



## Correction to: The impact of Digitalized Communication on the effectiveness of Local Administrative Authorities – Findings from Central European Countries in the COVID-19 Crisis

Bernhard Hirsch<sup>1</sup> · Fabienne-Sophie Schäfer<sup>1</sup> · Aleksander Aristovnik<sup>2</sup> · Polonca Kovač<sup>2</sup> · Dejan Ravšelj<sup>2</sup>

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

### Correction to: Journal of Business Economics

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-022-01106-8>

Due to an unauthorized procedure in the publication process, there are errors in the original publication of this article. We apologize for these mistakes. The first error is in the second sentence in the fourth paragraph on page 7. The correct sentence is “With reference to Daft and Weick (1984), Aben et al. (2021) explicitly mention that these information-processing activities include “processing and communicating information (p. 1149).” The second error is the first sentence in the third paragraph on page 8. The correct sentence is “Huber (1990) already proposed the use of computer-assisted communication technologies “leads to higher quality decisions” (p. 64).”

In the Reference list two references are missing:

Galbraith, J.R. (1973), *Designing Complex Organizations*, Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing, Reading, MA

Huber, GP (1990): *A Theory of the Effects of Advanced Information Technologies on Organizational Design, Intelligence, and Decision Making*, *The Academy of Management Review* 15: 47–71

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11573-022-01106-8>.

---

✉ Bernhard Hirsch  
bernhard.hirsch@unibw.de

<sup>1</sup> Universität der Bundeswehr München, 85577 Neubiberg, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Public Administration, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

In the reference list the reference of DiMaggio et al. (1983) was displayed in an incorrect way. The correct reference is: DiMaggio, P., Powell, W.W. (1983): The iron cage revisited: Collective rationality and institutional isomorphism in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review* 48, 147–160

The original article has been updated.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.