



## Correction to: Mapping Welfare State Development in (post) Neoliberal Latin America

Gibrán Cruz-Martínez<sup>1,2</sup> 

Published online: 27 May 2021  
© Springer Nature B.V. 2021

### Correction to: Social Indicators Research

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-020-02575-6>

In the original publication of this article, the interpretation of one of the results derived from the csQCA is incorrect. The correct interpretation provided in this correction.

Uruguay, Chile, Argentina, Brazil and Costa Rica show, in Table 5, a combined presence of comparatively high-revenue capabilities and a high degree of democratic strength. These two are necessary conditions, but not a sufficient condition, for a medium or high welfare state development outcome. Panama experienced the same combination of conditions but ended up with a WeSDI score of 0.5895—i.e. low welfare state development.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-020-02575-6>.

---

✉ Gibrán Cruz-Martínez  
[gibran.cruz@csic.es](mailto:gibran.cruz@csic.es)

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Public Goods and Policies, Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Calle Albasanz 26-28, 28037 Madrid, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Santiago, Chile