



Age-related shifts in mental health determinants from a deprived area in the European Union: informing the national healthy aging program of Hungary

Nora Kovacs · Eva Biro · Peter Piko ·
Zoltan Ungvari · Roza Adany

Received: 8 April 2024 / Accepted: 28 April 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract Mental disorders are among the leading causes of disability worldwide, disproportionately affecting older people. This study aims to assess the mental health of elderly individuals living in a deprived region of Hungary, and to identify and estimate the weight of different determinants of mental health across different age groups. A cross-sectional study was conducted with randomly selected samples of individuals ($n=860$) aged 18 years and older in Northeast Hungary. The World Health Organization Well-Being Index (WHO-5), the single-item Life Satisfaction Scale, and the 12-item General

Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) were used to measure mental health of the participants. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to measure the association between sociodemographic and health-related variables and mental health. Overall, the mean WHO-5 score was 69.2 ± 18.1 and it showed a significant decrease by age ($p < 0.001$), with the lowest score observed in aged 75 years and above ($p < 0.001$). The mean life satisfaction score was 7.5 ± 1.9 and it showed a significant decreasing trend over the life course ($p < 0.001$). The highest level of psychological distress as assessed by GHQ-12 was observed in the group aged 75 years or older (11.5 ± 6.0 , $p < 0.001$). Multiple linear regression indicated that self-reported

Nora Kovacs and Eva Biro contributed equally to this work.

N. Kovacs · E. Biro · P. Piko · R. Adany
Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Kovacs · R. Adany
HUN-REN-UD Public Health Research Group,
Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Piko · R. Adany
National Laboratory for Health Security, Center for Epidemiology and Surveillance, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Z. Ungvari
International Training Program in Geroscience, Doctoral School of Basic and Translational Medicine/Department of Public Health, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Z. Ungvari
Vascular Cognitive Impairment and Neurodegeneration Program, Oklahoma Center for Geroscience and Healthy Brain Aging, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA

Z. Ungvari
Department of Health Promotion Sciences, College of Public Health, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, USA

Z. Ungvari · R. Adany (✉)
Department of Public Health, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary
e-mail: adany.roza@med.unideb.hu; adany.roza@semmelweis.hu

financial status, social support, sense of control over their health, activity limitation and pain intensity were the most important determinants of mental health among older adults. Interventions to improve the mental health of older adults should focus on the positive impact of social support, the reduction of financial insecurity and the use of effective pain relief medications.

Keywords Life satisfaction · Psychological distress · Mental well-being · Elderly · Ageing · Social support

Introduction

The proportion of elderly population in society has increased rapidly around the world in recent decades mainly as a result of increasing life expectancy, improved health and declining fertility rates. Globally, the number of people aged 65 years and older is expected to more than double, from 761 million in 2021 to 1.6 billion in 2050 [1]. Aging is associated with an increasing prevalence of disability, and many physical and psychological disorders [2] often challenging for the elderly.

Mental disorders are one of the leading causes of disability worldwide [3]. Since the number of people suffering from mental illnesses is expected to increase with population ageing, the mental health of older people is becoming a growing public health concern. Approximately 14% of adults aged 60 and above lived with mental disorders in 2019, accounting for 10.6% of all disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for people in this age group [3, 4]. While mental health issues can occur at any time during the life course, older individuals are more vulnerable to mental disorders due to several factors such as social isolation [5, 6] and loneliness [6, 7], chronic diseases [8], functional disability [9] and socioeconomic factors [10]. Psychological well-being is closely related to older people's ability to perform basic activities of daily living and maintain quality of life. Although morbidity and mortality from certain physical conditions are higher in older people with mental illness [11, 12], mental health problems are often underdiagnosed and undertreated among them [4, 13].

Family and household patterns are also changing throughout the European Union (EU), with more elderly individuals living alone [14]. Studies have demonstrated that social support and increased social participation among the elderly have protective effect against anxiety and depressive symptoms [15–17]. Moreover, social support and diversity of social networks have a significant impact on older adults' satisfaction with their lives [18, 19].

In Hungary, the population of people aged 65 years and above has increased by 4% in the last 10 years (from 17 to 21%), reaching 2 million people in 2022 [20]. This significant growth requires the country to meet the economic, social, health, as well as psychological needs of its elderly population. Mental health problems affect approximately 5.5% of the Hungarian population [20], and it is increasing with age. In 2019 the proportion of those reported depressive symptoms among those aged 75 years and older were almost twice as high (12.4%) as in the 65–74 years age group (6.3%) [21]. In terms of life satisfaction, Hungary is one of worst performers among EU member states: in 2022, the average life satisfaction score was the fourth and fifth lowest for people aged 65–74 and 75 years and over, respectively [14]. Moreover, in 2018, the country reported the second lowest proportion of people who were highly satisfied with their lives (just behind Bulgaria) in the EU-27, both for those aged 65–74 years (8.6%) and for those aged 75 and over (6%) [21]. Despite these alarming trends, comprehensive studies on the quality of life, including mental well-being of Hungarian elderly, especially those living in deprived areas, remain scarce. A previous cross-sectional study reported a particularly poor mental health among residents of segregated settlements in Hungary, but this research was limited to individuals aged 18–64 years [22]. However, some studies have found strong association between area-level social deprivation and mental well-being among older people [23–25], which may be explained by the limited availability of financial and social resources to support residents in disadvantaged areas [25] and the neighbourhood conditions that make it difficult for individuals to maintain supportive social networks [25, 26]. Therefore, in addition to assess the psychological distress experienced by older adults living in disadvantaged areas, it is crucial to understand factors

contributing to their poor mental health when developing interventions. The aim of this study was to examine the mental health of elderly individuals living in one of the deprived regions of Hungary, as well as to identify potential factors which may influence mental health across the life course.

Methods

Study design and sample

Data from two cross-sectional surveys carried out in 2018 and 2022 were used for the present study. Study participants for each survey were recruited from two counties in Northeast Hungary (Hajdú-Bihar and Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg), which is documented as one of the deprived regions of the country. Data in the first (2018) survey were collected from individuals aged 18–64 years. Twenty-five randomly selected individuals from the patients' lists of 20 general practitioners who were involved in the General Practitioners Morbidity Sentinel Stations Program (GPMSSP), a population-based disease registry [27], were invited to participate in the study. In the second survey (2022), data were collected from individuals aged 65 years and older registered with 19 randomly selected general practices in the same counties. From each practice, 25 individuals were randomly selected and invited to participate in the survey.

