Formation of closed mesopores in boehmite during the phase transformation of gibbsite under hydrothermal conditions

Svetlana R. Egorova¹ · Aliya N. Mukhamed'yarova¹ ·
Asiya Z. Kurbangaleeva¹ · Yuqing Zhang^{1,2} · Alexander A. Lamberov¹

Received: 14 March 2018 / Accepted: 10 June 2018 / Published online: 23 June 2018
© The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

We report a study of the phase transformation of gibbsite-boehmite under hydrothermal treatment in aqueous suspension and under the “dry steam” conditions at $T = 180\text{--}210\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $P = 1.0\text{--}1.9\text{ MPa}$ for 30–540 min. It is the first time that the closed mesopores formed during the splicing of large crystals in which water is encapsulated in an amount of up to 1.8% of the masses are found in boehmite. The spread of closed mesopores by the diameters depends on the conditions of hydrothermal treatment: in the aqueous suspension the mesopores are of the diameters less than 4 nm, under conditions of “dry steam”—in the range of 4–6 nm and $\sim 10\text{--}200\text{ nm}$. With those closed mesopores, it possible to use boehmite in various fields of chemistry and technology for the encapsulation and transport of solutions containing the active components and connections.

Keywords γ -Alumina · Boehmite · Gibbsite · Hydrothermal treatment · Heat treatment

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-018-1431-z>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Svetlana R. Egorova
Svetlana.Egorova@kpfu.ru

¹ Alexander Butlerov Institute of Chemistry, Kazan Federal University, St. Kremlin, 29/1, Kazan, Russian Federation 420008

² Institute of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials, Heilongjiang University, St. Xuefu, 74, Harbin 150080, China

Introduction

Boehmite (γ -AlOOH) is an important mesoporous [1] material; due to the high specific surface, pore volume and presence of mesopores it is used as adsorbent [2], catalyst carrier [3], and intermediate phase in the production of alumina carriers, petrochemical and petroleum processing catalysts [4], membranes and filters [5], a component of toothpastes, enveloping and adsorbing agents in medicine. The parameters of the mesoporous system determine the adsorptive capacity of boehmite with respect to toxic organic and inorganic compounds in the wastewater treatment and in solving environmental problems [6].

The dimensions of the crystals and the way they are packaged are very important for the functional materials obtained from boehmite; they affect its porous system, the temperatures of phase transitions into aluminum oxides. There are several types of boehmite: pseudoboehmite of the composition γ -AlOOH· n H₂O ($n = 0.3$ – 1.0) with crystallite sizes of 2.5–3.5 nm (the specific surface area S_p up to 450 m²/g and the pore volume V_p up to 0.80 cm³/g) [7]; fine crystalline boehmite of the composition γ -AlOOH· n H₂O ($n = 0.0$ – 0.2) with crystallite sizes of 11–13 nm ($S_p = 220$ – 250 m²/g, $V_p = 0.58$ – 0.60 cm³/g) [8, 9]; well crystallized boehmite of the composition γ -AlOOH· n H₂O ($n = 0.0$ – 0.2) with crystal sizes up to 80–140 nm ($S_p < 150$ m²/g, $V_p < 0.15$ cm³/g) [10]. Parameters of the boehmite porous system are varied by the method and conditions of its production.

Precipitation from acidic or alkaline solutions of aluminum compounds [11, 12], hydrolysis of aluminum alkoxides [13, 14] produce the mesoporous pseudoboehmite with $V_p = 0.26$ – 1.00 cm³/g, $S_p = 102$ – 354 m²/g and pore diameters of 5.3–15.2 nm. Boehmite with $V_p = 0.20$ – 0.32 cm³/g, $S_p = 100$ – 120 m²/g and pore diameter 11.4–15.1 nm [15–17] is obtained from amorphous precipitates of aluminum hydroxides under hydrothermal conditions. A large-crystalline non-porous boehmite with $S_p = 3.1$ m²/g and a pore diameter of 24.6 nm is obtained from gibbsite under the hydrothermal conditions at a temperature ≥ 150 °C [18, 19].

