



New inequalities for $p(n)$ and $\log p(n)$

Koustav Banerjee¹ · Peter Paule¹ · Cristian-Silviu Radu¹ · WenHuan Zeng²

Received: 13 April 2022 / Accepted: 23 August 2022 / Published online: 19 October 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n . A new infinite family of inequalities for $p(n)$ is presented. This generalizes a result by William Chen et al. From this infinite family, another infinite family of inequalities for $\log p(n)$ is derived. As an application of the latter family one, for instance obtains that for $n \geq 120$,

$$p(n)^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)p(n-1)p(n+1).$$

Keywords The partition function asymptotics · Log-concavity · Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher formula

Mathematics Subject Classification primary 11P81; secondary 05A20

The work of the first named author was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK6; the second and third authors were supported by grant SFB F50-06 of the FWF.

✉ Cristian-Silviu Radu
silviu.radu@risc.jku.at
Koustav Banerjee
koustav.banerjee@risc.jku.at
Peter Paule
peter.paule@risc.jku.at
WenHuan Zeng
wenhuan.zeng@uni-tuebingen.de

¹ Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Johannes Kepler University, Altenbergerstr. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria

² Institute for Bioinformatics and Medical Informatics, University of Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

1 Introduction

We denote by $p(n)$ the number of partitions of n . The first 50 values of $p(n)$ starting from $n = 0$ read as follows:

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 15, 22, 30, 42, 56, 77, 101, 135, 176, 231, 297,
385, 490, 627, 792, 1002, 1255, 1575, 1958, 2436, 3010, 3718,
4565, 5604, 6842, 8349, 10143, 12310, 14883, 17977, 21637,
26015, 31185, 37338, 44583, 53174, 63261, 75175, 89134,
105558, 124754, 147273, 173525.

A well-known asymptotic formula for $p(n)$ was found by Hardy and Srinivasa Ramanujan [9] in 1918 and independently by James Victor Uspensky in 1920 [15]:

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}. \quad (1.1)$$

An elementary proof of (1.1) was given by Paul Erdős [7] in 1942. At MICA 2016 (Milestones in Computer Algebra) held in Waterloo in July 2016, Zhenbing Zeng et al. [14] reported that using numerical analysis they found a better asymptotic formula¹ for $p(n)$ by searching for constants $C_{i,j}$ to fit the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(n) = & \pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{n} - \log n - \log(4\sqrt{3}) + \frac{C_{0,-1}}{\log n} + \frac{C_{1,0}}{\sqrt{n}} \\ & + \frac{C_{1,-1}}{\sqrt{n}\log(n)} + \frac{C_{2,1}\log n}{n} + \frac{C_{2,0}}{n} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

By substituting for $n = 2^{10}, 2^{11}, \dots, 2^{20}$ into (1.2) they obtained,

$$C_{0,-1} = 0, \quad C_{1,0} = -0.4432\dots, \quad C_{1,-1} = 0, \quad C_{2,1} = 0, \quad C_{2,0} = -0.0343\dots$$

The OEIS [12] for A000041 shows that a similarly refined asymptotic formula for $p(n)$ was discovered by Jon E. Schoenfeld in 2014, this reads

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi \cdot (\frac{2n}{3} + c_0 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{c_2}{n} + \frac{c_3}{n\sqrt{n}} + \frac{c_4}{n^2} + \dots)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the coefficients are approximately

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= -0.230420\dots, & c_1 &= -0.017841\dots, & c_2 &= 0.005132\dots, \\ c_3 &= -0.001112\dots, & c_4 &= 0.000957\dots, \end{aligned}$$

¹ In the literature, the Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher is also called an asymptotic formula/approximation. However, it is built by an expression of substantially more complicated type. For example, the log concavity of $p(n)$ follows nontrivially from it, as shown in the work of DeSalvo and Pak [6].

Later Vaclav Kotesovec according to OEIS [12] for A000041 got the precise value of c_0, c_1, \dots, c_4 as follows:

$$c_0 = -\frac{1}{36} - \frac{2}{\pi^2}, \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{6}\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi^3}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^4},$$

$$c_3 = -\frac{5}{16\sqrt{6}\pi^3} + \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{8\pi^5}, \quad c_4 = \frac{1}{576\pi^2} - \frac{1}{24\pi^4} + \frac{93}{80\pi^6}.$$

To the best of our knowledge, the details of the methods of Schoenfeld and Kotesovec have not yet been published.

In this article, using symbolic-numeric computation, we present our method to derive (1.2) together with a closed form formula for the $C_{i,j}$ in (1.2). Namely we show that

$$\log p(n) \sim \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \log n - \log 4\sqrt{3} + \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_u}{\sqrt{n}^u},$$

where the g_u are as in Definition 5.1. By \sim in the above expression we mean that for each $N \geq 1$

$$\log p(n) = \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \log n - \log 4\sqrt{3} + \sum_{u=1}^{N-1} \frac{g_u}{\sqrt{n}^u} = O_N(n^{-N/2}).$$

In particular $C_{i,j} = 0$, if $j \neq 0$, and $C_{i,0} = g_i$, otherwise. This result is obtained as a consequence of an infinite family of inequalities for $\log p(n)$, Theorem 6.6 (main theorem). We also apply our method to conjecture an analogous formula to (1.2) for $a(n)$, the cubic partitions of n , with $a(n)$ given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{2n})}. \tag{1.4}$$

In the OEIS, this sequence is registered as A002513. The first 50 values of $a(n)$, $n \geq 0$, are

1, 1, 3, 4, 9, 12, 23, 31, 54, 73, 118, 159, 246, 329, 489, 651, 940,
 1242, 1751, 2298, 3177, 4142, 5630, 7293, 9776, 12584, 16659,
 21320, 27922, 35532, 46092, 58342, 75039, 94503, 120615,
 151173, 191611, 239060, 301086, 374026, 468342, 579408.

This sequence appears in a letter from Richard Guy to Morris Newman [8]. In [4], William Chen and Bernard Lin proved that the sequence $a(n)$ satisfies several congruence properties. For example, $a(3n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $a(25n + 22) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. An asymptotic formula for $a(n)$ was obtained by Kotesovec [10] in 2015 as

follows:

$$a(n) \sim \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{n}}}{8n^{5/4}}. \quad (1.5)$$

In [16] the fourth author investigated the combinatorial properties of the sequence $a(n)$ by using MAPLE.

We summarize some of our main results:

Theorem 1.1 *For the usual partition function $p(n)$ we have*

$$\log p(n) \sim \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \log n - \log 4\sqrt{3} - \frac{0.44\dots}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

The proof of this theorem will be given in Sect. 6.

Conjecture 1.2 *For the cubic partitions $a(n)$ we have*

$$\log a(n) \sim \pi\sqrt{n} - \frac{5}{4}\log n - \log 8 - \frac{0.79\dots}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Theorem 1.3 *For the partition numbers $p(n)$ we have the inequalities*

$$\frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) < p(n) < \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad n \geq 1.$$

The proof of this is given in Sect. 3.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we present the methods used in the mathematical experiments that led us Theorem 1.1 and Conjecture 1.2. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 1.3 by adapting methods used by Chen et al. to fit our purpose. In Sect. 4 we generalize an inequality by Chen et al. by extending it to an infinite family of inequalities for $p(n)$. In Sect. 5 we introduce preparatory results required to prove Theorem 6.6. In Sect. 6 we prove our main result, Theorem 6.6, by using the main result from Sect. 4, Theorem 4.4. This gives an infinite family of inequalities for $\log p(n)$. Finally in Sect. 7 we give an application of the results in Sect. 5 which extends DeSalvo's and Pak's log concavity theorem for $p(n)$. In Sect. 1 (the Appendix) we give additional information on the method used to discover the asymptotic formulas. We remark explicitly that to finalize the proof of Theorem 6.6, we use the Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition in Mathematica; the details of this are also put to Sect. 1.

2 Mathematical experiments for better asymptotics for $a(n)$ and $p(n)$

Before proving our theorems, in this section we briefly describe the experimental mathematics which led us to their discovery. Our strategy is as follows. If we have sufficiently many instances of a given sequence, how can we find an asymptotic formula

for this sequence? Take the cubic partitions $a(n)$ and the partition numbers $p(n)$ as examples.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} p(10) &= 42, \dots, p(100) = 190569292, \dots, \\ p(1000) &= 24061467864032622473692149727991, \\ a(10) &= 118, \dots, a(100) = 16088094127, \dots, \\ a(1000) &= 302978131076521633719614157876165279276. \end{aligned}$$

A plot of the two curves through the points $(n, a(n))$, resp. $(n, p(n))$, for $n \in \{1, \dots, 1000\}$ is shown in the Fig. 1a and b. According to the Hardy–Ramanujan Theorem 1.1 and the asymptotic formula of Kotesovec (1.5), the curves are increasing with “sub-exponential” speeds. Thus, we may plot two curves using data points $(n, \log a(n))$ and $(n, \log p(n))$ as shown in Fig. 1c. One observes that the new curves look like parabolas $y = \sqrt{x}$. This is also very natural in view of

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(n) &\sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\pi \cdot \sqrt{n} - \log n - \log 4\sqrt{3}, \\ \log a(n) &\sim \pi \cdot \sqrt{n} - \frac{5}{4} \cdot \log n - \log 8. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

So if we modify further with $(\sqrt{n}, \log a(n))$ and $(\sqrt{n}, \log p(n))$ to plot the curves, we get two almost-straight lines as shown in the Fig. 1d.

