# Use of Z-Codes to Record Social Determinants of Health Among Fee-for-service Medicare Beneficiaries in 2017

William B. Weeks, MD, PhD, MBA<sup>1</sup>, Stacey Y. Cao, MHS<sup>2</sup>, Chris M. Lester, PhD<sup>2</sup>, James N. Weinstein, DO, MS<sup>1,3</sup>, and Nancy E. Morden, MD, MPH<sup>1,3</sup>



J Gen Intern Med 35(3):952–5 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-019-05199-w © Society of General Internal Medicine 2019

### INTRODUCTION

Social determinants of health are increasingly recognized as important contributors to individual health, population health, and healthcare spending.<sup>1</sup> In high-income countries, their inclusion in risk-adjustment models matters.<sup>2</sup> US adoption of ICD-10-CM diagnosis codes in 2015 introduced "Miscellaneous Z-Codes" that permit clinicians to record important social determinants or "factors influencing health status." These codes include, for example, education and literacy status or employment status. Identifying and recording such conditions will be key to their future inclusion in risk-adjustment models. We examined Miscellaneous Z-Code use among Medicare feefor-service (FFS) beneficiaries and compared characteristics of beneficiaries with and without Z-Codes. Recognizing the relationship between mental health and social determinants of health,<sup>3</sup> we explored how Z-Codes were used among beneficiaries with diagnosed psychiatric conditions.

#### **METHODS**

Among Medicare beneficiaries continuously enrolled in FFS Medicare Parts A and B throughout 2017, we identified those with and without a Z-Code diagnosis and compared their demographics, Medicaid enrollment, residential ZIP Code median household income, hierarchical condition category (HCC) scores, and 2017 Medicare Part A and B expenditures. For beneficiaries with a psychiatric diagnosis (one or more ICD-10-CM F-Codes), we calculated odds of receiving a Z-Code and, within psychiatric diagnosis categories, examined the prevalence of specific Z-Codes including: education and literacy

Received May 15, 2019 Accepted July 9, 2019 Published online July 19, 2019 (Z55), employment and unemployment (Z56), occupational risk factors (Z57), housing and economic circumstances (Z59), social environment (Z60), upbringing (Z62), and primary social support group (Z63). We used SPSS v25 (2017, Armonk, NY: IBM Corporation) for statistical analyses.

#### RESULTS

In 2017, 0.96% of our cohort had a Z-Code recorded; those with a Z-Code were younger, more likely to be male, black, and Medicaid-enrolled than those without one (Table 1). Compared with those without Z-Codes, beneficiaries with them lived in ZIP Codes with lower median household incomes and had higher HCC scores. Mean per capita Part A and B spending was \$26,852 (SD \$39,287) among beneficiaries with Z-Code diagnoses and \$9,530 (SD \$22,031) among those without them.

Z-Codes were more commonly recorded among beneficiaries with a psychiatric diagnosis: beneficiaries with alcoholism, drug disorders, and psychotic disorders were most likely to have a Z-Code recorded (Table 2). Among beneficiaries with Z-Code diagnoses, those with dementia had more recorded problems with the social environment, those with substance use and psychotic disorders had more recorded problems with employment and housing and economic circumstances, and those with mood and anxiety disorders had the highest prevalence of primary social support group problems.

## DISCUSSION

In 2017, Z-Codes were recorded in less than 1% of the Medicare FFS population, an astoundingly low proportion of the population (given the well-understood association between socioeconomic status, health, and health services utilization).<sup>1</sup>



Variables		Z-Code recorded		<i>p</i> value
		No	Yes	
Number of Medica	re FFS beneficiaries	30,523,773	297,110	
Percent		99.04%	0.96%	
Age	<18	0.5%	0.4%	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
	18-45	4.2%	14.3%	
	46-64	12.0%	26.0%	
	65+	83.3%	59.3%	
Sex	Male	54.9%	59.9%	p < 0.0001
Race	White	84.6%	80.6%	n < 0.0001
	Black	9.3%	13.1%	P
	Hispanic	2.0%	2.8%	
	Other	41%	3.4%	
Medicaid enrolled	outer	18.4%	43.3%	n < 0.0001
Median household	income (\$)	61 679	58 512	n < 0.0001
STDFV	meonie (\$)	25.848	24 295	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
Hierarchical conditi	ion category score	1.04	1 35	n < 0.0001
STDEV	ion category score	1.04	1.55	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
2017 nor conite Me	diaara Dart	0250	1.20	m < 0.0001
2017 per capita Me		9330	20,832	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
A and B expenditu	res (\$)	22.021	20.205	
SIDEV		22,031	39,287	

 Table 1 Comparison of Medicare Fee-for-service Beneficiaries With and Without Z-Code Diagnoses 2017, Demographics, Illness Burden, Prevalence of Psychiatric Conditions, and Per Capita Medicare A and P Expenditures for Those With and Without a Z-Code

Psychiatric conditions are measured by presence of ICD-10-CM diagnostic F-codes. STDEV means standard deviation. Median household income is measured at the beneficiary's residential ZIP Code. p value tests for statistical difference across columns using Student's t test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables

This suggests Z-Codes were not being used nearly as often as would likely be appropriate. While beneficiaries with Z-Codes were younger, were sicker, and had annual medical expenditures almost threefold that of beneficiaries without them, the low rate of Z-Code use suggests they constitute a specific, but not sensitive, measure of socioeconomic need. Z-Codes were more commonly recorded among beneficiaries with psychiatric diagnoses; this may represent bias by providers recording these codes. Mental health professionals have a long used a multi-axial diagnostic framework that includes an evaluation of psychosocial and environmental factors that affect global functioning; they may simply be more accustomed to recording social determinants of health.

