CORRECTION

Correction to: Letter to the Editor re: Semiquantitative Parameters in PSMA-Targeted PET Imaging with [¹⁸F]DCFPyL: Impact of Tumor Burden on Normal Organ Uptake

M. C. F. Cysouw,¹ B. H. E. Jansen,^{1,2} M. Yaqub,¹ J. Voortman,³ A. N. Vis,²
R. J. A. van Moorselaar,² O. S. Hoekstra,¹ R. Boellaard,¹ D. E. Oprea-Lager¹

¹Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan, 1117, Amsterdam, Netherlands

²Department of Urology, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan, 1117, Amsterdam, Netherlands

³Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan, 1117, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Correction to: Mol Imaging Biol

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-019-01438-y>

The article was updated to correct the following errors due to an error in production:

1. The sentence “The authors performed a secondary analysis on a cohort of 50 prostate cancer patients that underwent [¹⁸F]DCFPyL positron emission tomography (PET)/x-ray computer tomography (CT) for various clinical indications, correlating PSMA-positive tumor volume with uptake values in normal tissues.” was updated to read: “The authors performed a secondary analysis on a cohort of 50 prostate cancer patients that underwent [¹⁸F]DCFPyL positron emission tomography /computer tomography (PET/CT) for various clinical indications, correlating PSMA-positive tumor volume with uptake values in normal tissues.”
2. The sentence “Hence, as also noted by Ilan et al., a general effect may be present for PET quantification of receptor-binding radiotracers (such as [⁶⁸Ga]/[¹⁸F]PSMA

and [⁶⁸Ga]DOTATATE), as the distribution volumes of such tracers are mostly limited to tissues that express their specific target [7].” Hence, as also noted by Ilan et al., a general effect may be present for PET quantification of radiotracers with a specific target (such as [⁶⁸Ga]/[¹⁸F]PSMA and [⁶⁸Ga]DOTATATE), as the distribution volumes of such tracers are mostly limited to tissues that express their specific target [7].”

3. The sentence “To compare, their included patients had a mean PSA of 188 ng/ml (ranging up to 2860 ng/ml), and for the part of the patients’ evaluation, [¹⁷⁷Lu]PSMA therapy was the indication of [⁶⁸Ga]PSMA PET/CT.” was updated to read: “To compare, their included patients had a mean PSA of 188 ng/ml (ranging up to 2860 ng/ml), and for the part of the patients, evaluation for [¹⁷⁷Lu]PSMA therapy was the indication of [⁶⁸Ga]PSMA PET/CT.”

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-019-01438-y>

Correspondence to: M. Cysouw; e-mail: m.cysouw@amsterdamumc.nl