There are a few types of pores: open, deadlock and closed pores. The parameters of the former two are important in the development and synthesis of catalysts, catalyst carriers, adsorbents from hydroxides and aluminum oxides. The closed pores might be used during the synthesis to encapsulate and transport compounds or solutions containing active components, and then, for example, through the thermal decomposition of boehmite, modify the resulting aluminas or mixtures. Hollow micro- and nanostructures are used in biomedicine, as fluorescent markers, capsules for sensitive materials for drug delivery purposes. The effect of the formation of closed pores in oxo-hydroxide compounds of aluminum is described in [20] after compaction of γ -Al₂O₃ at high pressure using the “cold sintering” model. However, at the moment, there is almost no information about closed pores in boehmite, which can be formed during crystallization of its particles, for example, under hydrothermal treatment of gibbsite, in the scientific literature. Hydrothermal treatment is the most affordable (due to the low cost of gibbsite) and the least energy- and resource-consuming way of producing boehmite under conditions of

“dry steam” and in suspension. However, there is no consensus on the mechanism of the phase transformation gibbsite $\rightarrow$ boehmite. On the one hand, there is a group of authors [21, 22] that favors the solid-phase transformation mechanism, on the other hand, some of them prefers the mechanism of crystallization through dissolution of gibbsite and the subsequent re-precipitation of boehmite [23–26]. The refinement of the mechanism requires detailed studies of this phase transformation.

Therefore, the purpose of this work was to establish the possibility of forming closed pores in boehmite obtained by hydrothermal treatment of large agglomerates of gibbsite (40–180 μm) and parameters of the porous system that it provides, as well as to elaborate the phase transformation mechanism of gibbsite-boehmite during the hydrothermal treatment of large agglomerates.

Experimental

Sample preparation

Gibbsite ($\gamma\text{-Al}(\text{OH})_3$) produced by ETI ALYMINUM A.S. (Turkey) is used for the synthesis of boehmite. Gibbsite is a layered mineral, crystallizes in a monoclinic syngony with unit cell parameters: $a = 0.8641$ nm; $b = 0.5070$ nm; $c = 0.9719$ nm; $\beta = 94.54$ deg, the spatial group $P21/n$. According to the ICDD No. 01-076-3811 card $\gamma\text{-Al}(\text{OH})_3$ crystals are described by electron diffraction $d_{(002)} = 0.485$ nm, $d_{(110)} = 0.437$ nm, $d_{(200)} = 0.433$ nm, $d_{(112)} = 0.318$ nm, $d_{(021)} = 0.245$ nm, $d_{(311)} = 0.239$ nm, $d_{(312)} = 0.217$ nm, $d_{(023)} = 0.199$ nm, $d_{(024)} = 0.175$ nm. Boehmite is a mineral, crystallizes in a rhombic syngony with unit cell parameters: $a = 0.3693$ nm, $b = 1.2221$ nm, $c = 0.2865$ nm; $\beta = 80.00$ deg, the spatial group Amam. According to the ICDD No. 00-021-1307 card $\gamma\text{-AlOOH}$ crystals are described by electron diffraction with $d_{(020)} = 0.611\text{--}0.612$ nm, $d_{(120)} = 0.316$ nm, $d_{(031)} = 0.234$ nm, $d_{(131)} = 0.198$ nm, $d_{(051)} = 0.186$ nm, $d_{(200)} = 0.185$ nm, $d_{(220)} = 0.177$ nm, $d_{(151)} = 0.166$ nm, $d_{(080)} = 0.153$ nm, $d_{(231)} = 0.145$ nm, $d_{(002)} = 0.143$ nm, $d_{(251)} = 0.131$ nm. According to the passport data, the concentration of Na_2O in gibbsite is 0.15 wt%. The agglomerates (40–180 μm) were obtained by sieving the gibbsite powder with sieves. Hydrothermal treatment (HTT) of large aggregates of gibbsite was carried out in the temperature range from 180 to 210 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 0–180 min in an aqueous suspension at a saturated water vapor pressure with the sampling after 10–30 min. The hydrothermal treatment was performed by a BüchiGlasUster ‘Limbo li’ autoclave with automated temperature and pressure control in a 450 mL stainless steel reactor with an alumina to water mass ratio of 1:5 and the an agitation by a stirrer at speed of 500 rpm and the maximum autoclave filling of 70% (Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Material). The HTT of samples in the ‘dry steam’ conditions was carried out on the stationary mode with reactor filling of 50%.

