Erratum to: Environmental Orientation of Exporting SMEs from an Emerging Economy: Its Antecedents and Consequences

Ricky Y. K. Chan¹ · Katherine H. Y. Ma²

Published online: 9 June 2016
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Erratum to: Manag Int Rev DOI 10.1007/s11575-016-0280-0

Due to formatting problems some columns in Tables 4 and 5 were not in the right order.

The original article was corrected and updated.

Please excuse this mistake.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11575-016-0280-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-016-0280-0).

✉ Ricky Y. K. Chan
msricky@polyu.edu.hk

¹ Department of Management and Marketing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

² Youth College (Kwai Fong Campus), Vocation Training Council, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong

Table 4 Results of multiple regression analysis (direct effects)

	Model 1 Internal environmental orientation (IEO)	Model 2 External environmental orientation (EEO)	Model 3 Proactive environmental strategies (PES)	Model 4 Corporate export performance (CEP)
Controls				
Firm size (SIZE)	−0.02	0.03	0.01	0.11
Firm age (AGE)	0.02	0.02	−0.04	0.03
International experience (IE)	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.01
Foreign shareholding (FS)	0.11	0.06	0.03	0.02
Industry type (IND)	0.07	0.14*	0.10	−0.06
Number of exporting ventures (NEV)	0.01	0.14*	0.09	0.07
Social desirability bias (SDB)	0.08	0.02	0.08	0.01
Direct effects				
CEO environmental beliefs (CEOEB)	0.32**			
SMEs' efforts in scanning developed markets (SESDM)		0.22*		
Internal environmental orientation (IEO)			0.22**	
External environmental orientation (EEO)			0.49**	
Proactive environmental strategies (PES)				0.32**
Model F statistic	11.11**	9.54**	24.17**	6.90**
Degree of freedom	8	8	9	8
Adjusted R ²	0.18	0.16	0.35	0.12
Summarized results	Support H ₁	Support H ₂	Support H ₃ and H ₄	Support H ₅

All reported estimates are standardized regression coefficients

** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$

Table 5 Results of multiple regression analysis (moderating effects)

	Model 5 Proactive environmental strategies (PES)	Model 6 Proactive environmental strategies (PES)	Model 7 Corporate export performance (CEP)	Model 8 Corporate export performance (CEP)
Controls				
Firm size (SIZE)	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.08
Firm age (AGE)	−0.04	−0.04	0.03	0.03
International experience (IE)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
Foreign shareholding (FS)	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01
Industry type (IND)	0.10	0.08	−0.06	−0.03
Number of exporting ventures (NEV)	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07
Social desirability bias (SDB)	0.08	0.08	0.01	0.01
Direct effects				
Internal environmental orientation (IEO)	0.22**	0.14*		
External environmental orientation (EEO)	0.49**	0.42**		
Proactive environmental strategies (PES)			0.32**	0.30*
Local ecological infrastructure (LEI)	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.02
Interaction effects				
LEI × IEO		0.11		
LEI × EEO		0.36**		
LEI × PES				0.22**
Model F statistic	21.70**	25.21**	6.12**	11.37**
Degree of freedom	10	12	9	10
Adjusted R ²	0.35	0.43	0.12	0.22
Summarized results		Support H ₇ but not H ₆		Support H ₈

All reported estimates are standardized regression coefficients

** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$