



Correction: Destinism: Puzzle Solved

John Martin Fischer¹

Published online: 26 April 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Correction: *Philosophia*

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11406-022-00475-2>

The original version of the article unfortunately contained mistakes. In the last full paragraph on p. 3, the sentence (penultimate in paragraph) reads:

“In an epistemic FSC, it may be that the agent has what she takes to be sufficient reason to do *x*, but she cannot do *x*.”

This should read:

“In an epistemic FSC, it may be that the agent has what she takes to be sufficient reason to believe that *x*, but she cannot form the belief that *x*.”

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11406-022-00475-2>.

✉ John Martin Fischer
john.fischer@ucr.edu

¹ Department of Philosophy, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA