Depression Risk and Outcomes Among ASCVD Patients

Ron D. Hays, PhD

Division of General Internal Medicine & Health Services Research, UCLA Department of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

J Gen Intern Med 35(8):2478
DOI: 10.1007/s11606-020-05789-z
© Society of General Internal Medicine 2020

Okunrintemi et al.¹ found that those who scored between 4 and 6 (“high risk” for depression) versus between 0 and 3 (“low risk” for depression) on the 2-item Patient Health Questionnaire depression had worse SF-12 PCS and MCS scores and worse reported self-rated general health (In general, would you say your health is: *excellent, very good, good, fair, poor*). In addition, those with a clinical diagnosis of depression (ICD-9-M Code of 311) had worse SF-12 PCS and MCS scores and self-rated health than those without depression. The finding that depressive symptoms and depression are associated with SF-12 MCS scores is circular because the SF-12 assesses mental health. While the standard scoring of the SF-12 forces the PCS and MCS to be uncorrelated, depression is correlated with physical health.² In addition, the self-rated general health item is one of the SF-12 questions.

Moreover, the authors incorrectly state that the SF-12 PCS and MCS scores range from “0 to (worst health status possible) to 100 (best health status possible)” (p. 2429). The PCS and MCS are scored on a T-score metric with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10 in the US general population.

The authors refer to the *excellent, very good, good, fair, and poor* response scale as a “Likert scale.”² Technically, a Likert

scale uses an agree-disagree response scale. Not all polytomous response scales are Likert scales.³

The authors call the CAHPS overall rating of healthcare item a measure of patient satisfaction. No articles published by the CAHPS instrument developers are cited and there is no reference to the CAHPS website⁴ where it is noted that the “terms patient satisfaction and patient experience are often used interchangeably, but they are not the same thing.”

Corresponding Author: Ron D. Hays, PhD; Division of General Internal Medicine & Health Services Research UCLA Department of Medicine, 1100 Glendon Avenue, Suite 850, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA (e-mail: drhays@ucla.edu).

REFERENCES

1. Okunrintemi V, Valero-Elizondo Micos ED, Salami JA, et al. Association of depression risk with patient experience, healthcare experience, and health resource utilization among adults with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2019;34:2427–34.
2. Farivar SS, Cunningham WE, Hays RD. Correlated physical and mental health summary scores for the SF-36 and SF-12 health survey, V. 1. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2007;5:54.
3. Uebersax JS. Likert Scales: Dispelling the Confusion. Available at: <http://www.john-uebersax.com/stat/likert.htm>. Accessed November 20, 2019.
4. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. What is Patient Experience? Available at: <https://www.ahrq.gov/cahps/about-cahps/patient-experience/index.html>. Accessed November 20, 2019.

Publisher's Note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received November 21, 2019

Accepted March 9, 2020

Published online March 23, 2020