



Correction to: Increasing water productivity, nitrogen economy, and grain yield of rice by water saving irrigation and fertilizer-N management

Omar Aziz¹ · Saddam Hussain² · Muhammad Rizwan³ · Muhammad Riaz¹ · Saqib Bashir¹ · Lirong Lin¹ · Sajid Mehmood¹ · Muhammad Imran¹ · Rizwan Yaseen⁴ · Guoan Lu¹

Published online: 1 May 2018
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: Environ Sci Pollut Res
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1855-z>

The original publication of this paper contains a mistake.
Correct presentation of Tables 4, 5 and 6 is shown in this article.

The original article has been corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1855-z>

✉ Guoan Lu
glu@mail.hzau.edu.cn

¹ College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

² Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan

³ Microelement Research Center, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

⁴ Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan

Table 4 Dry biomass of different parts of rice and grain yield in conventional irrigation (CI) and “thin-shallow-moist-dry” irrigation (TSMDI) and different N inputs at successive characteristic stages i.e. (a) tillering, (b) booting, and (c) post-harvest

Treatment		Root dry biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Straw dry biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Leaf dry biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	Grain yield (g plant ⁻¹)	Total biomass (g plant ⁻¹)
Irrigation management	N inputs					
a						
CI	N ₀	1.586d	4.150c	1.910c		7.647c
	N ₁	1.993c	7.310b	3.433b		12.740b
	N ₂	2.346b	9.446a	4.292a		16.087a
TSMDI	N ₀	1.640d	4.123c	1.881c		7.643c
	N ₁	2.056c	7.663b	3.441b		13.160b
	N ₂	2.436a	9.170a	4.244a		15.853a
Significance						
Irrigation management (I)		**	ns	ns		ns
N inputs (N)		**	**	**		**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns		ns
b						
CI	N ₀	2.513e	12.123c	3.076c		17.713c
	N ₁	3.570d	15.780b	4.083b		23.433b
	N ₂	5.093b	19.667a	5.196a		29.957a
TSMDI	N ₀	2.620e	11.110c	2.920c		16.650c
	N ₁	3.940c	14.913b	3.953b		22.807b
	N ₂	5.860a	18.473a	4.930a		29.263a
Significance						
Irrigation management (I)		**	*	ns		ns
N inputs (N)		**	**	**		**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns		ns
c						
CI	N ₀	1.286c	8.450e	2.283d	19.080c	31.100c
	N ₁	2.066b	13.297c	3.926bc	28.614b	47.903b
	N ₂	3.383a	16.833a	4.896a	40.190a	65.303a
TSMDI	N ₀	1.440c	7.470f	2.240d	19.753c	30.903c
	N ₁	2.416b	12.107d	3.166c	29.247b	46.937b
	N ₂	3.753a	15.690b	4.343ab	40.883a	64.670a
Significance						
Irrigation management (I)		*	**	*	ns	ns
N inputs (N)		**	**	**	**	**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (LSD test). N₀ = 0 kg N ha⁻¹, N₁=90 kg N ha⁻¹, N₂ = 180 kg N ha⁻¹

ns not significant at $P > 0.05$

*Significant at $P < 0.05$

**Significant at $P < 0.01$

Table 5 Nitrogen uptake by different parts of rice in conventional irrigation (CI) and “thin-shallow-moist-dry” irrigation (TSMDI) and different N inputs at successive characteristic stages i.e. (a) tillering, (b) booting, and (c) post-harvest

Treatment		Root N uptake (g plant ⁻¹)	Straw N uptake (g plant ⁻¹)	Leaf N uptake (g plant ⁻¹)	Grain N uptake (g plant ⁻¹)	Total N uptake (g plant ⁻¹)
Irrigation management	N inputs					
a						
CI	N ₀	0.0115d	0.0275c	0.0364c		0.0754c
	N ₁	0.0166c	0.0556b	0.0725b		0.1448b
	N ₂	0.0224b	0.0866a	0.1087a		0.2177a
TSMDI	N ₀	0.0119d	0.0276c	0.0373c		0.0769c
	N ₁	0.0175c	0.0600b	0.0742b		0.1517b
	N ₂	0.0241a	0.0820a	0.1080a		0.2140a
Significance						
Irrigation management (I)		*	ns	ns		ns
N inputs (N)		**	**	**		**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns		ns
b						
CI	N ₀	0.0135e	0.0735c	0.0494c		0.1365c
	N ₁	0.0223d	0.1136b	0.0688b		0.2046b
	N ₂	0.0361b	0.1652a	0.0991a		0.3004a
TSMDI	N ₀	0.0149e	0.0685c	0.0480c		0.1313c
	N ₁	0.0258c	0.1089b	0.0692b		0.2039b
	N ₂	0.0426a	0.1589a	0.0976a		0.2991a
Significance						
Irrigation management (I)		**	ns	ns		ns
N inputs (N)		**	**	**		**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns		ns
c						
CI	N ₀	0.0065c	0.0346e	0.0130c	0.1744c	0.2288c
	N ₁	0.0118b	0.0680c	0.0251b	0.2966b	0.4015b
	N ₂	0.0205a	0.1091a	0.0367a	0.4705a	0.6369a
TSMDI	N ₀	0.0075c	0.0313f	0.0133c	0.1871c	0.2391c
	N ₁	0.0143b	0.0633d	0.0206b	0.3140b	0.4121b
	N ₂	0.0229a	0.1022b	0.0335a	0.4918a	0.6504a
Significance						
Irrigation management (I)		*	**	ns	ns	ns
N inputs (N)		**	**	**	**	**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (LSD test). N₀ = 0 kg N ha⁻¹, N₁ = 90 kg N ha⁻¹, N₂ = 180 kg N ha⁻¹

ns not significant at $P > 0.05$

*Significant at $P < 0.05$

**Significant at $P < 0.01$

Table 6 Nitrogen derived from fertilizer (Ndff (%)) by different parts of rice in conventional irrigation (CI) and “thin-shallowmoist- dry” irrigation (TSMDI) and different N inputs at successive characteristic stages i.e. (a) tillering, (b) booting, and (c) postharvest

Treatment		Root Ndff (%)	Straw Ndff (%)	Leaf Ndff (%)	Grain Ndff (%)
Irrigation management	N inputs				
a					
CI	N ₁	28.55a	37.25b	41.16b	
	N ₂	32.16ab	43.80a	55.11a	
TSMDI	N ₁	29.52ab	38.43b	43.15b	
	N ₂	33.62a	42.66a	54.83a	
Significance					
Irrigation management (I)		ns	ns	ns	
N inputs (N)		*	**	**	
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns	
b					
CI	N ₁	24.50b	30.06a	34.09b	
	N ₂	28.68a	36.51a	38.91ab	
TSMDI	N ₁	26.03b	32.25a	35.10b	
	N ₂	30.60a	38.63a	42.02a	
Significance					
Irrigation management (I)		*	ns	ns	
N inputs (N)		**	ns	*	
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns	
c					
CI	N ₁	16.97b	23.56c	25.71b	27.89c
	N ₂	20.19a	28.41b	32.44a	33.70b
TSMDI	N ₁	17.40b	25.01c	27.93b	31.48b
	N ₂	20.49a	32.67a	34.56a	36.57a
Significance					
Irrigation management (I)		ns	*	ns	**
N inputs (N)		**	**	**	**
I × N interaction		ns	ns	ns	ns

Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (LSD test). N₁ = 90 kg N ha⁻¹, N₂ = 180 kg N ha⁻¹

ns not significant at $P > 0.05$

*Significant at $P < 0.05$

**Significant at $P < 0.01$