Both surveys were based on a three-pillar comprehensive health assessment consisting of three main components: questionnaire-based interviews, physical examinations, and laboratory examinations. Detailed descriptions of the study design and data collection for both surveys have been previously published [28, 29]. The study sample consisted of 860 individuals of whom 417 individuals were recruited for the first survey and 443 for the second survey. The studies were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hungarian Scientific Council on Health (Reference No.: 61327–2017/EKU and IV/3351–3/2022/EKU). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants in each study population before the start of the studies.

Sociodemographic and health-related variables

The Hungarian version of the European Health Interview Survey [30] was used to collect data on sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of the participants in both surveys. Sociodemographic characteristics included age, sex, education level (primary or less, secondary, and tertiary), self-reported financial status (very good/good, satisfactory, or bad/very bad), and marital status (married, widow, other including single and divorced).

Health-related variables included reported limitations in daily activities in the past six months (limited, not limited), self-reported number of chronic diseases (less than two, two or more), and smoking status (current, former and never smoked). Participants were asked how much they could do for their own health (less/nothing and a lot/very much) to assess their sense of control over their health, and they were also asked to rate the level of pain they had experienced in the past four weeks (none, very mild/mild/moderate, or severe/extreme severe).

The social support of the participants was measured using the Oslo Social Support Scale (OSSS-3) and an assessment of support in personal matters. The OSSS-3 is a 3-item self-report measure of social support [31, 32]. The total score, ranging from 3 to 14, was calculated by summing the raw scores for the three questions, with higher scores reflecting greater social support. The level of social support was classified into three categories based on the total score: poor (3–8), moderate (9–11) and strong (12–14) social support [33, 34]. The internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) for this scale in the present study was 0.55. Support in personal matters was assessed by asking the respondent if they could discuss personal matters with someone (yes or no).

Measures of mental health

The current study focuses on three outcome measures of mental health: well-being, life satisfaction, and psychological distress.

Well-being index (WHO-5)

Well-being of participants was measured using a self-report measure (5-item World Health Organization Well-Being Index, WHO-5) developed by the World

Health Organization [35]. A 6-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all present) to 5 (almost always present) was used to assess the mental well-being over the previous two weeks. The raw scores were summed and multiplied by 4 to obtain a score ranging from 0 to 100, with higher scores reflecting higher levels of well-being. A score < 50 indicates poor emotional well-being and suggests further investigation [35]. Cronbach's alpha revealed a high internal consistency for this scale (0.86) in the present study.

Single-item Life Satisfaction Scale (LS)

Life satisfaction was assessed using a single-item, which shows comparable results to multiple-item measures [36]. Participants were asked to rate their overall life satisfaction on a scale ranging from 0 (not at all satisfied) to 10 (completely satisfied) with higher scores indicating greater life satisfaction.

General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)

The 12-item version of the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12), a widely used screening tool for psychological distress in the general population [37] was used to assess general mental health of participants. Using the Likert scoring system, a total score was calculated as the sum of each item scored on a four-point Likert scale (ranging from 0 to 3), with higher scores representing greater levels of psychological distress. In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha for this scale was 0.90.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of the study sample were described using proportions for categorical variables and means with standard deviation and minimum and maximum values for continuous variables by age group. Because we were interested in the pattern of mental health status and social support among the participants of different ages we analysed each variable by age group. Means were calculated for each scale of mental health measures and were compared by four age groups to assess change over the life course (18–44, 45–64, 65–74, 75 and over). Descriptive statistics were performed using Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables and

Mann–Whitney U test and Kruskal–Wallis test for non-normally distributed continuous variables.

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to measure the association between sociodemographic and health-related variables and continuous outcome measures of mental health status. Bootstrapping was employed in regression analyses with 1,000 resamples (due to non-normal distributions). To allow comparisons between younger and older populations, a stratified analysis was performed for age-groups of 18–64 and 65 and over. The results were presented as coefficients (b) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). Statistical significance was set at a p-value of less than 0.05. All analyses were performed with Stata version 13.0 software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics and (mental) health of the study population

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the study population by age group. The mean age of the participants was 58.8 ± 17.4 years ($n=860$). The proportion of females in the 65+ age group was slightly higher than in the 18–64 age group (62.3% vs. 55.6%, $p=0.047$). Older people had a significantly lower level of education ($p<0.001$), reported a lower financial status ($p=0.038$), and perceived a lower level of control over their health ($p=0.012$). The proportion of former and never smokers ($p<0.001$) and widows were significantly higher ($p<0.001$) among aged 65 years and older. Health-related variables differed significantly between age groups; a significantly higher number of chronic diseases ($p<0.001$), a higher level of pain ($p<0.001$) and limitations in activities of daily living ($p<0.001$) were reported by those aged 65 years and older. (Table 1).

The mean WHO-5 total score was 69.2 ± 18.1 and it showed a decrease between the 18–44 and 45–64 years age groups, followed by an increase in the 65–74 years age group, and with the lowest score observed in those aged 75 years and older (63 ± 18.5 , $p<0.001$). The prevalence of poor well-being (WHO-5 score < 50) was 14.5% in the total population with the highest prevalence among those aged 75 years and older (21.6%). The mean life

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics and health status of the study population by age group

Characteristics	Age groups			<i>p</i> -value
	Total (n = 860) n (%)	18–64 years (n = 417) n (%)	65 + years (n = 443) n (%)	
Sex				
Male	352 (40.9%)	185 (44.4%)	167 (37.7%)	0.047
Female	508 (59.1%)	232 (55.6%)	276 (62.3%)	
Age (mean ± SD)	58.8 ± 17.4	43.9 ± 12.6	72.8 ± 5.9	< 0.001^a
Age groups				
18–44	208 (24.2%)	208 (49.9%)		
45–64	209 (24.3%)	209 (50.1%)		
65–74	291 (33.8%)		291 (65.7%)	
75 +	152 (17.7%)		152 (34.3%)	
Education level				
Primary or less	258 (30.1%)	89 (21.4%)	169 (38.3%)	< 0.001
Secondary	464 (54.2%)	251 (60.5%)	213 (48.3%)	
Tertiary	134 (15.7%)	75 (18.1%)	59 (13.4%)	
Self-reported financial status				
Good/very good	234 (27.8%)	130 (31.8%)	104 (24.0%)	0.038
Satisfactory	505 (60.1%)	230 (56.4%)	275 (63.5%)	
Bad/very bad	102 (12.1%)	48 (11.8%)	54 (12.5%)	
Marital status				
Married	499 (58.4%)	254 (61.2%)	245 (55.8%)	< 0.001
Widow	175 (20.5%)	20 (4.8%)	155 (35.3%)	
Other	180 (21.1%)	141 (34%)	39 (8.9%)	
Number of chronic diseases				
2 or more	534 (62.1%)	151 (36.2%)	383 (86.5%)	< 0.001
< 2	326 (37.9%)	266 (63.8%)	60 (13.5%)	
Sense of control over health				
Less/nothing	143 (16.9%)	56 (13.6%)	87 (20.0%)	0.012
Lot/very lot	704 (83.1%)	357 (86.4%)	347 (80.0%)	
Limitation in daily activities				
No	490 (57.7%)	305 (74.2%)	185 (42.2%)	< 0.001
Yes	359 (42.3%)	106 (25.8%)	253 (57.8%)	
Pain				
No	407 (47.8%)	265 (63.6%)	142 (32.7%)	< 0.001
Very mild/mild/moderate	349 (41.0%)	121 (29.0%)	228 (52.5%)	
Severe/extreme severe	95 (11.2%)	31 (7.4%)	64 (14.8%)	
Smoking				
Never smoked	516 (60.2%)	242 (58.3%)	274 (62.0%)	< 0.001
Yes	218 (25.4%)	134 (32.3%)	84 (19.0%)	
Former	123 (14.4%)	39 (9.4%)	84 (19.0%)	

