

• News & Views • Editor's Focus February 2020 Vol. 63 No. 2: 221064 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-019-1480-x



## LHCb gets closer to discovering the second doubly charmed baryon

Marek Karliner<sup>1\*</sup>, and Jonathan L. Rosner<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel;
<sup>2</sup>Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Received October 22, 2019; accepted November 20, 2019; published online December 20, 2019

Citation: M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, LHCb gets closer to discovering the second doubly charmed baryon, Sci. China-Phys. Mech. Astron. 63, 221064 (2020), https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-019-1480-x

Recently the LHCb Collaboration [1] published the results of a search for the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ . No significant signal is seen in the mass range from 3.4 to 3.8 GeV. To put this result in context, the  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  baryon was seen by LHCb in decay modes  $\Lambda_c K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  [2] (2017) and  $\Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  [3] (2018). The weighted average of the  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  mass is  $3621.24 \pm 0.65$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.31$  (syst.) MeV [3].

The  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  have the quark content *ccu* and *ccd*, respectively. Under the isospin symmetry of the strong interactions they form an isodoublet, like the proton and the neutron. Isospin breaking in hadron masses is a very small effect [4]. Consequently we have firm reasons to expect that the  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} - \Xi_{cc}^{+}$  mass difference is quite small, O(1.5) MeV [5]. The production rates of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  should be similar, as the bottleneck—the production of the *cc* diquark—is the same in both cases. Consequently we *know*  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  exists in the vicinity of 3620 MeV. A claimed  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  at (3518.7±1.7) MeV [6,7] is unlikely to be the isospin partner of the established  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , and has not been confirmed by any other experiment.

The search was a "blind analysis", i.e., it was performed with the whole procedure defined before inspecting the data in the 3400 to 3800 MeV mass range. A search for a  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ signal was performed and the significance of the signal as a function of the  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  mass was evaluated. If the global significance, after considering the look-elsewhere effect, was found to be above  $3\sigma$ , the  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  mass was measured; otherwise, up-

\*Corresponding authors (Marek Karliner, email: marek@tauex.tau.ac.il; Jonathan L. Rosner, email: rosner@hep.uchicago.edu)

per limits were set on the production rates for different CM energies.

As can be seen from Figure 2 in ref. [1], the data exhibit several peaks, but the most significant one occurs just where it is expected. The largest local significance, corresponding to  $3.1\sigma$  (2.7 $\sigma$  after considering systematic uncertainties), occurs around 3620 MeV. However, the look-elsewhere effect [8], intrinsic to the LHCb search procedure, reduces this to  $1.7\sigma$ . We believe that in this case the look-elsewhere effect may be overstated, because the peak shows up nearly (but not precisely) where expected. The result of a fit, as given in the Supplementary Material of ref. [1], is  $M(\Xi_{cc}^+) = (3623.4\pm 1.7)$  MeV, a bit *larger* than  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$ , in contrast to the prediction of ref. [5] and nearly all the others quoted there which find  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$  less than but within a few MeV of  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$ .

The upper limit on  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  production (or the significance of a signal) increases with shorter assumed lifetime, as seen in Table 6 and Figure 6 of ref. [1]. As a result of the internal  $cd \rightarrow su$  process in the decay of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ , its lifetime is several times shorter than that of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ : for example, ref. [9] finds  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^+) = 53$  fs, and  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 185$  fs. (The latter was measured by LHCb to be  $256^{+24}_{-22} \pm 14$  fs [10].)

The validity of the prediction of  $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$  [9] and the signal of its isospin partner not far from *its* predicted mass [5] lend credence to an estimate of the mass of the  $cc\bar{u}\bar{d}$  tetraquark using similar methods, which finds this state to have a mass of (3882±12) MeV [11] and hence unstable with respect to strong decay. The cross section for  $cc\bar{u}\bar{d}$  tetraquark

production is expected [11] to be somewhat, but not much, smaller than the cross section for production of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$  baryons. Thus the new LHCb results provide additional motivation for continuing the search for the  $cc\bar{u}d$  tetraquark.

In summary, the data contain a  $2.7\sigma$  hint of the  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  signal at a mass consistent with predictions based on the measured  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  mass and isospin symmetry. More data are needed to exclude the possibility that this is a statistical fluctuation.

- R. Aaij, et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Sci. China-Phys. Mech. Astron. 63, 221062 (2020), arXiv: 1909.12273 hep-ex.
- 2 R. Aaij, et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 112001

(2017).

- 3 R. Aaij, et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 162002 (2018).
- 4 M. Karliner, and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 100, 073006 (2019).
- 5 M. Karliner, and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 96, 033004 (2017).
- 6 M. Mattson, et al. (SELEX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 112001 (2002).
- 7 A. Ocherashvili, et al. (SELEX Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 628, 18 (2005).
- 8 E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Eur. Phys. J. C 70, 525 (2010).
- 9 M. Karliner, and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 90, 094007 (2014).
- 10 R. Aaij, et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 052002 (2018).
- M. Karliner, and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 202001 (2017);
   E. J. Eichten, and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 202002 (2017).