This provides the starting point for finding the improved asymptotic formulas (1.6) for $p(n)$ and (1.7) for $a(n)$ from their data sets. We restrict our description to the latter case. Motivated by (2.1), we compute the differences of $\log a(n)$ with the estimation values $a_e(n) := \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{n}}}{8n^{5/4}}$:

$$\Delta(n) := \log a_e(n) - \log a(n) = \pi\sqrt{n} - \frac{5}{4} \log n - \log 8 - \log a(n).$$

Then we can plot curves from the data points $(n, \Delta(n))$ in Fig. 2a and b, and $(n, n \cdot \Delta(n))$ and $(n, \sqrt{n} \cdot \Delta(n))$ in Fig. 2c and d, in order to confirm the next dominant term approximately. We can see in Fig. 2d that after multiplying $\Delta(n)$ by \sqrt{n} the curve is almost constant, confirming that the next term is $\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$. Also multiplying $\Delta(n)$ by n , in Fig. 2c we see that the behavior is like \sqrt{n} as expected. By using least square regression on the original data set $(n, a(n))$ for $1 \leq n \leq 10000$, we aimed at finding the best constant C that minimizes²

$$-\log a(n) + \alpha \cdot \sqrt{n} - \beta \cdot \log n - \log \gamma + \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}},$$

² The fourth author of this paper told the result to V. Kotesovec in May 2016 and got a reply in January 2017 that the precise value of C could be $\text{Pi} / 16 + 15 / (8 * \text{Pi}) = 0.7931\dots$




Fig. 1 In (a) $p(n)$ is plotted and in (b) $a(n)$ is plotted. In (c) the upper curve is $\{(n, \log a(n)) | 1 \leq n \leq 1000\}$, and the lower curve is $\{(n, \log p(n)) | 1 \leq n \leq 1000\}$. The two curves are like the parabola $y = \sqrt{x}$. In (d) the two lines are for $\{(\sqrt{n}, \log a(n)) | 1 \leq n \leq 1000\}$ (upper) and $\{(\sqrt{n}, \log p(n)) | 1 \leq n \leq 1000\}$ (lower)

where we fixed $\alpha = \pi, \beta = 5/4, \gamma = 8$ according to (1.5). As a result, we obtained that $C \approx 0.7925$.

In the Appendix, Sect. 1, we explain that the constants α, β, γ can also be found via regression analysis with MAPLE instead of getting them from (1.5) directly.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We separate the proof into two lemmas. The first lemma is the upper bound for $p(n)$ and second lemma is the lower bound. In order to prove these lemmas we will state several facts which are routine to prove.




Fig. 2 The curve in **(a)** is for $(n, \Delta(n))$ where $1 \leq n \leq 10,000$, **b** is for $(n, \Delta(n))$ where $1 \leq n \leq 100$. The curve in **(c)** is for $(n \cdot \Delta(n))$, and **d** is for $(n, \sqrt{n} \cdot \Delta(n))$ where $1 \leq n \leq 10,000$

Lemma 3.1 For all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$p(n) < \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Proof By [1, (2.7)–(2.8)] and with $A_k(n)$ and $R(n, N)$ ³ as defined there, we have,

$$p(n) = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24n-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{A_k(n)}{\sqrt{k}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{k}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\frac{\mu(n)}{k}} + \left(1 + \frac{k}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{k}} \right] + R(n, N), \quad n \geq 1,$$

³ Note that in [1] $R(n, N)$ is denoted by $R_2(n, N)$.

where

$$\mu(n) := \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24n - 1}.$$

We will exploit the case $N = 2$ together with $A_1(n) = 1$ and $A_2(n) = (-1)^n$ for any positive integer n . For $N \geq 1$, Lehmer [11, (4.14), p. 294] gave the following error bound:

$$|R(n, N)| < \frac{\pi^2 N^{-2/3}}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\left(\frac{N}{\mu(n)} \right)^3 \sinh \frac{\mu(n)}{N} + \frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{N}{\mu(n)} \right)^2 \right], \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

and for $N = 2$ (cf. [1, (2.9)-(2.10)]):

$$p(n) = \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + T_1(n) \right), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(n) := & \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{2}{\mu(n)} \right) e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu(n)} \right) e^{-\frac{3\mu(n)}{2}} \right) \\ & + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu(n)} \right) e^{-2\mu(n)} + \frac{(24n - 1)R(n, 2)}{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate the absolute value of $T_1(n)$; for convenience we denote subexpressions by a_1 , b_1 , c_1 , and d_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1(n)| \leq & \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\mu(n)} \right) e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}}_{=: a_1} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\mu(n)} \right) e^{-\frac{3\mu(n)}{2}}}_{=: b_1} \\ & + \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu(n)} \right) e^{-2\mu(n)}}_{=: c_1} + \underbrace{\left| \frac{(24n - 1)R(n, 2)}{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}} \right|}_{=: d_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The following facts are easily verified.

Fact A For all $n \geq 1$, $a_1 < e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$.

Fact B For all $n \geq 1$, $b_1 < e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$.

Fact C For all $n \geq 1$, $c_1 < e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= \frac{36}{\pi^2 \sqrt{12}} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{e^{\mu(n)}} |R(n, 2)| \\
 &< \frac{\mu(n)^2 e^{-\mu(n)}}{2^{2/3}} + \frac{12 \sqrt[3]{2} e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}}{\mu(n)} - \frac{12 \sqrt[3]{2} e^{-\frac{3\mu(n)}{2}}}{\mu(n)} - 12 \sqrt[3]{2} e^{-\mu(n)} \quad (\text{by (3.1)}) \\
 &< \underbrace{\frac{\mu(n)^2 e^{-\mu(n)}}{2^{2/3}}}_{=:d_1^*} + \underbrace{\frac{12 \sqrt[3]{2} e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}}{\mu(n)}}_{=:d_2^*}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Fact D For all $n \geq 7$, $d_1^* < e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$.

Fact E For all $n \geq 35$, $d_2^* < e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$.

By Fact D and Fact E, we have

Fact F $d_1 = d_1^* + d_2^* < 2e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$ for all $n \geq 35$.

Now, by Fact A, B, C, and Fact F we conclude that for all $n \geq 35$,

$$|T_1(n)| \leq a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1 < 5e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.3), we have for all $n \geq 35$ that

$$1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - 5e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} < 1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + T_1(n) < 1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + 5e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Fact G For all $n \geq 3$, $1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - 5e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} > 0$.

Therefore from (3.2), (3.4), and Fact G, we have for all $n \geq 35$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(n) &= \frac{\sqrt{12} e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + T_1(n) \right) \\
 &< \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{12} e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1}}_{=:e_1} \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + 5e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} \right)}_{=:f_1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Fact H $f_1 < 1 - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}}$ for all $n \geq 23$.

Fact I $e_1 < \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Therefore by Facts H, I, and (3.5), we have for all $n \geq 35$,

$$p(n) < \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

This completes the proof of the stated upper bound in Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2 For all $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) < p(n). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof In the proof of [6, Prop 2.4], it is noted that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$p(n) > T_2(n) \left(1 - \frac{|R(n)|}{T_2(n)}\right),$$

where

$$T_2(n) := \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24n-1} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\mu(n)} + \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} \right]$$

and $R(n)$ is as in [6, (7)].

From the definition of $T_2(n)$ one verifies:

Fact J $T_2(n) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

The following bound holds for $|R(n)|$ (see [6, (13)]),

$$0 < \frac{|R(n)|}{T_2(n)} < e^{-\frac{\pi}{10}\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Hence by Fact J,

$$T_2(n) \left(1 - \frac{|R(n)|}{T_2(n)}\right) > T_2(n) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\pi}{10}\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}\right), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (3.7)$$

Plugging the definition of $T_2(n)$ into (3.7) gives for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(n) &> \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24n-1} \left[\underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)}\right) e^{\mu(n)}}_{=:a_2} + \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} \right] \underbrace{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{\pi}{10}\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}\right)}_{=:d_2} \\ &> \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24n} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}} \left[a_2 \times \underbrace{e^{\mu(n) - \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n}}}_{=:b_2} + \underbrace{\frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{\mu(n)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n}}}_{=:c_2} \right] \times d_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}n} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}} (a_2 b_2 + c_2) d_2. \end{aligned}$$

We now bound $a_2, b_2, c_2,$ and d_2 :

Fact K $a_2 > 1 - \frac{2}{5\sqrt{n}} > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Fact L $b_2 > 1 - \frac{2}{37\sqrt{n}} > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Fact M $c_2 > -\frac{1}{225\sqrt{n}}$ for all $n \geq 29$.

Fact N $d_2 > 1 - \frac{1}{25\sqrt{n}} > 0$ for all $n \geq 631$.

By Facts **K, L,** and **M,** we have,

Fact O $a_2b_2 + c_2 > (1 - \frac{2}{5\sqrt{n}})(1 - \frac{2}{37\sqrt{n}}) - \frac{1}{225\sqrt{n}} > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

From Facts **O** and **N** we have for all $n \geq 631$,

$$(a_2b_2 + c_2)d_2 > \underbrace{\left[\left(1 - \frac{2}{5\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{37\sqrt{n}}\right) - \frac{1}{225\sqrt{n}} \right]}_{=: I(n)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{25\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Fact P $I(n) > 1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} > 0,$ for all $n \geq 1$.

From all the above facts we can conclude that (3.6) holds for all $n \geq 631$. Using Mathematica we checked that (3.6) also holds for all $1 \leq n \leq 630$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Finally, combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have Theorem 1.3.