^a*p*-value from Mann–Whitney U test; all others are from chi-square. SD, standard deviation. Statistically significant results (*p* < 0.05) are shown in bold

satisfaction score was 7.5 ± 1.9 and it showed a significant decreasing trend over the life course ($p < 0.001$). Regarding psychological distress, the mean GHQ-12 total score was 9.7 ± 5.1 and it increased significantly with age, with the highest level of distress observed in those aged 75 years and older (11.5 ± 6.0 , $p < 0.001$). The majority of participants (54.8%) reported moderate levels of social support as assessed by the OSSS-3 scale, while the proportion of those reporting high levels of social support was the highest among those aged 18–44 years (31.5%). Levels of social personal supports did not change significantly over the life course. (Table 2).

Factors associated with mental health

In multiple regression analysis, well-being of participants was positively associated with higher perceived control over their health ($b = 7.23$, $p = 0.001$), and inversely associated with higher age ($b = -0.34$, $p = 0.043$) among those aged 65 years and above. Lower financial status, having activity limitations and pain intensity were associated with lower well-being scores in both age groups. Perceived high level of social support increased well-being among participants regardless of age, however, the association was stronger among the older participants (aged 18–64 years: $b = 5.54$, $p = 0.031$; 65 years and above: $b = 8.50$, $p = 0.002$). A higher number of chronic diseases significantly reduced the well-being for adults aged 18–64 ($b = -4.57$, $p = 0.023$), but not for those aged 65 and over. (Table 3).

A significantly higher psychological distress score was associated with lack of support in personal matters ($b = 3.64$, $p = 0.008$), limitations in daily activities ($b = 1.65$, $p = 0.001$), perception of severe or extreme pain ($b = 2.21$, $p = 0.039$) and lower self-reported financial status (satisfactory: $b = 1.18$, $p = 0.021$; bad/very bad: $b = 3.66$, $p = 0.004$) among those aged 65 years and above. Psychological distress was significantly reduced by high levels of social support ($b = -1.79$, $p = 0.022$), higher perceived control over their health ($b = -2.38$, $p = 0.005$), and in former smokers ($b = -1.40$, $p = 0.015$). Among younger adults (18–64 years), higher number of chronic diseases ($b = 1.74$, $p = 0.002$) and mild ($b = 1.17$, $p = 0.020$) and severe pain ($b = 4.53$, $p = 0.003$) were significant determinants of higher level of psychological distress. (Table 4).

In terms of life satisfaction, older adults with strong level of social support ($b = 0.84$, $p = 0.007$) reported higher life satisfaction compared to those with poor social support. Limitations in daily activities ($b = -0.66$, $p = 0.002$) and severe or extreme pain ($b = -0.71$, $p = 0.046$) significantly decreased the older people's life satisfaction. A higher number of chronic diseases was inversely associated with life satisfaction among 18–64 years olds ($b = -0.65$, $p = 0.001$). In addition, worse financial status and sense of control over their health were significant determinants of life satisfaction in both age groups. (Table 5).

Discussion

Our study found a significant decline in mental health with increasing age among people living in North-eastern Hungary, a deprived region of the country [38]. Self-reported financial status, social support, sense of control over their health, limitations in daily activities and pain intensity were independently associated with mental health of the elderly.

Psychological well-being is one of the most important indicators of successful ageing and is strongly associated with health and longevity [39–41]. Previous studies, mainly relying on cross-sectional data, suggested a U-shaped relationship between age and well-being, with a significant decline in life satisfaction from young adulthood to midlife, followed by an increase after middle age [42–44]. However, studies based on longitudinal data have provided conflicting evidence about the changing patterns of well-being over the life course [45–47]. In our study, we found that the well-being declines between the ages of 45 and 64 years, increases over to the early seventies and declines again. Life satisfaction shows a similar pattern, however, instead of rising in middle age, it flattens out and then begins to decline among the oldest.

According to our findings, several factors contributed to the decline in mental health in later life. Previous research has demonstrated that the decline in life satisfaction in old age is mainly due to health problems and social isolation [48]. Social support is an important protective factor against mental health problems, since it can prevent loneliness and delay the development of diseases. Although the levels of social support did not change significantly over the life course in our study, high levels of social support

Table 2 Mental health and social support by age group

Mental health	Age groups												p-value			
	Total			18–44			45–64			65–74				75 years and over		
	mean ± SD	min–max	n	mean ± SD	min–max	n	mean ± SD	min–max	n	mean ± SD	min–max	n		mean ± SD	min–max	n
Well-being index (WHO-5)	69.2 ± 18.1	0–100		74.3 ± 15.3	28–100		66.5 ± 18.3	4–100		70.8 ± 18.3	0–100		63 ± 18.5	0–100		< 0.001
Single-item Life Satisfaction Scale (LS)	7.5 ± 1.9	0–10		8.0 ± 1.6	2–10		7.4 ± 1.9	1–10		7.4 ± 2.0	0–10		7.0 ± 2.0	2–10		< 0.001
Psychological distress (GHQ-12)	9.7 ± 5.1	0–36		8.6 ± 4.3	1–36		9.6 ± 4.9	0–36		9.7 ± 4.9	0–36		11.5 ± 6.0	1–34		< 0.001
Social support	n	%		n	%		n	%		n	%		n	%		p-value
Oslo Social Support Scale (OSSS-3)																
poor	140	16.9%	27	13.3%	44	22.2%	49	17.1%	20	14.0%						
moderate	455	54.8%	112	55.2%	107	54.0%	151	52.8%	85	59.4%						0.169
high	235	28.3%	64	31.5%	47	23.7%	86	30.1%	38	26.6%						
Support in personal matters																
yes	804	95.3%	196	96.1%	190	92.2%	280	96.9%	138	95.2%						0.103
no	40	4.7%	8	3.9%	16	7.8%	9	3.1%	7	4.8%						