The pH of the initial aqueous suspension of gibbsite was 10.5. The alkalization of the medium is due to the release of a portion of sodium ions from the gibbsite agglomerates. Values of pH 8.0 and 6.0 were achieved by adding to suspension the solution of acetic acid. In the course of kinetic studies the moment when the

specified reaction temperature in the autoclave reactor was reached was considered to be the starting point. The temperature was raised to the required one for approximately 60 min. The autoclave reactor was cooled by supplying cold water to the jacket. The temperature was lowered by 100 °C in approximately 20 min.

Sample characterization

The appropriate phase concentrations were obtained using the thermal analysis (TA; Netzsch STA-449C Jupiter analyzer coupled with a QMS 403 Aeolos quadrupole mass-spectrometer). The TA was carried out in such a way to be able to record the thermogravimetric (TG), derivative thermogravimetric (DTG), and differential thermal analysis (DTA) curves simultaneously, conjugated with the quadrupole MAS spectrometer QMS 403 Aeolos in the range 30–1000 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min in an argon flow. The samples were heated within the temperature range of 30–1000 °C at the uniform heating rate of 10 °C/min in an argon flow. Concentrations of aluminum hydroxides were calculated judging by the amount of the water released during the aluminum hydroxides dehydration, τ .

The specific surface area S_{BET} (S_{BET} —a specific surface area calculated with the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method) and the pore volume V_{BET} (V_{BET} —a pore volume calculated with the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method) were determined using a multipurpose Quantachrome Autosorb iQ analyzer. The specific surface area was calculated based on the specific surface area 0.162 nm² and density 0.808 g/cm³ of a nitrogen molecule N₂ in the normal liquid state. Adsorption isotherms were obtained at 196 °C after the degassing of samples at 500 °C under the residual pressure of 0.013 Pa (Figs. 8–15 in the Supplementary Material). The pore volume distributions over the pore diameters were calculated from the curve of desorption isotherm by using the standard Barret–Joyner–Highland mechanism. The accuracy in measuring the specific surface area was ± 0.5 m²/g, in the pore volume ± 0.01 cm³/g.

The measurements by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were carried out with an EVO 50XVP electron microscope combined with an INCA 350 energy-dispersive spectrometer. The spectrometer resolution was 130 eV. The analysis was carried out at acceleration voltage of 20 kV and working distance of 8 mm.

The phase composition of the samples was studied using X-ray diffraction (XRD) method on a Shimadzu XRD-7000 advance diffractometer with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å).

A few peptized systems were lyophilized and solid-state ²⁷Al MAS NMR spectra of the resulting powders were recorded. ²⁷Al NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AVANCE-500 spectrometer operating at 130.3 MHz using CPMAS probe and 4-mm rotors spun at 4 kHz. A total of 2000 transients were accumulated with a 5- μ s pulse width, a 1-s delay time, a 0.1-s acquisition time, and a 50-kHz sweep width.

Spectra of hydrothermal treatment product mother liquor were recorded in 10-mm tubes on a Avance II 500 spectrometer operating at 130.3 MHz. Chemical shift were referenced to a 1 M Al(H₂O)₆Cl₃ solution. While recording the spectra rectangular (broadband) pulses duration 8.0- μ s and 80 W power (angle of

rotation $< 90^\circ$) were used; the relaxation delay was 1 s. The number of accumulations was 400/800, the width of the spectrum was 504.8 ppm. For digital processing digital exponential filtering with a constant LB = 3 Hz was applied. The samples were a mixture of test solutions (550 μl) with 50 μl of heavy water (D_2O) added to stabilize the field along the deuterium resonance line. The absolute concentration of “NMR-visible” aluminum in the samples was estimated by a calibration curve (integral vs molar concentration) established from a set of standard $\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6\text{Cl}_3$ solutions measured under the same experimental conditions. NMR spectra were acquired at room temperature.