4 A generalization of a result by Chen, Jia, and Wang

In this section, we have again that $\mu(n) = \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n - 1}$; this should not be confused with the real variable μ which we will use below. Eventually, we will set the real variable μ equal to $\mu(n)$. The main goal of this section is to generalize [1, Lem. 2.2] which says that for $n \geq 1206$, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - \frac{1}{\mu(n)^{10}}\right) < p(n) < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{1}{\mu(n)^{10}}\right).$$

Our improvement is Theorem 4.4 where we replace the 10 in this formula by k and the 1206 by a parametrized bound $g(k)$. In order to achieve this, for a fixed k one needs to find an explicit constant $\nu(k) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{1}{6}e^{\mu/2} > \mu^k$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu > \nu(k)$. One can show that

$$\tilde{\nu}(k) := \min \left\{ h \in \mathbb{R} \mid \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R} \left(\mu > h \Rightarrow \frac{1}{6}e^{\mu/2} > \mu^k \right) \right\}$$

satisfies $\frac{1}{6}e^{\tilde{\nu}(k)/2} = \tilde{\nu}(k)^k$. Theorem 4.4 is crucial for proving our main result, Theorem 6.6, presented in the next section. In Lemma 4.1 we find such a constant $\nu(k)$ for all

$k \geq 7$. In Lemma 4.2 we find a lower bound on $\tilde{v}(k)$. In this way, we see that what is delivered by Lemma 4.1 is best possible in the sense that our $v(k)$ from Lemma 4.1 and the minimal possible $\tilde{v}(k)$ satisfies $|v(k) - \tilde{v}(k)| < \frac{3k \log \log k}{\log k}$ for all $k \geq 7$.

Lemma 4.1 For $k \geq 7$ let

$$v(k) := 2 \log 6 + (2 \log 2)k + 2k \log k + 2k \log \log k + \frac{5k \log \log k}{\log k},$$

then

$$\frac{1}{6} \cdot e^{v(k)/2} > v(k)^k, \quad k \geq 7. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, if $\mu > v(k)$ for some $k \geq 7$, then

$$\frac{1}{6} \cdot e^{\mu/2} > \mu^k, \quad k \geq 7. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof Let $f(\mu) := -\log 6 + \mu/2 - k \log \mu$. By $f'(\mu) = 1/2 - k/\mu$, f is increasing for $\mu > 2k$. Hence the fact $v(k) > 2k$ gives $f(\mu) > f(v(k))$, and (4.2) follows from (4.1) which is equivalent to $f(v(k)) > 0, k \geq 7$. We set

$$\bar{v}(k) := -1 + \frac{v(k)}{2k \log k} = \frac{\log 6}{k \log k} + \frac{\log 2}{\log k} + \frac{\log \log k}{\log k} + \frac{5 \log \log k}{2(\log k)^2}.$$

The positivity of $f(v(k))$ is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(v(k)) &= -\log 6 + v(k)/2 - k \log(2k \log k) - k \log(1 + \bar{v}(k)) \\ &= \frac{5k \log \log k}{2 \log k} - k \log(1 + \bar{v}(k)) \\ &> k \left(\frac{5 \log \log k}{2 \log k} - \bar{v}(k) \right) \quad (\text{by } \log(1 + x) < x \text{ for } 0 < x) \\ &= \frac{k}{2 \log k} \left(3 \log \log k - \frac{2 \log 6}{k} - 2 \log 2 - \frac{5 \log \log k}{\log k} \right) \\ &> \frac{k}{2 \log k} \left(3 \log \log k - \frac{1}{5} - \frac{7}{5} - 2 \right) = \frac{k}{2 \log k} \left(3 \log \log k - \frac{18}{5} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds for all $k \geq 18$, because for such k

$$\frac{2 \log 6}{k} < \frac{1}{5}, \quad \frac{5 \log \log k}{\log k} < 2, \quad \text{and } 2 \log 2 < \frac{7}{5}.$$

It is also straight-forward to prove $\log \log k > 6/5$ for all $k \geq 28$. For the remaining cases $7 \leq k \leq 27$ the inequality (4.1) is verified by numerical computation, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.2 For $k \geq 7$ let

$$\kappa(k) := 2 \log 6 + (2 \log 2)k + 2k \log k + 2k \log \log k + \frac{2k \log \log k}{\log k}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{6} e^{\kappa(k)/2} < \kappa(k)^k.$$

Proof Let f defined as in Lemma 4.1, then the statement is equivalent to proving that

$$f(\kappa(k)) = -\log 6 + \frac{\kappa(k)}{2} - k \log \kappa(k) < 0.$$

Setting

$$\tilde{\kappa}(k) := -1 + \frac{\kappa(k)}{2k \log k} = \frac{\log(6)}{k \log k} + \frac{\log 2}{\log k} + \frac{\log \log k}{\log k} + \frac{\log \log k}{(\log k)^2}$$

we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\kappa(k)) &= -\log 6 + \kappa(k)/2 - k \log(2k \log k) - k \log(1 + \tilde{\kappa}(k)) \\ &= \frac{2k \log \log k}{2 \log k} - k \log(1 + \tilde{\kappa}(k)) \\ &< \frac{k \log \log k}{\log k} - k(\tilde{\kappa}(k) - \tilde{\kappa}(k)^2/2), \end{aligned}$$

because of $\log(1 + x) > x - x^2/2$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

In order to show $f(\kappa(k)) < 0$, it would be enough therefore to show that $2\left(\tilde{\kappa}(k) - \frac{\log \log k}{\log k}\right) > \tilde{\kappa}^2$ below. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \frac{\log 6 \log k + (\log 2)k \log k + k \log \log k}{k(\log k)^2} \\ &> \left(\frac{\log 6 \log k + (\log 2)k \log k + k(\log \log k) \log k + k \log \log k}{k(\log k)^2} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \log k \left(\frac{\log 6}{k} + \log 2 + \frac{\log \log k}{\log k} \right) \\ &> (\log \log k)^2 \left(\frac{\log 6}{k \log \log k} + \frac{\log 2}{\log \log k} + 1 + \frac{1}{\log k} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$2 \log k \left(\frac{\log 6}{k} + \log 2 + \frac{\log \log k}{\log k} \right) > (2 \log 2) \log k > \frac{5}{4} \log k, \quad k \geq 3,$$

it suffices to show

$$\frac{5}{4} \log k > (\log \log k)^2 \left(\frac{\log 6}{k \log \log k} + \frac{\log 2}{\log \log k} + 1 + \frac{1}{\log k} \right)^2,$$

which after division by $(\log \log k)^2$ gives the equivalent inequality

$$\frac{5}{4} \frac{\log k}{(\log \log k)^2} > \left(\frac{\log 6}{k \log \log k} + \frac{\log 2}{\log \log k} + 1 + \frac{1}{\log k} \right)^2.$$

Now note that $\frac{\log k}{(\log \log k)^2}$ is increasing and the right-hand side of the above inequality is decreasing for $k \geq \lceil e^{e^2} \rceil = 1619$. Evaluating both sides at $k = e^{e^2}$ gives $\frac{5}{4} \frac{e^2}{4} > \frac{23}{10}$ for the left, and $\left(1 + \frac{1}{e^2} + \frac{\log 2}{2} + \frac{\log 6}{2e^{e^2}} \right)^2 < \frac{22}{10}$ for the right side. This proves the inequality for $k \geq 1619$. For $7 \leq k \leq 1618$ the result follows by numerical evaluation. \square

Definition 4.3 For $k \geq 2$ define

$$g(k) := \frac{3}{2\pi^2} (\nu(k)^2 + 1),$$

where $\nu(k)$ is as in Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.4 For all $k \geq 2$ and $n > g(k)$ such that $(n, k) \neq (6, 2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - \frac{1}{\mu(n)^k} \right) < p(n) \\ & < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{1}{\mu(n)^k} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof From [1, p. 8, (2.9)] we find that

$$p(n) = \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + T(n) \right) \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

where $T(n)$ is defined in [1, (2.10)]. In [1, (2.22)] it is proven that

$$|T(n)| < 6e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} \text{ for } n > 350. \tag{4.4}$$

By Lemma 4.1 we have that $\mu(n)^k < \frac{1}{6}e^{\frac{\mu(n)}{2}}$ for $k \geq 7$ and $\mu(n) > v(k)$, which is equivalent to

$$6e^{-\frac{\mu(n)}{2}} < \frac{1}{\mu(n)^k} \text{ for } \mu(n) > v(k). \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\mu(n) = \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n - 1}$, it follows that $\mu(n) > v(k)$ if and only if $n > g(k)$. Furthermore for $k \geq 7$, we have $g(k) > 350$, this means that (4.4) is satisfied for $n > g(k)$.

By (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain that $|T(n)| < \frac{1}{\mu(n)^k}$ for $n > g(k)$ which proves that statement for $k \geq 7$. To prove the statement for $k \in \{2, \dots, 6\}$ we use the statement for $k = 7$ which says that for all $n \geq \lceil g(7) \rceil = 581$ we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - \frac{1}{\mu(n)^7}\right) < p(n) < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{1}{\mu(n)^7}\right).$$

However,

$$p(n) < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{1}{\mu(n)^7}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{1}{\mu(n)^k}\right) \tag{4.6}$$

for $k \in \{2, \dots, 6\}$ and $n \geq 581$. To prove (4.6) for $g(k) < n < 581$ it is enough to do a numerical evaluation of (4.6) for these values of n with the exception $n = 6$ when $k = 2$. We did this using computer algebra. Analogously, we see that for $k \in \{2, \dots, 6\}$ and $n \geq 581$ we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - \frac{1}{\mu(n)^k}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - \frac{1}{\mu(n)^7}\right) < p(n). \tag{4.7}$$

In the same way we prove (4.7) for $g(k) < n < 581$. □

5 Preparing for the proof of Theorem 6.6

In this section we prepare for the proof of our main theorem, Theorem 6.6, which is presented in Sect. 6. To this end, we need to introduce a variety of lemmas.

