Correction to: The Use of OJIP Fluorescence Transients to Monitor the Effect of Elevated Ozone on Biomass of Canola Plants

Bheki G. Maliba · Prabhu M. Inbaraj · Jacques M. Berner

Published online: 22 April 2019
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Correction to: Water Air Soil Pollut
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-019-4124-y>

In the original publication's Fig. 1b, the labels J and I, should be placed at approximately 2 ms and 30 ms respectively. Also, Fig. 3C y-axis title should be written as $\psi_{Eo} / (1 - \psi_{Eo})$. The corrected Figs. 1 and 3 are provided here.

The online version of the original article can be found at
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-019-4124-y>

B. G. Maliba · P. M. Inbaraj · J. M. Berner
Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West
University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

B. G. Maliba (✉)
Eskom Research, Testing and Development, Cleveland,
Johannesburg, South Africa
e-mail: bmaliba@gmail.com

P. M. Inbaraj
Department of Chemistry, School of Basic Sciences, Manipal
University Jaipur, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Fig. 1 The average chlorophyll *a* fluorescence transients OJIP emitted by leaves of canola plants exposed to O₃ fumigation (80 ppb and 120 ppb) for 15 days (a) and 30 days (b), along with the transients from non-fumigated plants of the same age (control)

Fig. 3 Average values of the four components [RC/ABS, $\phi_{Po}/(1 - \phi_{Po})$, $\psi_{Eo}/(1 - \psi_{Eo})$, $\delta_{Ro}/(1 - \delta_{Ro})$] of the performance index (PI_{total}) of canola plants exposed to O₃ fumigation (80 ppb and 120 ppb) for 15 and 30 days and of non-fumigated

plants of the same age. Bars show standard error. For the same day, different letters above the columns indicate statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)