# Green space networks as natural infrastructures in PERI-URBAN areas



Amparo Verdú-Vázquez<sup>1</sup> · Eva Fernández-Pablos<sup>2</sup> · Rafael V. Lozano-Diez<sup>1</sup> · Óscar López-Zaldívar<sup>1</sup>

#### Published online: 1 July 2020

 ${\rm (\!C\!C\!}$  Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

#### Abstract

Focusing on the analysis of green spaces of urban and peri-urban borders, this paper puts into practice a methodology designed for the analysis of green areas and peri-urban spaces as green infrastructures, but from a multifunctional and integrating perspective, as it is stated in the European Strategy for Green Infrastructures and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development goals. The deadline for implementing European legislation in this area means that strategic plans are being developed at local and regional level. In Spain there are municipal and regional strategies already developed and implemented but the national strategy has not yet been approved. To this end, the development of monitoring and evaluation tools such as the one presented in this article, can help not only in local decision-making, but also in the establishment of common criteria to help assess its future evolution.

This research analyses the large peri-urban parks located in the southwest of the Community of Madrid (Spain). The evaluation is carried out from a local to a regional scale applying multifunctionality criteria to the planning, design, execution, maintenance and resilience phases. From the point of view of landscape structures, the findings of this study reflect a high environmental and social services delivery value, which makes it possible to understand the need to create networks to integrate the peri-urban spaces into the city and to attend to and understand the needs of all actors involved: citizens, political leaders, municipal technicians and private companies, providing them a common vision that improves the future of these spaces.

This makes them core areas from which to design strategic plans for natural infrastructure and improvement of biodiversity in the urban area, being, likewise, an example that can be extrapolated and applied to large cities whose urban areas are adjoined and where peri-urban parks can be the starting point for designing supramunicipal strategies for open spaces.

Based on these results we can conclude that south-west Madrid provides the ideal conditions for implementing a supramunicipal strategy for green infrastructures which, starting with its large forest parks, showcases the periurban areas which connect them with the urban green network. The Móstoles Green Network and Bosquesur are two strategic projects which, together with the forest parks, constitute a working framework based on which the Supra-municipal Strategy for Open Spaces in South-west Madrid can be devised.

Keywords Peri-urban green spaces · Green infrastructures · Sustainability · Evaluation tool

# Introduction

The relationship of city dwellers to their environment requires their reconciliation with the peri-urban area, making way for nature in the city, without boundaries, fences, hedges, physical or administrative limits, perceiving it as an opportunity and

Amparo Verdú-Vázquez amparo.verdu@upm.es improvement to our quality of life (Narain 2017). Those diverging perceptions must be taken into account through urban development strategies to create a socially just and sustainable city planning in the face of global environmental changes (Riechers et al. 2018). The advantages that this urban development model offers are increasingly clear, an ecological reading of the city not only offers more pleasant spaces but also unquestionable evidence of improved health for its inhabitants (Calaza 2016). This paradigm shift requires a joint effort by the government and social stakeholders in promoting citizens in decision-making brings (Mueller et al. 2018), in order to raise awareness and drive home the positive aspects of nature's presence in the city for citizens and in the management of public services alike.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Departamento de Tecnología de la Edificación. Escuela Técnica Superior de Edificación, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Avenida de Juan de Herrera, 6, 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aula Medioambiental de Boadilla del Monte, Madrid, Spain

In this respect, the development of Green Infrastructure Strategies offers a new framework for action, which will enable us to have sustainable cities in the future (Gavrilidis et al. 2017). In the one hand due to the ecological principles, of respect and integration of natural processes in the urban environment. On the other due to their large-multiscale vision, in terms of territory and time (European Commission 2014). Many authors acknowledge the cross-cutting risks and tradeoffs related to the complexity and dynamism of urban peripheries, which may eventually lead planning to unsustainable or unlivable outcomes. Integration among different scales and sectors emerges as a requirement for effective sustainable planning (Geneletti et al. 2017).

Green Infrastructure Strategies are an implementation tool for connecting the urban areas with their natural environments: network of green areas in the city, parks and gardens, community gardens and small green spaces in the city. Currently, the European Commission directs its lines of action towards the design of Green Infrastructure Strategies as a planning tool. The studies, designs and actions must be based on the principles of sustainability, multiescalarity and multifunctionality, and meet the requirements indicated by said Commission for the characterization of the elements as Green Infrastructures. This vision is part of the objectives of the 2030 Agenda of the United Nations for Sustainable Development: "Support positive economic, social and environmental links between urban, peri-urban and rural areas by strengthening national and regional development planning" (United Nations 2015). The green infrastructure plays an essential role in fulfilling these aims, since a multiscale perspective and the achievement of economic, social and environmental objectives are intrinsic to this approach.

From an ecological perspective, peri-urban parks function as bridging areas between urban and peri-urban spaces, characterized by the presence of agricultural uses, dispersed settlements, spaces without specific use and an extensive network of roads and cattle routes (Friedmann 2016). These spaces have in common the strong fragmentation caused by increasingly larger and more complex infrastructures, the exploitation of natural resources or the interactions of natural and human systems of the city and its own development and growth (Calabuig 2013). But they are also spaces that cushion the effects of the impact of the urban environment on the natural environment; they are reservoir areas of nature that can be added to the urban environment if the multifunctional approach of the landscape is incorporated to the planning processes of new urban developments (Hedblom and Andersson 2017). From a design point of view, it is essential that these spaces recover their value, so that projects incorporate elements of ecology, agriculture and extensive use, conservation and generation of native vegetation, especially living hedges, and use them as spaces for sustainable leisure or recreation (Directorate General for Agriculture and Rural Development 2005).

Numerous studies affirm that the most important asset of green areas is the generation of intangible services, (Haines-Young and Potschin 2018), specifically the improvement of the quality of life of citizens and their contribution to social welfare (Calaza 2015). Specifically, the characteristics of design, location and surface of the peri-urban parks make them the most representative natural spaces of the city, which means a great opportunity for the initiation or didactic approach of the citizens to nature (Otto and Pensini 2017). For this reason many town councils locate information and environmental education points in them, where they work on raising awareness in areas such as the interpretation and conservation of natural resources and landscape (Feszterova and Jomova 2015; Nasibulina 2015), consolidation of reuse and recycling habits (McKenzie et al. 2014) or urban agroecology.

The improvement of the quality and services of the periurban parks has positive consequences in their perception by the citizens, but also when it comes to attracting activities that generate economic resources, in so far as they favor, either directly or indirectly, business initiatives for high-quality, environmentally-friendly, sustainable tourism, business activities for sustainable leisure, refreshments, etc. All these things imply the economic revaluation of these spaces and, without a doubt, favor the feeling of belonging to them and, along with it, a wish to look after and maintain them. In this respect, any landscaping project, irrespective of its function, size or location, must protect, improve and regenerate the benefits and services provided by healthy ecosystems (U.S. ]Green Building Council 2015). The CICES classification (Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services) developed by the European Environmental Agency (Haines-Young and Potschin 2018) classifies ecosystem services in three categories: procurement, related to the supply of material goods, foodstuffs, energy, etc. regulation and maintenance of the environment, and culture, which includes intangible services.

