

•LETTER TO THE EDITOR•

November 2022 Vol.65 No.11: 2332–2334 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-022-2133-3

## Receipt of inactivated COVID-19 vaccine had no adverse influence on embryo implantation, clinical pregnancy and miscarriage in early pregnancy

Yapeng Wang<sup>1,2,3,4†</sup>, Xiulian Ren<sup>1,2,3,4†</sup>, Zhongwei Wang<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Xue Feng<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Ming Li<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> & Ping Liu<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing 100191, China; <sup>2</sup>National Clinical Research Center for Obstetrics and Gynecology (Peking University Third Hospital), Beijing 100191, China; <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Assisted Reproduction (Peking University), Ministry of Education, Beijing 100191, China; <sup>4</sup>Beijing Key Laboratory of Reproductive Endocrinology and Assisted Reproductive Technology, Beijing 100191, China

Received March 8, 2022; accepted May 26, 2022; published online June 9, 2022

Citation: Wang, Y., Ren, X., Wang, Z., Feng, X., Li, M., and Liu, P. (2022). Receipt of inactivated COVID-19 vaccine had no adverse influence on embryo implantation, clinical pregnancy and miscarriage in early pregnancy. Sci China Life Sci 65, 2332–2334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-022-2133-3

Dear Editor,

Pregnant women are at high risk of COVID-19 infection. During pregnancy, COVID-19 infection increases the risk of preterm delivery and the probability of intensive care unit care for the parturient and neonate (Allotey et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2020). Several women have altered their pregnancy plans due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Flynn et al., 2021). In China, the inactivated COVID-19 vaccine has been widely promoted, and third booster shots have been started (Yue et al., 2021; Yue et al., 2022). However, data are currently lacking regarding the effects of the inactivated COVID-19 vaccine on embryo implantation and maternal safety. In addition, concerns about the impact of vaccination on maternal health are a barrier to vaccination before pregnancy.

We retrospectively collected and analyzed the data on embryo implantation, clinical pregnancy, and spontaneous abortion to investigate the effect of inactivated COVID-19 vaccine on early pregnancy and in vitro fertilization (IVF). All participants had completed gamete retrieval and embryo cryopreservation before getting vaccinated with inactivated COVID-19 vaccine at the reproductive medicine center of Peking University Third Hospital. After completing two doses of inactivated COVID-19 vaccine, the patients underwent embryo thawing and transplantation. By the end of our statistics, all patients, whether vaccinated or unvaccinated, who were pregnant after transplantation had reached the second or third trimester of pregnancy. The data in this study were adjusted and analyzed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 18.

Overall, 460 patients vaccinated with inactivated COVID-19 vaccine were included in this study. Of these, 192 patients (Group 1) were transferred with cleavage embryos, 268 patients (Group 2) with blastocysts, and patients unvaccinated in the same period served as controls. The basic characteristics showed no statistical differences between the vaccinated and the control groups (Table 1). The average vaccinated female ages were  $33.20\pm4.74$  and  $33.95\pm$ 4.47 years (Group 1 and Group 2, respectively), and the control groups were  $32.56\pm4.20$  and  $33.69\pm4.03$  years. More than half of the participants had primary infertility (Group 1: 67.19% and Group 2: 54.10%). After receiving two doses of inactivated COVID-19 vaccine, the patients underwent an embryo warming-transplantation cycle. The outcomes of embryo implantation, clinical pregnancy, and miscarriage

\*Contributed equally to this work

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (email: bysylp@sina.com)

Variable	Group 1 (n=192)	Control (n=451)	P value (adjusted)	Group 2 ( <i>n</i> =268)	Control (n=585)	P value (adjusted)
Female age (year)	33.20±4.74	32.56±4.20	0.159	33.95±4.47	33.69±4.03	0.615
Male age (year)	34.47±6.43	33.88±4.83	0.088	34.95±5.29	35.04±5.22	0.658
Female BMI	22.34±3.71	22.70±3.70	0.608	22.46±3.11	22.41±3.32	0.062
Male BMI	25.04±3.67	25.76±4.02	0.327	25.23±4.62	25.36±3.90	0.692
Type of infertility			0.829			0.884
Primary	129/192 (67.19)	295/451 (65.41)		145/268 (54.10)	330/585 (56.41)	
Secondary	63/192 (32.81)	156/451 (33.59)		123/268 (45.90)	255/585 (43.59)	
Duration of infertility	4.66±3.11	4.42±3.19	0.320	5.51±4.02	4.90±3.52	0.651
Etiology of infertility			0.333			0.703
Tubal	55/192 (28.65)	116/451 (25.72)		61/268 (22.76)	142/585 (24.27)	
Male factor	31/192 (16.15)	96/451 (21.29)		49/268 (18.28)	107/585 (18.29)	
Endometriosis	7/192 (3.65)	38/451 (8.43)		13/268 (4.85)	28/585 (4.79)	
Ovulatory disorder	45/192 (23.44)	109/451 (24.17)		62/268 (23.13)	141/585 (24.10)	
Uterine factor		4/451 (0.89)		4/268 (1.49)	7/585 (1.20)	
Unexplained infertility	9/192 (4.69)	44/451 (9.76)		11/268 (4.10)	46/585 (7.86)	
Others	45/192 (23.44)	44/451 (9.76)		68/268 (25.37)	114/585 (19.49)	
Endometrial thickness on transfer day (mm)	10.23±1.65	9.87±1.76	0.181	9.77±1.68	9.96±1.61	0.494
Number of transferred embryo	1.92±1.65	1.90±0.29	0.402	$1.02{\pm}0.14$	105±0.03	0.877
Implantation rate (%)	25.00 (92/368)	28.47 (244/857)	0.663	38.46 (105/273)	39.22 (242/617)	0.692
Clinical pregnancy rate (%)	39.58 (76/192)	43.43 (196/451)	0.088	38.43 (103/268)	40.00 (234/585)	0.797
Miscarriage rate (%)	6.58 (5/76)	7.65 (15/196)	0.543	13.59 (14/103)	10.68 (25/234)	0.477
Ectopic pregnancy rate (n)	2	1	NS	0	1	NS

