RESEARCH COMMUNICATION





Zooming to Net Zero: Using Virtual Visits to Decrease Carbon Emissions and Costs from Surgery

Jenny H. Chang¹ · Sara M. Maskal¹ · Ryan C. Ellis¹ · Ajita S. Prabhu¹ · Michael J. Rosen¹ · R. Matthew Walsh¹ · Benjamin T. Miller¹

Received: 30 March 2023 / Accepted: 12 May 2023 / Published online: 31 May 2023 © The Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract 2023

Keywords Telemedicine · Virtual visits · Carbon emissions · Sustainability · General surgery clinic

Introduction

Minimizing healthcare-associated travel may reduce the healthcare sector's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and patient costs, as travel is estimated to contribute 10% of healthcare sector carbon emissions.^[1,2] The COVID-19 pandemic was a catalyst for telemedicine as the healthcare industry had to rethink clinical care while social distancing. We aimed to examine the patient cost burden and the environmental impact associated with travel versus telehealth before and during COVID-19.

Methods

Following IRB approval, the EMR was queried for General Surgery (GS) outpatient visits in the HPB, ACS, hernia, and breast divisions at our institution. Virtual visits (VVs) were defined as synchronous surgeon-to-patient video conferencing. Nineteenmonth periods prior to (June 2017–December 2019) and during (January 2020–July 2022) COVID-19 were compared.

Table 1 demonstrates the outcome calculations based on the following assumptions: (1) Transportation from home (based on zip code) was by an average gasoline-powered passenger vehicle. (2) Average fuel economy = 24.2 miles/ gallon (US Department of Energy). (3) CO₂ emissions are

Meeting Information: This project was presented at the Americas Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association (AHPBA) meeting on March 9–12, 2023, in Miami, Florida.

☐ Jenny H. Chang Changj7@ccf.org 95–99% of the total GHG emissions from a vehicle, emitting approximately 404 g of CO_2 /mile (EPA). The environmental impact of computers was considered negligible.

Descriptive statistics were performed using Microsoft Excel (2013, Washington).

Results

A total of 56,248 office and virtual visits were identified. Before COVID-19, 26,208/26,515(98.8%) visits were inoffice; 307/26,515(1.2%) were virtual. During COVID-19, 26,477/29,733(89.0%) were in-office; 3,256/29,733(10.9%) were virtual.

Patients participating in VV during the pre-COVID-19 period saved an estimated aggregate of \$23,942, 8,345 gallons of gasoline, and 81,590 kg of CO₂ for a median travel distance saved of 378 (IQR 157–785) miles (Table 2). During the COVID-19 period, VV patients saved an estimated aggregate of \$147,438, 49,233 gallons of gasoline, and 481,341 kg of CO₂ for a median travel distance saved of 182 (IQR 52–404) miles (Table 2). The difference equated 40,888 gallons of gasoline and 399,751 kg of CO₂ saved through utilization of telemedicine between the two periods.

During this study period, if a randomly selected half of the 52,685 office visits completed VVs, potential patient travel burden saved would be 4,502,916 miles and \$613,008. The potential environmental impact would be 186,070 gallons of gas and 1,819,178 kg of CO_2 saved.

Discussion

During COVID-19, VV utilization increased from 1 to 11%. Saving nearly 40,000 gallons of gas and 400,000 kg of CO_2 emissions, telemedicine successfully maintained clinical

¹ Department of General Surgery, Digestive Disease and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Ave A100, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

 Table 1
 Definition and formulation of outcome measurements

	Variable	Definition	Calculation
Patient travel burden	Travel distance	Round trip distance between patient zip code and service site of surgeon	Distance traveled $\times 2$
	Travel costs	Cost of patient travel including cost of fuel and parking	(<u>Distance moveled x 2</u> Mails per gallow Average US retail gasoline price per year) + \$4 Based on the US Department of Energy average passenger vehicle fuel economy
Environmental Impact	Fuel consump- tion	Amount of standard gasoline consumed per round trip	Distance traveled × 2 24.2 miles per gallon Based on the US Department of Energy average passenger vehicle fuel economy
	Carbon emis- sions	Vehicle emission of carbon dioxide	Distance traveled $\times 2 \times 404g$ CO2 per mile Based on the Environmental Protection Agency's average passenger vehicle emissions per mile

volume while reducing patient travel burden. If half of all GS clinic visits in this study were virtual, the potential encounter type (preoperative versus postoperative), visit duration, and technology failure rate was not acquired.