Currently, municipal management of the urban green belt is excessively focused on economic, ornamental and surface area criteria, a work method which will require a change of mentality on every level in the context of the new framework for developing Green Infrastructure strategies (ASEJA, FEMP, AEPJP, 2019), featuring a comprehensive, systematized assessment to establish the possible environmental, social and economic impacts which affect them, associated with changes in design, construction and maintenance practices (Hansen et al. 2017). This evaluation should be an iterative and constant process which helps to improve the quality of the existing spaces and to improve design practices by establishing quality standards (Anguluri and Narayanan 2017). Finally, it should emphasize the role that green spaces play in improving the quality of life of city dwellers, their contribution towards mitigating climate change as part of Green Infrastructure (Design Trust for Public Space and the City of New York 2010).

Finally, as an application of the guidelines stated both in the European Strategy for Green Infrastructure (European Parliament 2013) and in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (United Nations 2015), Spain is close to publish the State Strategy for Green Infrastructure and Ecological Connectivity and Restoration. For its implementation, all the professionals involved (managers, planners and designers) will need operational tools to help them in decision-making at local and regional level, as well as provide them with multidisciplinary approaches between administrations.

This article analyzes the potential of the design of green infrastructure plans based on the analysis of peri-urban landscapes and, more specifically, the large peri-urban parks, since these constitute the closest natural space to citizens and play an important role in generating ecosystem services with local communities, as established by the FAO Urban Forest classification (Salbitano et al. 2017). This analysis must be carried out using multifunctional methodologies in which all phases of planning, design, execution and maintenance of these parks are reviewed from an ecological, social and economic perspective.

The aim of this research is to put into practice an overall evaluation tool to analyze the green periurban areas and use this strategy to establish new criteria in the Technical Specifications of public tenders for design management and maintenance phases of new green infrastructure projects. To this end, the case study presented in this paper seeks to respond to the questions compromising the future of our cities, by looking at the role granted to the urban and peri-urban green belt not from a theoretical perspective but based on the reality of large periurban green areas, in this case, in South-west Madrid:

- How can a network of green infrastructures be generated from the peri-urban space?
- What do the large peri-urban parks contribute to the urban green space network?
- How to revert current maintenance problems from design criteria? What kind of spaces, designs and management models generate the necessary resources for the public authorities so that they may remain in the future?

It consists of a set of cities that make up the southern belt of Madrid, whose urban development has been taking over the natural spaces that existed reducing them to mere interstitial spaces that, today, from the perspective introduced by the design of green infrastructure strategies, acquire great value as areas of urban-natural connection and biodiversity source spaces from which to develop urban biodiversity strategies in large cities.

### Methodology

The methodology applied in the present research (Verdú Vázquez et al. 2017), proposes a work method based on the

integration of green spaces characterization and evaluation methods and supra-municipal strategy planning and evaluation tools. This is a working method in which a synthesis is made of different existing methodologies and programmes that are suitable for a comprehensive diagnosis, from the administrative phase through to the execution and maintenance of green areas and peri-urban natural space projects. It also allows its potential as a Green Infrastructure to be assessed within the framework of Open Space Strategies. The objectives and actions to be developed in each case may be collected in the form of studies or guidelines for action by the administrations.

The analyzed bibliography is based on the characterization of the urban green grid defined in the green planning of the city (Falcón 2007) and the peri-urban space (Arenas Cabello et al. 2012). These studies provide evaluation criteria and management recommendations aimed especially at public administrations. In Spain, the study on Green Infrastructure recently published and developed by the Asociación Española de Parques y Jardines Públicos (AEPJP 2017) focuses on specific management criteria such as ratios per inhabitant, analysis of management models, budget allocations or human resources.

On the other hand, for the overall evaluation of the projects, the methodology presented in this paper takes as reference the systems of evaluation and certification of green areas in England: the Green Flag Award (Greenhalgh 2006) and the USA Sustainable Sites Initiatives (U.S. Green Building Council 2015), based on the diagnosis and evaluation of green areas from technical criteria of quality, universality and resilience.

As a result, a matrix is obtained which enables an overall, multifunctional and multi-scale diagnosis, enhancing the project itself and its potential as a Green Infrastructure within the framework of supra-municipal Strategies (Dige 2015) (Mayor of London 2009).

The new methodology has been applied in south-west Madrid, as an example that can be extrapolated to other large cities where urban growth exerts a strong pressure on the periurban space, which is reduced to a fabric of interstitial spaces in which the presence of large peri-urban parks and the conservation of peri-urban agriculture models are key to the generation of ecosystem services. The selected projects are located around the cities of Móstoles, Alcorcón, Leganés and Fuenlabrada and have in common the same operating pattern:

- They are the largest peri-urban green spaces in Madrid, with a strong link to areas of high natural or landscape value, such as the Regional Park of the Middle Course of the Guadarrama River and Southeast Regional Park.
- Naming them forest parks gives them a significant role in the green infrastructure and, as such, in the creation of urban forests (Calaza et al. 2018), particularly in the case

of Bosquesur and the Móstoles Green Network. The FAO Urban Forest classification gives peri-urban forests the greatest importance for biodiversity and landscapes (Salbitano et al. 2017)

- In all of the projects, *water is a key element*, be it due to the recovery of hydrological networks, to endorheic basins or to the creation of artificial lakes.
- They have a *strategic location* in relation to linear infrastructures which generate fragmentation processes.
- They are *recreational areas* with a strong component of public use that is highly concentrated in terms of space but without any commitment from the authorities to implement an active participation program.

These spaces are: "El Soto" Nature Park (Móstoles), the green artery of "Las Presillas" and "Arroyo Butarque" parks (Alcorcón-Leganés) and the "Polvoranca" Park (Leganés). Meanwhile, in 2013, the city of Móstoles embarked on a sustainability strategy with regard to the urban green belt: the Green Network (Department of Town Planning and the Environment. Móstoles Council 2014), which is included in this study alongside the Bosquesur forest park project (Sanjuanbenito 2005), the objective of which is the recovery of the Mediterranean ecosystem in the peri-urban area of South-west Madrid (Fig. 1). The previously mentioned methodology will be applied to these green areas, in order to assess their appropriateness for adapting to sustainability principles and their function, rather than as a provision, as an infrastructure, as bridging areas in a landscape planning process within the framework of a strategy of open spaces.

After defining the physical, social and cultural context in which the selected projects fall, a review of the *administrative* background in which these projects were created was performed in order to contextualize the circumstances which warrant the investment in new green spaces and the strategies which generate their design. Linking large green spaces with their environment: size, shape, ecological processes, memory of the place, town planning, population, etc., must be key to the design process, to generating dynamics within them to reflect these elements. For this reason, special attention is paid to the meaning that these large green spaces acquire in their environment, analyzed by means of a sequence of historical images provided by the Territorial Information System of the Madrid Institute of Statistics (http://www.madrid.org/ nomecalles/), as well as images from museums and municipal historical archives.

