

TRANSFER OF SEDIMENTS AND CONTAMINANTS IN CATCHMENTS AND RIVERS

# Metal contamination of bed sediments in the Irwell and Upper Mersey catchments, northwest England: exploring the legacy of industry and urban growth

Rachel R Hurley<sup>1</sup> · James J Rothwell<sup>1</sup> · Jamie C Woodward<sup>1</sup>

Received: 29 September 2016 / Accepted: 22 January 2017 / Published online: 14 February 2017 © The Author(s) 2017. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

# Abstract

*Purpose* Manchester is often heralded as the first industrial city. Large volumes of physical and liquid contaminants were released into its river network throughout the industrial period up to the latter part of the twentieth century. Water quality has improved dramatically in recent decades, but, given their environmental significance, it is important to ascertain the extent to which a legacy of contamination persists in the modern bed sediments.

*Materials and methods* Fine-grained bed sediments were sampled at 40 sites in the Mersey and Irwell catchments. Sediments were wet sieved to isolate the <63-µm grain size fraction. Metal concentrations were determined using XRF. Particle size characteristics were also measured. Sediments were subjected to a five-step sequential extraction procedure to ascertain the environmental significance of metal concentrations. Alongside archival research of past industry, enrichment factors, multivariate statistical techniques and conditional inferences trees were used to identify sources of heavy metals.

*Results and discussion* Bed sediment-associated heavy metal(loid) concentrations were as follows: As  $(9.89-110 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ , Cr  $(76.5-413 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ , Cu  $(53.1-383 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ , Pb  $(80.4-442 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  and Zn  $(282-1020 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ . Enrichment factors ranged from moderate to extremely severe, with Pb showing the greatest enrichment across the catchments. Chemical mobility was generally low, but metal(loid) partitioning

Responsible editor: Patrick Byrne

Rachel R Hurley rachel.hurley@manchester.ac.uk identified the influence of anthropogenic sources. Statistical analysis highlighted a number of point sources associated with former industrial sites that operated during the industrial period. Conditional inference trees highlighted the role of the textile industry on Cu concentrations in addition to indicating the complexity of sources, fluxes and stores of sediment-associated contamination throughout the system.

*Conclusions* Fine-grained sediment-associated metal(loid)s in the Mersey and Irwell catchments are anthropogenically enriched. Concentrations also exceed sediment quality guide-lines. A lack of distinct spatial patterning points to a complex network of contaminant inputs across the catchments, even in the headwaters. Whilst potential modern urban sources are likely to be important, spatial patterns and multivariate/data mining techniques also highlighted the importance of releases from former industrial sites as well as the reworking of historically contaminated floodplains and soils.

**Keywords** Bed sediment · Heavy metals · Historical contamination · Sequential extraction · Enrichment factor

# **1** Introduction

Due to the timing and speed of industrialisation, Manchester is often heralded as the first industrial city (Marshall 1940; Hoselitz 1955; Hall 1998; Peck and Ward 2002). Beginning in the mid-eighteenth century, and continuing until its eventual decline from the 1920s, the industrial period saw vast quantities of fine-grained particulates (e.g. boiler ash and cinders) and liquid contaminants (e.g. dyes, bleaches and chemicals) discharged into river channels. Whilst environmental legislation to tackle these problems began in the 1890s, the use of the river network as a waste disposal system effectively continued until the 1980s (Burton 2003). Manchester's industrial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Geography, School of Environment, Education and Development, The University of Manchester, M13 9PL, Manchester, UK

heritage centres upon its textile industries, but paper and printing works, tanneries, saw mills, chemical plants, engineering works, and coal mines were also widespread in this region. Early surveys identified extensive deposition of contaminants into river channels from a variety of industrial sources (Coates 1862; Mersey and Irwell Joint Committee 1892; Douglas et al. 2002). The uninhibited release of contaminants over an extended period added large quantities of heavy metals to the fluvial environment. Although water quality in Manchester has improved in recent decades, there is still a paucity of information regarding sediment quality and the extent to which a legacy of contamination persists within the modern fluvial network.

This paper is part of a wider project assessing the sources, transport pathways and environmental significance of this legacy effect from the Industrial Revolution to the present day. Whilst no formal sediment quality guidelines (SQGs) exist for freshwater bed sediments in the UK, threshold values have been established in Canada and the Netherlands (VROM 2011; CCME 2002), and draft values are under consideration by the UK Environment Agency (EA) (Hudson-Edwards et al. 2008). SQGs provide an assessment of levels beyond which heavy metal contamination may pose a risk to aquatic biota and human health. Furthermore, metal partitioning studies may provide information on mobility and bioavailability. Sequential extractions can be applied to sediments to establish the chemical fractionation of heavy metals and therefore the phases in which metals are bound to sediments. These phases are operationally defined, and a number of methods are used, thus posing potential issues for comparability between studies. The relative proportions for each metal, however, can provide very useful indications regarding the sources and forms of contamination. The various physio-chemical forms of fractionated heavy metals display different behaviours in the wider environment (Tessier and Campbell 1987; Chapman et al. 1998), including changing mobility potential (Calmano et al. 1993; Eggleton and Thomas 2004).

Establishing the relative proportions of modern and historic contaminant sources is not straightforward (Carter et al. 2003; Owens et al. 2016). Principal component analysis (PCA) has been applied to discriminate be-tween natural and anthropogenic sources of contaminants in urban environments (e.g. Manta et al. 2002; Pathak et al. 2015; Wiseman et al. 2015). It is also possible to conceptualise key sources of heavy metals through the grouping of trace elements as geochemical signatures and in mapping factor scores geospatially (e.g. Zhang 2006; Guo et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2014). Data mining techniques, such as conditional inference trees (CITs), have also been used to identify key explanatory factors influencing heavy metal concentrations (Hu and Cheng 2013, 2016; Guo et al. 2014). This method provides a hierarchical splitting of possible impact factors to better understand the relative influence of each source type. This study presents the first application of this technique to archival records of catchment contaminant sources.

This study quantifies fine-grained bed sedimentassociated contamination across the Greater Manchester river network for the first time. Research has been conducted in other catchments in Northern England, identifying the influence of historic metal mining and modern urban environments upon channel bed contamination (e.g. Macklin et al. 1997; Owens et al. 2001; Walling et al. 2003). The present study explores the contaminant legacy of rapid historical industrialisation and urbanisation within Manchester, the first industry city. Drawing upon historical maps and archival data, we attempt to identify potential contaminant contributions from key industries, as well as those from modern sources. Our primary objectives are to (1) establish total sediment-bound concentrations of key heavy metals and metalloids within the modern river network, (2) utilise a sequential extraction technique and enrichment factors to ascertain the anthropogenic component and wider environmental significance of these contaminants and (3) utilise historical industry data alongside multiple statistical approaches to begin to unravel potential contaminant sources and transport pathways to, and within, the fluvial environment.

# 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study site

This study focuses on the Upper Mersey (734 km<sup>2</sup>) and Irwell (793 km<sup>2</sup>) catchments in the Greater Manchester region of northwest England. Manchester is a large urban area within a wider regional population of 2.55 million. It is bounded to the north and east by the Pennine uplands. Sampling encompassed all major tributaries in the two catchments including the rivers Roch, Croal, Tonge, Irk, Medlock, Tame, Etherow and Goyt. The underlying geology is dominated by Carboniferous Millstone Grit and Coal Measures, with Permian and Triassic Sandstone in the lower reaches of the Irwell, Medlock and Mersey. Presently, the catchments are dominated by urban and suburban areas, with some more extensive rural land use in the headwaters (Fig. 1).

Figure 1 shows the sample locations. These were selected to be broadly representative of the land uses described above but also to encompass high density areas of both modern and historical industry. Historic surveys demonstrate the widespread and extensive industrialisation along the river network, from headwater catchments to the city centres of Manchester and Salford (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1 Map of the Mersey and Irwell catchments, northwest England, UK, represented by the green and *red outlines* respectively. Urban areas are indicated by the *grey shaded areas*. Sediment sampling sites are

Industrialisation occurred along all tributaries of the Mersey and Irwell but was more concentrated in the upstream Irwell and around the urban centres of Bolton, Rochdale, Manchester and Stalybridge (Coates 1862;

Mersey and Irwell but was more concentrated in the upstream Irwell and around the urban centres of Bolton, Rochdale, Manchester and Stalybridge (Coates 1862; Mersey and Irwell Joint Committee 1892; Fig. 2). Anecdotal evidence highlights the poor quality of Manchester's rivers during the height of the industrial period. In 1845, Friedrich Engels commented on the River Irk close to its junction with the Irwell in Manchester city centre:

'Above the bridge are tanneries, bonemills and gasworks, from which all drains and refuse find their way into the Irk, which receives further the contents of all the neighbouring sewers and privies. It may easily be imagined, therefore, what sort of residue the stream deposits'.