Statistically significant results ($p < 0.05$) are shown in bold. WHO-5, 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being Index; GHQ-12, 12-item General Health Questionnaire; SD, standard deviation

Table 3 Linear regression model for factors associated with well-being by age group

	Well-being index (WHO-5)					
	18–64 years			65 years or over		
	b	95% CI	p-value	b	95% CI	p-value
Age	-0.07	(-0.21, 0.08)	0.358	-0.34	(-0.67, -0.01)	0.043
Sex (ref: male)						
female	-0.04	(-3.16, 3.09)	0.982	-1.25	(-4.78, 2.29)	0.489
Education (ref: primary or less)						
secondary	1.68	(-2.55, 5.91)	0.436	-0.84	(-4.51, 2.83)	0.654
tertiary	0.93	(-3.73, 5.59)	0.697	-1.29	(-6.28, 3.70)	0.612
Self-reported financial status (ref: good/very good)						
satisfactory	-3.56	(-6.75, -0.38)	0.028	-6.29	(-10.12, -2.46)	0.001
bad/very bad	-12.37	(-18.48, -6.27)	<0.001	-12.05	(-17.99, -6.11)	<0.001
Marital status (ref: married)						
widow	-4.30	(-15.44, 6.83)	0.449	-1.98	(-5.75, 1.78)	0.302
other	0.98	(-2.00, 3.96)	0.519	1.81	(-3.90, 7.53)	0.534
Social support (OSSS-3) (ref: poor)						
moderate	3.85	(-0.10, 7.79)	0.056	4.23	(-0.88, 9.34)	0.104
strong	5.54	(0.50, 10.58)	0.031	8.50	(3.11, 13.89)	0.002
Support in personal matter (ref: yes)						
no	-0.14	(-5.87, 5.59)	0.962	-7.05	(-15.27, 1.17)	0.093
Number of chronic diseases (ref: < 2)						
2 or more	-4.57	(-8.52, -0.63)	0.023	-0.06	(-4.7, 4.58)	0.980
Sense of control over health (ref: less/nothing)						
lot/very lot	4.40	(-0.69, 9.48)	0.090	7.23	(2.87, 11.58)	0.001
Limitations in daily activities (ref: no)						
yes	-6.03	(-10.10, -1.97)	0.004	-6.04	(-9.74, -2.35)	0.001
Pain (ref.no)						
very mild/mild/moderate	-7.41	(-10.65, -4.17)	<0.001	-4.61	(-8.21, -1.01)	0.012
severe/extreme severe	-8.67	(-15.10, -2.25)	0.008	-13.01	(-19.39, -6.64)	<0.001
Smoking (ref: never smoked)						
yes	-2.65	(-6.14, 0.84)	0.136	0.57	(-3.65, 4.79)	0.791
former	0.56	(-4.07, 5.19)	0.812	0.87	(-3.00, 4.75)	0.659

Statistically significant results ($p < 0.05$) are shown in bold. WHO-5, 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being Index; OSSS-3, Oslo Social Support Scale; b, linear regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval

were associated with less psychological distress, greater well-being and higher life satisfaction in the elderly, while a smaller effect on well-being was observed in younger adults. A comprehensive systematic review recently reported that the sources of social support that were most protective against depression vary across the life course and support from spouses and friends being the most important sources of social support for older adults [49]. However, others highlighted the importance of older adults' access to meaningful relationships rather than simply

increasing their social interactions [50]. Lack of personal support was also associated with higher levels of psychological distress especially among those aged 65 years and over, which is in line with a previous research showing that the life stressor of “having no one to talk to” was strongly associated to the onset of depressive symptoms in later life [51]. Our findings reinforce the positive effect of social support on mental health of the elderly, as it was independent of sociodemographic variables or self-reported health problems.

Table 4 Linear regression model for factors associated with psychological distress by age group

	Psychological distress (GHQ-12)					
	18–64 years			65 years or over		
	b	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	b	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age	-0.03	(-0.07, 0.02)	0.204	0.08	(-0.02, 0.19)	0.105
Sex (ref: male)						
female	0.13	(-0.71, 0.96)	0.770	0.22	(-0.76, 1.20)	0.657
Education (ref: primary or less)						
secondary	-0.20	(-1.37, 0.98)	0.744	0.59	(-0.48, 1.67)	0.280
tertiary	-0.10	(-1.41, 1.21)	0.880	0.36	(-1.05, 1.77)	0.613
Self-reported financial status (ref: good/very good)						
satisfactory	0.32	(-0.49, 1.14)	0.436	1.18	(0.18, 2.18)	0.021
bad/very bad	2.12	(0.00, 4.25)	0.050	3.66	(1.18, 6.13)	0.004
Marital status (ref: married)						
widow	1.61	(-0.91, 4.12)	0.211	0.07	(-1.16, 1.30)	0.908
other	0.20	(-0.81, 1.20)	0.702	-0.84	(-2.31, 0.64)	0.265
Social support (OSSS-3) (ref: poor)						
moderate	-0.43	(-1.56, 0.7)	0.454	-0.72	(-2.23, 0.80)	0.355
strong	-1.24	(-2.58, 0.1)	0.070	-1.79	(-3.32, -0.26)	0.022
Support in personal matter (ref: yes)						
no	1.91	(-0.51, 4.34)	0.122	3.64	(0.93, 6.36)	0.008
Number of chronic diseases (ref: < 2)						
2 or more	1.74	(0.63, 2.85)	0.002	0.60	(-0.47, 1.67)	0.272
Sense of control over health (ref: less/nothing)						
lot/very lot	-0.94	(-2.42, 0.54)	0.212	-2.38	(-4.02, -0.73)	0.005
Limitations in daily activities (ref: no)						
yes	0.83	(-0.47, 2.13)	0.210	1.65	(0.67, 2.63)	0.001
Pain (ref.no)						
very mild/mild/moderate	1.17	(0.18, 2.16)	0.020	-0.06	(-1.22, 1.09)	0.913
severe/extreme severe	4.53	(1.57, 7.50)	0.003	2.21	(0.11, 4.31)	0.039
Smoking (ref: never smoked)						
yes	0.10	(-0.80, 1.01)	0.821	-0.35	(-1.59, 0.88)	0.576
former	-0.48	(-1.82, 0.86)	0.480	-1.40	(-2.51, -0.28)	0.015