The infrared spectra of the samples were recorded using by BRUKER VERTEX 70 instrument. The measurements were carried out at room temperature. Samples were compressed into tablets with KBr; after the survey spectra with a resolution of 1 cm^{-1} averaged over 128 scans were obtained.

Results and discussion

According the XRD, the product of gibbsite hydrothermal processing (HTT) in all modes is boehmite (Fig. 1b–e). It is showed in Fig. 1a that unconverted gibbsite and formed boehmite are present in the gibbsite HHT of $\gamma\text{-Al}(\text{OH})_3$ at $T = 180^\circ\text{C}$, $\tau = 180\text{ min}$ (τ —treatment duration), pH 10.5 (Fig. 1a).

Dependences of boehmite content on the duration of gibbsite HTT at different temperatures and pH values of the aqueous suspension are shown in Fig. 2a and b. The complete phase transformation of gibbsite to boehmite is carried out under hydrothermal conditions in an aqueous suspension and pH values of 6.0–10.5 at 190°C after 180 min, at 200°C after 120 min and at 210°C after 20 min (Fig. 2a). The phase transition to boehmite under hydrothermal conditions takes place with a noticeable induction period of 120 min at 180°C , which reduces to 20–30 min at 190 – 200°C (Fig. 2a). The induction period appreciably lengthens with decreasing pH from 10.5 to 6.0–8.0 at 190°C in 2 times (from 30 to 60 min) (Fig. 2b).

Three pronounced endothermic effects are distinguished on the DSC curves of the samples obtained: I - doubled beginning at 228 – 237 and 284 – 353°C with the temperature peaks in the intervals 256 – 292 and 284 – 300°C , respectively; II—beginning at 396 – 399°C with the temperature peak in the interval 397 – 407°C ; III—beginning at 488 – 514°C and with a temperature peak in the range of 535 – 563°C (Fig. 3a and b; Fig. 2a and b in the Supplementary Material).

According to the thermogravimetric (TG) analysis combined with the mass spectrometry (MS) method, mass losses in the I effect region vary from 1.6 to 28.3% by weight. They are caused by the water release during the dehydration of residual gibbsite in the case of its incomplete phase transformation. The III effect corresponds to the dehydration of the boehmite formed in $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ with the release of water in the range of 4.5–15.0% by weight. The occurrence of the II effect depends on the temperature and duration of the HTT. It appears in the DSC curves obtained during 60–90 min at 190°C (Fig. 2a in the Supplementary Material), 30 min at 200°C (Fig. 2b in the Supplementary Material), 15 min at 210°C . II effect remains on DSC curves even with the gibbsite transformed into boehmite

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the product obtained by the gibbsite HHT of $\gamma\text{-Al}(\text{OH})_3$ at $T = 180\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\tau = 180\text{ min}$, pH 10.5 (**a**), at $T = 190\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\tau = 180\text{ min}$, pH 8.0 (**b**), at $T = 190\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\tau = 180\text{ min}$, pH 8.0 after heating to $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ($10\text{ }^\circ\text{C/min}$) (**c**) and the products of gibbsite HTT in “dry steam” conditions at $T = 200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $\tau = 5\text{ h}$, $P = 1.6\text{ MPa}$ before (**d**) and after (**e**) heating up to $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ($10\text{ }^\circ\text{C/min}$)

completely, when the weight loss observed on the TG-curves while the water is released in the region of the III effect reaches 15.0% of the mass (Fig. 3a), that is the stoichiometric amount at 100% of boehmite dehydration according to the reaction $2\gamma\text{-AlOOH} \rightarrow \gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$. Moreover, the II effect is not related to the phase transformation of gibbsite or boehmite in $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$. This can be seen by analyzing such samples subjected to additional heating up to $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ at a rate of $10\text{ }^\circ\text{C/min}$ with XRD and ^{27}Al NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 3a and b in the Supplementary Material). It then disappears on the DSC-curves and the III effect retains its position and the corresponding weight loss according to the TG data (Fig. 3b).