Table 2 Estimated travel burden and environmental impact saved through utilization of virtual visits, median (IQR)

		2017–2019 virtual visits ($N = 307$)		2020–2022 virtual visits (<i>N</i> =3256)	
		Median (IQR)	Total	Median (IQR)	Total
Patient travel burden	Saved travel distance (miles)	378 (157–785)	201,956	182 (52–404)	1,191,440
	Saved travel costs (\$)	48 (22–92)	23,942	23 (9–49)	147,438
Environmental impact	Saved fuel consumption (gallons)	16 (7–32)	8345	8 (2–17)	49,233
	Saved carbon emissions (kg of CO2)	153 (63–317)	81,590	74 (21–163)	481,341

environmental impact saved would be equivalent to the CO_2 emissions from 354 homes' electricity use for 1 year or carbon sequestered by a forest size of 1% of New York City. This is only representative of a portion of the GS department at one quaternary institution which sees 10 million patients annually.

VVs are feasible in GS; however, adoption has been limited. Barriers to increased integration of telemedicine include workflow disruption, security concerns, technology infrastructure and technology literacy of patients and clinicians, limited ability to examine patients leading to clinical uncertainty, and perceived lack of personal connection, as well as regulatory and reimbursement restraints.^[3,4] As the USA declared a public health emergency during COVID-19, payers expanded previously limited coverage for telemedicine services, and the policy and payment landscape continues to evolve.^[5,6]

Limitations include calculations that are based on theoretical assumptions and an inherent selection bias in those who choose/decline to utilize telehealth. Differentiation between

Conclusion

The impact of VVs extends beyond the cost of care not only in dollars, but on the environment. As the healthcare sector is a major contributor to climate change, telehealth is one way surgeons can make a positive environmental impact.

Acknowledgements John McMichael BSc, Alexander Darling, BS, Clayton Petro, MD FACS, Lucas Beffa, MD FACS, Robert Simon, MD FACS, Daniel Joyce, MBBCh

Author Contribution Study conception and design: Jenny H. Chang, Sara M. Maskal, Benjamin Miller.

Data acquisition and analysis: Jenny H. Chang, Sara M. Maskal, Benjamin Miller.

Drafting of the manuscript: Jenny H. Chang, Sara M. Maskal, Benjamin Miller.

Critical revision: Jenny H. Chang, Sara M. Maskal, Ryan C. Ellis, Ajita Prabhu, Michael Rosen, R. Matthew Walsh, Benjamin Miller.

All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Data Availability The participants of this study did not give written consent for their data to be shared publicly, so due to the sensitive nature of the research supporting data, such as patient zip codes, are not available.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors have the following disclosures to report:

Jenny H. Chang MD has no financial disclosures.

Sara M. Maskal MD has a research grant from the Abdominal Core Health Quality Collaborative.

Ryan C. Ellis MD has no financial disclosures.

Ajita Prabhu MD accepts speaking fees and research support paid to institution from Intuitive Surgical and consulting fees and is on the Advisory Board for CMR Surgical and Surgimatix, and accepts consulting fees from Verb Surgical.

Michael Rosen MD is the medical director of Abdominal Core Health Ouality Collaborative and has stock options with Ariste.

R. Matthew Walsh MD has no financial disclosures.

Benjamin Miller MD has no financial disclosures.

References

1. Tennison I, Roschnik S, Ashby B, et al. Health care's response to climate change: a carbon footprint assessment of the NHS in England. *The Lancet Planetary Health*. 2021;5(2):e84-e92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30271-0

- Karliner J, Slotterback S, Boyd R, Ashby B, Steele K, Wang J. Health care's climate footprint: the health sector contribution and opportunities for action. European Journal of Public Health. 2020;30(Supplement_5):ckaa165.843. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurpub/ckaa165.843
- McMaster T, Wright T, Mori K, Stelmach W, To H. Current and future use of telemedicine in surgical clinics during and beyond COVID-19: A narrative review. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)*. 2021;66:102378. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102378
- Ashry AH, Alsawy MF. Doctor-patient distancing: an early experience of telemedicine for postoperative neurosurgical care in the time of COVID-19. *The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery*. 2020;56(1):80. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41983-020-00212-0
- AMA Telehealth policy, coding & payment. American Medical Association. Accessed January 2, 2023. https://www.ama-assn. org/practice-management/digital/ama-telehealth-policy-codingpayment
- Billing for telehealth during COVID-19 | Telehealth.HHS.gov. Accessed January 6, 2023. https://telehealth.hhs.gov/providers/ billing-and-reimbursement/

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.