The third part focuses upon *landscaping*, trying to answer questions such as: 'Does the design create shapes, meanings and uses in line with the needs?', 'What is the capacity of the model implemented to assume social changes which determine tastes, the type of use required for this space, the political changes which define future lines of action, or the ecological changes inherent in natural systems?' This evaluation was carried out using the original design plans, historical photographs and in situ in the parks being studied, the results obtained being recorded in the evaluation matrix and photographic archives.



Fig. 1 Location of the green spaces included in the case study. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental

Finally, compliance with *sustainability and resilience criteria* is evaluated by using criteria such as their link with landscape planning tools, whether they incorporate monitoring and quality assessment tools and their suitability as Green Infrastructures in the context of supra-municipal planning strategies.

#### Study area

The large peri-urban parks studied make up a green belt around the large cities of south-west Madrid. They have a direct connection with the Regional Network of Natural Protected Spaces included in the Natura 2000 Network and with strategic projects designed for the peri-urban area in this territory such as Bosquesur (Sanjuanbenito 2005) or the Fuenlabrada Agricultural Park (Yacamán Ochoa 2014).

The "El Soto" Nature Park is located to the west of Móstoles, between the city and the Regional Park of the Middle Course of the Guadarrama River (Fig. 2). It was constructed in 1984 and is the city's largest green space (44 Ha). It may be classified as a peri-urban green area due to its location and type of use, but its use by the masses means that it is close to the concept of a "forest park" (Sanjuanbenito 2005), with extensive areas of tree plantations on the existing agricultural land. It connects with the aforementioned Regional Park via the Arroyo El Soto stream and this artery, currently a 'green track' is one of the main arteries underpinning the Móstoles

Green Network project (Department of Town Planning and the Environment. Móstoles Council 2014).

The green artery of Las Presillas and Arroyo Butarque (Fig. 3) follows the Butarque stream through the cities of Alcorcón and Leganés. It constitutes an example of how ecological boundaries can be created for administrative reasons in continuous natural spaces, caused by a differential treatment of the peri-urban park concept in each town. As such, focusing upon a common land-scape element, the linear axis of the Arroyo Butarque stream, its design, maintenance and public use program is very different depending on the town in which it is located.

Meanwhile, Polvoranca Park (Fig. 4) is the largest periurban green space in the south of the metropolitan area of Madrid, spanning 150 ha around seasonal lakes, in a cerealgrowing area where a seasonal stream runs through it and constitutes, just out of the park, the beginning of the plots forming Bosquesur, a supra-municipal project that aims to recover the Mediterranean ecosystem in the peri-urban space of the Southwest Madrid.

#### Results

The results of the *analysis of the context* (Table 1) in which these projects are located reflect that all of them are located in fragile natural spaces as a consequence of the development of the large cities in South-west Madrid: streams with rising



Fig. 2 El Soto Nature Park context. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental



Fig. 3 Green artery of Las Presillas and Arroyo Butarque context. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental



Fig. 4 Polvoranca Park context. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental

| Table 1 | Context and | governance | evaluation | matrix. | Prepared | by the authors |
|---------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|----------------|
|---------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|----------------|

| CONTEXT   | EL SOTO NP   | LAS PRESILLA<br>BUTARQUE  | S_ARROYO   | POLVORANCA  | BOSQUESUR   | GREEN<br>NETWORK   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Creation date   | 1984   | 2009/2011   | 2004 and 2009  | 1992  | 2007  | 2013   |
| Ownership   | Private  | Private,<br>expropriated  | Public and private   | Private   | Public and private  | Public and private   |
| Area  | 44 ha  | 57.50 ha  | 18 ha  | 150 ha  | 561 ha  | Municipal area project   |
| Connection with<br>the<br>environment   | Physically with the<br>environment: none.<br>Public use:<br>adequate in private<br>vehicle | Physically with<br>the<br>environment:<br>total. Public<br>use: very<br>limited | Physically with<br>the<br>environment:<br>Irregular;<br>Public use:<br>optimum | Physically with the<br>environment:<br>irregular. Public use:<br>adequate except from<br>Alcorcón | Physically with<br>the<br>environment:<br>very high.<br>Public use:<br>adequate | Physically with<br>the<br>environment:<br>very high.<br>Public use: very<br>high |
| Average   | 2 km   | 1.5 km  | 0.5 km   | 0.8-2 km  | 1.5 km  | 0-2 km   |
| Prior land use  | Non-irrigated crops,<br>allotments and<br>groves   | Meadows,<br>abandoned dry<br>land, Presillas<br>stream                          | Stream and<br>industrial or<br>residential land                                | Endorheic basins and farming  | Various: Farming,<br>dumps,<br>industrial,<br>construction,<br>etc.             | Residential,<br>farming,<br>tertiary,<br>industrial,<br>natural spaces           |
| Conservation<br>function or<br>habitat/species<br>recoverv                            | El Soto stream   | Presillas stream  | Butarque stream  | Lake ecosystem  | Culebro stream<br>and Canto<br>Echado ravine                                    | Guadarrama river   |
| Recovers<br>degraded areas  | NO   | Yes, stream bed   | Yes, stream bed<br>and adjacent<br>areas                                       | NO  | Yes, uncontrolled rubbish dumps   | Las Sabinas settlement   |
| Generates<br>projects in<br>developed<br>areas  | NO   | NO  | Yes, connection<br>with green belt<br>in La Fortuna                            | NO  | YES   | YES  |
| Connection with<br>better nature<br>networks:<br>ecological or<br>green<br>GOVERNANCE | YES  | NO  | NO   | YES (Bosquesur)   | YES   | YES  |
| Administrative<br>execution<br>procedure  | Direct commission  | Public tender   | Public tender  | Ideas contest   | Public tender   | Designed by town<br>planning<br>department                                       |
| Participatory<br>processes<br>involving local<br>agents and<br>users                  | NO   | NO  | NO   | NO  | NO  | In aspects without implications  |
| Management  | Local public authority<br>with privatized<br>services                                      | Local public<br>authority with<br>privatized<br>services                        | Local public<br>authority with<br>privatized<br>services                       | Local public authority<br>with privatized<br>services   | Local public<br>authority with<br>privatized<br>services                        | Undefined  |

volumes due to the contribution of waste water from treatment plants, residual spaces of low landscape quality, disused agricultural areas, rubbish dumps, areas which are isolated between a web of infrastructures, etc. The recovery of these spaces already entails their revaluation, as well as a significant change in how they are perceived by citizens. Regarding the physical connection, creating opportunities to connect these spaces physically and functionally both with each other and with urban areas by means of an Open Spaces Strategy, is a solid base on which to design Green Infrastructures. All of the projects reflect the effort to facilitate visitor access, mainly through bridges or roads, but the existence of large linear infrastructures such as highways or motorways, restricts their design, especially on foot or by bicycle. In all cases, these are access points in which mobility criteria have prevailed over those of landscape integration, missing a great opportunity to create ecological connections.