Fig. 2 Dependences of boehmite content on the duration (τ) of the gibbsite HTT at the different temperatures and pH 10.5 (a), at $T = 190$ °C and various pH of the suspension (b)

Fig. 3 The thermal curves of the products of gibbsite HTT in aqueous suspension, obtained at 190 °C (pH 8.0) during 180 min (a) and 190 °C (pH 8.0) during 180 min after heating up to 400 °C (10 °C/min) (b), at 200 °C ($P = 1.6$ MPa) during 5 h before (c) and after heating up to 400 °C (10 °C/min) (d)

The diffractograms of the samples show the lines specific for boehmite (Fig. 1b and c) and on the ^{27}Al NMR spectra only the signals of aluminum atoms in the octahedral oxygen environment in the structure of aluminum hydroxide with a chemical shift of $\delta = 15.0$ ppm are present (without the signals of aluminum atoms in the tetrahedral oxygen identified $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$) (Fig. 3a–d in the Supplementary Material). According to the TG and MS analysis, the amount of water released in the

region of the II effect is 1.1–1.8% by weight of its total amount released when the samples are heated to 1000 °C. Such water can be encapsulated in the closed pores which are formed when the large crystals of boehmite coalesce together and form rhombi and parallelepipeds (Fig. 4a–4f in the Supplementary Material), when its concentration in samples exceeds 25% by mass (Fig. 2a and b). When the HTT is carried out in suspension gibbsite dissolves and aluminate ions are formed, which subsequently participate in the formation of the crystal-forming complexes and the boehmite buds. This is identified in the ^{27}Al NMR spectra of the mother liquors of aluminum hydroxide suspensions collected after 30–60 min at 200 and 210 °C and with various pH values (Table 1).

In the spectra of the mother liquors (Fig. 5a and b in the Supplementary Material) in addition to the signal with a chemical shift of 0.7 ppm corresponding to aluminum cations in $[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ complexes in an aqueous solution of AlCl_3 , which was used as an external standard, there is a signal with a chemical shift of 80.7 ppm corresponding to aluminum in the anions $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ [22–24]. The concentrations of aluminum atoms in solutions were 0.01–1.06 mmol/l (Table 1). Gibbsite mostly dissolves which is seen by the behavior shown in Fig. 4. As the phase transformation of gibbsite into boehmite reaches 100% the concentration of aluminum atoms in the mother liquor changes insignificantly and the dependence of the boehmite content on the concentration of aluminum atoms in the solution acquires the form of a saturated curve.

Boehmite is formed by the incorporation of crystal-forming complexes during the interaction of mononuclear anions $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ with the surface layers of gibbsite [22, 23]. Those are formed during the dehydration and intensive stratification of gibbsite crystals along the (001) plane and its hydroxylation, which is seen from the analysis of IR-spectra of the samples in the region of interlayer ($\nu(\text{OH}) = 3528 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and terminal ($\nu(\text{OH}) = 3624 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) stretching vibrations of hydroxyl groups located on the (001) plane (Fig. 5a and b; Fig. 6a and b in the Supplementary Material).

The ratio of the intensities of the above points on the initial gibbsite IR-spectrum is $I_{3528}/I_{3624} = 1.82$. The ratio I_{3528}/I_{3624} is reduced to 1.30 after the HTT in a sample containing only 5% (by weight) of boehmite the IR-spectrum of which is still very weakly manifested with valence vibrations of its structural hydroxyl groups ($\nu_s(\text{OH}) = 3292 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{OH}) = 3093 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) (Fig. 5a and b; Fig. 6a

Table 1 The effect of HTT gibbsite conditions on the chemical shift and concentration of aluminum atoms in the mother liquor according to ^{27}Al NMR

HTT conditions			δ (ppm)	C(Al) (mmol/l)
T (°C)	τ (min)	pH		
200	30	10.5	80.7	0.08
	60			0.43
	60	8.0		0.11
	60	6.0		0.01
210	30	10.5		0.75
	60			1.06

Fig. 4 Dependence of the aluminum atoms concentration in the mother liquor on the boehmite content in the products of gibbsite HTT at 200–210 °C (pH 10.5)

Fig. 5 IR spectra of the gibbsite (a) and the HTT products obtained at 190 °C and pH 10.5 during 150 min (b)

and b in the Supplementary Material). The increase in the proportion of terminal OH groups relative to the interlayer fraction may be due to enhanced hydroxylation of the (001) plane and an increase in the number of such planes which is facilitated by the dehydration of gibbsite. According to the SEM data (Fig. 4a–f in the Supplementary Material) of the agglomerate chips of the samples containing boehmite, gibbsite plates with thickness starting from ~ 20 –100 nm are parallel to each other, and between them rare cracks with a width of ~ 10 –50 nm are observed. The crystals of boehmite are precipitated and grow on the surface of such plates.