In 1950, the Parliament debated the depositing of physical and chemical waste into the city's river networks and there were reports of the Irwell and Roch as the 'Rainbow River' running brightly coloured from discharges of

indicated, where sites selected for sequential extractions are indicated by dashed circles and grey text.

textile dyeing processes (House of Commons Hansard debates, 18 April 1950; M. Highfield, pers. comm.) By the time the European Community water quality guidance was introduced in the 1970s, the Mersey and Irwell were considered amongst the most polluted rivers in Europe (Jones 2006). Recent efforts have restored water quality to below threshold values (EA 2011), but sediment quality has never been formally assessed on a catchment-wide basis.

# 2.2 Fine-grained bed sediment sampling

Sediment samples were obtained during low-flow conditions between April and July 2015 across all catchments including headwater reaches. Forty sites were sampled for fine-grained bed sediments following the Lambert and Walling (1988) method. A cylinder of known crosssectional area (0.14 m<sup>2</sup>) was used to isolate a section of river bed and a short depth of bed sediment matrix (5– 10 cm). The bed sediments were agitated to bring the surficial and interstitial fine-grained sediment into suspension. During agitation, samples of water and suspended Fig. 2 Map of historical points of industry within a 1km corridor of river channels for the decade 1890, corresponding with the peak in historical industry in Manchester. Data were obtained from historical Ordnance Survey maps



sediment were decanted into 25 l polyethylene containers. At each site, four points along a cross section were sampled to obtain a representative estimate of contamination and the amount of fine-grained sediment stored on the bed. By isolating a known area of river bed, the use of this cylindrical sampling apparatus permits estimation of fine-grained sediment storage at each site using Eq. (1) (Owens et al. 1999):

$$BS_s = \frac{C_s W_v}{A} \tag{1}$$

where bed sediment storage (BS<sub>s</sub>), reported as grams per square metre, is calculated as a function of the sediment concentration associated with the container ( $C_s$ , g l<sup>-1</sup>) and the volume of water enclosed in the cylinder ( $W_{\nu}$ , l), divided by the surface area isolated during sampling (A, 0.14 m<sup>2</sup>).

# 2.2.1 Sediment analysis

Following settling, sediments were extracted from the containers for analysis. Sediments were wet sieved through a 63  $\mu$ m mesh to isolate the silt and clay fraction, following the technique outlined in Barbanti and Bothner (1993) for preserving sediment-associated metal concentrations. Sediments (<63  $\mu$ m) were freezedried and subsampled for subsequent analyses. Grain size analysis was performed using a Malvern 2000G Particle Sizer, following the removal of organic matter using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Metal(loid) concentrations were obtained from homogenised pressed powder briquettes with a Rigaku CG x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyser. We have focused on As, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn as key anthropogenically enriched metal(loid)s. A full XRF suite was obtained for the PCA and for the derivation of enrichment

factors. Samples were analysed in triplicate, and both the variability between analyses and the average errors for all analysed elements were  $<\pm 10\%$ . Calibration was performed using standard reference materials (SRMs) NIST 2709 and 2780. A library and MCA calibration was performed prior to analysis as recommended by the manufacturer. The 40 sediment samples were also product of the sediment samples were also performed using a Parkin Floren New York (CP) MS. and in

manufacturer. The 40 sediment samples were also analysed using a PerkinElmer NexION ICP-MS, and in order to ensure comparability between the two analytical approaches, these values were used to calibrate the XRF results. For the targeted trace elements, all samples were within  $\pm 10\%$ . The ICP-MS analysis was performed using a 7-point calibration procedure. Certified SRMs were run every 10 samples and drift was <10%. Calibration curves all had  $r^2$  values >0.999.

A five-step sequential extraction procedure was performed on 12 of the sediment samples from sites representing the most downstream locations of each river reach and some key hotspots of heavy metal contamination (Fig. 1). Metal partitioning followed a modified Tessier et al. (1979) scheme. One gram of dried sediment was weighed into 50 ml nitric acid-washed, polyethylene tubes. Metal(loid)s were then extracted in operationally defined phases as follows: (1) exchangeable fraction: 8 ml 1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>; (2) bound to carbonate: 8 ml 1 M NaOAc adjusted to pH 5.0 with acetic acid; (3) bound to Fe and Mn oxides: 20 ml 0.4 M NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCl in 25% (v/v) HOAc; (4) bound to organic matter: digested in 3 ml 0.02 M HNO<sub>3</sub> and 5 ml 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, followed by a further 3 ml 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and finally extracted with 5 ml 3.2 M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc in 20% (v/v) HNO<sub>3</sub>; (5) residual fraction: remaining sediment was digested in a Mars CEM microwave in 10 ml aqua regia (3:1 HCl to HNO<sub>3</sub>) for 1 h, before filtering and dilution. Following each extraction (steps 1-4), samples were centrifuged for 25 min at 2000 rpm. The supernatant was decanted and stored for analysis whilst the residue was rinsed in deionised water for 15 min and centrifuged for a further 15 to wash the sediments between stages. All extracts were analysed via ICP-MS. Duplicate samples for the 12 sites were subjected to the sequential extraction procedure, and all results were within  $\pm 5\%$ .

# 2.2.2 Water quality analysis

In the laboratory, prior to the sieving of sediment samples, a subsample of river water was retained for each of the sites. These samples were filtered using Whatman 0.45- $\mu$ m GMF syringe filters and analysed for pH, conductivity and dissolved metals. Duplicate samples were acidified with 2% ultrapure HNO<sub>3</sub> and analysed with ICP-MS for dissolved metal(loid) concentrations.

# 2.3 Statistical analysis

# 2.3.1 Enrichment factors

The enrichment of heavy metal(loid)s above background concentrations is calculated as the enrichment factor (EF) using Eq. (2) (Förstner 1984):

$$EF_{n} = \frac{\left[\frac{C_{n} \text{ Sample}}{C_{Rb} \text{ Sample}}\right]}{\left[\frac{C_{n} \text{ Background}}{C_{Rb} \text{ Background}}\right]}$$
(2)

where *C* is the concentration of the sediment-associated metal(loid), *n*. Background concentrations were obtained using pre-industrial values, sampled from a range of deep channel bank exposures across the Mersey and Irwell catchments. These values were obtained using XRF analysis where 'preindustrial' was defined as persistent low values beneath a sustained peak in heavy metal concentrations. This allowed us to establish the degree of metal(loid) enrichment following the onset of the industrial period. A number of elements have been proposed as the normalisation agent including Al, Cr, Fe, Li, Mn, Sc and Ti (Sutherland 2000). However, due to the degree of contamination observed across the Mersey and Irwell catchments, following Covelli and Fontolan (1997), Rb was used because it is not anthropogenically enriched.

Enrichment factor values are interpreted as follows:

EF <1	no enrichment
1–3	minor enrichment
3–5	moderate enrichment
5-10	moderately severe enrichment
10–25	severe enrichment
25-50	very severe enrichment
>50	extremely severe enrichment

# 2.3.2 Maps and documents on past industry and modern land use

In order to explore potential controls on contamination concentrations across the Mersey and Irwell fluvial system, three datasets were compiled. Firstly, historical industry data were obtained from the Ordnance Survey (OS) Edina Digimap collection. All points of industry located within 1 km of the river network were georeferenced and characterised by industry subtype (textile, textile processing, metal working, etc.) and for each decade available from 1840 to 1990. Where maps were not available in a given area for a given decade, archive data were used to verify the persistence of an industry point. This analysis produced a gazetteer of past industry associated with the Mersey and Irwell system. It is important to appreciate, however, that these data do not confirm contaminant release and can only demonstrate the presence or absence of industry.

To supplement this dataset, additional information was obtained from a historic survey of liquid pollutant inputs across the Manchester river network that was carried out in 1892 (Mersey and Irwell Joint Committee 1892). This provides point data corresponding to registered pollutant releases associated with industry subtypes (printing and dyeing, bleaching, tanning, etc.) which was georeferenced to correspond to the OS data. Whilst this provides some insight into historical inputs, it only covers a snapshot in time and does not offer details on the magnitude of contaminant release from each industry point. Additionally, it does not consider the inputs of physical waste.

