



# Correction to: On Fields and Mass Constraints for the Uniform Propagation of Magnetic-Flux Ropes Undergoing Isotropic Expansion

Daniel Benjamín Berdichevsky<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 28 November 2019  
© Springer Nature B.V. 2019

**Correction to: Solar Phys. (2013) 284:245–259**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-012-0176-5>

When expressing the steady current density  $\mathbf{J}_c$  (convection-current) it is easy to check that, up to  $O(R_{\text{FRcore}}/R_{\text{cFR}})^2$ , its evaluation ( $\mathbf{J}_c = \nabla \times \mathbf{H}$ , see *e.g.* Jackson, 1963) gives an axial component that is

$$J_{cx}(\text{modified}) = A(t)/\mu_0 \{ H B_x [1 - 2\rho/R_{\text{cFR}}(\cos(\varphi) - |\sin(\varphi)|)] + \Delta j_{cx} \}$$

with

$$\Delta j_{cx} = \rho/R_{\text{cFR}}(\cos(\varphi) - |\sin(\varphi)|) J_1(A(t, \rho, \varphi))/A(t, \rho, \varphi)$$

correcting/completing the  $\mathbf{J}_c$  expression presented before Equation 8 in the paper. The meaning for each symbol used follows:

$H$  ( $= +1$  or  $-1$ ) is the handedness of the flux rope (FR).

$\mathbf{H}$  ( $= \mathbf{B}/\mu_0$ ) is the magnetic field intensity, and  $\mathbf{B}$  the magnetic field density.

$\mu_0$  is the magnetic permeability of the vacuum. (We assume no magnetization.)

$R_{\text{FRcore}}$  is the cross section radius of the FR modeled.

$R_{\text{cFR}}$  is the distance from the center of the Sun to the axis of the flux rope modeled.

$A(t) = j_0/R_{\text{FRcore}}$ , where  $j_0$  is the first node of the grade 0 cylindrical Bessel function ' $\mathcal{J}_0(A(t, \rho, \varphi))$ ' of the first kind.

---

Flux-Rope Structure of Coronal Mass Ejections

Guest Editors: N. Gopalswamy, T. Nieves-Chinchilla, M. Hidalgo, J. Zhang, and P. Riley

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-012-0176-5>.

---

✉ D.B. Berdichevsky  
[dbberdi@gmail.com](mailto:dbberdi@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup> College Park, MD 20740-4111, USA

$B_x = B_0(t_0/t)^2 \mathcal{J}_0(A(t, \rho, \varphi))$  is the axial field of the flux-rope, where  $B_0$  is the magnetic field strength parameter in the MHD flux-rope solution at the initial time  $t_0$  in its evolution. Finally, the argument of the Bessel function  $\mathcal{J}_{0(1)}$  is

$$A(t, \rho, \varphi) = A(t)\rho[1 + \rho/R_{\text{cFR}}(\cos(\varphi) - |\sin(\varphi)|)],$$

which is given in Equation 7 of the paper. ( $\rho, \varphi$  are polar radial and angle coordinates.)

The other two corrected components are

$$J_{c\rho} = -A(t)/\mu_0 H B_\phi \rho / R_{\text{cFR}} [\sin(\varphi) + \partial_\varphi |\sin(\varphi)|]$$

$$J_{c\phi} = A(t)/\mu_0 H B_\phi [1 - 2\rho/R_{\text{cFR}}(\cos(\varphi) - |\sin(\varphi)|)]$$

where  $B_\phi = H B_0(t_0/t)^2 J_1(A(t, \rho, \varphi))$  is the polar component of the magnetic field density  $\mathbf{B}$ .

The relevance of the previously missing term  $\Delta j_{cx}$  is that it dominates the axial current contribution within the FR plasma located in the region defined by  $0.93R_{\text{FRcore}} < \rho < 1.07R_{\text{FRcore}}$ .

It is straightforward to check that  $\mathbf{div}(\mathbf{J}_c) = 0$  is well satisfied up to  $n = 1$  in a perturbation expansion in  $\varepsilon^n$  of our 3D MHD analytical solution of a FR evolution, where  $\varepsilon \equiv R_{\text{FRcore}}/R_{\text{cFR}}$  is a small quantity.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## References

Jackson, D.: 1963, *Classical Electrodynamics, Section 5.8*, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York.