Definition 5.1 For $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < y^2 < 24$, we define

$$G(y) := -\log \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{24}\right) + \frac{\pi}{6y}\sqrt{24} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{24}} - 1\right) + \log \left(1 - \frac{y}{\frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24 - y^2}}\right),$$

and its sequence of Taylor coefficients by

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} g_u y^u := G(y).$$

Definition 5.2 For $0 < y^2 < 24$ and $i \in \{-1, 1\}$, define

$$G_{i,k}(y) := G(y) + \log \left(1 + \frac{i \left(\frac{y}{\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24-y^2}} \right)^k}{1 - \frac{y}{\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24-y^2}}} \right).$$

Lemma 5.3 Let $g(k)$ be as in Definition 4.3. Then for all $k \geq 2$ and $n > g(k)$ with $(k, n) \neq (2, 6)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\log 4\sqrt{3} - \log n + \pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} + G_{-1,k}(1/\sqrt{n}) < \log p(n) \\ & < -\log 4\sqrt{3} - \log n + \pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} + G_{1,k}(1/\sqrt{n}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof Taking log of both sides of (4.3) gives

$$\log E_{-1,k}(n) < \log p(n) < \log E_{1,k}(n)$$

where

$$E_{i,k}(n) := \log \sqrt{12} - \log(24n - 1) + \mu(n) + \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{i}{\mu(n)^k} \right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i,k}(n) &= \log \frac{\sqrt{12}}{24} - \log n - \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{24n} \right) + \pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} + \mu(n) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24n} + \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{i}{\mu(n)^k} \right) \\ &= -\log 4\sqrt{3} - \log n + \pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} + R_{i,k}(n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{i,k}(x) := -\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{24x} \right) + \mu(x) - \frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24x} + \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(x)} + \frac{i}{\mu(x)^k} \right).$$

Finally one verifies that $R_{i,k}(x) = G_{i,k}(1/\sqrt{x})$. \square

The quantity

$$\alpha := \frac{\pi^2}{36 + \pi^2}$$

will play an important role in this and the next section.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $G(y) = \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} g_u y^u$ be the Taylor series expansion of $G(y)$ as in Definition 5.1. Then*

$$g_{2n} = \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} n} - \frac{1}{2^{3n+1} 3^n n} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^n}\right), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{5.1}$$

and for $n \geq 0$,

$$g_{2n+1} = \sqrt{6} \left[(-1)^{n+1} \binom{1/2}{n+1} \frac{\pi}{2^{3n+3} 3^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{2^{3n+1} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)\pi} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2} + j}{j} \right]. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof By using

$$\log \left(1 - \frac{y}{\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24 - y^2}} \right) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y^k k^{-1} \pi^{-k} 6^k 24^{-k/2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{24}} \right)^2 \right)^{-k/2},$$

together with

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{24}} \right)^2 \right)^{-k/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{-k/2}{n} \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{24}} \right)^{2n},$$

we obtain

$$g_{2n} = \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} n} - \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{3^{2u-n} 2^{n+2u} \pi^{2n-2u} (2n-2u)} (-1)^u \binom{u-n}{u}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

For $n \geq 0$,

$$g_{2n+1} = \sqrt{6} \left[(-1)^{n+1} \binom{1/2}{n+1} \frac{\pi}{2^{3n+3} 3^{n+2}} - \sum_{u=0}^n \frac{1}{3^{2u-n} 2^{n+1+2u} \pi^{2n+1-2u} (2n+1-2u)} (-1)^u \binom{u-n-1/2}{u} \right].$$

Inputting this into the package Sigma developed by Carsten Schneider [13], we obtain (5.1) and (5.2). □

We need various additional facts about the Taylor coefficients g_u of $G(y)$.

Lemma 5.5 For $0 \leq a < 1$,

$$\frac{a}{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a^j \binom{j-1/2}{j} \leq \frac{a}{2(1-a)}.$$

Proof First we note that $\binom{j-1/2}{j} = (-1)^j \binom{-1/2}{j} > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n a^j \binom{j-1/2}{j} &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-a)^j \binom{-1/2}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^n (-a)^j \binom{-1/2}{j} - 1 \\ &< \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-a)^j \binom{-1/2}{j} - 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a}} - 1 \leq \frac{a}{2(1-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the upper bound. To prove the lower bound note that the first term of the sum is $\frac{a}{2}$ and the other terms are all positive. □

Lemma 5.6 Let $s_n := (-1)^n \binom{1/2}{n+1}$. For $n \geq 0$ we have $s_n \geq 0$ and s_n is a decreasing sequence, that is $s_n > s_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.7 For $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi 2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \\ &\geq g_{2n+1} \geq -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi 2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{72} + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof From Lemmas 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6, we obtain

$$-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi 2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \geq g_{2n+1}.$$

Again by Lemmas 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2n+1} &\geq -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2^{3n} 3^n} \left(\frac{\pi}{72} (-1)^{0+1} \binom{1/2}{0+1} + \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}{2\pi \alpha^n (1+2n)}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi 2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} \left(\frac{\pi^2 \alpha^n (1+2n)}{72} + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\right) \\ &\geq -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi 2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} \left(\frac{\pi^2 \alpha^0 (1+2 \cdot 0)}{72} + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The last line is because $\alpha^n (1+2n)$ is a decreasing sequence of n for $n \geq 0$. □

Lemma 5.8 For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$-\frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n+1} n \alpha^n} \leq g_{2n} \leq \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} n \alpha^n} \left(\frac{3\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Proof By Lemma 5.4 the statement follows from

$$g_{2n} = \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} n} - \frac{1}{2^{3n+1} 3^n n} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^n} \right) = \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} \alpha^n n} \left(\frac{3\alpha^n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

□

Lemma 5.9 Define

$$\mu_1 := \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{72} + 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)} \right) \text{ and } \mu_2 := \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right).$$

Then for $m \geq 0$ and $0 < y \leq \epsilon < 2\sqrt{6\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\mu_2}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1+2m)} y^{2m+1} \\ & \geq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} g_{2n+1} y^{2n+1} \\ & \geq -\frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1+2m)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}} y^{2m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof By Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} g_{2n+1} y^{2n+1} & \geq -\mu_1 \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} y^{2n+1} \\ & \geq -\frac{\mu_1 y^{2m+1}}{1+2m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3(n+m)} 3^{n+m} \alpha^{n+m}} y^{2n} \\ & = -\frac{\mu_1 y^{2m+1}}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1+2m)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{y^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}} \\ & \geq -\frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1+2m)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}} y^{2m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and again by Lemma 5.7 we have

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} g_{2n+1} y^{2n+1} \leq -\mu_2 \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+1}}{2^{3n} 3^n \alpha^n (1+2n)} \leq -\mu_2 \frac{y^{2m+1}}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1+2m)}.$$

□

Lemma 5.10 For $m \geq 1$ and $0 < y \leq \epsilon < 2\sqrt{6\alpha}$,

$$\frac{3\alpha - 1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} y^{2m} \geq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} g_{2n} y^{2n} \geq -y^{2m} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot \alpha}}.$$

Proof By Lemma 5.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} g_{2n} y^{2n} &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} n \alpha^n} y^{2n} \geq -y^{2m} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} m \alpha^n} y^{2n-2m} \\ &= -y^{2m} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{y^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot \alpha}} \geq -y^{2m} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot \alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 5.8,

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} g_{2n} y^{2n} \leq \frac{3\alpha - 1}{2} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n 2^{3n} n \alpha^n} y^{2n} \leq \frac{3\alpha - 1}{2} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} m \alpha^m} y^{2m}.$$

□

Definition 5.11 For $0 < y \leq \epsilon < 1$ define

$$B(y) := \frac{y}{\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24 - y^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\epsilon,k} := \epsilon^{-k} \frac{B(\epsilon)^k}{1 - B(\epsilon)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Lemma 5.12 If $0 < y \leq \epsilon < 1$, then

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(y)}\right) \leq \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^k)^2} y^k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proof First note that for $0 < y < \sqrt{24}$ the function $B(y)$ is increasing and also that $\frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(y)} \leq \frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(\epsilon)}$ and $B(y) < \frac{y}{\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{24 - \epsilon^2}} = \epsilon^{-1} y B(\epsilon)$. Hence

$$\frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(\epsilon)} < \frac{\epsilon^{-k} y^k B(\epsilon)^k}{1 - B(\epsilon)} = B_{\epsilon,k} y^k.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(1 + \frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(y)} \right) &\leq \log \left(1 + B_{\epsilon,k} y^k \right) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} B_{\epsilon,k}^n y^{kn} \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} B_{\epsilon,k}^{2n} y^{2kn} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} B_{\epsilon,k}^{2n+1} y^{k(2n+1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} B_{\epsilon,k}^{2n+1} y^{k(2n+1)} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{\epsilon,k}^{2n+1} y^{k(2n+1)} \\ &= \frac{B_{\epsilon,k} y^k}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k} y^k)^2} \leq \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^k)^2} y^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.13 *If $0 < y \leq \epsilon < 1$, then*

$$\log \left(1 - \frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(y)} \right) \geq - \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^k} y^k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(1 - \frac{B(y)^k}{1 - B(y)} \right) &\geq \log \left(1 - B_{\epsilon,k} y^k \right) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} B_{\epsilon,k}^n y^{kn} \geq - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\epsilon,k}^n y^{kn} \\ &= - \frac{B_{\epsilon,k} y^k}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k} y^k} \geq - \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^k} y^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.14 *For all $k \geq 2$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}$ we have*

$$\frac{6^k}{5^k \pi^k} < B_{\epsilon,k} \leq \frac{b_0 \cdot 6^k}{\pi^k (\sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}})^k},$$

where $b_0 := \frac{1}{1 - \frac{6}{\sqrt{7}\pi\sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}}}}$ and again $B_{\epsilon,k}$ as in (5.3).