Regarding the functional connection, the analysis of the location and total area of the large green parks analyzed also supports this argument by relating them to the concept of the "green lung", reserved for green spaces assigned to leisure and nature activities for inhabitants of big cities. These are periurban green areas in which there is an evolution towards integration concepts, ecological connectivity and the incorporation of environmental education, but with the same common shortcomings in the treatment of all of them as services, without long-term planned designs. Since they are green spaces in peri-urban areas, the physical disconnection with the environment, with perimeter fences around them all, will condition the visual connection with the environment and the free movement of species.

Regarding the analysis of governance, the projects were awarded by public tender, except El Soto Nature Park, which was a direct award. Currently, the management is public with private adjudication of maintenance. It is only possible to talk about moving closer towards *citizen participation* in the Móstoles Green Network project, where a round table was organized with local agents, entrepreneurs and political groups, in addition to carrying out surveys and workshops in the city's different green spaces, as well as at the district councils.

Establishing good governance practices is key to carrying out projects which, although local in nature, fall within national frameworks. As such, multi-disciplinary working groups and wide-scale planning are required. The difficulties in relation to cooperation between local authorities in managing these green areas result in incoherent situations which compromise the adequate fulfilment of projects with common objectives and their landscape integration.

Opting for ecological city planning implies a tendency towards creating Green Infrastructure networks and is a procedure on a supra-municipal scale, related to the social, natural and political environment. To achieve this, it is necessary for everyone to make an effort: designers, authorities, politicians and citizens, in changing perspective and opting for innovation.

#### Reading based on landscape structures

At the heart of the designs is the incorporation of landscape structures with structuring elements such as the hydrological network as a structuring element and the preservation of plant formations or some pre-existing roads that follow the natural topography (Figs. 5 and Table 2). These images make it possible to compare the adequacy of the design to the previous structures of the landscape, specifically to the topography, maintenance of stacional streams and conservation of the existing plant masses. With the exception of the Móstoles Green Network, which is based on a more inclusive concept, the cases studied have been created around a common element, the seasonal streams and the opportunity to improve their quality and functionality. However, there are also serious shortcomings, such as the previous analysis of the quality and adaptation of land uses in order to establish the program and zoning of uses. This lack of evaluation of the designs with respect to the physical and climatic environment conditions their maintenance, causing the loss of the necessary balance between functionality, durability and enjoyment to facilitate their long-term sustainability.

A project effort is necessary, in terms of design and creativity so that the ecological processes meet social needs as part of a changing joint dynamic in natural and social spaces. Landscape-based planning requires time which does not always coincide with policy management timings, as such, it is necessary to understand it as strategic city planning. Landscaping provides the vision, the technical knowledge, the creative unity and the pragmatism required for this and the strategy which should be looked at is one for a Green Infrastructure which proposes actions in accordance with political commitments and responses to current and future challenges (Landscape Institute 2013).

#### Design

The design analysis shown in Table 3 includes the following aspects: visual quality, management of the water cycle, soil and plant elements, integration of the construction elements and design of the public use program.

The peri-urban parks analyzed present common *design elements* (Figs. 6, 7 and 8), being dominated by meadows with few wooded areas around the sheets of water, tree-lined river banks, in some cases with shrubby vegetation and extensive natural meadows with plantations of tree species which are often unsuitable as is reflected by their state of development and plant health. Their *aesthetic or functional link* with the nearby space is reduced to the selection of river corridors as a concept of design, which does not manage to have continuity in the surrounding landscape. As a result, we have a program of unconnected uses and naturalization attempts without spatial organization or landscape complexity that is explained in detail below.

The projects evaluated do not reflect a clear *integration of soil, water and vegetation*, instead one of the elements dominates the design, particularly water as an ornamental element or forest masses. In spite of efforts in soil-vegetation



Fig. 5 Changes in the landscape context in El Soto Nature Park (up), Green artery of Las Presillas and Arroyo Butarque (middle) and Polvoranca Park (down). Prepared by the authors and http://www.madrid.org/nomecalles/Inicio.icm

| READING<br>BASED ON<br>LANDSCAPE                         | EL SOTO NP   | LAS PRESI                                   | LLAS_ARROYO BUTARQUE  | POLVORANCA                              | BOSQUESUR   | GREEN<br>NETWORK                                       |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| STRUCTURES<br>Design team                                | Forestry<br>Engineering                                | José Luis<br>Muñoz<br>(municipal<br>expert) | Territory and Engineering<br>Studies Society (2004),<br>Francisco Javier González<br>Martín (architect) | López Chollet<br>Dalmau<br>(architects) | TECNOMA   | Móstoles<br>Council town<br>planning experts           |
| Link with urban<br>planning<br>instruments               | Town Planning<br>General Plan<br>and Strategic<br>Plan | Town<br>Planning<br>General<br>Plan         | Town Planning General Plan  | Town Planning<br>General Plan           | Town Planning<br>General Plan   | Town Planning<br>General Plan<br>and Strategic<br>Plan |
| Design based on<br>reading of<br>landscape<br>structures | Only<br>topography                                     | Yes, but<br>without a<br>defined<br>concept | Only topography   | YES                                     | NO (The same plan is<br>repeated<br>irrespective of the<br>environment) | NO   |
| Location of soil and<br>plant protection<br>areas        | NO   | NO  | NO  | YES                                     | YES (Forms part of project)   | NO   |
| Evaluation of previous design                            | NO   | NO  | NO  | NO                                      | NO  | YES (internally)                                       |

 Table 2
 Evaluation matrix of a reading based on landscape structures. Prepared by the authors

