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The original version of this article unfortunately contained two mistakes.

1) The presentation of Table 2 was incorrect. The corrected table is given below.
2) Section "Discussion", the fifth paragraph, should read:

From the mycelial coverage per occupied space (cf. Table 2), and an average hyphal diameter of $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (based on measurements of the MDf-ET P. croceum; Weigt et al. 2010), the average distance between two hyphae of $P$. croceum amounted to $0.045 \pm 0.035$ (SD) mm.
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Table 2 Parameters characterizing space occupation of the different exploration types (means $\pm$ SE); ET 'Contact' set as 0

| Exploration <br> type | No. of analysed <br> mycelia | Total projected area per <br> mycelial system $\left[\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right]$ | sAMSO <br> $\left[\mathrm{mm}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{ECM}^{-1}\right]$ | sPMSO <br> $\left[\mathrm{mm}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{ECM}^{-1}\right]$ | Mycelial coverage per occupied <br> space $\left(\mathrm{sAMSO} \mathrm{sPMSO}^{-1}\right)$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{mm}^{2} \mathrm{~mm}^{-2}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contact | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Short-Distance | 7 | $33 \pm 9 \mathrm{a}$ | $89 \pm 18 \mathrm{a}$ | $321 \pm 104 \mathrm{a}$ | $0.39 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{ab}$ |
| Medium-Distance | 14 | $84 \pm 5 \mathrm{~b}$ | $165 \pm 39 \mathrm{a}$ | $271 \pm 69 \mathrm{a}$ | $0.58 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{a}$ |
| Long-Distance | 3 | $630 \pm 181 \mathrm{c}$ | $1336 \pm 354 \mathrm{~b}$ | $4787 \pm 1322 \mathrm{~b}$ | $0.28 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~b}$ |

One-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test; different letters within column indicate significant differences at $p \leq 0.05$
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