Proof Define

$$s := \sqrt{24 - \epsilon^2}, \quad l_s := \sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}}, \quad u_s := 4.9, \quad l_\epsilon := 0, \quad \text{and} \quad u_\epsilon := \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}.$$

For all $k \geq 2$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}$, we have

$$l_s \leq s < u_s \quad \text{and} \quad l_\epsilon < \epsilon \leq u_\epsilon.$$

The following conventions for the letters l and u will be useful: l_a denotes a lower bound for the quantity a , and u_a will denote an upper bound for the quantity a . And again we use $B(y)$ as defined in Definition 5.11.

Then

$$0 = \frac{l_\epsilon}{\frac{\pi}{6}u_s} < B(\epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon}{\frac{\pi}{6}s} \leq \frac{u_\epsilon}{\frac{\pi}{6}l_s}.$$

Let us define $l_B := 0$ and $u_B := \frac{u_\epsilon}{\frac{\pi}{6}l_s}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} l_B < B(\epsilon) \leq u_B &\Rightarrow 1 - u_B \leq 1 - B(\epsilon) < 1 - l_B = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 - l_B} = 1 < \frac{1}{1 - B(\epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - u_B}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\frac{1}{(\frac{\pi}{6}u_s)^k} < \frac{1}{(\frac{\pi}{6}s)^k} \leq \frac{1}{(\frac{\pi}{6}l_s)^k}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6^k}{5^k \pi^k} &< \frac{6^k}{(4.9)^k \pi^k} = \frac{1}{(1 - l_B)(\frac{\pi}{6}u_s)^k} < B_{\epsilon,k} \leq \frac{1}{(1 - u_B)(\frac{\pi}{6}l_s)^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}}{\frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}}}\right) \left(\frac{\pi^k}{6^k} \left(\sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}}\right)^k\right)} = \frac{b_0}{\frac{\pi^k}{6^k} \left(\sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}}\right)^k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 5.15 Define

$$\beta := \sqrt{24 - \frac{1}{7}}$$

and for $k \geq 0$,

$$C_k := \frac{6^k}{(\pi\beta)^k}.$$

Lemma 5.16 Let $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}$ and $B_{\epsilon,k}$ be as in (5.3). Then for $k \geq 2$,

$$\frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k)^2} \leq b_1 B_{\epsilon,k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k} \leq b_2 B_{\epsilon,k},$$

with

$$b_1 := \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{49}b_0^2 C_4}, \quad b_2 := \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{7}b_0 C_2},$$

and b_0 as in Lemma 5.14.

Proof We obtain, using Lemma 5.14,

$$\frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k} \leq \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - \frac{1}{7}B_{\epsilon,k}} \leq \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - \frac{1}{7}b_0C_2} = b_2B_{\epsilon,k},$$

and

$$\frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k)^2} \leq \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - \frac{1}{49}B_{\epsilon,k}^2} \leq \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - \frac{1}{49}b_0^2C_4} = b_1B_{\epsilon,k}.$$

□

Lemma 5.17 Let C_k be as in Definition 5.15, then

$$C_{2m} < \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m}, \quad m \geq 10, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{2m-1} < \frac{69}{25} \frac{1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (2m-1)}, \quad m \geq 14.$$

Proof We start with the first inequality:

$$C_{2m} = \left(\frac{252}{167\pi^2}\right)^m < \frac{(36 + \pi^2)^m}{3^m 2^{3m} m \pi^{2m}} \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{6048}{6012 + 167\pi^2}\right)^m < 1.$$

To prove the inequality in the rewritten form, define $\ell := \frac{6048}{6012 + 167\pi^2}$ and note that $\ell < 1$. Moreover, for $m \geq 10$,

$$m\ell^m < 1 \Leftrightarrow \log m + m \log \ell < 0.$$

Define $f(m) := m \log \ell + \log m$. We have to show $f(m) < 0$ for all $m \geq 10$. We first show that $f(m)$ is decreasing for $m \geq 10$. This is equivalent to $f'(m) = \log \ell + \frac{1}{m} < 0$ for $m \geq 10$. This is equivalent to showing $\ell e^{1/m} < 1$ for $m \geq 10$.

Now for $m \geq 10$ we have $\ell e^{1/m} \leq \ell e^{1/10}$.

By numerics, $\ell e^{1/10} < 1$ and $f(10) < 0$. Since $f(m)$ is decreasing and $f(m) \leq f(10) < 0$ for $m \geq 10$, the first inequality is proven. Now for the second inequality, first note that

$$C_{2m-1} = \left(\frac{6}{\pi\beta}\right)^{2m-1} = \left(\frac{252}{167\pi^2}\right)^m \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{\frac{167}{7}}\right).$$

Hence we have to show

$$\left(\frac{252}{167\pi^2}\right)^m \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{\frac{167}{7}}\right) < \frac{69}{25} \frac{1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (2m-1)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{6048}{6012 + 167\pi^2}\right)^m (2m - 1) < \frac{414}{25\pi} \sqrt{\frac{7}{167}} \Leftrightarrow (2m - 1)\ell^m < \frac{414}{25\pi} \sqrt{\frac{7}{167}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{m \log \ell + \log(2m - 1) - \log\left(\frac{414}{25\pi} \sqrt{\frac{7}{167}}\right)}_{=:g(m)} < 0.$$

Now analogously to the proof of the first case one observes that $g(m)$ is decreasing for $m \geq 14$ and that $g(14) < 0$, hence $g(m) \leq g(14) < 0$. □

6 Proofs of Theorems 6.6 and 1.1

After the preparations made in Sect. 5, in this section we prove our Main Theorem, Theorem 6.6, which implies Theorem 1.1 as a corollary. Again we let

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi^2}{36 + \pi^2}.$$

Definition 6.1 Let $B_{\epsilon,k}$ be as in Definition 5.11 and μ_1, μ_2 as in Lemma 5.9 and $v := \frac{3\alpha-1}{2}$. Moreover, let $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}$. For $m, k \geq 1$ we define

$$A_{1,k}(2m) := \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k)^2} \epsilon^{k-2m} + v \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} m \alpha^m},$$

$$A_{-1,k}(2m) := \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \alpha}}$$

$$+ \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1 + 2m)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}},$$

$$A_{1,k}(2m - 1) := \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k)^2} \epsilon^{k-2m+1} - \frac{\mu_2}{2^{3m-3} 3^{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} (2m - 1)},$$

$$A_{-1,k}(2m - 1) := \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k} \epsilon^{k-2m+1} + \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \alpha}},$$

$$+ \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m-3} 3^{m-1} \alpha^{m-1} (2m - 1)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}}.$$

Lemma 6.2 Let $\sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n y^n$ as in Definition 5.1 and $G_{i,k}(y)$ as in Definition 5.2. Moreover let $0 < y \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}$. Then for $k \geq 2m \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n - A_{-1,k}(2m) y^{2m} \leq G_{-1,k}(y) \text{ and } G_{1,k}(y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n + A_{1,k}(2m) y^{2m},$$

and for $k \geq 2m - 1 \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2m-2} g_n y^n - A_{-1,k}(2m - 1)y^{2m-1} \leq G_{-1,k}(y) \text{ and}$$

$$G_{1,k}(y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{2m-2} g_n y^n + A_{1,k}(2m - 1)y^{2m-1}.$$

Proof For $k \geq 2m \geq 2$, by using the Lemmas 5.9 to 5.12, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1,k}(y) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n + \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k)^2} y^k + v \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} m \alpha^m} y^{2m} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_2}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1 + 2m)} y^{2m+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n + \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k)^2} \epsilon^{k-2m} y^{2m} + v \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} m \alpha^m} y^{2m} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n + A_{1,k}(2m) y^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Lemmas 5.9 to 5.10 together with Lemma 5.13 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-1,k}(y) &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n - \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k} y^k - \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \alpha}} y^{2m} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1 + 2m)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}} y^{2m+1} \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n - \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k}\epsilon^k} \epsilon^{k-2m} y^{2m} - \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} m \alpha^m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \alpha}} y^{2m} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (1 + 2m)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{3\alpha \cdot 2^3}} y^{2m} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{2m-1} g_n y^n - A_{-1,k}(2m) y^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

The statement for $A_{-1,k}(2m - 1)$ is proven analogously. □

Lemma 6.3 We have for $m \geq 10$ that

$$A_{1,k}(2m) < \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} m \alpha^m}, \quad A_{-1,k}(2m) < \frac{2}{3^m 2^{3m} m \alpha^m}$$

and for $m \geq 14$

$$A_{1,k}(2m-1) < \frac{2}{3^m 2^{3m} (2m-1) \alpha^m}, \quad A_{-1,k}(2m-1) < \frac{7}{3^m 2^{3m} (2m-1) \alpha^m}.$$

Proof For $m \geq 10$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,k}(2m) &= \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - (B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^k)^2} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \nu \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \quad (\text{by Definition 6.1}) \\ &< b_1 B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \nu \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.16}) \\ &< b_1 b_0 \frac{6^k}{(\pi\beta)^k} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \nu \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.14}) \\ &= b_0 b_1 C_k \epsilon^{k-2m} + \nu \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \quad (\text{using Definition 5.15}) \\ &\leq b_0 b_1 C_{2m} + \nu \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \\ &\quad (\text{because } f(k) := C_k \epsilon^{k-2m} \text{ is decreasing for all } k \geq 2m) \\ &< b_0 b_1 \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} + \nu \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.17}) \\ &= (b_0 b_1 + \nu) \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \\ &< \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \quad (\text{by evaluating } b_0 b_1 + \nu \text{ numerically}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-1,k}(2m) &= \frac{B_{\epsilon,k}}{1 - B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^k} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m+1} \alpha^m m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24\alpha}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (2m+1)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24\alpha}} \quad (\text{by Definition 6.1}) \\ &< b_2 B_{\epsilon,k} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24\alpha}} + \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (2m+1)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24\alpha}} \\ &\quad (\text{by Lemma 5.16}) \\ &< b_2 b_0 \frac{6^k}{(\pi\beta)^k} \epsilon^{k-2m} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24\alpha}} + \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (2m+1)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{24\alpha}} \\ &\quad (\text{by Lemma 5.14}) \\ &\leq b_0 b_2 \cdot C_{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{168\alpha}} + \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m (2m+1)} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{168\alpha}} \\ &< b_0 b_2 \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{168\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_1}{2^{3m} 3^m \alpha^m m} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{168\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(by Lemma 5.17)} \\
 &= \left(b_0 b_2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{168\alpha}} (1 + \mu_1) \right) \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \\
 &< \frac{2}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m} \text{ (by evaluating } b_0 b_2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{168\alpha}} (1 + \mu_1) \text{ numerically)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The statements for $A_{1,k}(2m - 1)$ and $A_{-1,k}(2m - 1)$ are proven analogously. \square