In order to evaluate the influence of *modern* contaminant sources, a third dataset was produced for each of the 40 sites. This incorporated modern industry, the proximity to major road networks, proximity to wastewater treatment works and land use for each site.

### 2.3.3 Statistical analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) and conditional inference tree (CIT) techniques were applied to the metal(loid) concentrations in order to explore potential sources. Varimax rotation was used to facilitate interpretation of each principal component. Only components with eigenvalues >1 were investigated. Scores were calculated for each site against each component to identify contributions of element groupings to total metal(loid) concentrations.

Conditional inference trees have recently been applied to contaminant concentrations to identify natural and anthropogenic sources (e.g. Zhong et al. 2014; Hu and Cheng 2016). The technique can be performed using a wide range of data considered to possess explanatory potential, whilst also eliminating factors which do not influence the target dataset. This produces, through recursive binary splitting, a tree-based regression model where explanatory variables that exert an influence become 'branches' based upon each split and are organised in a hierarchical manner. At the end of each branch, once no further splits are possible, statistically significant groups of values associated with the target variable are presented in terminal nodes. Here, this corresponds to the range of contaminant concentration values associated with each branch. Hence, CITs can indicate the influence of explanatory variables upon metal(loid) concentrations and give reference to their hierarchical significance. The technique can be applied on an element-by-element basis to unpick sources specific to each contaminant. It also resolves issues of overfitting or selection bias which have been highlighted for traditional decision tree models (Hothorn et al. 2006). Full details regarding the CIT methodology can be found in Hothorn et al. (2006).

Existing applications of the CIT approach to unpick contaminant sources have centred on modern explanatory variables such as land use, GDP and population density (Zhong et al. 2014; Hu and Cheng 2016). To identify the influence of industry on metal(loid) concentrations, the three datasets described above were prepared so as to define total points of industry upstream produced for each industry subtype and proximity to industry, determined by a 1 km radius upstream, for each sediment sampling site. For each industry subtype, two explanatory variables were used, namely total points upstream and number of points in proximity. Due to the distinctive spatial pattern of industry types, two additional variables were included that incorporated all industry points upstream and those in proximity to a site, irrespective of industry type. CITs were produced in R version 3.1.0 using the 'party' package. Trees were produced for each of the selected metal(loid)s and for each of the datasets described above.

# **3** Results and discussion

# 3.1 Fine-grained bed sediment storage and associated metal(loid) concentrations

Figure 3 presents the metal(loid) concentrations of finegrained bed sediments in the Mersey and Irwell catchments. There is limited spatial variability and no clear downstream trends. Standard deviations for individual metal(loid) concentrations range from 14.4 (As) to 171 (Zn). As, Cr and Cu present some localised hotspots: downstream of Bolton (As, Cr) and Rochdale (Cu). Pb and Zn show even less variability, with persistent high values across much of the two catchments. The Irk is most contaminated by Zn, with values exceeding 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, whilst higher Pb concentrations are clustered around Bolton, Rochdale, and Rawtenstall on the upper Irwell.

The most contaminated reaches are in the Irk, Roch, Croal and Tame. These reaches contained numerous historic waste input sites and points of industry (Fig. 2; Coates 1862; Mersey and Irwell Joint Committee 1892), but are also associated with modern industrial and urban areas. Many of the headwater catchments also reveal high concentrations of the metal(loid)s, despite showing very little present-day urban and industrial development. The historical extent of industrialisation, however, reached close to the source of many of Manchester's rivers (Fig. 2). In these areas, mills utilised the cleaner waters in industrial processes and the higher flow velocity of the steeper gradients to generate water power. Very few, if any,

**Fig. 3** Total metal(loid) concentrations (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) for As, Cr, Cu, Pb and  $\blacktriangleright$ Zn in fine-grained bed sediments. Values are represented by *proportional circles*. Note that *scales* vary between elements. The standard deviation is provided alongside the mean





sections of the river network, therefore, can be considered pristine.

The absence of any distinctive downstream trends indicates the spatial complexity of contaminant stores and inputs across the Mersey and Irwell system. This suggests that local sources play a key role in elevating sediment-associated metal(loid) concentrations. These contaminant sources may be associated with particulate inputs, such as historically contaminated floodplain material, or direct inputs in solution where metal(loid)s rapidly sorb onto sediment particles within the fluvial system. The nature of the input has implications for the downstream patterns of contaminant transfer. This complexity of sources, stores and fluxes is reflected in the spatial pattern observed in metal(loid) concentrations (Fig. 3). For catchments not heavily influenced by historic metal mining, with the exception of As, the reported concentrations rank amongst the highest urban (non-mining-affected) fluvial systems, as shown in Table 1. It is worth noting that the minimum values observed in the Mersey and Irwell catchments actually exceed, by an order of magnitude, those presented elsewhere,

demonstrating the environmental importance of geographically widespread contaminant release.

All of the metal(loid)s exceed the threshold effect levels (TELs) for the Canadian and draft UK guidelines across all of the sample sites, and the majority also exceed probable effect levels (PELs) (As 37 sites; Cr 28 sites; Cu 33 sites; Pb 39 sites; Zn 37 sites) (CCME 2002; Hudson-Edwards et al. 2008). The maximal concentrations of As. Cr. Cu and Zn exceed the Dutch intervention values (VROM 2011), and a limited number of sites fall below target levels: 1 site for Pb and 14 sites for both Cr and As, demonstrating the low number of sites that evidence 'acceptable' levels of contamination. None of the Cu or Zn concentrations fall below the guideline limits. The SOG values represent thresholds beyond which impacts upon ecology are likely to be observed. PELs refer to a higher degree of contamination than TELs and indicate concentrations that regularly result in adverse impacts. Thus, it is of environmental significance that a large majority of sites across the Mersey and Irwell network exceed these values.

Table 1 Comparison of total metal(loid) concentrations in fine-grained bed sediments in catchments around the world

Rivers	Metal(loid)s in bed sediments (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )										References
	As		Cr		Cu		Pb		Zn		
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Bradford Beck, UK	7.95	20.6	65.8	158	72.4	481	53.9	318	169	5500	Old et al. (2004)
Multiple (Flanders, Belgium)	1	61	1.8	7.5	2.2	189	4	364	14	2380	De Saedeleer et al. (2010)
Rhone, France	1.41	3.12	87	217	59	197	55	122	132	325	Santiago et al. (1994)
Gascogne, France	8.77	27.5	33.5	84.98	11.3	29.6	17	41.94	55.46	140.6	N'guessan et al. (2009)
Po, Italy					31.7	90.1	32	98.5	178	645	Farkas et al. (2007)
	7.4	19.5	148	414	44	102	14.3	63.8	139	398	Camusso et al. (2002)
Yesilirmak, Turkey					13.1	38.7	3.3	17.3	24.7	45.5	Mendil et al. (2010)
Tisza, Serbia			7	23	32	162	11	123	54	567	Sakan et al. (2009)
Kaskaskia, USA			9	328	6	55	10	225	29	528	Rhoads and Cahill (1999)
Manoa, USA					133	267	5	1080	164	512	Sutherland (2000)
Almendares, Cuba			84.4	210	71.6	421	39.3	189	86.1	708.8	Olivares-Rieumont et al. (2005)
Tigris, Iraq					12.15	52	17.5	30.5	19	79.5	Sabri et al. (1993)
Gomti, India			2.4	88.7	3.6	245			8.47	343.5	Singh et al. (1997)
Damodar, India			15	86.8	11.4	125			30.5	134.7	Singh et al. (1999)
Ganges, India			16	134	2	62			22	101	Subramanian et al. (1987)
Jhanli, India			28.5	467	28	69	8.4	30.5	32.2	288.1	Baruah et al. (1996)
Korotoa, Bangladesh	2.6	52	55	183	35	118	36	83			Islam et al. (2015)
Luan, China	2.08	12.9	28.7	153	6.47	179	8.65	38.29	21.09	25.66	Liu et al. (2009)
	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Range of above	1	61	1.8	467	2	481	3.3	1080	8.47	5500	
This study	9.89	110	76.5	413	53.1	383	80.4	442	282	1020	

Catchments impacted by mining were excluded based on the absence of major metal mining in Manchester

Estimations of channel bed sediment storage range from 70 g m<sup>-2</sup>, at the Egerton site in the headwaters of the River Tonge, to 1240 g m<sup>-2</sup>, in Rochdale on the River Roch, with a mean of 341 g  $m^{-2}$ . These values are broadly similar to those observed in other UK catchments such as the rivers Swale and Calder (Walling et al. 1998, 2003; Owens et al. 2001). The highest sediment storage values are associated with the lower reaches closer to the Manchester city centre, with high values also recorded around the urban centres of Bolton and Rochdale. Owens et al. (2001) identify this degree of channel bed sediment storage as a potentially significant short-term (>1 year) sink for contaminated sediments. Such sinks have the potential to release metal(loid) concentrations under changing environment conditions. Table 2 shows the relationship between the storage of fine-grained sediment and metal(loid) concentrations across the Mersey and Irwell fluvial system. This indicates the significance of these environments and the potential for channel beds to act as a temporary store of contaminants. Anthropogenic sediment accumulation in the reaches of Irwell was a significant problem in the nineteenth century, and dredging operations were necessary (Douglas et al. 2002).