| DESIGN   | EL SOTO NP  | LAS<br>PRESILLAS_<br>BUTARQUE   | ARROYO  | POLVORANCA  | BOSQUESUR   | GREEN<br>NETWORK  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Visual quality   | Consistency in<br>treatment of<br>vegetation strata,<br>deterioration of<br>wooded areas. Very<br>low in stream.<br>Interrupted view of<br>landscape. | Inadequate<br>treatment of<br>visuals and<br>landscape<br>values.     | Adequate<br>treatment of<br>visuals,<br>zoning of<br>design<br>according to<br>public use.              | Adequate treatment of<br>landscapes and visual<br>axes, landscape<br>integrated in<br>environment; improve<br>shrubbed areas. | High in Canto<br>Echado and Butarque<br>stream area.<br>Consistency in<br>treatment of<br>vegetation strata and<br>areas of public use. | Specific actions to<br>improve the visual<br>axes and to integrate<br>and improve visual<br>quality in the urban<br>area are being<br>considered. |
| Critical points  | Quality and bed of El<br>Soto stream, quality<br>of lake, program,<br>forestry<br>management.   | Absence of<br>program<br>and<br>process.W-<br>ater<br>manage-<br>mont | Water and<br>lake<br>manage-<br>ment.   | Reconcile public use<br>program with<br>ecological design<br>processes.   | Excessive repetition<br>in planting<br>patterns and public<br>use areas. Absence<br>of program.   | Link with all local<br>areas involved and<br>joint<br>implementation of<br>program.   |
| Potential  | Option of<br>multifunctional<br>water cycle<br>management, link<br>with citizens,<br>integration with<br>ecological and<br>green networks.            | Option of<br>phased<br>integrated<br>design.                          | Connectivity<br>with the<br>environ-<br>ment and<br>physical<br>continuity<br>of the river<br>corridor. | Phased design,<br>regional<br>management and<br>environmental<br>education program<br>from the start.                         | Based on<br>connectivity and<br>recovery of the<br>Mediterranean<br>ecosystem.  | Starting point for a<br>Green<br>Infrastructure<br>network.   |
| DESIGN: WATER C  | YCLE MANAGEMEN'I  |   |   |   |   |   |
| Local rainfail<br>management                                     | NU  | YES, but<br>without<br>mainte-<br>nance                               | YES (dams at<br>connection<br>with<br>Presillas,<br>lake and<br>reception<br>of<br>rainwater)           | YES (closed water<br>cycle)   | NO  | NO  |
| Reduction in water<br>consumption                                | NO  | YES (species<br>selection<br>and local<br>watering<br>throughout)     | NO  | YES, (cyclical water<br>management<br>system, the aim is<br>for it to be a closed<br>cycle)                                   | YES (species selection)   | NO  |
| Rain water control   | NO  | YES   | YES   | YES   | NO  | NO  |
| Water ecosystem<br>recovery                                      | NO  | NO  | NO  | YES (connection of<br>lakes with Arroyo<br>Culebro and<br>continual flow to<br>this riverbed)                                 | YES (Arroyo<br>Culebro)   | YES (Guadarrama river)  |
| DESIGN: SOIL AND   | PLANT ELEMENT MA  | ANAGEMENT   |   | uns nverbed)  |   |   |
| Soil management<br>plan  | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  |
| Invasive species<br>control                                      | YES (according to regional law)   | YES<br>(according<br>to regional<br>law)                              | YES<br>(according<br>to regional<br>law)  | YES (according to regional law)   | YES (according to regional law)   | NO  |
| Use of native species  | NOT A PRIORITY  | NOT A<br>PRIORITY   | NOT A<br>PRIORIT-<br>Y  | YES   | YES   | NO  |
| Conservation and<br>recovery of<br>previous plant<br>communities | NO  | NO  | YES (right<br>margin)   | YES   | NO  | NO  |

#### Table 3 Design evaluation matrix. Prepared by the authors

| Urban Ecosyst (2021) 24:187–204 |
|---------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|

#### Table 3 (continued)

| DESIGN  | EL SOTO NP  | LAS<br>PRESILLAS_<br>BUTARQUE  | ARROYO   | POLVORANCA  | BOSQUESUR   | GREEN<br>NETWORK  |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Visual quality  | Consistency in<br>treatment of<br>vegetation strata,<br>deterioration of<br>wooded areas. Very<br>low in stream.<br>Interrupted view of<br>landscape. | Inadequate<br>treatment of<br>visuals and<br>landscape<br>values.    | Adequate<br>treatment of<br>visuals,<br>zoning of<br>design<br>according to<br>public use. | Adequate treatment of<br>landscapes and visual<br>axes, landscape<br>integrated in<br>environment; improve<br>shrubbed areas.                     | High in Canto<br>Echado and Butarque<br>stream area.<br>Consistency in<br>treatment of<br>vegetation strata and<br>areas of public use. | Specific actions to<br>improve the visual<br>axes and to integrate<br>and improve visual<br>quality in the urban<br>area are being<br>considered. |
| Reduction of island   | YES   | YES  | YES  | YES   | YES   | YES   |
| Compositional<br>complexity   | IRREGULAR: high<br>in shrub formations,<br>low in wooded and<br>herbaceous areas  | LOW  | LOW  | AVERAGE (lacks the<br>diversity of the<br>shrub and<br>herbaceous strata)   | AVERAGE (lacks<br>the diversity of the<br>shrub and<br>herbaceous strata)   | LOW   |
| Landform-integrated<br>and flexible<br>designs                                      | YES (excluding<br>actions when<br>creating the lake)  | YES<br>(excluding<br>actions<br>when<br>creating<br>lake<br>systems) | YES<br>(excluding<br>actions<br>when<br>creating<br>the lake)                              | YES (excluding<br>actions when<br>creating the<br>artificial lake)  | YES   | NO  |
| Recovered, reused<br>and recycled<br>materials                                      | NO  | YES  | NO   | NO  | NO  | NO  |
| Materials in<br>accordance with<br>established<br>regulations and<br>certifications | YES   | Not in every<br>case   | YES  | YES   | YES   | YES   |
| Local materials   | Not a priority  | Not a priority   | Not a priority   | Not a priority  | Not a priority  | Not a priority  |
| DESIGN: WELLBEIN  | IG AND SOCIAL IDEN  | TITY   |  |   |   |   |
| Recognition of<br>regional and local<br>identity and history                        | NO (Pending<br>incorporation of<br>historical reference)  | NO   | YES  | YES (by the<br>CEA(Environmen-<br>tal Studies Center))  | NO  | NO  |
| Protection and<br>valuation of<br>historical and<br>natural heritage                | NO (archaeological<br>points of interest<br>excluded)   | NO   | NO   | YES (by the<br>CEA(Environmen-<br>tal Studies Center),<br>not in the design<br>which excludes the<br>recovery of the<br>village of<br>Polvoranca) | YES   | YES   |
| Promotion of<br>balanced public<br>use  | NO (Management<br>tends to focus on<br>public use)  | NO   | NO   | YES   | NO  | YES   |
| Promotion of<br>physical and<br>mental health                                       | YES (Exercise circuits<br>and inclusion in<br>healthy living<br>programs)   | NO   | YES (Social<br>Services<br>programs)   | YES (in the design itself)  | YES (from the<br>CEA(Environme-<br>ntal Studies<br>Center))   | YES (from the department of sport)  |
| Contribution to social<br>integration   | YES (Leisure,<br>integration and<br>volunteer activities,<br>but no specific<br>programs)   | NO   | YES  | YES (from the<br>CEA(Environmen-<br>tal Studies Center))  | YES (from the<br>CEA(Environme-<br>ntal Studies<br>Center))   | NO  |
| Promotion of<br>agricultural and<br>horticultural use                               | NO (Proposal for<br>creation of   | NO   | NO   | NO (Proposal for creation of  | NO (Proposal for creation of  | NO  |