Definition 6.4 For $n, U \geq 1$ we define

$$P_n(U) := -\log 4\sqrt{3} - \log n + \pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} + \sum_{u=1}^U g_u(1/\sqrt{n})^u.$$

Lemma 6.5 Let $g(k)$ be as in Definition 4.3 and $P_n(U)$ as in Definition 6.4. If $m \geq 1, k \geq 2m$ and

$$n > \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } m = 1, \\ g(k) & \text{if } m \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

then

$$-A_{-1,k}(2m) \frac{1}{n^m} < \log p(n) - P_n(2m - 1) < A_{1,k}(2m) \frac{1}{n^m}. \tag{6.1}$$

If $m \geq 2, k \geq 2m - 1$, and $n > g(k)$, then

$$-A_{-1,k}(2m - 1) \frac{1}{n^{m-\frac{1}{2}}} < \log p(n) - P_n(2m - 2) < A_{1,k}(2m - 1) \frac{1}{n^{m-\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof We start with the inequality from Lemma 5.3. Next we use Lemma 6.2 to bound $G_{1,k}(y)$. Finally we set $y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ and obtain the desired result. \square

Theorem 6.6 Let $G(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n y^n$ be as in Definition 5.1. Let $g(k)$ be as in Definition 4.3 and $P_n(U)$ as in Definition 6.4. If $m \geq 1$ and $n > g(2m)$, then

$$P_n(2m - 1) - \frac{2}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m n^m} < \log p(n) < P_n(2m - 1) + \frac{1}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m m n^m}; \tag{6.3}$$

if $m \geq 2$ and $n > g(2m - 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_n(2m - 2) - \frac{7}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m (2m - 1) n^{m-1/2}} < \log p(n) \\
 & < P_n(2m - 2) + \frac{2}{3^m 2^{3m} \alpha^m (2m - 1) n^{m-1/2}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Proof We start by setting $k = 2m$ in (6.1) of Lemma 6.5, and $k = 2m - 1$ in (6.2). In this inequality we bound $A_{1,k}(m)$ resp $A_{-1,k}(m)$ by using Lemma 6.3. This gives (6.4) for all $m \geq 14$ and $n > g(2m - 1)$, and (6.3) for $m \geq 10$ and $n > g(2m)$.

In order to prove (6.3) and (6.4) for the remaining values of m , firstly we will prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if (6.3) holds for } m \geq 2 \text{ and all } n \geq y \geq 1, \\ &\text{then (6.3) holds for } m - 1 \text{ and all } n \geq y. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

In particular, if we subtract from the lower bound on $\log p(n)$ with parameter m in (6.3) the lower bound on $\log p(n)$ with parameter $m - 1$, we obtain $f(2m, -4) - g(2m - 2, -4)$, where

$$f(w, x) := \sum_{u=w-2}^{w-1} g_u\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^u + \frac{x}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w$$

and

$$g(w, x) := \frac{x}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w.$$

Similarly, if we subtract from the upper bound for $m \rightarrow m - 1$ in (6.3) the upper bound for m , we obtain $g(2m - 2, 2) - f(2m, 2)$. Hence in order to prove (6.5), it suffices to prove

$$f(2m, -4) > g(2m - 2, -4) \quad \text{and} \quad f(2m, 2) < g(2m - 2, 2). \tag{6.6}$$

Analogously, in order to prove that if (6.4) holds for all $m \geq 3$ and all $n \geq y \geq 1$, then (6.4) holds for $m - 1$ and all $n \geq y$, it suffices to prove

$$f(2m - 1, -7) > g(2m - 3, -7) \quad \text{and} \quad f(2m - 1, 2) < g(2m - 3, 2). \tag{6.7}$$

For proving (6.6) and (6.7), we shall prove

$$f(w, x_0(w)) > g(w - 2, x_0(w)) \text{ with } x_0(w) := \begin{cases} -4, & \text{if } w \text{ is even} \\ -7, & \text{if } w \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$f(w, y_0) < g(w - 2, y_0) \text{ with } y_0 > 0. \tag{6.9}$$

From Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8, we have

$$\frac{\ell_w}{(24\alpha)^{\lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor} w} \leq g_w \leq \frac{u_w}{(24\alpha)^{\lfloor \frac{w}{2} \rfloor} w}$$

with

$$\ell_w := \begin{cases} -\mu_1, & \text{if } w \text{ is odd} \\ -1, & \text{if } w \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } u_w := \begin{cases} -\mu_2, & \text{if } w \text{ is odd} \\ 2\nu, & \text{if } w \text{ is even} \end{cases},$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are as in Lemma 5.9 and ν as in Definition 6.1. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} f(w, x_0) &= \sum_{u=w-2}^{w-1} g_u \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^u + \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w \\ &\geq \frac{\ell_{w-2}}{(24\alpha)^{\lfloor \frac{w-2}{2} \rfloor} (w-2)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-2} + \frac{\ell_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\lfloor \frac{w-1}{2} \rfloor} (w-1)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (6.8), it is enough to prove

$$\frac{\ell_{w-2}}{w-2} + \frac{\ell_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w} \frac{1}{n} > \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\delta_w} (w-2)}, \tag{6.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_w &= \left\lfloor \frac{w-1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{w-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w \text{ is even} \\ 1, & \text{if } w \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \\ \beta_w &= \left\lceil \frac{w}{2} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{w-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w \text{ is even} \\ 2, & \text{if } w \text{ is odd} \end{cases}, \\ \text{and } \delta_w &= \left\lceil \frac{w-2}{2} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{w-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w \text{ is even} \\ 1, & \text{if } w \text{ is odd} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (6.10) is equivalent to

$$\left(\ell_{w-2} - \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w}}\right) \frac{1}{w-2} > -\frac{\ell_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\delta_w} (w-1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w} \frac{1}{n},$$

which is implied by

$$\left(\ell_{w-2} - \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w}}\right) \frac{1}{w-2} > -\left(\frac{\ell_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-1)} + \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{6.11}$$

since $\delta_w = \alpha_w$, $x_0 < 0$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Inequality (6.11) is equivalent to

$$n \geq \left\lceil \frac{(w-2)^2 \left(\frac{\ell_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-1)} + \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w}\right)^2}{\left(\ell_{w-2} - \frac{x_0}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w}}\right)^2} \right\rceil =: N_1(w, x_0).$$

We checked with Mathematica that $N_1(w, x_0(w)) \leq 1$; see the Appendix, Sect. 3.

Similarly to above, for $y_0 > 0$ one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(w, y_0) &= \sum_{u=w-2}^{w-1} g_u \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^u + \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w \\
 &\leq \frac{u_{w-2}}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w-2}{2} \rceil} (w-2)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-2} + \frac{u_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w-1}{2} \rceil} (w-1)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-1} \\
 &\quad + \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (6.9), it is enough to show

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{u_{w-2}}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w-2}{2} \rceil} (w-2)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-2} + \frac{u_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w-1}{2} \rceil} (w-1)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-1} \\
 &\quad + \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w}{2} \rceil} w} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^w < \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{w-2}{2} \rceil} (w-2)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{w-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality can be rewritten as the following equivalent inequality,

$$\frac{u_{w-2}}{w-2} + \frac{u_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w} \frac{1}{n} < \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-2)},$$

which is implied by

$$\left(\frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w}} - u_{w-2}\right) \frac{1}{w-2} > \left(\frac{u_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-1)} + \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{6.12}$$

since $y_0 > 0$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \frac{1}{n}$. Inequality (6.12) is equivalent to

$$n \geq \left\lceil \frac{(w-2)^2 \left(\frac{u_{w-1}}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w} (w-1)} + \frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\beta_w} w}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{y_0}{(24\alpha)^{\alpha_w}} - u_{w-2}\right)^2} \right\rceil =: N_2(w, y_0).$$

We checked using Mathematica that $N_2(w, y_0) \leq 1$ for all $y_0 \geq 1$; see the Appendix, Sect. 3.

We have checked with Mathematica that (6.3) holds for $m \in \{2, \dots, 10\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g(2m - 2) < n \leq g(2m). \tag{6.13}$$

Now (6.3) is true for $m = 10$ and $n > g(2m)$. Next, assume that (6.3) is true for $m = N$ with $2 \leq N \leq 10$ and $n > g(2N)$. Then, as shown above, (6.3) is true for $m = N - 1$ if $n > g(2N)$. By (6.13), (6.3) is true for $m = N - 1$ if $g(2N - 2) < n \leq g(2N)$.