# 3.1.1 Particle size controls on sediment-associated metal(loid)s

None of the particle size fractions or the specific surface area (SSA) of the sediments demonstrate a significant relationship with any of the selected metal(loid)s. This is counter to many of the trends reported in the literature where a decrease in particle size is shown to increase contaminant loadings (Förstner and Salomons 1980; Horowitz and Elrick 1987; Walling and Woodward 1992; Borovec et al. 1993; Rhoads and Cahill 1999; Devesa-Rey et al. 2011). Our findings are consistent with those reported by Moore et al. (1989) and Bertin and Bourg (1995). These studies suggest alternative mechanisms-such as the input of contaminants by industrial processes that present a wider range of particle sizes (Moore et al. 1989) or stronger associations with other controls on sediment geochemistry (Bertin and Bourg 1995). In our case, the lack of a relationship could be due to a similarly complex interplay of factors and points to the presence of multiple sources across the catchments.

#### 3.2 Bed sediment-associated metal(loid) enrichment

Calculated as the enrichment above pre-industrial values, the EFs demonstrate the magnitude of the anthropogenic contributions to bed sediment-associated metal(loid) concentrations since the onset of the industrial period. They highlight the role of urban and industrial sources to metal(loid) concentrations across both catchments. Figure 4 shows the EFs calculated for the selected metal(loid)s at each site. Lead shows the greatest

level of enrichment with severe (EF = 10-25) to extremely severe (EF = >50) enrichment observed across the catchments, including many headwater streams. This demonstrates very clearly the scale of transformation across the two catchments. Cu and Zn show severe enrichment, with extremely severe Zn enrichment evident in the Irk catchment. As and Cr are less heavily enriched, but still display moderate to very severe EFs.

# 3.3 Sequential extractions

The results of sequential extractions of As, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn are presented in Fig. 5. The exchangeable fraction is relatively low amongst the metal(loid)s, up to 17.1% for As at Urmston. Proportions are generally higher for Cu, Pb and Zn, demonstrating a greater availability of these metals. The exchangeable fraction is not strongly associated with the pH of the river water, where pH does not vary considerably or fall below the pH threshold values ascertained by Peng et al. (2009): As 5-6; Cr not reported; Cu 4.5; Pb 4; Zn 6-6.5. However, pH is lower at Urmston (6.61) which may explain the higher proportion of exchangeable metals observed at this site. This could be a response to increased mobility through pH control. The exchangeable fraction represents the proportion of total metal(loid) concentrations most readily available to biota and hence posing the greatest environmental risk (Salomons and Förstner 1980). Low percentages for all the metal(loid)s demonstrate a reduced risk for metal bioavailability at the 12 sites.

The second extract represents metal(loid)s bound to carbonates. Low proportions of this fraction (<10%) are observed for As, Cr and Cu, with a higher percentage of carbonatebound Pb and Zn (up to 39%). This fraction represents the next highest potential for mobility, given the more aggressive extract used. Commonly applied in mobility factor calculations (Kabala and Singh 2001), this fraction contributes to the higher mobility potential of Pb and Zn. Coupled with higher total concentrations, this factor demonstrates the greater environmental significance of these metals with regard to their potential release from sediments and/or bioavailability.

Iron and Mn oxides represent the dominant fraction for As, Pb and Zn, whilst Cu and Cr show stronger associations with organic material. This indicates that, in the Mersey and Irwell bed sediments, these binding sites show a strong affinity for metal(loid)s. These fractions indicate a lower mobility but represent the potential for metal(loid) release under changing redox conditions.

The residual fraction constitutes a small proportion of Cu, Zn and Pb (3.5-27.2%) but a considerable proportion of As and Cr (9.6-64.1%). The proportion of non-residual fractions for the selected heavy metal(loid)s points to the influence of anthropogenic sources of contamination. Hence, the contribution of *natural* sources, namely lithogenic-derived material, is confined to <30% of Cu, Pb and Zn and to <60% of As and Cr.

	Mersey c	atchment <	<63 µm			Irwell catchn	nent <63 µm	_			Mersey and J	Irwell system <	<63 µm		
	As	Cu	Cr	Pb	Zn	As	Cu	Cr	Pb	Zn	As	Cu	Cr	Pb	Zn
As															
Cu	0.357					0.363					$0.740^{***}$				
Cr	0.545*	0.182				$0.529^{**}$	$0.610^{**}$				$0.430^{***}$	0.474 ***			
Pb	0.287	0.042	0.727**			0.762***	$0.643^{**}$	$0.647^{**}$			$0.623^{***}$	$0.549^{***}$	$0.638^{***}$		
Zn	0.252	-0.056	0.671*	$0.839^{**}$		0.245	0.193	$0.680^{***}$	0.225		0.039	-0.029	$0.553^{***}$	0.305*	
% <63 µm	0.084	0.189	0.462	0.182	0.385	-0.294	-0.139	-0.092	-0.22	-0.152	-0.138	-0.170	0.119	-0.016	-0.028
% >63 µm	-0.084	-0.189	-0.462	-0.182	-0.385	0.232	0.23	0.177	0.252	0.202	0.154	0.208	0.016	0.070	0.050
SSA	-0.198	-0.332	0.027	0.017	0.047	0.207	-0.070	-0.112	0.104	0.167	0.001	-0.070	-0.112	0.104	0.167
% Coarse silt	0.077	0.182	0.406	0.147	0.315	-0.277	-0.124	-0.23	-0.142	-0.357	-0.140	-0.227	-0.153	-0.217	-0.269
% Medium silt	-0.007	0.203	0.329	0.147	0.364	-0.177	-0.124	-0.163	-0.216	-0.231	-0.076	-0.209	-0.184	-0.185	-0.008
% Fine silt	0.056	0.077	0.455	0.168	0.364	-0.132	-0.194	-0.14	-0.168	-0.128	-0.028	-0.170	-0.095	-0.074	0.078
% Clay	0.035	-0.07	0.385	0.063	0.259	-0.056	-0.286	-0.058	-0.063	-0.178	-0.046	-0.178	-0.070	-0.028	0.099
<63 µm storage	-0.308	-0.077	0.385	0.238	0.427	0.466*	0.194	0.283	0.519*	-0.138	-0.001	0.105	0.567***	$0.317^{**}$	0.243
Total storage	0	0.063	0.385	0.378	0.231	0.464*	0.174	0.29	$0.601^{**}$	-0.01	0.049	0.147	$0.467^{***}$	$0.324^{**}$	$0.333^{**}$
Significant relatic	inships are	in italics													

Table 2 Pearson's correlation coefficients for metal(loid)s and particle size characteristics for <63 \mum sediments in the Mersey and Irvell catchments

🖄 Springer

 ${}^{*}p < 0.05; \; {}^{**}p < 0.01; \; {}^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Fig. 4 Enrichment factors (EFs) for selected bed sediment-associated metal(loid)s



This geological input may be even less for Cr, where residual values can also include unprocessed ore particles from chromate salt works (Evans 1991), which were in operation in the Irwell catchment between 1880 and 1968 (Breeze 1973).

# 3.4 Identification of potential heavy metal(loid) sources

Spatial associations are apparent between hotspots of contamination and high densities of key industry types and urban areas (Figs. 1, 2, and 3). The enrichment factor and metal(loid) partitioning results demonstrate the influence of anthropogenic sources on fine-grained bed sediment-associated concentrations. Such metal(loid)s have a wide variety of potential sources (Table 3).

