Correction to: Abiotic resource depletion potentials (ADPs) for elements revisited—updating ultimate reserve estimates and introducing time series for production data

Lauran van Oers¹ · Jeroen B. Guinée¹ · Reinout Heijungs^{1,2}

Published online: 17 December 2019

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-019-01683-x>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake which was missed during typesetting. The caption to Fig. 5 was incorrect. The correct version is given below.

The original article has been corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-019-01683-x>

✉ Lauran van Oers
oers@cml.leidenuniv.nl

¹ Institute of Environmental Sciences (CML), Department of Industrial Ecology, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 2, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands

² Department of Econometrics and Operations Research, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1105, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Fig. 5 Normalized indicator results adopting two different normalization reference areas (the EU27 as normalization reference area and the world) for 2010 for the cradle-to-gate production of 1 kg of copper and 1 kWh of electricity Dutch mix, adopting the ‘ILCD 1.0.8 2016 midpoint’ methods as implemented in ecoinvent v3 except for the ADP, which is taken from this study for the year 2015 (ADP2015). (R, f = resources, fossil energy carriers; R, m = resources, minerals; CC = climate change; OLD = ozone

layer depletion; HH, C = human health, carcinogenic effects; HH, nC = human health, non-carcinogenic effects; A, f, t = freshwater and terrestrial acidification; RE, i = respiratory effects, inorganics; TOX, fw = freshwater ecotoxicity; PCOC = photochemical ozone creation; E, t = terrestrial eutrophication; E, fw = freshwater eutrophication; E, m = marine eutrophication)