This implies that (6.3) is true for $m = N - 1$ and $n > g(2N - 2)$. Hence the result follows inductively. The proof of (6.4) is done analogously. \square

Finally, we are put into the position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We apply (6.3) in Theorem 6.6, with $m = 1$. Then for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\log 4\sqrt{3} - \log n + \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \sqrt{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{144} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{2}{24\alpha}\frac{1}{n} \\
 & < \log p(n) < -\log 4\sqrt{3} - \log n + \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \sqrt{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{144} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{24\alpha}\frac{1}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\sqrt{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{144} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right) = 0.44\dots$ finishes the proof. \square

7 An application to Chen–DeSalvo–Pak log concavity result

In 2010 at FPSAC [3], William Chen conjectured that $\{p(n)\}_{n \geq 26}$ is log-concave and that for $n \geq 1$,

$$p(n)^2 < \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)p(n - 1)p(n + 1). \tag{7.1}$$

DeSalvo and Pak [6] proved these two conjectures. Moreover, they refined (7.1) by proposing the following conjecture:

$$p(n)^2 < \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}}\right)p(n - 1)p(n + 1), \quad n \geq 45. \tag{7.2}$$

Chen, Wang, and Xie [2] gave an affirmative answer to (7.2). In this section, using Theorem 6.6, we continue this research by obtaining the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)p(n - 1)p(n + 1) \\
 & < p(n)^2 < \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}}\right)p(n - 1)p(n + 1);
 \end{aligned}$$

for a more precise statement see Theorem 7.6. Note that the right inequality is just (7.2), but we give here our proof in order to show that, alternatively, one can obtain this from Theorem 6.6. In order to achieve our goal, we also need to prove the Lemmas 7.3 to 7.5 in this section. These lemmas deal with estimating the tail of an infinite series involving standard binomial coefficients.

Proposition 7.1 For $s \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\binom{-\frac{2s-1}{2}}{k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{4^k} \frac{(2s+2k-2)_{s+k-1}}{(2s-2)_{s-1}}$$

and

$$\binom{-s}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{s+k-1}{s-1}.$$

Proof By simplifying quotients formed by taking each expression in $k+1$ divided by the original expression in k . \square

Lemma 7.2 For $k, m \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$,

$$\binom{s-1+m+k}{s-1} \leq \binom{s-1+m}{s-1} s^k. \quad (7.3)$$

Proof From

$$\binom{s-1+m+k}{s-1} = \frac{(s-1+m+k)!}{(s-1)!(m+k)!} = \binom{s-1+m}{s-1} \frac{(s+m) \cdots (s+m+k-1)}{(m+1) \cdots (m+k)}$$

we have $\frac{s+m+j}{m+j+1} \leq s$ for each $0 \leq j \leq k-1$; this is because

$$s+m+j \leq s(m+j+1) \Leftrightarrow m(s-1) + j(s-1) \geq 0.$$

This proves (7.3). \square

Lemma 7.3 For $n, s \geq 1$, $m \geq 0$, and $n > 2s$ let

$$b_{m,n}(s) := \frac{4\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{s+m-1}} \binom{s+m-1}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^m},$$

then

$$-b_{m,n}(s) < \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2s-1}{2}}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} < b_{m,n}(s) \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$0 < \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2s-1}{2}}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} < b_{m,n}(s). \quad (7.5)$$

Proof For $s \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2s-1}{2}}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{4^k} \frac{\binom{2s+2k-2}{s+k-1} \binom{s+k-1}{s-1}}{\binom{2s-2}{s-1}} \frac{1}{n^k} \right| \text{ (by Proposition 7.1)} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \frac{\binom{2s+2k-2}{s+k-1} \binom{s+k-1}{s-1}}{\binom{2s-2}{s-1}} \frac{1}{n^k} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{2\sqrt{s-1}}{\sqrt{\pi(s+k-1)}} \binom{s+k-1}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^k} \left(\text{using } \frac{4^n}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \binom{2n}{n} \leq \frac{4^n}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \right) \\
 &< \frac{2\sqrt{s-1}}{\sqrt{s+m-1}} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{s-1+k}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^k} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\text{using } \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} < 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+k-1}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+m-1}} \text{ for all } k \geq m \right) \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{s-1}}{\sqrt{s+m-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s-1+m+k}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^{m+k}} \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{s-1}}{\sqrt{s+m-1}} \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s-1+m+k}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Lemma 7.2 to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2s-1}{2}}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \right| &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{s-1}}{\sqrt{s-1+m}} \frac{1}{n^m} \binom{s-1+m}{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{n^k} \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{s-1}}{\sqrt{s+m-1}} \binom{s-1+m}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^m} \frac{n}{n-s} < b_{m,n}(s),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the latter inequality is by $n > 2s$. This proves (7.4). Moreover, the bound we obtained also works for

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \frac{\binom{2s+2k-2}{s+k-1} \binom{s+k-1}{s-1}}{\binom{2s-2}{s-1}} \frac{1}{n^k},$$

because this term showed up along the way in the proof of the previous case. Hence applying Proposition 7.1 implies (7.5). □

Lemma 7.4 For $n, s \geq 1, m \geq 0$, and $n > 2s$ let

$$\beta_{m,n}(s) := \frac{2}{n^m} \binom{s+m-1}{s-1},$$

then

$$-\beta_{m,n}(s) < \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-s}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} < \beta_{m,n}(s) \tag{7.6}$$

and

$$0 < \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-s}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} < \beta_{m,n}(s). \quad (7.7)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{-s}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{s+k-1}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^k} \right| \quad (\text{by Proposition 7.1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{s+k-1}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+k-1+m}{s-1} \frac{1}{n^k} \\ &< \frac{1}{n^m} \binom{s-1+m}{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{n^k} \quad (\text{by Lemma 7.2}), \end{aligned}$$

and geometric series summation implies (7.6). The proof of (7.7) is analogous. \square

Finally, we need another similar lemma which is easy to prove.

Lemma 7.5 For $m, n, s \geq 1$ and $n > 2s$ let

$$c_{m,n}(s) := \frac{2 s^m}{m n^m}.$$

Then

$$-c_{m,n}(s) < \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} s^k}{k n^k} < c_{m,n}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad -c_{m,n}(s) < -\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1 s^k}{k n^k} < 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{c_{m,n}(s)}{\sqrt{m}} &< \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{s^k}{n^k} < \frac{c_{m,n}(s)}{\sqrt{m}} \quad \text{and} \\ -\frac{c_{m,n}(s)}{\sqrt{m}} &< \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{(-1)^k s^k}{n^k} < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

The following theorem was announced in the abstract; its proof is the goal of this section. To arrive at the intermediate inequality (7.13), we need our main result, Theorem 6.6. For the remainder of the proof, one spends some time on simplifying (7.13) in order to arrive at the desired form. In order to do, one needs the Lemmas 7.3 to 7.5 which we have proven above in this section.

Theorem 7.6 For $n \geq 45$,

$$p(n)^2 < \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}}\right)p(n-1)p(n+1),$$

and for $n \geq 120$

$$p(n)^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)p(n-1)p(n+1).$$

Proof We set $m = 3$ in the first equation of Theorem 6.6, which gives for all $n \geq \lceil g(6) \rceil$ that

$$\underbrace{P_n(5) - \frac{2}{3(24\alpha)^3} \frac{1}{n^3}}_{=:l(n)} < \log p(n) < \underbrace{P_n(5) + \frac{1}{3(24\alpha)^3} \frac{1}{n^3}}_{=:u(n)},$$

using the notation from Definition 6.4. This inequality has the form

$$l(n) < \log p(n) < u(n). \tag{7.9}$$

By substituting n by $n + 1$ and multiplying by -1 into (7.9) we obtain

$$-u(n+1) < -\log p(n+1) < -l(n+1), \tag{7.10}$$

and by substituting n by $n - 1$ and multiplying by -1 again into (7.9) gives

$$-u(n-1) < -\log p(n-1) < -l(n-1). \tag{7.11}$$

Multiplying (7.9) by 2, and by adding (7.10) and (7.11), results in

$$\begin{aligned} 2l(n) - u(n-1) - u(n+1) &< 2\log p(n) - \log p(n-1) - \log p(n+1) \\ &< 2u(n) - l(n-1) - l(n+1). \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(n) &:= \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right), \\ A_2(n) &:= -\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $t \geq 3$

$$A_t(n) := -\frac{g_{t-2}}{(\sqrt{n})^{t-2}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{t-2}{2}}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{t-2}{2}}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \right),$$

where g_n is as in Definition 5.1. Then from (7.12), by substituting $l(n)$ and $u(n)$ according to their definitions, we obtain

$$-\frac{7}{(24\alpha)^3} \frac{1}{n^3} + \sum_{t=1}^7 A_t(n) < 2 \log p(n) - \log p(n-1) - \log p(n+1) \\ < \sum_{t=1}^7 A_t(n) + \frac{8}{(24\alpha)^3} \frac{1}{n^3},$$

which implies

$$-\frac{3}{(24\alpha)^3} \frac{1}{n^3} + \sum_{t=1}^7 A_t(n) < 2 \log p(n) - \log p(n-1) - \log p(n+1) \\ < \sum_{t=1}^7 A_t(n) + \frac{3}{(24\alpha)^3} \frac{1}{n^3}. \quad (7.13)$$

Finally, we establish bounds for the $A_t(n)$. For $t = 1$,

$$A_1(n) = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) = -\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{2n^4} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{kn^k} - \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \frac{1}{kn^k}.$$

Taking $s = 1$ and $m = 5$ in Lemma 7.5 we have

$$-\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{2n^4} - \frac{4}{5n^5} < A_1(n) < -\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{2n^4} + \frac{2}{5n^5}$$

which implies

$$-\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{2}{n^3} < A_1(n) < -\frac{1}{n^2}. \quad (7.14)$$

For $t = 2$, note that

$$A_2(n) = -\pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} \left(-\frac{5}{64n^4} - \frac{1}{4n^3} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \right).$$