Lead and Zn salts were historically utilised in the textile industry to soften and dye fabrics (Choudhury 2006). Cotton mills and dyeing and bleaching works were prominent across both catchments. We see a positive relationship between high metal(loid) concentrations and high densities of textile mills. This may also explain a proportion of the Cu and Cr enrichment observed across the catchment as both are components of dyeing and bleaching effluents (Ademoroti et al. 1992; West et al. 1999). Copper enrichment, known to be historically linked to blue and green dyes, is highest in Rochdale, which was a hotspot for the dyeing industry.

Zinc is particularly elevated along the Irk and may be associated with former industrial waste tips in operation during the early twentieth century (Douglas et al. 2002). There are also a number of motorway passages over and in close proximity to the Irk, which may contribute Zn from the erosion of vehicle tyres (Charlesworth and Lees 1999). Such road runoff is also associated with high Cu and Pb loadings (Charlesworth and Lees 1999; Sutherland et al. 2012); however, these are not observed in similarly elevated values along the Irk. Moreover, there are additional locations in the catchments where the river is in close proximity to major road networks, but there are no marked increases in Zn concentrations above the mean recorded at these sites. However, the pattern of runoff and its associated metal(loid) concentrations is both spatially and temporally variable (Hoffman et al. 1985), which complicates efforts to identify a clear signature.

It is important to note that contaminant concentrations are likely to be linked to numerous modern inputs that are difficult to characterise. They can, for example, be episodic and difficult to identify at the spatial resolution applied here. This includes combined sewer overflows (CSOs) which release



Fig. 5 Five-step sequential extractions of selected bed sedimentassociated metal(loid)s. Site abbreviations are as follows: RAWT Rawtenstall (4), ROCH Rochdale (9), ROIR Roch-Irwell confluence (11), IRCR Irwell-Croal confluence (12), CRO Nob End SSSI (18),

sediments and wastewater during high precipitation events or at times of lower flow due to mismanagement. Without more detailed CSO data, it is difficult to quantify the contributions from such sources and their influence on modern river bed sediment contamination. In light of the available literature on urban contaminant inputs (Callender and Rice 2000; Sutherland 2000; Taylor and Owens 2009), it is likely that MCC Manchester City Centre (21), HARP Harpurhey (24), PICC Piccadilly (26), DEN Denton (30), COMP Compstall (33), STR Strines (37), URM Urmston (40). Site locations are also demonstrated in Fig. 1, with corresponding site numbers provided above

modern sources do contribute to the observed metal(loid) concentrations across the two catchments.

It is important to recognise that the concentrations observed here exceed many of those recorded in other urbanised (nonmining affected) catchments (Table 1). Whilst sedimentassociated metal(loid) concentrations show some spatial association with historical industry, typically the short residence

Table 3         Sources of metal(loid)s           from urban and industrial sources	Industry/source	As	Cr	Cu	Pb	Zn	References
	Textiles		1	~	$\checkmark$	~	Deepali and Gangwar (2010)
	Coal mining	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	Querol et al. (1995); West et al. (1999)
	Dyeing		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Ademoroti et al. (1992); West et al. (1999)
	Bleaching					$\checkmark$	Ademoroti et al. (1992)
	Tanning		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Möller et al. (2005); West et al. (1999)
	Printing		1	√		√	West et al. (1999); Alloway and Ayres (1997)
	Paper	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Calace et al. (2005); West et al. (1999)
	Brick			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		West et al. (1999)
	Wood preservatives	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	West et al. (1999)
	Engineering works			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	West et al. (1999)
	Road deposited sediment (RDS)			~	√	$\checkmark$	Charlesworth and Lees (1999)
	Pesticide use	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	West et al. (1999)
	Sewage		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	West et al. (1999)

time of fine-grained sediment within river channels makes it unlikely that large volumes of contaminated sediments have been stored on channel beds since the industrial period. It is more likely that modern inputs of historically contaminated sediments play a role. Reworking of alluvial material is one possible mechanism (Macklin et al. 2006). Much evidence refers to heaps of physical waste dumped along river banks as it was disposed of directly into the channel (Engels 1944; Coates 1862). The intention was for flood events to cleanse the rivers of this waste. Coates (1862, p.6) described this widespread practice:

"Nevertheless a habit prevails on the banks of all these streams of depositing in the bed of them, ashes, cinders and refuse [...] All this solid matter is carried down by successive floods [...] more than 75,000 tons of cinders are now annually cast into the rivers".

These considerable volumes of material will have contributed to the river's load, and a significant proportion is likely to have been deposited a short distance downstream as overbank deposition. These environments form a longer-term storage and have the potential to contribute historically contaminated sediment during modern-day flood events, as has been reported for floodplains downstream of former metal mining areas (e.g. Wolfenden and Lewin 1977; Hudson-Edwards et al. 1999; Resongles et al. 2014). The widespread practice of riparian dumping of physical waste across Manchester during the industrial period is likely to have established a complex network of contaminated sediment stores, which reflect the changing industrial and urban environments both locally and upstream. Modification of the network such as channelisation, flood defence and meander removal will have altered the connectivity of these sediment stores, potentially contributing to the highly varied spatial pattern of metal(loid) concentrations observed.

In the Pennine Uplands (Fig. 1), organic-rich soils and peats surrounding Manchester are contaminated with atmospherically deposited heavy metals originating from the industrial period (Rothwell et al. 2010). Erosion of contaminated upland peats can release historical heavy metals into the contemporary headwater river system (Rothwell et al. 2005). This process may explain the elevated level of heavy metals in the Mersey and Irwell headwaters (Fig. 3), but further work is required to quantify this contribution.

#### 3.4.1 Dissolved metal(loid) concentrations

Dissolved metal(loid) concentrations for As, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn all fall below the threshold values set by the UK Environment Agency (EA 2011). There have been significant improvements in water quality over the past 40 years. Dissolved metal(loid) concentrations do not show a

significant relationship with bed sediment values, suggesting that modern contaminant inputs are *not* the dominant control on the spatial distribution of sediment-associated contamination. Further work is required to better understand the sources of metal(loid)s in the aquatic phase, investigating the role of modern contaminant inputs and sorption and precipitation processes between sediment and water.

#### 3.4.2 Principal component analysis

Table 4 presents the results of PCA of bed sedimentassociated metal(loid) concentrations. There are four factors with eigenvalues >1. Factor I represents the lithological contribution with high values obtained for Al, Ti, Ga, Rb, Si and V. These represent geologically derived elements and concentrations (Manta et al. 2002). Calculated scores for this factor are highest for the least contaminated sites, including some headwater reaches and the Etherow subcatchment. It represents the contribution of elements from natural sources and is additional justification for the use of Rb in EF calculations.

Factor II identifies a key anthropogenic component, dominated by Pb, Cu, Sn, As, Cr and Zn. These are common anthropogenically enriched metal(loid)s and have both modern and historical sources (Table 3). Within the Irwell and Mersey catchments, it is not possible to establish the timing of contaminant input from the use of factor analysis alone. In this highly urbanised region, it is likely that contamination derives from a number of modern sources. However, given the scale of past industrialisation and anecdotal evidence of

 Table 4
 Principal component analysis of bed sediment-associated metal(loid)s

	Factor I	Factor II	Factor III	Factor IV
Pb	0.020	0.867	-0.155	0.199
Cr	0.038	0.539	-0.108	0.695
Cu	-0.108	0.813	-0.017	-0.005
Zn	-0.336	0.534	0.157	-0.522
As	-0.009	0.656	0.177	0.616
Rb	0.822	0.045	-0.405	0.170
Fe	-0.103	0.060	0.904	0.167
Ni	0.048	0.248	0.543	-0.505
Mn	-0.286	-0.167	0.880	-0.123
Sn	0.073	0.776	-0.061	-0.028
Zr	-0.509	0.269	-0.453	0.175
Al	0.936	0.021	-0.139	0.144
Si	0.520	0.189	-0.617	0.144
Ti	0.861	0.118	-0.404	0.111
V	0.419	0.056	-0.005	0.788
Ga	0.857	-0.210	0.112	0.115
% Var	24.75	20.53	18.30	13.89

Factor loadings >0.5 are in italics

historic, very poor river quality, it is very likely that some of this contamination has a longer-term legacy component.