Statistically significant results ($p < 0.05$) are shown in bold. OSSS-3, Oslo Social Support Scale; GHQ-12, 12-item General Health Questionnaire; b, linear regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval

Our study found that limitations in daily activities rather than the number of chronic diseases were associated with mental well-being in older age. A possible explanation can be that due to increasing life expectancy, people are much more likely to experience an increased burden and complexity of multimorbidity which ultimately lead to severe disability and functional impairment and greatly reduce their quality of life in later life [48]. As the number of adults living with disabilities increases with the aging of the population, meeting their health and support needs remains a major challenge. Restricted social participation is more common among those

with impairments or functional limitations, which can affect their health and psychological well-being [52]. In addition, a recent review suggests that older adults face a range of emotional and psychological difficulties caused or exacerbated by chronic conditions that may go undetected among them [50].

Acute and chronic pain play an important role in the mental health of people of all ages. Consistent with previous findings, we found a significant relationship between pain and mental well-being in both younger and older people with adults reporting higher level of pains having poorer mental health [53, 54]. Pain management requires to address the psychiatric

Table 5 Linear regression model for factors associated with life satisfaction by age group

	Single-item Life Satisfaction Scale (LS)					
	18–64 years			65 years or over		
	b	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	b	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age	0.00	(-0.01, 0.01)	0.951	0.00	(-0.04, 0.03)	0.995
Sex (ref: male)						
female	-0.10	(-0.42, 0.22)	0.536	0.16	(-0.23, 0.55)	0.420
Education (ref: primary or less)						
secondary	0.33	(-0.13, 0.8)	0.159	0.05	(-0.38, 0.47)	0.826
tertiary	0.30	(-0.24, 0.85)	0.272	-0.14	(-0.74, 0.47)	0.655
Self-reported financial status (ref: good/very good)						
satisfactory	-0.34	(-0.68, 0.01)	0.055	-1.11	(-1.51, -0.71)	< 0.001
bad/very bad	-1.24	(-1.87, -0.62)	< 0.001	-1.99	(-2.76, -1.22)	< 0.001
Marital status (ref: married)						
widow	0.09	(-0.78, 0.96)	0.839	-0.30	(-0.77, 0.16)	0.196
other	0.11	(-0.23, 0.45)	0.517	0.53	(-0.09, 1.15)	0.091
Social support (OSSS-3) (ref: poor)						
moderate	0.24	(-0.22, 0.7)	0.306	0.54	(-0.05, 1.14)	0.075
strong	0.38	(-0.12, 0.89)	0.139	0.84	(0.22, 1.45)	0.007
Support in personal matter (ref: yes)						
no	-0.34	(-1.13, 0.46)	0.410	-1.10	(-2.54, 0.33)	0.132
Number of chronic diseases (ref: < 2)						
2 or more	-0.65	(-1.05, -0.25)	0.001	-0.06	(-0.59, 0.47)	0.830
Sense of control over health (ref: less/nothing)						
lot/very lot	0.77	(0.23, 1.32)	0.006	0.59	(0.1, 1.08)	0.019
Limitations in daily activities (ref: no)						
yes	-0.37	(-0.8, 0.07)	0.102	-0.66	(-1.09, -0.24)	0.002
Pain (ref.no)						
very mild/mild/moderate	-0.36	(-0.73, 0.00)	0.052	-0.22	(-0.65, 0.22)	0.324
severe/extreme severe	-0.79	(-1.64, 0.06)	0.070	-0.71	(-1.41, -0.01)	0.046
Smoking (ref: never smoked)						
yes	-0.24	(-0.58, 0.1)	0.175	0.27	(-0.26, 0.81)	0.313
former	-0.36	(-0.93, 0.2)	0.209	0.23	(-0.16, 0.62)	0.252

Statistically significant results ($p < 0.05$) are shown in bold. OSSS-3, Oslo Social Support Scale; b, linear regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval

needs of patients with pain and comorbid mental health problems [55], since those suffering from this complex issue are more likely to report poorer health related quality of life and more disability [56, 57].

Our study revealed a significantly better psychological well-being among adults aged 65 years and above who thought they could do lot or very lot for their health. The association between perceived control and psychological well-being was not consistent in the younger population, only the life satisfaction showed significant association with greater perceived control over health. Previous studies have suggested that a greater perceived control is associated with

positive health outcomes in older age [58, 59], and with better psychological well-being, fewer depressive symptoms, less loneliness, and more contact with friends [60]. Furthermore, individuals experience a decline in perceived control in later adulthood, due to the increasing prevalence of age-related barriers and constraints (e.g. health and social conditions) [61, 62]. Our finding indicates that increasing older adults' sense of control over their health may be an independent factor in addition to social support, in achieving better physical and mental health.

Self-reported financial status was found to be a significant predictor of psychological well-being across

the lifespan in the present study, and the association was even stronger among the elderly. This finding supports the current literature which has found that low levels of income are strongly associated with an increased risk of poor psychological well-being in older age [8, 63]. In addition, a previous research has shown that financial strain acts as a stressor in older people and is associated with adverse physical and mental health outcomes in later life [64].

Central and Eastern European countries are at the bottom of the Aging Society Index ranking, an evidence-based model of a successfully aging society. This suggests that these countries, and Hungary in particular, are likely to face challenges in adapting to demographic transition, particularly in the areas of well-being, productivity and engagement [65]. In order to be able to prepare better for these challenges and to plan and develop interventions, it is utmost important to have reliable information about the physical and mental health of the elderly. Several studies have reported generally poorer mental health of older adults from Central and Eastern European countries [66–68], but to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to comprehensively assess changes in the determinants of mental health across the life course in a Central and Eastern European country.