Table 1 Baseline clinical characteristics and embryo warming-transferred cycle outcomes of patients

showed no statistical differences from the noninjected group (Table 1). The clinical pregnancy rates of Group 1 and Group 2 were 39.58% and 38.43%, and the embryo implantation rates were 25.00% and 38.46%, respectively, which showed no statistical differences from the noninjected group. All pregnant participants had reached the second or third trimester of pregnancy. In addition, 6.58% (5/76) of vaccinated participants transferred with the cleavage stage embryos reported a miscarriage. All the miscarriages occurred during early pregnancy (within 10 weeks), including four cases of fetal suspension and one case of spontaneous abortion. In addition, 13.59% (14/103) of vaccinated participants transferred with blastocysts had a miscarriage; except two cases of spontaneous abortion, all the others were fetal suspension.

Several women have postponed or changed pregnancy plans during the COVID-19 pandemic (Flynn et al., 2021). Important reasons might be the concerns about the COVID-19 pandemic and doubts about vaccine safety. In this study, our results showed that receiving two doses of inactivated COVID-19 vaccine had no influence on embryo implantation, clinical pregnancy, and miscarriage during the embryo warming-transplantation cycle. Rouse et al. (2017) reported that spontaneous abortion is a primary indicator of female vaccine safety. Our study demonstrated no adverse influence on the miscarriage rate in vaccinated patients compared with unvaccinated patients. Studies reported that COVID-19 might have reproductive toxicities for the ubiquitous expression of ACE2 (Jing et al., 2020), and infection with COVID-19 reduced the proportion of "top quality embryos" in IVF treatment (Orvieto et al., 2021). Vaccination with inactivated COVID-19 vaccine could avoid more than 50% chance of infection (Li et al., 2021). Our study further confirmed that vaccination had no adverse influence on embryo implantation and early pregnancy. The major limitations of our research were the small sample size and the short followup time. However, these results are still helpful in vaccine promotion and patient consultation.

**Compliance and ethics** This study was approved by the Reproductive Medicine Ethics Committee of Peking University Third Hospital (2022SZ-005). The author(s) declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China for Young Scholars (81601275, 31801251) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82071721).

## References

Allotey, J., Stallings, E., Bonet, M., Yap, M., Chatterjee, S., Kew, T., Debenham, L., Llavall, A.C., Dixit, A., Zhou, D., et al. (2020). Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ m3320.

- Flynn, A.C., Kavanagh, K., Smith, A.D., Poston, L., and White, S.L. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on pregnancy planning behaviors. Womens Health Rep 2, 71–77.
- Jing, Y., Run-Qian, L., Hao-Ran, W., Hao-Ran, C., Ya-Bin, L., Yang, G., and Fei, C. (2020). Potential influence of COVID-19/ACE2 on the female reproductive system. Mol Hum Reprod 26, 367–373.
- Li, X.N., Huang, Y., Wang, W., Jing, Q.L., Zhang, C.H., Qin, P.Z., Guan, W.J., Gan, L., Li, Y.L., Liu, W.H., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccines against the Delta variant infection in Guangzhou: a test-negative case-control real-world study. Emerg Microbes Infect 10, 1751–1759.
- Lu, L., Zhang, H., Zhan, M., Jiang, J., Yin, H., Dauphars, D.J., Li, S.Y., Li, Y., and He, Y.W. (2020). Antibody response and therapy in COVID-19 patients: what can be learned for vaccine development? Sci China Life

Sci 63, 1833–1849.

- Orvieto, R., Segev-Zahav, A., and Aizer, A. (2021). Does COVID-19 infection influence patients' performance during IVF-ET cycle?: an observational study. Gynecol Endocrinol 37, 895–897.
- Rouse, C.E., Eckert, L.O., Babarinsa, I., Fay, E., Gupta, M., Harrison, M.S., Kawai, A.T., Kharbanda, E.O., Kucuku, M., Meller, L., et al. (2017). Spontaneous abortion and ectopic pregnancy: case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunization safety data. Vaccine 35, 6563–6574.
- Yue, L., Zhou, J., Zhou, Y., Yang, X., Xie, T., Yang, M., Zhao, H., Zhao, Y., Yang, T., Li, H., et al. (2021). Antibody response elicited by a third boost dose of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine can neutralize SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. Emerg Microbes Infect 10, 2125–2127.
- Yue, L., Xie, T., Yang, T., Zhou, J., Chen, H., Zhu, H., Li, H., Xiang, H., Wang, J., Yang, H., et al. (2022). A third booster dose may be necessary to mitigate neutralizing antibody fading after inoculation with two doses of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. J Med Virol 94, 35–38.