ERRATUM

Erratum to: Not the Sum of Its Parts: Decomposing Implicit Academic Stereotypes to Understand Sense of Fit in Math and English

Patricia N. Gilbert¹ · Laurie T. O'Brien¹ · Donna M. Garcia² · David M. Marx³

Published online: 6 May 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Erratum to: Sex Roles DOI 10.1007/s11199-014-0428-y

Correlations (Table 3) and beta values (Table 4) that ranged from -.09 to .09 had the zero dropped from the value. For example, in Table 3, the relationship between the womenmath association and English sense of fit read ".4" when it should actually be ".04".

 Table 3
 Correlations among variables for female and male participants

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Women-math association	_	.39**	.30**	.56**	.22**	13 [†]
2. Men-math association	.43**	_	.55**	.37**	.01	.02
3. Women-English association	.32**	.52**	_	.30**	06	.11
4. Men-English association	.51**	.39**	.34**	_	.10	06
5. Math sense of fit	12	02	.04	18*	_	26**
6. English sense of fit	.04	12	.04	.10	30**	-

Note. $^{\dagger}p < .09$, $^{*}p < .05$, $^{**}p < .001$. Correlations above the diagonal are for female participants and correlations below the diagonal are for male participants

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0428-y.

Patricia N. Gilbert pngilbert@tulane.edu

- ¹ Department of Psychology, Tulane University, 2007 Stern Hall, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA
- ² Department of Psychology, California State University, San Bernardino, CA, USA
- ³ Department of Psychology, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, USA

$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$	Springer
------------------------	----------

Table 4	Beta weights	for sense of t	fit in math and	d English
---------	--------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------

Math sense of fit	English sense of fit
.07	19***
.11	07
.00	12 [†]
01	.13*
10	.06
25**	.15†
.03	16*
.16*	03
11	.10
	.07 .11 .00 01 10 25** .03 .16*

Note. $^{\dagger}p < .09$, $^{*}p < .05$, $^{**}p < .01$, $^{***}p < .001$