#### Table 3 (continued)

| DESIGN   | EL SOTO NP  | LAS<br>PRESILLAS_<br>BUTARQUE                                     | ARROYO   | POLVORANCA  | BOSQUESUR   | GREEN<br>NETWORK  |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Visual quality   | Consistency in<br>treatment of<br>vegetation strata,<br>deterioration of<br>wooded areas. Very<br>low in stream.<br>Interrupted view of<br>landscape. | Inadequate<br>treatment of<br>visuals and<br>landscape<br>values. | Adequate<br>treatment of<br>visuals,<br>zoning of<br>design<br>according to<br>public use. | Adequate treatment of<br>landscapes and visual<br>axes, landscape<br>integrated in<br>environment; improve<br>shrubbed areas. | High in Canto<br>Echado and Butarque<br>stream area.<br>Consistency in<br>treatment of<br>vegetation strata and<br>areas of public use. | Specific actions to<br>improve the visual<br>axes and to integrate<br>and improve visual<br>quality in the urban<br>area are being<br>considered. |
| Promotion of clean<br>energy use                                   | allotments not<br>implemented)<br>NO  | NO  | NO   | allotments not<br>implemented)<br>YES (by the<br>CEA(Environmen-<br>tal Studies Center))                                      | allotments not<br>implemented)<br>YES (by the<br>CEA(Environme-<br>ntal Studies<br>Center))   | NO  |
| <i>Contribution to local</i><br><i>economy</i><br>DESIGN: CONSTRU( | NO<br>CTION   | NO  | NO   | NO  | NO  | YES   |
| Pollution control<br>during<br>construction                        | In accordance with specifications   | In accordance<br>with<br>specifica-<br>tions                      | In accordance<br>with<br>specifica-<br>tions   | In accordance with specifications   | In accordance with specifications   | NO  |
| Retention of usable<br>topsoil                                     | In accordance with specifications   | In accordance<br>with<br>specifica-<br>tions                      | In accordance<br>with<br>specifica-<br>tions   | In accordance with specifications   | In accordance with specifications   | NO  |

adaptation by means of the selection of native species, especially in Povoranca Park and Bosquesur, the design lacks the compositional complexity that generates heterogeneity and contributes to the improvement of biodiverity, since these functions were not considered as priorities from the design phase. Adequate soil management during the design and construction phases and the use of suitable soils when developing the vegetation would significantly reduce the economic investment and maintenance effort subsequently required.

The *selection and treatment of project materials* is an aspect that needs comprehensive improvement since aside from the certification required, the use of materials which contribute towards mitigating climate change is not a priority in any of the projects, be it to improve the filtering of rain



Fig. 6 Landscape units in El Soto Nature Park. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental



Fig. 7 Landscape units in Green artery of Las Presillas and Arroyo Butarque. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental

water or to use flexible, recycled or reused materials which, due to their origin, contribute towards reducing the carbon footprint. This situation is widespread and could be reversed were governments to incorporate in their project tender documentation for the execution and maintenance of green areas that contracted companies must comply with circular economy criteria.

Regarding their role in the promotion of social welfare, these are highly valued areas such as leisure and sports areas because of their proximity to residential areas and the direct contact with nature that they provide for citizens. However, the limitations in the design of a program for public use are reflected in the scarce diversification of leisure opportunities for groups such as adolescents, young people and people with special needs, or in the concentration and, therefore, high pressure around singular points such as bodies of water or wooded leisure areas.

The existence of Nature Learning Centers in these parks contributes towards boosting the program and encourages citizen participation, but they are also essential in raising awareness about the need to conserve and care for these spaces.



Fig. 8 Landscape units in Green artery of Polvoranca Park. Prepared by the authors and http://idem.madrid.org/?v=ambiental

Public use in these spaces is linked to the generation of large amounts of waste, a product of days out and coexistence, which makes a plan to raise awareness about this issue and its selective collection necessary, as stated above. Environmental education centers should be the driving elements of citizen participation in decision-making about the future of these green spaces.

#### Maintenance

The low integration of maintenance criteria such as those shown in Table 4 during the design phase causes great problems to assume the costs of these interventions. Specifically, it is in the areas with more adaptive designs where the effects of the lack of staff and investment are less noticeable. It is necessary a thorough and economically quantified research into the resilience that green spaces with less intensive maintenance are demonstrating during this period of general economic crisis and more specifically of downturn in the gardening sector.

It is precisely at this moment that local administrations are investing in the recovery of their green heritage, when they have the opportunity to design sustainable green areas which require less intervention, have reduced annual costs and which require less investment. Incorporating the maintenance perspective in the design process will increase the chances of these spaces being more interesting and longer lasting.

However, this change of paradigm in gardening entails the need to train professionals at all levels, who are familiar with the natural processes required in order to plan and execute maintenance tasks incorporating aesthetic, technical and ecological factors. Maintenance activities in green spaces require a considerable financial investment by local councils: labor, supplies, facility maintenance and civil works, pruning, waste management and water consumption are the areas to evaluate financially during the design of sustainable green spaces. This change in model must not have a negative effect on the staffing levels, rather it should promote their gardening expertise and improve their conditions and social status as professionals responsible for the quality of green spaces.

The production and collection of waste is another of the weaknesses of peri-urban green spaces. A large amount of waste is generated in these areas as a consequence of both public use and maintenance work. The waste generated during maintenance work is taken to composting plants at plant waste disposal sites but that produced by public use requires a significant collection effort, as well as awareness campaigns and the design of selective collection schemes for the access points. Otherwise, we are faced by a significant contradiction: having green peri-urban spaces in order to improve the quality of life of citizens who themselves represent a critical point in terms of waste generation. This aspect exceeds physical limits, the perimeter fences around our green spaces, it being common for waste to accumulate in neighboring natural and agricultural areas.

Despite the existence of a long tradition in raising awareness on this subject, efforts must continue so that users understand the importance of a correct civic and environmental conduct when using peri-urban areas, both when it comes to correct waste collection and in terms of not feeding the fauna present in these spaces, especially in water habitats. In this sense, is fundamental the promotion of programs to improve urban biodiversity in the Centers of Environmental Education, as a means of publicizing the importance of urban wildlife to citizens.

# Sustainability and resilience: Monitoring, innovation and good practices

The assessment carried out is summarized in Table 5, which sets out the needs identified for inclusion in the design objectives and actions for a future Open Spaces Strategy that, starting with the peri-urban parks studied, includes a multifunctional analysis of the peri-urban space incorporating singular projects designed using resilience criteria, and connecting with the city through its network of green areas.

| MAINTENANCE   | EL SOTO NP   | LAS PRESILLA<br>BUTARQUE | S_ARROYO            | POLVORANCA | BOSQUESUR | GREEN<br>NETWORK |
|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|
| Maintenance plan                                      | YES  | NOT<br>SPECIFICALLY      | NOT<br>SPECIFICALLY | YES        | YES       | NO               |
| Reduction of irrigation water consumption             | NO (progressive<br>incorporation of<br>watering in natural<br>grassland) | YES                      | NO                  | YES        | YES       | NO               |
| Plant health management<br>plan minimizing the use of | YES (QMC of contracting entity)  | NO                       | NO                  | NO         | NO        | NO               |
| Reduced fire risk                                     | YES  | YES                      | YES                 | YES        | YES       | NO               |