The exfoliation of gibbsite crystals and simultaneous formation of boehmite promotes an increase in the specific surface area from 0.5 to 1.5 m²/g mainly due to the formation of thin open mesopores (Table 2 and Figs. 8–15 in the Supplementary Material) with a diameter of 2.2–4.1 nm.

An insignificant number of large mesopores formed with a diameter of ~ 10.0 –50.0 nm such as, likely, the interstices between the crystals of the formed

Table 2 Parameters of the porous system of products of gibbsite HTT according to low-temperature adsorption of nitrogen (Bm—boehmite, V_p —overall volume, $V_{\geq 4\text{ nm}}$ —volume of ≥ 4 nm, S_p —specific surface area, D_{max} —pore diameter on the maximum of V_p , dV/dD —pore volume distribution by pore diameter for D_{max} , D_{av} —average diameter)

HTT conditions			C(Bm) (wt%)	V_p (cm ³ /g)	$V_{\geq 4\text{ nm}}$ (cm ³ /g)	S_p (m ² /g)	D_{max} (nm)	$dV/dD (\times 10^5)$ cm ³ /g·nm	D_{av} (nm)
T (°C)	τ (min)	pH							
Initial gibbsite			–	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.5	3.7 7.0 ~ 10.0–50.0	0.6 0.2 0.1	24.3
«Dry steam » medium									
200	300	–	99.3	< 0.01	0.01	2.6	2.1 3.0 4.4 5.4 ~ 10.0–200.0	151.6 106.6 73.9 24.0 6.1	11.3
	300 ^a	–	99.3	0.02	0.02	3.8	1.9 3.3 4.0 5.8 ~ 10.0–200.0	56.1 113.1 255.9 51.0 21.8	23.9
Aqueous suspension medium									
180	180	10.5	22.0	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.5	2.3 ~ 10.0–50.0	21.9 2.3	11.7
190	180	10.5	100.0	0.01	< 0.01	1.5	2.6 3.9 ~ 20.0–300.0	87.7 53.8 5.7	21.5
190	180	8.0	100.0	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.8	1.7 3.9 ~ 20.0–300.0	86.0 28.2 3.5	13.2
	180 ^a		100.0	< 0.01	< 0.01	2.4	2.0 2.3 3.9 ~ 20.0–300.0	105.9 77.0 302.7 3.5	11.0
190	180	6.0	98.7	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.0	2.3 4.1 ~ 20.0–300.0	39.0 36.4 2.1	34.5
200	120	10.5	100.0	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.2	2.3 3.9 ~ 20.0–200.0	44.0 134.0 4.0	18.4

Table 2 continued

HTT conditions			C(Bm) (wt%)	V_p (cm ³ /g)	$V_{\geq 4 \text{ nm}}$ (cm ³ /g)	S_p (m ² /g)	$D_{\max}$ (nm)	dV/dD ($\times 10^5$ cm ³ /g·nm)	D_{av} (nm)
T (°C)	τ (min)	pH							
210	30	10.5	100.0	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.9	2.4	35.3	24.0
							3.9	43.3	
							~ 8.0–200.0	6.6	
	30 ^a		100.0	< 0.01	< 0.01	6.3	2.1	163.8	4.4
							2.3	71.2	
							3.9	227.6	
							~ 8.0–200.0	10.1	

^aAfter additional heating up to 400 °C (10 °C/min)

boehmite and the gap between the (001) planes in gibbsite crystals do not have a noticeable effect on the pore volume of the images. After additional heating up to 400 °C (10 °C/min) the additional pores with a diameter of 3.9 nm appear. As shown in Table 2, the intensity of the corresponding maximum on the differential curve increases by 5.3–10.7 times because encapsulated water is distributed predominantly in the closed mesopores with a diameter of less than 4 nm. After heating the new mesopores with a diameter of 2.1 nm appear in a sample synthesized at 210 °C for 0.5 h (pH 10.5). Opening of closed mesopores causes an increase in the specific surface area of 3.0–7.0 times and a decrease in the average pore diameter in the samples.