Our study is limited by its cross-sectional nature and reliance on administrative data. The observed patterns reflect clinician adoption of codes shortly after their introduction; current practice may differ.

Nonetheless, the observed patterns align with expectations: recorded social determinants correlate with indicators of poverty and behavioral health conditions. While we do not know the true prevalence of conditions codified by Z-Codes or the extent to which these conditions are explored by clinicians, the observed prevalence undoubtedly misses the majority of cases. Using natural language processing to extract and code data from electronic medical records<sup>4</sup> may facilitate recording and categorization of social determinants of health and accelerate their use in population health management and value-based payment models.<sup>5</sup> More importantly, routinely capturing that information might signal specific, important needs of these patients to care managers, care teams, payers, and policy makers who aim to leverage public resources to optimize healthcare delivery value and improve the population's health.

17	
20	
ies,	
iar	
efic	
Ben	
[e]	
Ţ.	
r-se	
-ioi	
Fee	
re]	
ica	
Ied	
<u>م</u>	
nor	
An	
ses	
jno:	
iag	
'n	
lth	
Hea	
lg l	
ncir	
luei	
Infl	
S	
Icto	
.Ε.	
de	
Ŝ	
Z	
led	
ore	
Rec	
pu	
s a	
ion	
Idit	
Cor	
th	
eal	
ΗI	
ora	
avi	
3eh	
le I	
Coc	
F	
led	
30rt	
Rec	
en	
we	
Bet	
μ	
nsh	
atio	
Rels	
2 1	
le	
lab	
_	

Diagnostic	ICD-10-CM	Number of FF	S	Odds ratio	Distribution of	specific Z-Codes wi	thin diagnostic cate	gories (defined as "p	roblems with")		
category	cones	Denenciaries		(IN %66)	Z55	Z56	ZS7	Z59	Z60	Z62	Z63
		Without Z-Code	With Z-Code		Education and literacy	Employment	Occupational risk factors	Housing and economic circumstances	Social environment	Upbringing	Primary social support group
Any F-Code	F00-F99	11,318,921	244,478	6.65 (6.59-	1.8%	7.7%	2.8%	44.1%	21.4%	11.4%	47.4%
Dementia	F00-F09	2,168,566	54,832	2.40 (2.38–	1.5%	6.2%	2.4%	50.1%	33.2%	8.6%	38.0%
Alcoholism	F10	538,741	43,875	2.42) 8.62 (8.54– 8.70)	1.3%	13.8%	1.3%	75.7%	16.7%	19.7%	28.8%
Tobacco	F17	2,513,587	91,122	6.70) 4.55 (4.52–	1.8%	11.8%	2.5%	67.9%	17.5%	16.7%	31.0%
dependence Other drug disorders	F11–F16 and	830,814	62,683	4.29) 8.81 (8.74– 8.80	1.2%	14.1%	1.1%	72.8%	14.8%	21.5%	29.6%
Psychotic disorders	F20-F29	725,853	59,805	0.00) 9.06 (8.98– 0.13)	1.4%	16.2%	0.6%	73.9%	19.2%	20.2%	25.6%
Mood disorders	F30-F39	5,353,876	168,955	5.35 (5.32- 5.35 (5.32-	1.5%	8.8%	2.0%	44.6%	20.0%	15.1%	47.6%
Anxiety and other disorders	F40-F99	6,463,304	185,000	5.23 (5.19– 5.26)	1.6%	8.2%	2.2%	40.3%	19.5%	13.8%	50.5%
Develuation conditions	and ity positional out	Source of ICD-10-C	<sup>T</sup> M diamostic	F_Codes							

2

:

Corresponding Author: William B. Weeks, MD, PhD, MBA; Microsoft Research, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA (e-mail: william. weeks@microsoft.com).

#### Compliance with Ethical Standards:

CareJourney has IRB approval ("Understanding the drivers of ACO success in achieving low cost and high quality care"; Solutions IRB Study No. 2017/08/15) to study health service utilization using CMS data (CMS DUA 51593) through their Virtual Research Data Center.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they do not have a conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

 Fink DS, Keyes KM, Cerda M. Social Determinants of Population Health: A Systems Sciences Approach. Current epidemiology reports 2016;3:98– 105.

- Stringhini S, Carmeli C, Jokela M, et al. Socioeconomic status and the 25 x 25 risk factors as determinants of premature mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1.7 million men and women. Lancet (London, England) 2017;389:1229–37.
- Allen J, Balfour R, Bell R, Marmot M. Social determinants of mental health. International review of psychiatry (Abingdon, England) 2014;26:392–407.
- Navathe AS, Zhong F, Lei VJ, et al. Hospital Readmission and Social Risk Factors Identified from Physician Notes. Health Serv Res 2018;53:1110– 36.
- Gottlieb L. Tobey R, Cantor J, Hessler D, Adler NE. Integrating Social And Medical Data To Improve Population Health: Opportunities And Barriers. Health affairs (Project Hope) 2016;35:2116–23.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.