White	73.3	26.7	Reference			
Black	68.0	32.1	1.42	1.35	1.50	< 0.001
Asian	84.7	15.4	0.57	0.51	0.64	< 0.001
American Indian, Alaska Native	60.0	40.0	1.95	1.71	2.23	< 0.001
Hispanic	75.5	24.5	1.06	1.01	1.12	0.031
Other	68.4	31.6	1.51	1.39	1.64	< 0.001
Income [§]						
< \$15K	58.5	41.5	2.83	2.67	3.01	< 0.001
\$15K - \$	64.4	35.6	2.29	2.18	2.42	< 0.001
\$25K - \$	71.1	28.9	1.69	1.58	1.81	< 0.001
\$35K - \$	74.3	25.7	1.39	1.30	1.48	< 0.001
\$50K+	78.4	21.6	Reference			
County						
Urban	74.3	25.7	Reference			
Rural	68.6	31.4	1.27	1.22	1.33	< 0.001
Medicaid expansion [¶]						
Non-expansion state	72.9	27.1	1.06	1.02	1.10	0.002
Expansion	73.9	26.1	Reference			
Statewide stay-at-home order#						
No	72.9	27.1	1.07	1.04	1.11	< 0.001
Yes	74.2	25.8	Reference			

^{*}Increased risk defined as adults reporting a diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, history of heart attack, angina/coronary heart disease, cancer (excluding skin cancer), diabetes (excluding pre-diabetes and gestational diabetes), current asthma, and severe obesity (BMI \geq 40). Although the CDC includes liver disease and residence in a nursing home as indicators of high risk, the BRFSS does not include questions that allow identification of persons with chronic liver disease and excludes institutionalized individuals

the COVID-19 epidemic, as well as health disparities. Rising unemployment after the onset of the epidemic in the USA will likely translate into health coverage losses that could further widen these gaps; our estimates of uninsurance and underinsurance are likely underestimates. These findings provide support for steps taken to address inadequacies in coverage for the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 and for the consideration of additional policies that could expand coverage during the economic downturn.

Adam W. Gaffney, MD, MPH^{1,2} Laura Hawks, MD^{1,2} David H. Bor, MD^{1,2} Steffie Woolhandler, MD, MPH^{2,3} David U. Himmelstein, MD^{2,3} Danny McCormick, MD^{1,2}

¹Cambridge Health Alliance, 1493 Cambridge Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA ²Harvard Medical School,
 25 Shattuck St, Boston, MA 02115, USA
 ³City University of New York at Hunter College,
 Silberman Building, New York, NY 548, USA

Corresponding Author: Adam W. Gaffney, MD, MPH; Cambridge Health Alliance 1493 Cambridge Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA (e-mail: agaffney@challiance.org).

Funding Information Dr. Hawks received funding support from Institutional National Research Service Award T32HP32715 and from the Cambridge Health Alliance.

Compliance with Ethical Standards:

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no financial conflicts of interest. Adam Gaffney, David Himmelstein, Steffie Woolhandler, and Danny McCormick serve as leaders of Physicians for a National Health Program (PNHP), a non-profit organization that favors coverage expasion through a single-payer program; however, none of them receives any compensation from that group, although some of Dr. Gaffney's travel on behalf of the organization is reimbursed by it. Drs. Bor and Hawks are members of the organization.

 $^{^{\}sharp}$ Adjusted for age (18–24, 25–34, 35–44, or 45–54) and sex (male, female). N=734 individuals with don't know, not sure, or refused responses for sex, who were excluded from adjusted analyses

[†]Values imputed by BRFSS for those who do not report race/ethnicity

 $^{^{\}S}N = 42,482$ individuals with missing income among those age < 65, who were excluded from these analyses

Based on National Center for Health Statistics' 2013 Urban – Rural Classification Scheme; those in one of the four metropolitan counties are classified as "rural." Excludes 4817 individuals from Guam and Puerto Rico

Based on summary from the Kaiser Family Foundation; status as of January 2018. Excludes 4817 individuals from Guam and Puerto Rico among those age < 65

^{*}Based on summary from the Kaiser Family Foundation; status as of March 30, 2020. Excludes 4817 individuals from Guam and Puerto Rico among those age < 65