Similarly, encapsulated water in closed pores is formed in the samples obtained under HTT with “dry steam” conditions. Thus, the isolation of encapsulated water on the DSC curves of boehmite samples synthesized under “dry steam” conditions at 200 °C for 300 and 540 min is manifested by a pronounced endothermic effect with a beginning at 381–390 °C and a temperature peak at 399–400 °C (Fig. 3c and d).

According to TG and MS analysis its amount reaches 1.5% by weight. This effect, same as in the samples obtained in the aqueous suspension, disappears after additional heating of the samples to 400 °C without the phase transformation of boehmite $\rightarrow \gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (Fig. 1d and e; Fig. 3a–d in the Supplementary Material). The encapsulated water is distributed in mesopores with a diameter of ≥ 4 nm, the volume of which after its isolation, for example, in a sample synthesized at 200 °C for 300 min increases almost by 2 (Table 2). That contributes to an increase in both the total pore volume and the average pore diameter, and also the specific surface area. The specific surface area increases by a factor of 1.5 due to the appearance of additional mesopores with a diameter of 4.0–4.4 nm and 5.4–5.8 nm. Its intensity on differential pore volume distribution curves increases by 3.5 and 2.1, and also in the range of pore diameters ~ 10.0–200.0 nm, which intensity increased by 3.6 (Table 2).

Thus, we can suggest the following sequence for the recrystallization of gibbsite in boehmite under hydrothermal conditions in an aqueous suspension (Fig. 7 in the

Supplementary Material). At $T = 180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ or short treatment times at $T = 190\text{--}200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($P = 1.0\text{--}1.6\text{ MPa}$), the phase transformation of gibbsite to boehmite is carried out by dehydration and delamination of the crystals along the (001) plane to form plates of size $\sim 20\text{--}100\text{ nm}$ and cracks between them with a width $\sim 10\text{--}50\text{ nm}$, further gibbsite dissolution along the (002) and (110) planes. $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ anions, which interact with protons of hydroxyl groups on the (001) planes, are released into the aqueous solution, which leads to the formation of boehmite nucleus and further growth of the crystals. The phase transformation of gibbsite to boehmite proceeds in a similar manner at the increasing of HTT duration at $T = 190\text{--}200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and temperature to $210\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ with the corresponding saturated water vapor pressure is up to 1.9 MPa . However, not only small ones are subjected to dehydration, delamination and dissolution, but also larger particles of gibbsite with following nucleation and growth of boehmite crystals up to $\sim 4\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, which do not form strong crystallization bonds among themselves in the flocules volume.

The similarity of patterns of the changes in the porous system of samples synthesized by hydrothermal treatment of gibbsite both in aqueous suspension and in “dry steam” conditions allow one to suggest the same mechanism of dissolution-reprecipitation during the nucleation and growth of boehmite crystals. In the latter case the dissolution of gibbsite and the formation of $[\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4]^-$ anions, as the sources of crystal-forming complexes and boehmite nuclei, probably happens in the near-the-surface layers of crystals.

Conclusions

In the porous boehmite system, which crystallizes under the hydrothermal treatment of gibbsite in aqueous suspension and in the “dry steam” conditions, closed mesopores are formed along the open ones.

The closed mesopores are cavities formed during the coalescence of large boehmite crystals in the form of rhombi and parallelepipeds by the mechanism of phase transformation gibbsite $\rightarrow$ boehmite through dissolution-reprecipitation.

Under the hydrothermal treatment of gibbsite in aqueous suspension the closed mesopores are formed with the diameters less than 4 nm , dissecting which by heating to $400\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ increases the specific surface area by $3.0\text{--}7.0$ times without changing the total pore volume. Under the conditions of “dry steam” the closed mesopores are formed with the diameters in the ranges of 4.0 to $\sim 6.0\text{ nm}$ and $\sim 10\text{--}200\text{ nm}$. When they are opened by heating up to $400\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the specific surface area and pore volume increase by a factors of 1.5 and 2 times.

