ERRATUM

Erratum to: Using Information-Gap Decision Theory for Water Resources Planning Under Severe Uncertainty

Brett Korteling • Suraje Dessai • Zoran Kapelan

Published online: 6 February 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Erratum to: Water Resour Manage DOI 10.1007/s11269-012-0164-4

The original version of this article unfortunately contained some mistakes. Please see below for some points that need to be corrected.

CORRECTION #1: IN THE ORIGINAL VERSION EQUATION 2 IS:

$$\widehat{\beta}(q, r_w) = \min\left\{\alpha : \max_{u \in U(\alpha, \widetilde{u})} (q, u) \le r_w\right\}$$
(2)

BUT SHOULD READ AS BELOW:

$$\widehat{\beta}(q, r_w) = \min\left\{\alpha : \min_{u \in U(\alpha, \tilde{u})} (q, u) \le r_w\right\}$$
(2)

B. Korteling (🖂)

Geography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Amory Building, Rennes Drive, Exeter EX4 4RJ, UK e-mail: bak203@exeter.ac.uk

S. Dessai

Z. Kapelan

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org10.1007/s11269-012-0164-4.


Sustainability Research Institute and ESRC Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK e-mail: S.Dessai@leeds.ac.uk


Engineering, College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical Sciences, University of Exeter, Harrison Building, North Park Road, Exeter EX4 4QF, UK e-mail: Z.Kapelan@exeter.ac.uk

CORRECTION #2:

AS FURTHER EXPLANATION FOR FIGURE 4, PLEASE INCLUDE THE TEXT:

The direction of the robustness and opportuneness curves in Figure 4 are opposite to those described in equations 1 and 2. The direction of these curves depends on the choice of performance criteria and it is possible to have these curves trend in either direction. The only requirement is that the direction of the opportuneness curve is opposite that of the robustness curve for any given performance criteria





CORRECTION #3:

Opportuness should be spelt opportuneness.