Table 2 Inadequately Insured Among COVID-Increased Risk Adult Population of All Ages⁸

	Unadjusted	Adjusted [†]										
	Insured, not underinsured (%) (n = 208,916)	Uninsured or underinsured (%) (n = 28,577)	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval		p value						
Race [‡]												
White	87.2	12.8	Reference									
Black	77.6	22.4	1.51	1.39	1.64	< 0.001						
Asian	82.5	17.6	1.33	1.03	1.72	0.030						
American Indian/Alaska Native	76.8	23.2	1.58	1.30	1.92	< 0.001						
Hispanic	68.4	31.6	2.37	2.16	2.59	< 0.001						
Other	77.1	22.9	1.50	1.32	1.70	< 0.001						
Income§												
<\$15K	71.2	28.8	4.26	3.86	4.70	< 0.001						
<15K15K	72.8	27.2	4.27	3.90	4.68	< 0.001						
<25K25K	80.8	19.3	2.99	2.68	3.33	< 0.001						
<35K35K	85.5	14.5	2.06	1.85	2.30	< 0.001						
<50K50K	91.2	8.8	Reference									
County												
Urban	83.3	16.8	Reference									
Rural	82.2	17.9	1.13	1.06	1.21	< 0.001						
Medicaid expansion [¶]	V											
Non-expansion state	79.6	20.4	1.52	1.43	1.61	< 0.001						
Expansion	85.3	14.7	Reference									
Statewide stay-at-home order#												
No	81.7	18.3	1.23	1.16	1.30	< 0.001						
Yes	84.5	15.5	Reference									

¹⁷⁰⁵ individuals within the COVID-increased risk group were excluded from these analyses because of missing insurance data

REFERENCES

- Coronavirus COVID-19 Global Cases by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University. https://coronavirus.jhu. edu/map.html. Accessed Apr 20, 2020.
- Koma W, Claxton G, 2020. How Many Adults Are at Risk of Serious Illness
 If Infected with Coronavirus? The Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation.
 March 2020. https://www.kff.org/global-health-policy/issue-brief/how-many-adults-are-at-risk-of-serious-illness-if-infected-with-coronavirus/.
 Accessed Mar 24, 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-at-higher-risk.html. Published February 11, 2020. Accessed Mar 31, 2020.
- Status of State Action on the Medicaid Expansion Decision. The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation. 2020. Available from: https://www.kff.org/

- health-reform/state-indicator/state-activity-around-expanding-medicaid-under-the-affordable-care-act/. Accessed Mar 31, 2020.
- State Data and Policy Actions to Address Coronavirus. The Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation. March 2020. https://www.kff.org/health-costs/issuebrief/state-data-and-policy-actions-to-address-coronavirus/. Accessed Mar 30, 2020.
- Dee DL, Bensyl DM, Gindler J, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in hospitalizations and deaths associated with 2009 pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) virus infections in the United States. Ann Epidemiol. 2011;21(8):623-630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2011.03.002

Publisher's Note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

^{*}Increased risk defined as any adults ages \geq 65 or non-elderly adults reporting a diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, history of heart attack, angina/coronary heart disease, cancer (excluding skin cancer), diabetes (excluding pre-diabetes and gestational diabetes), current asthma, and severe obesity (BMI \geq 40)

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Adjusted for age (18–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45–54, 65+) and sex (male, female). N = 624 individuals with don't know, not sure, or refused responses for sex among the COVID-increased risk adult population, who were excluded from adjusted analyses

Values imputed by BRFSS for those who do not report race/ethnicity

 $^{^{\}S}N = 46,068$ individuals with missing income among the COVID-increased risk adult population, who were excluded from these analyses

Based on National Center for Health Statistics' 2013 Urban – Rural Classification Scheme; those in one of the four metropolitan counties are classified as "rural." Excludes 3266 individuals among the COVID-increased risk adult population from Guam and Puerto Rico

Based on summary from the Kaiser Family Foundation as of January 2018. Excludes 3266 individuals among the COVID-increased risk adult population from Guam and Puerto Rico

[#]Based on summary from the Kaiser Family Foundation; status as of March 30, 2020. Excludes 3266 individuals among the COVID-increased risk adult population from Guam and Puerto Rico