Factor III represents a third group dominated by Fe, Mn and Ni. Analysis of the spatial distribution of calculated scores for factor III identifies the Irwell Springs and Bacup sites (Fig. 1: sites 1 and 2 respectively), in addition to a number of sites in the Tonge and Croal network near Bolton. Irwell Springs has been associated with significant mine water pollution from a disused iron mine. In 2008, for example, a major flood event overwhelmed the mine water treatment plant leading to widespread contamination downstream. The release of iron-rich pollution from abandoned coal mines in Bolton has also been documented (LEAP 2000). This principal component is therefore likely to relate to the contribution of metalenriched mine water drainage to bed sediment-associated metal(loid)s.

Factor IV identifies an additional anthropogenicallyinfluenced source group, dominated by V, Cr and As. Spatial associations demonstrate a very high calculated score for the Nob End SSSI site (a designated Site of Specific Scientific Interest) on the River Croal (Fig. 1: site 18). This site is linked to well-documented chromium pollution from the leaching of capped waste heaps of a former chromate salt works (Breeze 1973; West et al. 1999). The high value of V within this factor probably relates to the presence of vanadium oxide in chromite ore, which was subsequently released in the smelting process that took place at the plant. The representation of vanadium in both factors I and IV suggests that both lithogenic and anthropogenic sources contribute to the values recorded in the bed sediments. Whilst Fe, Al, Si and Mg are also present in chromite, the much reduced concentration of V of lithogenic origin points to an enhanced proportion derived from the industrial waste.

The high value for As seen in this factor is likely to be associated with the very high As values also recorded at the Nob End SSSI site. This site, at the confluence of the Croal and Irwell, was a former Leblanc waste tip. Galligu, the waste by-product of the Leblanc process, is associated with very high values of As (up to 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in contaminated soils (Johnson 2003). This exceeds all values obtained from other industrial processes observed within the catchments, which explains the reduced values for other Galligu contaminants (Cr, Pb, Cu, Ni, Zn) within the factor. They are relatively low compared to other industrial processes observed across the region, suggesting a strong diluting influence.

The PCA factors III and IV represent potential historic sources that have a modern input. This may involve leaching from historical tailings and capped former waste heaps following precipitation events or groundwater seepage. This is one example of the influence of historical industry upon presentday contaminant concentrations at key metal(loid) hotpots across the catchments.

# 3.4.3 Conditional inference trees

Conditional inference trees were produced for each of the selected metal(loid)s using each of the three datasets of historical industry and potential modern contaminant sources. The nature of the data (in some cases presence/absence of data irrespective of contaminant release) and the extent of blank values (introduced by the temporal and spatial patterns of many industry types across Manchester) limited the efficacy of this analysis. The only outputs achieved were for Cu, representing total industry, for both the OS and 1892 data (Fig. 6). However, the results did provide some valuable insight into historical contributions to modern river bed sediments and the complexity of contamination in Manchester's fluvial system.

For both datasets, proximity exerts the greatest predictor influence, shown in the first hierarchical split. In the OS data (Fig. 6a), higher densities of local industry (>12 sites per 1 km upstream radius) are associated with higher Cu values (node 5). In the second split, the total number of industry points upstream presents mid-range Cu values for counts >64 (node 4), and the lowest Cu concentrations are associated with fewer points of industry upstream ( $\leq 64$ ; node 3). In the 1892 data (Fig. 6b), there are only two terminal nodes as a result of a single split, with higher densities of local industry associated with higher Cu concentrations (node 3). In the absence of information regarding the nature and scale of contaminant release, it is not possible to draw direct conclusions regarding the role of particular industries. However, about 40% of the proximal sites in the OS data are associated with textile and textile-processing industries, whilst in the 1892 data approximately 36% are associated with printing and dyeing sources and 35% with woollen industry sources. This suggests that these industry types may contribute to modern bed sediment-associated Cu concentrations, and this is further supported by Table 3 which shows the release of Cu by these industries. Whilst the 'total industry' variable for the OS data incorporates decadal information, the 1892 records represent a useful snapshot in time. The output for Cu provided by these data indicates that historical industry probably does play some role in influencing modern bed sediment-associated Cu concentrations.

For all other selected metal(loid)s, no valid output was obtained using conditional inference trees. This probably reflects the nature of the available data and the great variety of potential sources in both modern and historical contexts. However, indicators of modern contaminant sources also fail to reveal clear associations and provide no significant predictor value or relationship across all of the selected contaminants. Hence, it is unlikely that these modern sources play a significant role in bed sediment metal(loid) concentrations. In other words, individual variables such as land use and proximity to road networks do not strongly control observed Fig. 6 Conditional inference trees for bed sediment-associated Cubased on **a** decadal OS data and **b** 1892 data



concentrations. Further work is required to interrogate archival records of industry output, employee records and the size and lifespan of mills to augment current datasets with additional information on the scale of potential inputs. Further sources of modern metal(loid) input across the two catchments must also be identified and quantified to establish firmer conclusions on the extent of their influence. This is not straightforward as episodic contaminant inputs can complicate effort to quantify modern sources. Progress in this area will help in establishing more robust associations between contaminants and their modern and historical sources.

The results demonstrated here reflect the complex mosaic of point and diffuse inputs from both modern and historical contamination sources across the fluvial network. Despite recent efforts to significantly reduce modern inputs, it is probable that road-deposited sediments (RDS), municipal and industrial effluents, urban runoff and contributions from CSOs will contribute a range of heavy metal(loid)s across the catchments. Given the varying temporal scale of these inputs and their complex spatial distribution, however, it is difficult to clearly define dominant or discrete sources of fine-grained bed sediment-associated contamination. The reworking of contaminated floodplain sediments is a diffuse historical source (Macklin et al. 2006), whilst capped industrial sites constitute point, albeit in some cases episodic, sources through release of metal(loid)s in leachates or tailings collapses. Modern and historical sources are likely to be distributed widely across all the catchments, whilst the sediments and sorbed contaminants they input become incorporated into a load that is mobilised, stored and reworked across the fluvial environments of Manchester. Fine-grained river sediments represent complex environmental mixtures incorporating material and sorbed contaminants from multiple upstream sources (Walling and Woodward 1995). This hampers any attempt to derive statistically significant controlling factors, particularly in noisy, highly urbanised and formerly industrialised catchments with strong spatial heterogeneity such as the Mersey and Irwell system. Nonetheless, the approaches presented here have yielded valuable evidence for the legacy of historical contamination within the modern river bed sediments and have raised important new questions.

# **4** Conclusions

This study presents the first baseline survey of fine-grained bed sediment quality in the Irwell and Mersey catchments. The spatial pattern of metal(loid) concentrations across the system is complex. Sediment-associated concentrations exceed guideline values, highlighting the potential for impacts on ecology and aquatic health. The mobility of the contaminants is generally low, and dissolved concentrations fall well below threshold criteria. Whilst their bioavailability is limited, much of the sediment-associated metal(loid)s are acid-soluble or bound to Fe/Mn oxides or organic matter, and so there is potential for release under changing pH or redox conditions. Enrichment factors, PCA and sequential extractions reveal a significant anthropogenic component to these sedimentassociated metal(loid) loadings.

Through the use of multivariate and data mining techniques, this study has examined the extent to which the sources of bed sediment-associated contamination can be identified. Given the rapid and extensive industrialisation observed historically in the Manchester region, and the strong links between this development and the river network, it is very likely that historical contamination plays a role. Statistical analysis highlights inputs from former industrial sites, namely through leaching of metal(loid)s from cappings or release due to failures in remediation strategies. The conditional inference tree models indicate a potential historical signature within Cu concentrations across the catchments, which is linked to the proximity to past industrial sites. This influence is likely to result from the reworking of contaminated floodplains, reintroducing historically contaminated sediments to the modern channel network. Potential modern inputs to elevated metal(loid) concentrations were not directly identified using these techniques. However, the spatial and temporal patterns of such sources, including from modern municipal or industrial effluents or urban environments, may explain this outcome. These releases are likely to be smaller in magnitude but additive, complicating source identification and quantification. Across the Manchester river network, sediment contamination is a product of the input from sewer networks, urban runoff, modern industrial effluents and potentially discrete contamination events, in addition to the reworking of historical contaminants from multiple sediment stores and former industrial sites. Further work is required to elucidate more fully the origin, storage, flux, and fate of metal(loid)s and other forms of contaminant in this dynamic urban environment.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Michael Highfield for the valuable contributions he provided towards the historical context of this study. We also wish to thank all those who provided assistance in the collection of field samples. We thank Jon Yarwood and John Moore in the Geography Laboratories. We also thank the three anonymous reviewers for their careful reviews of our manuscript. Rachel Hurley was in receipt of a Manchester President's Doctoral Scholar award.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

