Correction to: The impact of parental illness on children's schooling and labour force participation: evidence from Vietnam

Silvia Mendolia¹ · Nga Nguyen¹ · Oleg Yerokhin¹

Published online: 29 March 2019

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: Review of Economics of the Household

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-018-09440-z>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained some errors in reference citations and in significance indicators in some of the tables. These errors were made in the production process and the authors were not responsible for them.

In Tables 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, there were some errors in the significance indicator. The corrected tables are mentioned along with this erratum.

In Tables 11 and 12, there was a formatting error with the numbers under “Girls” column and “Both parents' illness” row. The corrected tables are presented in this erratum.

In Table 14, some significance indicator were missing under the columns “School enrolment” and “Weekly working hours”. The corrected table is presented along in this erratum.

In Table 18, the significance indicator were missing in the footnote of the table.

In overview of the existing literature section, the citation date in the first sentence of the first paragraph should read as: Case and Paxson 2001.

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-018-09440-z>.

✉ Silvia Mendolia
smendoli@uow.edu.au

¹ School of Accounting, Economics and Finance, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, North Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

Table 4 Effect of parental illness on child school enrolment (children aged 11–23)

	OLS (1)	FE (2)	FE (3)	FE (4)	FE (5)
Parental illness (any parent)	−0.062 ^{***} (0.009)	−0.024 ^{**} (0.009)	−0.024 ^{**} (0.009)	−0.025 ^{***} (0.009)	−0.025 ^{***} (0.009)
Controls for children illness, month of interview and wave	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls for age (child and parents) and education of the parents	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Control for household demographics and wealth	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Control for income	No	No	No	No	Yes

Note: $N = 11,054$. Model (1) also controls for time invariant variables: sex, ethnicity, and region fixed effects

^{**}Denotes statistical significance at 5%

^{***}Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 5 Effect of maternal and paternal health shocks on child school enrolment (children aged 11–23)

	OLS (1)	FE (2)	FE (3)	FE (4)	FE (5)
Maternal illness	−0.079 ^{***} (0.012)	−0.031 ^{***} (0.012)	−0.032 ^{***} (0.012)	−0.033 ^{***} (0.012)	−0.033 ^{***} (0.012)
Paternal illness	−0.061 ^{***} (0.014)	−0.027 [*] (0.015)	−0.029 ^{**} (0.015)	−0.028 ^{**} (0.015)	−0.028 ^{**} (0.015)
Both parents' illness	−0.045 ^{***} (0.013)	−0.002 (0.012)	−0.006 (0.013)	−0.008 (0.013)	−0.008 (0.013)
Controls for children illness, month of interview and wave	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls for age (child and parents) and education of the parents	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Control for household demographics and wealth	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Control for income	No	No	No	No	Yes

Notes: $N = 11,054$. Standard errors are in brackets. Model (1) also controls for time invariant variables: sex, ethnicity, and region fixed effects

^{*}Denotes statistical significance at 10%

^{**}Denotes statistical significance at 5%

^{***}Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 6 Effect of parental illness on child school enrolment, by age group and gender of the child

	Age 11–14	Age 15–18	Age 19–23	Girls	Boys
Maternal illness	–0.0007 (0.016)	–0.049* (0.027)	–0.037 (0.032)	–0.054*** (0.017)	–0.013 (0.017)
Paternal illness	0.0171 (0.020)	–0.018 (0.035)	–0.063 (0.040)	–0.044*** (0.022)	–0.014 (0.020)
Both parents' illness	0.014 (0.019)	–0.036 (0.031)	–0.025 (0.034)	–0.012 (0.020)	–0.005 (0.018)
N	3556	4464	3034	5052	6002
Controls for age, parental education, household demographics and wealth	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: Standard errors are in brackets

*Denotes statistical significance at 10%

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 7 Effect of parental illness on children's labour force participation

	Labour force participation			Weekly working hours		
	OLS (1)	FE (2)	FE (3)	OLS (1)	FE (2)	FE (3)
Parental illness (any parent)	0.081*** (0.009)	0.036*** (0.010)	0.037*** (0.010)	3.386*** (0.463)	1.027*** (0.471)	1.045*** (0.471)
Controls for children illness, month of interview and wave	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls for age (child and parents) and education of the parents	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Control for household demographics and wealth	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: $N = 11,054$. Standard errors are in brackets. Model (1) also controls for time invariant variables: sex, ethnicity, and region fixed effects

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 8 Effect of maternal and paternal health shocks on children's labour force participation (children aged 11–23)