The process of phase transformation of gibbsite into boehmite is carried out by the dissolution-re-precipitation mechanism after intensive delamination of gibbsite crystals along the (001) plane with the formation of a multitude of layers with a thickness of $\sim 20\text{--}100\text{ nm}$ and cracks between them with a width $\sim 10\text{--}50\text{ nm}$. At $\sim 100\%$ of boehmite content obtained under the investigated conditions, the specific surface area provided by the closed mesopores is $1.2\text{--}5.4\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$.

Acknowledgements The work was performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. IUPAC Manual of Symbols and Terminology (1972) *Pure Appl Chem* 31:577
2. Auxilio AR, Andrews PC, Junk PC, Spiccia L, Neumann D, Raverty W, Vanderhoek N, Pringle JM (2008) *J Mater Chem* 18:2466
3. Park IS, Kwon MS, Kim N, Lee JS, Kang KY, Park J (2005) *Chem Com* 3:5667–5669
4. Soares AVH, Perez G, Passos FB (2016) *Appl Catal B* 185:77–87
5. Teixeira M, Rodrigues SC, Campo M, Pacheco Tanaka DA, Llosa Tanco MA, Madeira LM, Sousa JM, Mendes A (2014) *Chem Eng Res Des* 92:2668–2680
6. Abu-Elella R, Ossman ME, Abd-Elfatah M, Elgendi A (2013) *Desalin Water Treat* 51:3472–3481
7. Egorova SR, Mukhamed'yarova AN, Lamberov AA (2015) *Russ J Appl Chem* 88:805–811
8. Musić S, Dragčević D, Popović S (1999) *Mat Let* 40:269–274
9. Levin I, Brandon D (2005) *J Am Ceram Soc* 81:1995–2012
10. Moroz EM, Shefer KI, Zyuzin DA, Ivanova AS, Kulko EV, Goidin VV, Molchanov VV (2006) *React Kinet Catal L* 87:367–375
11. Yang Y, Xu Y, Han B, Xu B, Liu X, Yan Z (2016) *J Colloid Interface Sci* 469:1–7
12. Hwang KT, Lee HS, Lee SH, Chung KC, Park SS, Lee JH (2001) *J Eur Ceram Soc* 21:375–380
13. Inoue M, Kominami H, Inui T (1990) *J Am Ceram Soc* 73:1100–1102
14. Yoldas BE (1973) *J Appl Chem Biotech* 23:803–809
15. Liu Y, Jia L, Hou B, Li D (2015) *Bull Korean Chem Soc* 36:2851–2861
16. Mehta SK, Kalsotra A, Murat M (1992) *Thermochim Acta* 205:191–203
17. Tsuchida T (2000) *J Eur Ceram Soc* 20:1759–1764
18. Lopushan VI, Kuznetsov GF, Pletnev RN, Kleshchev DG (2007) *Bull Russ Acad Sci Phys* 71:1187–1189
19. Oh CJ, Yi YK, Kim SJ, Tran T, Kim MJ (2013) *Powder Technol* 235:556–562
20. Panasyuk GP, Belan VN, Voroshilov IL, Kozerozhets IV (2010) *Inorg Mater* 46:747–753
21. Costa TMH, Gallas MR, Benvenuti EV, da Jornada JAH (1999) *J Phys Chem B* 103:4278–4284
22. Mathieu Y, Rigolet S, Valtchev V, Lebeau B (2008) *J Phys Chem C* 112:18384–18392
23. Panias D, Asimidis P, Paspaliaris I (2001) *Hydrometallurgy* 59:15–29
24. Satokawa S, Osaki Y, Samejima S, Miyawaki R, Tomura S, Shibasaki Y, Sugahara Y (1994) *Clays Clay Miner* 42:288–297
25. Filho RWND, Rocha GA, Montes CR, Vieira-Coelho AC (2016) *Mater Res* 19:659–668
26. Inoue M, Kitamura K, Tanino H, Nakayama H, Inui T (1989) *Clays Clay Miner* 37:71–80