CORRECTION

Correction to: Preclinical Evaluation and Dosimetry of [^{111}In] CHX-DTPA-scFv78-Fc Targeting Endosialin/Tumor Endothelial Marker 1 (TEM1)

Francesco Cicone^{1,2}, Thibaut Denoël¹, Silvano Gnesin³, Nicolo Riggi⁴, Melita Irving⁵, Gopinadh Jakka⁵, Niklaus Schaefer¹, David Viertl¹, George Coukos⁵, John O. Prior¹

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

²Unit of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, “Magna Graecia” University of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy

³Institute of Radiation Physics, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴Experimental Pathology Service, Institute of Pathology, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

⁵Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, Lausanne Branch, Department of Oncology, University of Lausanne, CH-1066, Epalinges, Switzerland

Correction to: Mol Imaging Biol.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-020-01479-8>

In the original article, some numerical values in the following paragraph were reported incorrectly:

“In fact, the direct method would give absorbed doses 5.6 (0.927 vs. 0.165 mGy/MBq) and 13.2 (1.88 vs. 0.142 mGy/MBq) times higher for uterus and ovaries, respectively, compared with the method we adopted. Smaller, but still relevant, overestimations of 65 and 95 % would be obtained with the direct method for other important organs, such as the liver (0.921 vs. 0.570 mGy/MBq) and the kidneys (0.580

vs. 0.298 mGy/MBq), respectively (full data not shown)”.

The paragraph has been updated to read:

“In fact, the direct method would give absorbed doses 5.5 (0.907 vs. 0.165 mGy/MBq) and 5.2 (0.731 vs. 0.142 mGy/MBq) times higher for uterus and ovaries, respectively, compared with the method we adopted. Smaller, but still relevant, overestimations of 62 and 94 % would be obtained with the direct method for other important organs, such as the liver (0.923 vs. 0.570 mGy/MBq) and the kidneys (0.577 vs. 0.298 mGy/MBq), respectively (full data not shown)”.