	Labour force participation			Weekly working hours		
	OLS (1)	FE (2)	FE (3)	OLS (1)	FE (2)	FE (3)
Maternal illness	0.094*** (0.012)	0.054*** (0.013)	0.054*** (0.013)	3.997*** (0.613)	1.699*** (0.594)	1.685*** (0.594)
Paternal illness	0.059*** (0.015)	0.032** (0.015)	0.033** (0.015)	2.967*** (0.732)	1.253* (0.728)	1.240* (0.729)
Both parents' illness	0.081*** (0.013)	0.014 (0.014)	0.015 (0.014)	3.006*** (0.636)	-0.169 (0.662)	-0.081 (0.663)
Controls for children illness, month of interview and wave	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls for age (child and parents) and education of the parents	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Control for household demographics and wealth	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes

Notes: Standard errors are in brackets. Model (1) also controls for time invariant variables: sex, ethnicity, and region fixed effects

*Denotes statistical significance at 10%

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 11 Effect of parental illness on child school enrolment and labour force participation

	School enrolment			Labour force participation			Weekly working hours		
	School enrolment			Labour force participation			Weekly working hours		
	Whole sample	Girls	Boys	Whole sample	Girls	Boys	Whole sample	Girls	Boys
Maternal illness	-0.019 (0.013)	-0.051** (0.018)	0.009 (0.017)	0.041*** (0.013)	0.064** (0.020)	0.021 (0.018)	1.294** (0.627)	2.251** (0.923)	0.529 (0.857)
Paternal illness	-0.001 (0.015)	-0.009 (0.021)	0.009 (0.021)	0.008 (0.016)	0.028 (0.023)	-0.008 (0.029)	0.027 (0.734)	0.956 (1.053)	-0.641 (1.033)
Both parents' illness	-0.003 (0.016)	-0.017 (0.024)	0.008 (0.022)	0.006 (0.017)	-0.006 (0.026)	0.022 (0.022)	-0.640 (0.798)	-0.412 (1.221)	-0.548 (1.065)
N	11,054	5052	6002	11,054	5,052	6002	11,054	5052	6002

(Parent unable to carry out daily activities or was in bed and needed someone to take care of her/him for at least 5 days)

Note: Controls for age, parental education, household demographics and wealth are included in the models. Standard errors are in brackets

*Denotes statistical significance at 10%

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 12 Effect of parental illness on child school enrolment and labour force participation

	School enrolment			Labour force participation			Weekly working hours		
	Whole sample	Girls	Boys	Whole sample	Girls	Boys	Whole sample	Girls	Boys
Maternal illness	-0.028 ^{***} (0.014)	-0.044 ^{***} (0.021)	-0.014 (0.019)	0.035 ^{***}	0.029 (0.023)	0.039 [*] (0.020)	1.270 [*] (0.071)	1.421 (1.06)	1.228 (0.962)
Paternal illness	0.005 (0.016)	0.005 (0.023)	0.007 (0.022)	-0.004 (0.017)	0.011 (0.024)	-0.016 (0.023)	-0.158 (0.078)	0.899 (1.13)	-0.901 (1.903)
Both parents' illness	-0.0037 (0.019)	-0.015 (0.028)	0.006 (0.026)	-0.004 (0.020)	-0.007 (0.031)	0.006 (0.027)	-0.484 (0.945)	-0.241 (1.432)	-0.255 (1.272)
N	11,054	5052	6002	11,054	5052	6002	11,054	5052	6002

(Parent unable to carry out daily activities or was in bed and needed someone to take care of her/him for at least 10 days)

Note: Controls for age, parental education, household demographics and wealth are included in the models. Standard errors are in brackets

*Denotes statistical significance at 10%

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 14 Test for attrition. Effect of parental illness (balanced panel)

	School enrolment	Labour force participation	Weekly working hours
Maternal illness	−0.034 (0.023)*	0.043 (0.024)*	1.973 (1.123)
Paternal illness	−0.065 (0.028)***	0.065 (0.030)**	2.727 (1.402)**
Both parents' illness	−0.032 (0.027)	0.032 (0.028)	−0.250 (1.327)
N	2724	2724	2724

Note: Controls for age, parental education, household demographics and wealth are included in the models. Standard errors are in brackets

*Denotes statistical significance at 10%

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%

Table 18 Difference-in-difference estimation results

Outcome	Diff-in-diff
School enrolment	−0.042 (0.039)
Labour force participation	0.104 (0.040)***
Weekly working hours	3.717 (1.909)*

$N = 2803$

Note: In this specification, we compare the change in outcomes from previous to current period of children shocked in the current period to those of children of the same cohort whose parents will receive a shock 1 period later. In this model, children of parents who are ill in the future are the control group for children whose parents are ill in the current period. Specifically, individuals whose parents are ill in 2008 act as control for those whose parents are ill in 2006 in the 2004–2006 comparison

*Denotes statistical significance at 10%

**Denotes statistical significance at 5%

***Denotes statistical significance at 1%