



Correction: Evaluation of the temporomandibular joint morphology and condylar position with cone-beam computerized tomography in patients with internal derangement

Salih Eren Meral¹ · Seyhan Karaaslan² · Hakan Hıfzı Tüz¹ · Serdar Uysal¹

Published online: 4 May 2023

© The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Japanese Society for Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology 2023

Correction: Oral Radiology (2022) 39:173–179

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11282-022-00618-x>

In the original publication of the article, Table 2 was published incorrectly. The correct Table 2 is given in this correction.

The original article has been corrected.

Table 2 Mean values of the parameters in the ID and control groups

		Mean	SD	P Value
CW/GW AP				
Control	122	0.38	0.08	0.063
Patient	106	0.40	0.11	
CH/GFD				
Control	122	0.52	0.16	0.022*
Patient	106	0.58	0.18	
PSAEA				
Control	122	49.28	11.92	0.412
Patient	106	50.57	11.63	
AJS/PJS				
Control	122	1.55	1.08	0.173
Patient	106	1.75	1.12	
CAA				
Control	122	19.50	8.02	0.793
Patient	106	19.77	7.67	

AP anteroposterior; *ID* internal derangement; *AJS* anterior joint space; *PJS* posterior joint space; *CH/GFD* condyle height/glenoid fossa depth; *CAA* condyle axial angle; *LCR* linear condylar ratio; *PSAEA* posterior slope of articular eminence angle; *CW/GW* condyle width/glenoid fossa width anteroposterior ratio; *SD* standard deviation; *AP* anteroposterior; *mm* millimeter

* $p < 0.05$, statistically significant difference

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11282-022-00618-x>.

✉ Salih Eren Meral
erenmeral@gmail.com

¹ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

² Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Kyrenia, Kyrenia, Cyprus

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.