# References

- Ademoroti CMA, Ukponmwan DO, Omode AA (1992) Studies of textile effluent discharges in Nigeria. Int J Environ Stud 39:291–296
- Alloway B, Ayres DC (1997) Chemical principles of environmental pollution, Second edn. Blackie Press, London
- Barbanti A, Bothner MH (1993) A procedure for partitioning bulk sediments into distinct grain-size fractions for geochemical analysis. Environ Geol 21:3–13
- Baruah NK, Kotoky P, Bhattacharyya KG, Borah GC (1996) Metal speciation in Jhanji River sediments. Sci Total Environ 193:1–12
- Bertin C, Bourg ACM (1995) Trends in the heavy metal content (Cd, Pb, Zn) of river sediments in the drainage basin of smelting activities. Water Res 29:1729–1736
- Borovec Z, Tolar V, Mráz L (1993) Distribution of some metals in sediments of the central part of the Labe (Elbe) River: Czech Republic. Ambio 22:200–205
- Breeze VG (1973) Land reclamation and river pollution problems in the Croal Valley caused by waste from chromate manufacture. J Appl Ecol 10:513–525
- Burton LR (2003) The Mersey Basin: an historical assessment of water quality from an anecdotal perspective. Sci Total Environ 314–316: 53–66
- Calace N, Campisi T, Iacondini A, Leoni M, Petronio BM, Pietroletti M (2005) Metal-contaminated soil remediation by means of paper mill

sludges addition: chemical and ecotoxicological evaluation. Environ Pollut 136:485–492

- Callender E, Rice KC (2000) The urban environmental gradient: anthropogenic influences on the spatial and temporal distributions of lead and zinc in sediments. Environ Sci Technol 34:232–238
- Calmano W, Hong J, Förstner U (1993) Binding and mobilization of heavy metals in contaminated sediments affected by ph and redox potential. Water Sci Technol 28:223–235
- Camusso M, Galassi S, Vignati D (2002) Assessment of river Po sediment quality by micropollutant analysis. Water Res 36:2491–2504
- Carter J, Owens PN, Walling DE, Leeks GJL (2003) Fingerprinting suspended sediment sources in a large urban river system. Sci Total Environ 314–316:513–534
- CCME (2002) Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life. Available from: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/ psamp/BoundaryBay/PSAMP-BBAMP%20documents/ Canadian%20guidelines%20for%20water%20quality/ SedimentProtAquaticLifeSummaryTables(en).pdf. [Accessed 20 Sept 2012]
- Chapman PM, Wang F, Janssen C, Persoone G, Allen HE (1998) Ecotoxicology of metals in aquatic sediments: binding and release, bioavailability, risk assessment, and remediation. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 55:2221–2243
- Charlesworth SM, Lees JA (1999) The distribution of heavy metals in deposited urban dusts and sediments, Coventry, England. Environ Geochem Health 21:97–115
- Choudhury AKR (2006) Textile preparation and dyeing. Science Publishers, Enfield
- Coates, T. (1862) Mersey, Irwell, etc., protection bill: Notes in support of bill. W. Clowes and Sons, London, UK
- Covelli S, Fontolan G (1997) Application of a normalization procedure in determining regional geochemical baselines. Environ Geol 30:34–45
- De Saedeleer V, Cappuyns V, De Cooman W, Swennen R (2010) Influence of major elements on heavy metal composition of river sediments. Geol Belg 13:257–268
- Deepali KK, Gangwar K (2010) Metals concentration in textile and tannery effluents, associated soils and ground water. N Y Sci J 3:82–89
- Devesa-Rey R, Díaz-Fierros F, Barral MT (2011) Assessment of enrichment factors and grain size influence on the metal distribution in riverbed sediments (Anllóns River, NW Spain). Environ Monit Assess 179:371–388
- Douglas I, Hodgson R, Lawson N (2002) Industry, environment and health through 200 years in Manchester. Ecol Econ 41:235–255
- Eggleton J, Thomas KV (2004) A review of factors affecting the release and bioavailability of contaminants during sediment disturbance events. Environ Int 30:973–980
- Engels F (1845) The condition of the working class in England in 1844. Otto Wigand, Germany (extract in text is translated from this edition)
- Environment Agency (2011) H1 annex D—basic surface water discharges (v2.2). Environment Agency, Bristol, UK
- Evans D (1991) Chemical and physical partitioning in contaminated stream sediments in the river Ystwyth, Mid-Wales. Environ Geochem Health 13:84–92
- Farkas A, Erratico C, Viganò L (2007) Assessment of the environmental significance of heavy metal pollution in surficial sediments of the River Po. Chemosphere 68:761–768
- Förstner U, Salomons W (1980) Trace metal analysis on polluted sediments. Environ Technol Lett 1:494–505
- Guo L-C, Bao L-J, Wu F-C, Yue Q, Zeng EY (2014) Seasonal deposition fluxes and removal efficiency of atmospheric polybrominated diphenyl ethers in a large urban center: importance of natural and anthropogenic factors. Environ Sci Technol 48:11196–11203
- Hall P (1998) Cities in civilization. Fromm International, New York

- Hoffman EJ, Latimer JS, Hunt CD, Mills GL, Quinn JG (1985) Stormwater runoff from highways. Water Air Soil Pollut 25:349– 364
- Horowitz AJ, Elrick KA (1987) The relation of stream sediment surface area, grain size and composition to trace element chemistry. Appl Geochem 2:437–451
- Hoselitz BF (1955) The city, the factory, and economic growth. Am Econ Rev 45:166–184
- Hothorn T, Hornik K, Zeileis A (2006) Unbiased recursive partitioning: a conditional inference framework. J Comput Graph Stat 15:651–674
- House of Commons Hansard Debate (1950) River Irwell (Pollution), 474: cc91–106, 18 April 1950. Available from: http://hansard. millbanksystems.com/commons/1950/apr/18/river-irwell-pollution [Accessed: 28/09/2016]
- Hu Y, Cheng H (2013) Application of stochastic models in identification and apportionment of heavy metal pollution sources in the surface soils of a large-scale region. Environ Sci Technol 47:3752–3760
- Hu Y, Cheng H (2016) A method for apportionment of natural and anthropogenic contributions to heavy metal loadings in the surface soils across large-scale regions. Environ Pollut 214:400–409
- Hudson-Edwards KA, Schell C, Macklin MG (1999) Mineralogy and geochemistry of alluvium contaminated by metal mining in the Rio Tinto area, southwest Spain. Appl Geochem 14:1015–1030
- Hudson-Edwards KA, Macklin MG, Brewer PA, Dennis IA (2008) Assessment of metal mining-contaminated river sediments in England and Wales. Environment Agency, Bristol, UK
- Islam MS, Ahmed MK, Raknuzzaman M, Habibullah-Al-Mamun M, Islam MK (2015) Heavy metal pollution in surface water and sediment: a preliminary assessment of an urban river in a developing country. Ecol Indic 48:282–291
- Johnson D (2003) Stabilisation of galligu. In: Moore HM, Fox HR, Elliot S (eds) Land reclamation—extending the boundaries. A.A. Balkema, Lisse, pp 151–158
- Jones PD (2006) Water quality and fisheries in the Mersey estuary, England: a historical perspective. Mar Pollut Bull 53:144–154
- Kabala C, Singh BR (2001) Fractionation and mobility of copper, lead, and zinc in soil profiles in the vicinity of a copper smelter. J Environ Qual 30:485
- Lambert CP, Walling DE (1988) Measurement of channel storage of suspended sediment in a gravel-bed river. Catena 15:65–80
- LEAP (2000) Local environment agency plan: Croal/Irwell action plan. Environment Agency, Bristol, UK
- Liu J, Li Y, Zhang B, Cao J, Cao Z, Domagalski J (2009) Ecological risk of heavy metals in sediments of the Luan River source water. Ecotoxicology 18:748–758
- Macklin MG, Hudson-Edwards KA, Dawson EJ (1997) The significance of pollution from historic metal mining in the Pennine orefields on river sediment contaminant fluxes to the North Sea. Sci Total Environ 194:391–397
- Macklin MG, Brewer PA, Hudson-Edwards KA, Bird G, Coulthard TJ, Dennis IA, Lechler PJ, Miller JR, Turner JN (2006) A geomorphological approach to the management of rivers contaminated by metal mining. Geomorphology 79:423–447
- Manta DS, Angelone M, Bellanca A, Neri R, Sprovieri M (2002) Heavy metals in urban soils: a case study from the city of Palermo (Sicily), Italy. Sci Total Environ 300:229–243
- Marshall LS (1940) The emergence of the first industrial city: Manchester 1780–1850. In: Ware CF (ed) The cultural approach to history. Gordon Press, New York, pp 140–161
- Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M (2010) Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food Chem Toxicol 48:1383–1392
- Mersey and Irwell Joint Committee (1892) Map of the watershed of the rivers Irwell and Mersey above Warrington. Faulker & Sons, Manchester