This study is subject to several limitations. Given the cross-sectional study design, causal relationship cannot be inferred between investigated variables and psychological well-being. The use of self-reported measures of health status may be prone to recall bias, which could lead to overreporting of certain conditions. Although we have included significant socioeconomic and health-related variables in our multivariable models, additional independent variables may still have an impact on the outcomes. This study focuses on a specific disadvantaged region of Hungary, which limits the generalizability of our findings to the Hungarian population as a whole. In addition, data collection for the second survey was carried out in May–August 2022, during the COVID-19 pandemic, which may have affected participants' mental health [69, 70]. Due to COVID-19, older people may have experienced bereavement, loss of a partner or a member of their social network or reduced participation in social and public activities, which could lead to loneliness or worsening of their mental health [71]. However, we found no evidence of poorer mental health among the studied elderly compared to the findings

of the European Health Interview Survey 2019, so we can assume a real, pandemic-independent difference in the mental health of the different age-groups members [72]. In addition, the data collection of the two surveys took place in different years which may moderate the effect of association and the observed trend across age-groups. However, the same methodology and use of standard questionnaires allowed a reliable comparison of the study samples.

In conclusion, our in-depth investigation of the mental health challenges faced by older adults in a socioeconomically disadvantaged region of Hungary has revealed significant disparities in well-being, life satisfaction, and psychological distress between different age groups. The complex interplay of financial status, social support, sense of control over health, limitations in daily activities, and pain intensity stands out as crucial determinants affecting the mental health of older adults. Our findings not only deepen our understanding, but are also pivotal in shaping the strategic development of the Hungarian National Healthy Aging Program, which is currently in its nascent stages.

Our collaborative team is actively engaged in the formulation of this crucial program, working closely with governmental bodies. Alongside, we are in the process of establishing a WHO Collaborating Center in partnership with the World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe [73] drawing upon the expertise and resources of Semmelweis University. This collaboration is in step with the WHO-led United Nations Decade of Healthy Ageing (2021–2030) [74], an initiative aligned with the final decade of the Sustainable Development Goals [75] aimed at improving the lives of older people, their families, and their communities. The Decade calls upon governments, international and regional organizations, civil society, the private sector, academia, and the media to join forces and to advance the goals of this transformative era. Our efforts to develop the National Healthy Aging Program are significantly inspired by WHO's guidance and the overarching framework of the United Nations Decade of Healthy Ageing. Our research, inspired by this agenda, provides vital insights and evidence to guide the formulation of components within the National Healthy Aging Program. Our findings serve as essential baseline data, offering perspectives that can inform future health strategies and initiatives designed to enhance

the well-being of the elderly in Hungary and other socioeconomically disadvantaged regions within the European Union.

By addressing the complex needs identified through our research, and by enhancing social networks and ensuring accessible, effective health-care interventions, we can substantially improve the mental well-being of older adults. As the Hungarian National Healthy Aging Program progresses, the insights from our study will enrich the ongoing dialogue on healthy aging – a critical conversation for developing policies and programs that comprehensively meet the needs of the aging population and prioritize their mental health and overall well-being in Hungary’s public health agenda. Moving forward, it is essential to continue building on these foundations, harnessing international collaboration and evidence-based strategies to create a society where healthy aging is not merely an aspiration but a reality for all.

Author contributions NK: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. EB: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft. PP: Writing – review & editing. ZU: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. RA: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. All authors have read and approved the final version for publication.

Funding Open access funding provided by Semmelweis University. This project was co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (GINOP-2.3.2–15-2016–00005), the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (TK2016-78) and the Hungarian Research Network—HUN-REN (TKCS-2021/32). Project No. 135784 has also been implemented with the support of the National Research, Development, and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the K_20 programme. Authors, including P.P. and R.A. also work as team members of the National Laboratory for Health Security Hungary (RRF-2.3.1–21-2022–00006) and the National Cardiovascular Laboratory Program (RRF-2.3.1–21-2022–00003) supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH). Project no. TKP2021-NKTA-47 has been implemented with the support provided by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology of Hungary from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, financed under the TKP2021-NKTA funding scheme. P.P. is a fellow of the New National Excellence Programme of the Ministry of Innovation and Technology, funded by the National Research, Development, and Innovation Fund of Hungary (ÚNKP-23–5-DE-494). P.P. and E.B. were supported by the Janos Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (BO/00513/23/5 and BO/00933/22/5).

Data Availability The data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request due to privacy or ethical concerns.

Declarations

Conflict of interest Dr. Roza Adany serves as Associate Editor for GeroScience. Dr. Zoltan Ungvari serves as Editor-in-Chief for GeroScience.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World Social Report 2023: Leaving No One Behind In An Ageing World. UN DESA Publications. 2023. Available from: <http://desapublications.un.org/publications/world-social-report-2023-leaving-no-one-behind-ageing-world>. Accessed 8 Feb 2024.
2. World Health Organization. Ageing and health. 2022. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health>. Accessed 22 Mar 2024.
3. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Global Burden of Disease (GBD). Available from: <https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare/>. Accessed 8 Feb 2024.
4. World Health Organization. Mental health of older adults. 2023. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults>. Accessed 8 Feb 2024.
5. Osborn DPJ, Fletcher AE, Smeeth L, Stirling S, Bulpitt CJ, Breeze E, et al. Factors associated with depression in a representative sample of 14 217 people aged 75 and over in the United Kingdom: results from the MRC trial of assessment and management of older people in the community. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2003;18:623–30. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.896>.
6. Golden J, Conroy RM, Bruce I, Denihan A, Greene E, Kirby M, et al. Loneliness, social support networks, mood and wellbeing in community-dwelling elderly. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2009;24:694–700. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2181>.
7. Cacioppo JT, Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkley LC, Thisted RA. Loneliness as a specific risk factor for depressive