Table 4 Maintenance evaluation matrix. Prepared by the authors

| Table 5 Sustainabi                           | llity and resilience assess                 | ment matrix. Prepared by                                      | y the authors   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SUSTAINABILITY<br>innovation and good        | / AND RESILIENCE:<br>d practices            | Monitoring,   | EL SOTO NP  | LAS PRESII<br>BUTARQUE                      | LAS_ARROYO  | POLVORANCA  | BOSQUESUR   | GREEN<br>NETWORK                                |
| MONITORING OF                                | ACTIONS                                     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Promote sustainabil.                         | ity and landscape educa                     | tion  | NO (the environmental<br>classroom scheme<br>barely reaches the park) | ON  | ON  | YES (through the<br>CEA(Environment-<br>al Studies Center)) | YES (through the<br>CEA(Environment-<br>al Studies Center)) | YES (through the<br>environmental<br>classroom) |
| Implement and shar                           | e the project                               |   | NO  | ON  | NO  | YES (through the<br>CEA(Environment-<br>al Studies Center)) | YES (through the<br>CEA(Environment-<br>al Studies Center)) | YES   |
| Monitor and share <i>J</i><br>INNOVATION ANE | <i>project progress</i><br>O GOOD PRACTICES |   | NO  | NO  | ON  | YES   | YES   | YES   |
| Innovation in action.                        | Si  |   | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  | YES   |
| Landscape policies/s                         | specific landscape instru                   | ments   | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  | NO  |
| Compatibility and in                         | nplementation as Green                      | Infrastructure  | YES (Incorporated in<br>Green Network)                                | ON  | NO  | YES   | YES   | YES   |
| ENVIRONMENTA                                 | L Positive<br>contribution to               | Improves biodiversity   | YES   |   | YES   | YES   | Greater diversity required                                  |   |
|  | landscape and<br>biodiversity               | Showcases<br>landscape diversity<br>and quality               |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | Water cycle<br>management                   | Rainwater<br>management<br>Rain gardens,<br>Sustainable Urban |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | Network<br>configuration                    | Drainage Systems<br>Habitat connectivity<br>Accessibility     |   |   |   | Due to its strategic  |   |   |
|  | Brings quality to the                       | Showcases<br>Indexan fortune                                  |   | High quality                                | contributions and   | location<br>Linked to design                                |   |   |
|  | puace<br>Climate change<br>mitigation       | promotes use of<br>clean energy                               | Shortcomings in use of cle  | an energy and                               | energy efficiency   | At the<br>CEA(Environment-<br>al Studies Center)            | Shortcomings in use or<br>energy ef                         | f clean energy and<br>ficiency                  |
|  |   | Waste management  | No solid urban waste, just<br>plant waste                             | No solid urba<br>waste, just<br>plant waste | <ul> <li>n No solid urban<br/>waste, just</li> <li>plant waste</li> </ul> | No solid urban waste,<br>just plant waste                   | No solid urban waste,<br>just plant waste                   |   |
| SOCIAL                                       | Generates opportuni<br>well-being           | ties for leisure and  |   | ¢   | 4   |   |   |   |
|  | Promotes citizen eng                        | agement   |   |   |   | CEA (Environmental<br>Studies Center)                       | CEA (Environmental<br>Studies Center)                       | workshops                                       |
|  | Strengthens local ide                       | ntity   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | Universality of space                       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | Promotes environme.                         | ntal education  |   |   |   |   |   |   |

| SUSTAINABILITY<br>innovation and good | ' AND RESILJENCE: Monitoring,<br>d practices                        | EL SOTO NP              | LAS PRESILLAS_ARROYO<br>BUTARQUE | POLVORANCA          | BOSQUESUR              | GREEN<br>NETWORK |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| ECONOMIC                              | Generates productivity<br>Economic revaluation of land and property | 4                       |                                  |                     |                        | Land reserve     |
|                                       | Showcases ecosystem services<br>Promotes tourism and local produce  | Linked to environmental |                                  | Linked to CEAs (Env | ironmental Studies     |                  |
|                                       | Contributes towards urban regeneration                              | classroom               | Attached to urban area           | Centers)            | Attached to urban area | Great potential  |
|                                       |   |                         |                                  |                     |                        |                  |

Table 5 (continued)

First, it is necessary to incorporate the assessment of the projects and the possibility of starting to implement phased programs, linked to the reading of the landscape, which may also imply more flexible public use programs as the project progresses. Also, in these spaces, plans will have to be drawn up, in the short term, for landscapes that were created decades ago, being creative and producing logical action plans, carefully monitoring financial resources, after first carrying out an assessment of the green belt and urban and peri-urban areas, from the small plots to the large-scale spaces in each city, prioritizing right from the project phase with a forwardlooking approach.

The future of these spaces is perceived in this study as members of a larger network of green areas in which they play a key role due to both their maturity as projects and spaces which are already integrated in society, their size and:

- The ecological connectivity with supra-municipal networks in the case of El Soto Nature Park, that connects through a greenway with the Protected Natural Areas network.
- To be included in the city's planning strategies, such as El Soto Nature Park in Móstoles Green Network, including aspects of mobility and landscape quality in urban areas.
- Both Móstoles Green Network and Bosquesur project must be the seed of a new conception of green planning in the Southwest Madrid.
- Being projects which contemplate multifunctionality, with a variety of uses and a reading of landscape structures, such as Polvoranca
- Working on a supra-municipal scale with the objective of showcasing the heavily fragmented peri-urban spaces in Bosquesur.

The presence of these established green peri-urban areas may constitute places in which awareness is raised with regard to the need to apply management models which are more respectful with biological diversity, generating a critical social mass which enables the extension of these models to the green belt of urban areas.

## Conclusions

According to the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, citizens must have universal access to green areas and the connection between urban and peri-urban areas must be encouraged. The research described in this paper shows the important contribution of periurban green zones for the fulfillment of this double objective since environmental, social and economic values converge in them and also supra-territorial strategies can be defined from them. In this way, one of the essential principles of Urban Ecology, connectivity as a foundation of resilience, is fostered.

The role of these areas as green corridors is essential to the provision of ecosystem services related to regulation and maintenance, in this case particularly those related to the regulation of the water cycle. However, there are significant design shortcomings which make it hard to establish different maintenance criteria which are more sustainable on an economic and environmental level. The importance of duallayered design: meadows and wooded areas, also imposes a model for public use which shows little variety.

The difficulties of joint management between local administrations in the management of these green areas result in incoherent situations that condition the adequate achievement of projects with common objectives and their landscape integration. Establishing good governance practices is essential when carrying out projects that, although local in nature, are framed at territorial scales. The commitment to ecological planning in the city implies supporting this work from a supramunicipal perspective in relation to the social, natural and political environment. This requires an effort by all the actors involved: designers, administration, politicians and citizens, in a change of vision and an alternative for innovation. In this way, the weaknesses identified and issues associated with maintaining peri-urban green areas evaluated make them spaces offering great laboratory potential for launching programs featuring dynamic designs and ecological maintenance, and citizen participation that helps to define a program of public use in line with its conservation or aimed at the incorporation of green entrepreneurship initiatives.

The results of the research reflect the essential role of periurban parks in the generation of ecosystem services as an intangible value which contributes towards improving citizens' quality of life: doing sport in the fresh air, closer contact with nature in the city, their role in raising environmental awareness and providing environmental education through a network of environmental classrooms or involvement in urban agriculture. The current COVID-19 health crisis is forcing us to be creative in these areas, to come up with proposals which diversify public use of our parks, avoiding population concentration around certain areas or specific points, the same applying to managers and those responsible for planning the cleaning and maintenance of the green infrastructure.