- Möller A, Müller HW, Abdullah A, Abdelgawad G, Utermann J (2005) Urban soil pollution in Damascus, Syria: concentrations and patterns of heavy metals in the soils of the Damascus Ghouta. Geoderma 124:63–71
- Moore JN, Brook EJ, Johns C (1989) Grain size partitioning of metals in contaminated, coarse-grained river floodplain sediment: Clark Fork River, Montana, U.S.A. Environ Geol Water Sci 14:107–115
- N'guessan YM, Probst JL, Bur T, Probst A (2009) Trace elements in stream bed sediments from agricultural catchments (Gascogne region, S-W France): where do they come from? Sci Total Environ 407:2939–2952
- Old GH, Leeks GJL, Packman JC, Stokes N, Williams ND, Smith BPG, Hewitt EJ, Lewis S (2004) Dynamics of sediment-associated metals in a highly urbanised catchment: Bradford, West Yorkshire. Water Environ J 18:11–16
- Olivares-Rieumont S, de la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, D'Alessandro K, Borroto J, Martínez F, Sánchez J (2005) Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments—Havana City, Cuba. Water Res 39:3945–3953
- Owens PN, Walling DE, Leeks GJL (1999) Deposition and storage of fine-grained sediment within the main channel system of the River Tweed, Scotland. Earth Surf Process Landf 24:1061–1076
- Owens PN, Walling DE, Carton J, Meharg AA, Wright J, Leeks GJL (2001) Downstream changes in the transport and storage of sediment-associated contaminants (P, Cr and PCBs) in agricultural and industrialized drainage basins. Sci Total Environ 266:177–186
- Owens PN, Blake WH, Gaspar L, Gateuille D, Koiter AJ, Lobb DA, Petticrew EL, Reiffarth DG, Smith HG, Woodward JC (2016) Fingerprinting and tracing the sources of soils and sediments: earth and ocean science, geoarchaeological, forensic, and human health applications. Earth-Sci Rev 162:1–23
- Pathak AK, Kumar R, Kumar P, Yadav S (2015) Sources apportionment and spatio-temporal changes in metal pollution in surface and subsurface soils of a mixed type industrial area in India. J Geochem Explor 159:169–177
- Peck J, Ward K (2002) City of revolution: restructuring Manchester. Manchester University Press, UK
- Peng J, Song Y, Yuan P, cui X, Qui G (2009) The remediation of heavy metals contaminated sediment. J Hazard Mater 161:633–640
- Querol X, Fernández-Turiel J, López-Soler A (1995) Trace elements in coal and their behaviour during combustion in a large power station. Fuel 74:331–343
- Resongles E, Casiot C, Freydier R, Dezileau L, Viers J, Elbaz-Poulichet F (2014) Persisting impact of historical mining activity to metal (Pb, Zn, Cd, Tl, Hg) and metalloid (As, Sb) enrichment in sediments of the Gardon River, Southern France. Sci Total Environ 481:509–521
- Rhoads BL, Cahill RA (1999) Geomorphological assessment of sediment contamination in an urban stream system. Appl Geochem 14:459–483
- Rothwell JJ, Robinson SG, Evans MG, Yang J, Allot TEH (2005) Heavy metal release by peat erosion in the Peak District, southern Pennines, UK. Hydrol Process 19:2973–2989
- Rothwell JJ, Taylor KG, Chenery SRN, Cundy AB, Evans MG, Allot TEH (2010) Storage and behavior of As, Sb, Pb, and Cu in ombrotrophic peat bogs under contrasting water table conditions. Environ Sci Technol 44:8497–8502
- Sabri AW, Khalid AR, Thaer IK (1993) Heavy metals in the water, suspended solids and sediment of the River Tigris impoundment at Samarra. Water Res 27:1099–1103
- Sakan SM, Đorđević DS, Manojlović DD, Predrag PS (2009) Assessment of heavy metal pollutants accumulation in the Tisza river sediments. J Environ Manag 90:3382–3390
- Salomons W, Förstner U (1980) Trace metal analysis on polluted sediments. Environ Technol Lett 1:506–517
- Salomons W, Förstner U (1984) Metals in the hydrocycle. Springer, Germany, Berlin

- Santiago S, Thomas RL, Larbaigt G, Corvi C, Rossel D, Tarradellas J (1994) Nutrient, heavy metal and organic pollutant composition of suspended and bed sediments in the Rhone River. Aquat Sci 56: 220–242
- Singh M, Ansari AA, Müller G, Singh IB (1997) Heavy metals in freshly deposited sediments of the Gomati River (a tributary of the Ganga River): effects of human activities. Environ Geol 29:246–252
- Singh AK, Hasnain SI, Banerjee DK (1999) Grain size and geochemical partitioning of heavy metals in sediments of the Damodar River—a tributary of the lower Ganga, India. Environ Geol 39:90–98
- Subramanian V, Grieken RV, Dack LV (1987) Heavy metals distribution in the sediments of Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers. Environ Geol Water Sci 9:93–103
- Sutherland RA (2000) A comparison of geochemical information obtained from two fluvial bed sediment fractions. Environ Geol 39:330–341
- Sutherland RA, Tack FMG, Ziegler AD (2012) Road-deposited sediments in an urban environment: a first look at sequentially extracted element loads in grain size fractions. J Hazard Mater 225–226:54–62
- Taylor KG, Owens PN (2009) Sediments in urban river basins: a review of sediment–contaminant dynamics in an environmental system conditioned by human activities. J Soils Sediments 9:281–303
- Tessier A, Campbell PGC (1987) Partitioning of trace metals in sediments: relationships with bioavailability. Hydrobiologia 149:43–52
- Tessier A, Campbell PGC, Bisson M (1979) Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. Anal Chem 51: 844–851
- VROM (2011) The new Dutch list. Intervention values and target values: soil quality standards. VROM, The Hague, the Netherlands
- Walling DE, Woodward JC (1992) Use of radiometric fingerprints to derive information on suspended sediment sources. In: Erosion

and sediment transport monitoring programmes in river basins. IAHS Publication 210, IAHS Press, Wallingford, UK, pp 153–164

- Walling DE, Woodward JC (1995) Tracing sources of suspended sediment in river basins: a case study of the River Culm, Devon, UK. Mar Freshw Res 46:327–336
- Walling DE, Owens PN, Leeks GJL (1998) The role of channel and floodplain storage in the suspended sediment budget of the River Ouse, Yorkshire, UK. Geomorphology 22:225–242
- Walling DE, Owens PN, Carter J, Leeks GJL, Lewis S, Meharg AA, Wright J (2003) Storage of sediment-associated nutrients and contaminants in river channel and floodplain systems. Appl Geochem 18:195–220
- West LJ, Stewart DI, Duxbury JR, Johnston SR (1999) Toxic metal mobility and retention at industrially contaminated sites. Geol Soc Lond Spec Publ 157:241–264
- Wiseman CLS, Zereini F, Püttmann W (2015) Metal and metalloid accumulation in cultivated urban soils: a medium-term study of trends in Toronto, Canada. Sci Total Environ 538:564–572
- Wolfenden PJ, Lewin J (1977) Distribution of metal pollutants in floodplain sediments. Catena 4:309–317
- Yuan G-L, Sun T-H, Han P, Li J, Lang X-X (2014) Source identification and ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in topsoil using environmental geochemical mapping: typical urban renewal area in Beijing, China. J Geochem Explor 136:40–47
- Zhang C (2006) Using multivariate analyses and GIS to identify pollutants and their spatial patterns in urban soils in Galway, Ireland. Environ Pollut 142:501–511
- Zhong B, Liang T, Wang L, Li K (2014) Applications of stochastic models and geostatistical analyses to study sources and spatial patterns of soil heavy metals in a metalliferous industrial district of China. Sci Total Environ 490:422–434