- symptoms: cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses. *Psychol Aging*. 2006;21:140–51. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.21.1.140>.
8. Zenebe Y, Akele B, W/Selassie M, Necho M. Prevalence and determinants of depression among old age: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann General Psychiatry*. 2021;20:55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12991-021-00375-x>.
 9. Cole MG, Dendukuri N. Risk factors for depression among elderly community subjects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003;160:1147–56. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.6.1147>.
 10. Petrova NN, Khvostikova DA. Prevalence, Structure, and Risk Factors for Mental Disorders in Older People. *Adv Gerontol*. 2021;11:409–15. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S2079057021040093>.
 11. Covinsky KE, Kahana E, Chin MH, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, Landefeld CS. Depressive symptoms and 3-year mortality in older hospitalized medical patients. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999;130:563–9. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-130-7-199904060-00004>.
 12. Lin W-C, Zhang J, Leung GY, Clark RE. Chronic physical conditions in older adults with mental illness and/ or substance use disorders. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2011;59:1913–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03588.x>.
 13. de Mendonça Lima CA, Ivbijaro G. Mental health and wellbeing of older people: opportunities and challenges. *Ment Health Fam Med*. 2013;10:125–7.
 14. Eurostat. Ageing Europe - statistics on social life and opinions. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Ageing_Europe_-_statistics_on_social_life_and_opinions. Accessed 9 Feb 2024.
 15. Roohafza HR, Afshar H, Keshteli AH, Mohammadi N, Feizi A, Taslimi M, et al. What's the role of perceived social support and coping styles in depression and anxiety? *J Res Med Sci*. 2014;19:944–9.
 16. Wang R, Feng Z, Liu Y, Lu Y. Relationship between neighbourhood social participation and depression among older adults: A longitudinal study in China. *Health Soc Care Commun*. 2020;28:247–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12859>.
 17. Chao S-F, Chen Y-C. Environment patterns and mental health of older adults in long-term care facilities: the role of activity profiles. *Aging Ment Health*. 2019;23:1307–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1484889>.
 18. Harasemiw O, Newall N, Mackenzie CS, Shooshtari S, Menec V. Is the association between social network types, depressive symptoms and life satisfaction mediated by the perceived availability of social support? A cross-sectional analysis using the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *Aging Ment Health*. 2019;23:1413–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1495176>.
 19. Yotsidi V, Gournellis R, Alexopoulos P, Richardson C. Life Satisfaction in a Clinical and a Nonclinical Group of Older People: The Role of Self-Compassion and Social Support. *Gerontol Geriatr Med*. 2023;9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23337214231164890>
 20. Hungarian Central Statistical Office. Available from: <https://www.ksh.hu/>. Accessed 9 Feb 2024.
 21. Eurostat. Available from: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>. Accessed 8 Feb 2024.
 22. Oláh B, Bíró É, Kósa K. Residence in segregated settlements (colonies) rather than Roma identity increases the risk of unfavourable mental health in Hungarian adults. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1205504>.
 23. McDougall FA, Kvaal K, Matthews FE, Paykel E, Jones PB, Dewey ME, et al. Prevalence of depression in older people in England and Wales: the MRC CFA Study. *Psychol Med*. 2007;37:1787–95. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291707000372>.
 24. Yen IH, Michael YL, Perdue L. Neighborhood Environment in Studies of Health of Older Adults. *Am J Prev Med*. 2009;37:455–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2009.06.022>.
 25. Kubzansky LD, Subramanian SV, Kawachi I, Fay ME, Soobader M-J, Berkman LF. Neighborhood contextual influences on depressive symptoms in the elderly. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005;162:253–60. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwi185>.
 26. Silver E, Mulvey EP, Swanson JW. Neighborhood structural characteristics and mental disorder: Faris and Dunham revisited. *Soc Sci Med*. 2002;55:1457–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(01\)00266-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(01)00266-0).
 27. Széles G, Vokó Z, Jenei T, Kardos L, Pocsai Z, Bajtaj A, et al. A preliminary evaluation of a health monitoring programme in Hungary. *Eur J Public Health*. 2005;15:26–32. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cki107>.
 28. Kovacs N, Piko P, Juhasz A, Nagy C, Oroszi B, Ungvari Z, et al. Comparative analysis of health status and health service utilization patterns among rural and urban elderly populations in Hungary: a study on the challenges of unhealthy aging. *Geroscience*. 2024;46:2017–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-023-00926-y>.
 29. Ádány R, Pikó P, Fialat S, Kósa Z, Sándor J, Bíró É, et al. Prevalence of Insulin Resistance in the Hungarian General and Roma Populations as Defined by Using Data Generated in a Complex Health (Interview and Examination) Survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17:4833. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134833>.
 30. Hungarian Central Statistical Office. Health Interview Survey (EHIS), 2014. Questionnaire. 2014. Available from: https://www.ksh.hu/elef/archiv/2014/pdfs/elef2014_kerdoiv.pdf. Accessed 5 Feb 2024.
 31. Kocalevent R-D, Berg L, Beutel ME, Hinz A, Zenger M, Härter M, et al. Social support in the general population: standardization of the Oslo social support scale (OSSS-3). *BMC Psychol*. 2018;6:31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-018-0249-9>.
 32. Dalgard OS, Dowrick C, Lehtinen V, Vazquez-Barquero JL, Casey P, Wilkinson G, et al. Negative life events, social support and gender difference in depression. *Soc Psychiat Epidemiol*. 2006;41:444–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-006-0051-5>.
 33. Bøen H, Dalgard OS, Bjertness E. The importance of social support in the associations between psychological distress and somatic health problems and socio-economic factors among older adults living at home: a cross sectional study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2012;12:27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-12-27>.

