Correction to: A randomized controlled trial of the impact of body-worn camera activation on the outcomes of individual incidents

Jessica Huff¹ · Charles M. Katz¹ · E. C. Hedberg²

Published online: 17 August 2021

© Springer Nature B.V. 2021

Correction to: Journal of Experimental Criminology
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-020-09448-5>

In the article “A randomized controlled trial of the impact of body-worn camera activation on the outcomes of individual incidents” by Jessica Huff, Charles M. Katz, and E. C. Hedberg, the Stata code and output referenced in Appendix A detailing the use of path models to estimate treatment effects were not included.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-020-09448-5>

✉ Jessica Huff
jlhuff2@asu.edu

¹ Center for Violence Prevention and Community Safety, School of Criminology and Criminal Justice, Arizona State University, 411 N. Central Ave, Suite 680, Phoenix, AZ 85004-0685, USA

² NORC at the University of Chicago, Boston, MA, USA

```
. reg y z
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	20
Model	76.05	1	76.05	F(1, 18)	=	0.39
Residual	3496.9	18	194.272222	Prob > F	=	0.5394
				R-squared	=	0.0213
				Adj R-squared	=	-0.0331
Total	3572.95	19	188.05	Root MSE	=	13.938

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
z	-3.9	6.233333	-0.63	0.539	-16.99575	9.195747
_cons	57	4.407632	12.93	0.000	47.73991	66.26009

The regression Stata code and output referenced in the appendix are shown here: The instrumental variable regression code and results referenced within the appendix are shown here:

```
. ivregress 2sls y (x = z)
```

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regression				Number of obs	=	20
				Wald chi2(1)	=	0.41
				Prob > chi2	=	0.5201
				R-squared	=	.
				Root MSE	=	13.557

y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
x	-5.571429	8.661317	-0.64	0.520	-22.5473	11.40444
_cons	58.11429	5.646488	10.29	0.000	47.04737	69.1812

Instrumented: x
Instruments: z

Finally, the `sem` code and results referenced within the appendix are presented here:

```
. sem (x -> y, ) (z -> x, ), cov( e.x*e.y) nocapslatent
```

Endogenous variables

Observed: x y

Exogenous variables

Observed: z

Fitting target model:

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -102.02278

Iteration 1: log likelihood = -102.02278

Structural equation model

Number of obs = 20

Estimation method = ml

Log likelihood = -102.02278

	Coef.	OIM Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Structural						
x						
z	.7	.1581139	4.43	0.000	.3901025	1.009898
_cons	.2	.1118034	1.79	0.074	-.0191306	.4191306
y						
x	-5.571429	8.661317	-0.64	0.520	-22.5473	11.40444
_cons	58.11429	5.646488	10.29	0.000	47.04737	69.1812
var(e.x)	.125	.0395285			.0672568	.2323184
var(e.y)	183.7951	61.44834			95.44456	353.9294
cov(e.x,e.y)	1.151429	1.545047	0.75	0.456	-1.876809	4.179666

LR test of model vs. saturated: $\chi^2(0) = 0.00$, Prob > $\chi^2 = .$

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.