This research puts into practice an overall evaluation tool to analyze the aforementioned areas, starting with the incorporation of new criteria in the Technical Specifications of public tenders for both the design phase and subsequent management and maintenance phases. From the point of view of landscape structures, the findings of this study makes it possible to understand the need to create networks, to integrate the periurban spaces into the city and to attend to and understand the needs of all actors and sectors involved, providing them a common vision that improves the future of these spaces. These results can be extrapolated and applied to large cities whose urban areas are adjoined and where peri-urban parks can be the starting point for designing supramunicipal strategies for open spaces.

In each case, their multifunctional value gives them a key role not only in the Sustainable Strategic Planning of the cities in which they are located, but also at the supra-municipal level.

#### References

- AEPJP (2017) Análisis de la Infraestructura Verde en España. Asociación Española de Parques y Jardines Públicos: https://www.aepjp.es/ images/pdf/EstudioAEPJPv24052017.pdf
- Anguluri R, Narayanan P (2017) Role of green space in urban planning: outlook towards smart cities. Urban for Urban Gree 25:58–65
- Arenas Cabello JM, Periurban Project, Fedenatur, Hernández de la Obra J (2012) Nature and countryside withim the urban fringe. European periurbanareas: characterization and a management recommendations: Consejería de Agricultura, Pesca y Medio Ambiente, Sevilla, p 92
- Calabuig de Luis E (2013) Corredores, conectividad y ecología del paisaje. Corredores ecológicos. Valladolid, Instituto universitario de urbanística de la universidad de Valladolid, (p 30–42)
- Calaza P (2015) Ecoplanificación, vitamina G (green) y salud pública en el contexto de las ciudades del siglo XXI. *Retrato de evidencias científicas* PARJAP:26–37
- Calaza P (2016) Infraestructura verde. Sistema natural de salud pública. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid
- Calaza P, Cariñanos P, Escobedo F, Schwab J, Tovar G (2018) Crear paisajes urbanos e infraestructura verde. Unasylva 69(250):11–21
- Department of Town Planning and the Environment. Móstoles Council (2014) *Dosier Red Verde II*. not published, Móstoles
- Design Trust for Public Space and the City of New York (2010) *High performance landscape guidelines. 21st. century parks for NYC.* Nueva York: Charles McKinney (Department of Parks & Recreation); Cynthia Gardstein (Steven Winter Associates), Technical Editor; Chelsea Mauldin, Executive Editor
- Dige G (15 de Febero de2015) *Programa Le Notre*. Retrieved on August 13, 2015, from Green Infrastructures Functions: http://www.lenotreprogram.org
- Directorate General for Agriculture and Rural Development (2005) Agrienvironment measures. Overview on general principles, types of measures, and application. European Commission, Bruselas
- European Commission (2014) Construir una Infraestructura Verde Para Europa. Oficina de Publicaciones Oficiales de la Unión Europea, Luxemburgo
- European Parliament (2013) Communication From The Commission To The European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic And Social Committee And The Committee Of The Regions Green Infrastructure (GI) — Enhancing Europe's Natural Capital (COM/ 2013/0249)
- Falcón A (2007) Espacios verdes Para una ciudad sostenible. Planificación, proyecto, mantenimiento y gestión. Gustavo Gili, Barcelona
- Feszterova M, Jomova K (2015) Character of innovations in environmental education. Procedia Soc Behav Sci 197:1697–1702. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.222
- Friedmann J (2016) The future of peri-urban research. Cities Volume 53: 163–165

- Gavrilidis AA, Răzvan Niţăa M, Onose DA, Badiua DL (retrieved on November 22, 2017) Methodological framework for urban sprawl control through sustainable planning of urban green infrastructure. From Ecological Indicators: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017. 10.054
- Greenhalgh LP (2006) Raising the standard. The green flag award guidance manual. CABE Space, Londres
- Geneletti D, La Rosa D, Spyra M, Cortinovis C (2017) A review of approaches and challenges for sustainable planning in urban peripheries. *Landscape And Urban Plannin* 165:231–243. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2017.01.013
- Haines-Young R, Potschin M (2018) Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services. (CICES) V5.1. Guidance on the application of the revised structure. Fabis consulting ltd, Nottingham
- Hansen R, Olafsson A, Van der Jagt A, Rall E, Pauleit S (2017). Planning multifunctional green infrastructure for compact cities: what is the state of practice? Ecol Indic. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017. 09.042
- Hedblom M, Andersson E (2017) Flexible land-use and undefined governance: from threats to potentials in peri-urban landscape planning. Land Use Policy Volume 63:523–527
- Landscape Institute (2013) Geen infrastructure. An integrated approach to land use. Landscape Institute, Londres
- Mayor of London (2009) Open space strategies. Best practice guidance. Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment and the Greater London Authority, Londres
- McKenzie F, Hannah C, Acton L, Popovici R, Karanth KK, Weinthal E (2014) Network environmentalism: citizen scientists as agents for environmental advocacy. Glob Environ Change 29:235–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.10.006
- Mueller J, Lub H, Chirkin A, Klein B, Schmitt J (February, 2018) Citizen design science: a strategy for crowd-creative urban design. Cities 72: 181–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2017.08.018

- Narain V (2017) Taken for a ride? *Mainstreaming peri-urban transport* with urban expansion policies. Land Use Policy 64:145–152
- Nasibulina A (2015) Education for sustainable development and environmental ethics. Procedia Soc Behav Sci 214:1077–1082. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.708
- Otto S, Pensini P (2017) Nature-based environmental education of children: Environmental knowledge and connectedness to nature, together, are related to ecological behavior. Glob Environ Chang 47:88–94
- Riechers M, Barkmann J, Tschamtke T (2018) Diverging perceptions by social groups on cultural ecosystem services provided by urban green. Landscape And Urban Plannin Vol 175:161–168. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.03.017
- Sanjuanbenito P (2005) Parque Forestal del Sur. Bosquesur. Parcelas B1 y B4. Término municipal de Leganés. Documento memoria. Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Vivienda y Ordenación del Territorio. Área de desarrollo del plan Forestal, Madrid
- Salbitano F, Borelli S, Conigliaro M, Chen Y (2017) Directrices Para la silvicultura Urbana y periurbana. Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura, Roma
- United Nations (2015) *Transforming our world*. The 2030 Agend for sustainable development, New York
- U.S. Green Building Council (2015) Sustainable Sites Initiatives: http:// www.usgbc.org/education/sessions/introduction-sites-program. Retrieved on August 10, 2015 and March 2, 2017.
- Verdú Vázquez A, Fernández Pablos E, López Zaldívar Ó, Lozano Diez R (2017) Development of a methodology for the characterization of urban and peri-urban green spaces in a context of supra-municipal strategies. Land Use Policy 69:75–84
- Yacamán Ochoa C (2014) Plan de Gestión del Parque Agrario de Fuenlabrada. Traficantes de sueños, Fuenlabrada