34. Buratta V, Luisa F, Gargiulo L, Gianicolo E, Prati S, Quattrociochi L. EUROHIS - Developing common instruments for health surveys. Copenhagen: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2003. p. 21–34.
35. Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a systematic review of the literature. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2015;84:167–76. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000376585>.
36. Cheung F, Lucas RE. Assessing the validity of single-item life satisfaction measures: results from three large samples. *Qual Life Res*. 2014;23:2809–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-014-0726-4>.
37. Goldberg DP, Gater R, Sartorius N, Ustun TB, Piccinelli M, Gureje O, et al. The validity of two versions of the GHQ in the WHO study of mental illness in general health care. *Psychol Med*. 1997;27:191–7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291796004242>.
38. Eurostat. Living conditions in Europe - material deprivation and economic strain. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Living_conditions_in_Europe_-_material_deprivation_and_economic_strain. Accessed 22 Mar 2024.
39. Tamosiunas A, Sapranaviciute-Zabazlajeva L, Luksiene D, Virviciute D, Peasey A. Psychological well-being and mortality: longitudinal findings from Lithuanian middle-aged and older adults study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2019;54:803–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-019-01657-2>.
40. Annele U, Satu KJ, Timo ES. Definitions of successful ageing: A brief review of a multidimensional concept. *Acta Biomed*. 2019;90:359–63.
41. Chida Y, Steptoe A. Positive psychological well-being and mortality: a quantitative review of prospective observational studies. *Psychosom Med*. 2008;70:741–56. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e31818105ba>.
42. López Ulloa BF, Møller V, Sousa-Poza A. How Does Subjective Well-Being Evolve with Age? A Literature Review. *Popul Ageing*. 2013;6:227–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12062-013-9085-0>.
43. Blanchflower DG. Is happiness U-shaped everywhere? Age and subjective well-being in 145 countries. *J Popul Econ*. 2021;34:575–624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-020-00797-z>.
44. Graham C, Ruiz PJ. Happiness, stress, and age: how the U curve varies across people and places. *J Popul Econ*. 2017;30:225–64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-016-0611-2>.
45. Galambos NL, Krahn HJ, Johnson MD, Lachman ME. The U-shape of Happiness Across the Life Course: Expanding the Discussion. *Perspect Psychol Sci*. 2020;15:898–912. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620902428>.
46. Li N. Multidimensionality of Longitudinal Data: Unlocking the Age-Happiness Puzzle. *Soc Indic Res*. 2016;128:305–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-1032-4>.
47. Wunder C, Wiencierz A, Schwarze J, Küchenhoff H. Well-Being over the Life Span: Semiparametric Evidence from British and German Longitudinal Data. *Rev Econ Stat*. 2013;95:154–67. https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00222.
48. Nemitz J. Increasing longevity and life satisfaction: is there a catch to living longer? *J Popul Econ*. 2022;35:557–89. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-021-00836-3>.
49. Gariépy G, Honkaniemi H, Quesnel-Vallée A. Social support and protection from depression: systematic review of current findings in Western countries. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016;209:284–93. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.115.169094>.
50. Abdi S, Spann A, Borilovic J, de Witte L, Hawley M. Understanding the care and support needs of older people: a scoping review and categorisation using the WHO international classification of functioning, disability and health framework (ICF). *BMC Geriatr*. 2019;19:195. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-019-1189-9>.
51. Kaji T, Mishima K, Kitamura S, Enomoto M, Nagase Y, Li L, et al. Relationship between late-life depression and life stressors: Large-scale cross-sectional study of a representative sample of the Japanese general population. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2010;64:426–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1819.2010.02097.x>.
52. Bickenbach JE, Chatterji S, Badley EM, Ustun TB. Models of disablement, universalism and the international classification of impairments, disabilities and handicaps. *Soc Sci Med*. 1999;48:1173–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(98\)00441-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(98)00441-9).
53. Rashedi V, Asadi-Lari M, Foroughan M, Delbari A, Fadayevatan R. Mental Health and Pain in Older Adults: Findings from Urban HEART-2. *Commun Ment Health J*. 2017;53:719–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-017-0082-2>.
54. Gureje O, Von Korff M, Kola L, Demyttenaere K, He Y, Posada-Villa J, et al. The relation between multiple pains and mental disorders: Results from the World Mental Health Surveys. *PAIN®*. 2008;135:82–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.05.005>.
55. Goesling J, Lin LA, Clauw DJ. Psychiatry and Pain Management: at the Intersection of Chronic Pain and Mental Health. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2018;20:12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-018-0872-4>.
56. Arnow BA, Hunkeler EM, Blasey CM, Lee J, Constantino MJ, Fireman B, et al. Comorbid depression, chronic pain, and disability in primary care. *Psychosom Med*. 2006;68:262–8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.psy.0000204851.15499.fc>.
57. Börsbo B, Peolsson M, Gerdl B. The complex interplay between pain intensity, depression, anxiety and catastrophising with respect to quality of life and disability. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2009;31:1605–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638280903110079>.
58. Gerstorff D, Heckhausen J, Ram N, Infurna FJ, Schupp J, Wagner GG. Perceived personal control buffers terminal decline in well-being. *Psychol Aging*. 2014;29:612–25. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037227>.
59. Infurna FJ, Gerstorff D. Perceived control relates to better functional health and lower cardio-metabolic risk: the mediating role of physical activity. *Health Psychol*. 2014;33:85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030208>.
60. Hong JH, Lachman ME, Charles ST, Chen Y, Wilson CL, Nakamura JS, et al. The positive influence of sense of control on physical, behavioral, and psychosocial health in older adults: An outcome-wide approach. *Prev Med*.

- 2021;149: 106612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jypmed.2021.106612>.
61. Robinson SA, Lachman ME. Perceived Control and Aging: A Mini-Review and Directions for Future Research. *Gerontology*. 2017;63:435–42. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000468540>.
 62. Lachman ME, Agrigoroaei S, Rickenbach EH. Making Sense of Control: Change and Consequences. *Emerging Trends in the Social and Behavioral Sciences*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015. p. 1–16. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118900772.etrds0209>. Accessed 8 Feb 2024.
 63. Yaka E, Keskinoglu P, Ucku R, Yener GG, Tunca Z. Prevalence and risk factors of depression among community dwelling elderly. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2014;59:150–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.03.014>.
 64. Kahn JR, Pearlin LI. Financial strain over the life course and health among older adults. *J Health Soc Behav*. 2006;47:17–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002214650604700102>.
 65. Chen C, Goldman DP, Zissimopoulos J, Rowe JW, Research Network on an Aging Society. Multidimensional comparison of countries' adaptation to societal aging. *Proc Nat Acad Sci*. 2018;115:9169–74. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806260115>.
 66. Lee S. Social Exclusion and Subjective Well-being Among Older Adults in Europe: Findings From the European Social Survey. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2020;76:425–34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa172>.
 67. Ghinescu M, Olariu M, van Dijk JP, Olteanu T, van den Heuvel WJA. Health status of independently living older adults in Romania. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2014;14:926–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12199>.
 68. Knurowski T, Lazić D, van Dijk JP, Geckova AM, Tobiasz-Adamczyk B, van den Heuvel WJA. Survey of health status and quality of life of the elderly in Poland and Croatia. *Croat Med J*. 2004;45:750–6.
 69. Györi Á. The impact of social-relationship patterns on worsening mental health among the elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from Hungary. *SSM Popul Health*. 2023;21: 101346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2023.101346>.
 70. Lábadi B, Arató N, Budai T, Inhof O, Stecina DT, Sík A, et al. Psychological well-being and coping strategies of elderly people during the COVID-19 pandemic in Hungary. *Aging Ment Health*. 2022;26:570–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2021.1902469>.
 71. Hwang T-J, Rabheru K, Peisah C, Reichman W, Ikeda M. Loneliness and social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int Psychogeriatr* 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610220000988>.
 72. Central Statistical Office, Hungary. Subjective well-being, mental health, 2019. 2019. Available from: https://www.ksh.hu/docs/hun/xftp/idoszaki/elef/szubjektiv_jolet_2019/index.html. Accessed 27 Mar 2024.
 73. Yon Y, Östlin P. Enhancing the Lives of Older Adults in the WHO European Region: Assessing the Implementation of the UN Decade of Healthy Ageing. *Eur J Public Health*. 2023;33:ckad160.179. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad160.179>.
 74. United Nations. United Nations Decade of Healthy Ageing (2021–2030): Resolution /Adopted by the General Assembly on 14 December 2020. New York: United Nations. 2020; Available from: <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/3896348>. Accessed 3 Apr 2024.
 75. United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York: United Nations; 2015.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.