Applying Lemma 7.5, (7.8), with $s = 1$ and $m = 5$ gives

$$-\pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{4n^2} - \frac{5}{64n^4} - \frac{4}{5\sqrt{5}n^5} \right) \\ < A_2(n) < -\pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{4n^2} - \frac{5}{64n^4} + \frac{2}{5\sqrt{5}n^5} \right),$$

which implies

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} < A_2(n) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} + \frac{2}{n^{5/2}}. \quad (7.15)$$

Next we consider odd indices; i.e., for $1 \leq t \leq 3$,

$$A_{2t+1}(n) = -\frac{g_{2t-1}}{(\sqrt{n})^{2t-1}} \left(\frac{\binom{2t-1}{2}}{n^2} \right)_2 + \frac{\binom{2t-1}{4}}{12n^4} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2t-1}{2}}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2t-1}{2}}{k} \frac{1}{n^k},$$

where $(a)_k := a(a-1)\dots(a-k+1)$. Applying Lemma 7.3 with $s = t$ and $m = 5$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{g_{2t-1}}{(\sqrt{n})^{2t-1}} \left(\frac{\binom{2t-1}{2}}{n^2} \right)_2 + \frac{\binom{2t-1}{4}}{12n^4} - \frac{4\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t+4}} \binom{t+4}{t-1} \frac{1}{n^5} < A_{2t+1}(n) \\ & < -\frac{g_{2t-1}}{(\sqrt{n})^{2t-1}} \left(\frac{\binom{2t-1}{2}}{n^2} \right)_2 + \frac{\binom{2t-1}{4}}{12n^4} + \frac{8\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{t+4}} \binom{t+4}{t-1} \frac{1}{n^5}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$-\frac{3g_1}{4n^{5/2}} + \frac{4g_1}{\sqrt{5}n^3} < A_3(n) < -\frac{5g_1}{n^{5/2}}, \tag{7.16}$$

$$\frac{4\sqrt{6}g_3}{n^3} < A_5(n) < -\frac{29g_3}{n^{5/2}}, \tag{7.17}$$

$$\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{7}} \binom{7}{2} \frac{g_5}{n^3} < A_7(n) < -\frac{117g_5}{n^{5/2}}. \tag{7.18}$$

Finally, we consider even indices; i.e., for $1 \leq t \leq 2$,

$$A_{2t+2}(n) = -\frac{g_{2t}}{(\sqrt{n})^{2t}} \left(\frac{\binom{-2t}{2}}{n^2} \right)_2 + \frac{\binom{-2t}{4}}{12n^4} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2t}{2}}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} + \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{2t}{2}}{k} \frac{1}{n^k}.$$

Applying Lemma 7.4 with $s = t$ and $m = 5$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\left(\frac{\binom{-t}{2}}{n^2} + \frac{\binom{-t}{4}}{12n^4} - \frac{2}{n^5} \binom{t+4}{t-1} \right) \frac{g_{2t}}{(\sqrt{n})^{2t}} < A_{2t+2}(n) \\ & < -\left(\frac{\binom{-t}{2}}{n^2} + \frac{\binom{-t}{4}}{12n^4} + \frac{4}{n^5} \binom{t+4}{t-1} \right) \frac{g_{2t}}{(\sqrt{n})^{2t}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this,

$$\frac{2g_2}{n^3} < A_4(n) < -\frac{8g_2}{n^{5/2}}, \quad (7.19)$$

$$\frac{12g_4}{n^3} < A_6(n) < -\frac{40g_4}{n^{5/2}}. \quad (7.20)$$

Now, substituting (7.14) to (7.20) into (7.13) gives,

$$L(n) < 2 \log p(n) - \log p(n-1) - \log p(n+1) < U(n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L(n) := & \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{3g_1}{4} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} + \left(-2 + \frac{4g_1}{\sqrt{5}} + 2g_2 + 4\sqrt{6}g_3 + 12g_4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{7}} \binom{7}{2} g_5 - \frac{3}{(24\alpha)^3} \right) \frac{1}{n^3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U(n) := \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \left(2 - 5g_1 - 8g_2 - 29g_3 - 40g_4 - 117g_5 + \frac{3}{(24\alpha)^3} \right) \frac{1}{n^{5/2}}.$$

By using numerical estimations of the coefficient of $1/n^{5/2}$ and of the coefficient of $1/n^3$ in the lower bound, and of the coefficient of $1/n^{5/2}$ in the upper bound above, we are led to

$$L_1(n) < 2 \log p(n) - \log p(n-1) - \log p(n+1) < U_1(n),$$

with

$$L_1(n) := \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} - \frac{4}{n^3} \quad \text{and} \quad U_1(n) := \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{7}{n^{5/2}}.$$

Next we observe that

$$-\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{7}{n^{5/2}} < -\frac{\pi^2}{48n^3} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 50$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{n^{5/2}} - \frac{4}{n^3} > -\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 257.$$

Therefore, for $n \geq 257$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} - \frac{1}{n^2} &< 2 \log p(n) - \log p(n - 1) - \log p(n + 1) \\ &< \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} - \frac{\pi^2}{48n^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

Because of $\log(1 + x) < x$ for $x > 0$, we have

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) < \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} - \frac{1}{n^2}, \tag{7.22}$$

and because of $x - \frac{x^2}{2} < \log(1 + x)$ for all $x > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} - \frac{\pi^2}{48n^3} < \log\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}}\right). \tag{7.23}$$

Applying (7.22) and (7.23) to (7.21) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\log\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ &< 2 \log p(n) - \log p(n - 1) - \log p(n + 1) \\ &< \log\left(1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24n^{3/2}}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which after exponentiation gives the desired result for $n \geq 257$. To extend the proofs of the statements for $n \geq 45$, resp. $n \geq 120$, is done by straight-forward numerics. □

Acknowledgements We are very thankful to the anonymous referee who gave us several valuable suggestions to improve this work.

Funding Open access funding provided by Austrian Science Fund (FWF).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Appendix A

A. 1 Methods to discover the results

We will describe very briefly the mathematical experiments used in this research. We want to point out that without these experiments, the theoretical results of this paper would never have been found. For this reason we feel that it is important to

give at least a brief sketch of what led us to the final formulas and how we were led to conjecture special cases of related asymptotics. The final asymptotic formulas can easily be derived from our main result, Theorem 6.6 presented in Sect. 6.

In Sect. 3 we proved the inequality

$$\frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) < p(n) < \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}}\right), \tag{7.24}$$

which was found by mathematical experiments. Our proof uses methods similar to those used in [6] and [1]. In our attempt to prove the following formula for the asymptotics of $\log p(n)$,

$$\log p(n) \sim \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \log n - \log(4\sqrt{3}) - \frac{0.44\dots}{\sqrt{n}}, \tag{7.25}$$

we first tried to prove the log-version of (7.24). However, we soon realized that this inequality is not sharp enough in order to prove (7.25). We noted that the inequality for $p(n)$ in [1, Lemma 2.2] can be used instead. This formula says that for $n \geq 1206$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} - \frac{1}{\mu(n)^{10}}\right) \\ & < p(n) < \frac{\sqrt{12}e^{\mu(n)}}{24n - 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu(n)} + \frac{1}{\mu(n)^{10}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.26}$$

where $\mu(n) := \frac{\pi}{6}\sqrt{24n - 1}$. We observed that after taking the log of both sides, with some extra work, (7.25) can be proven. When we saw the asymptotics (1.3), discovered by Schoenfeld and Kotesovec, we naturally wondered whether these asymptotics can also be proven by taking the log of an appropriate inequality. We observed that (7.26) is enough also to prove these asymptotics, and we observed that (7.26) can be used to prove an even more refined asymptotic formula that takes the form

$$\log p(n) \sim \pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}} - \log n - \log 4\sqrt{3} + b_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + b_2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 + \dots + b_9\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^9,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{\pi\sqrt{6}}{2^4 3^2} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} \approx -0.44328\dots, \\ b_2 &= \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3} - \frac{3}{2^2 \pi^2} \approx -0.034324\dots, \\ b_3 &= -\frac{\pi\sqrt{6}}{2^9 3^3} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2^5 3\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2^2 \pi^3} \approx -0.028428\dots, \\ b_4 &= \frac{1}{2^7 3^2} - \frac{1}{2^5 \pi^2} - \frac{9}{2^4 \pi^4} \approx -0.0080728\dots, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_5 &= -\frac{\pi\sqrt{6}}{2^{13}3^4} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2^{10}3\pi} - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2^6\pi^3} - \frac{9\sqrt{6}}{5 \cdot 8\pi^5} \approx -0.0033007\dots, \\
 b_6 &= \frac{1}{2^93^4} - \frac{1}{2^83\pi^2} - \frac{3}{2^6\pi^4} - \frac{9}{2^4\pi^6} \approx -0.001174124716\dots, \\
 b_7 &= -\frac{5\pi\sqrt{6}}{2^{19}3^5} - \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{2^{14}3^3\pi} - \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{2^{11}3\pi^3} - \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2^7\pi^5} - \frac{3^3\sqrt{6}}{2^47\pi^7} \approx -0.00045651\dots, \\
 b_8 &= \frac{1}{2^{14}3^4} - \frac{1}{2^{11}3^2\pi^2} - \frac{3}{2^{10}\pi^4} - \frac{3^{22}}{2^7\pi^6} - \frac{3^4}{2^7\pi^8} \approx -0.00017464\dots, \\
 b_9 &= -\frac{7\pi\sqrt{6}}{2^{23}3^6} - \frac{35\sqrt{6}}{2^{20}3^4\pi} - \frac{35\sqrt{6}}{2^{15}3^3\pi^3} - \frac{7\sqrt{6}}{2^{12}\pi^5} - \frac{9\sqrt{6}}{2^8\pi^7} - \frac{9\sqrt{6}}{2^5\pi^9} \approx -0.000068757\dots, \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

Of course we wondered whether one can get an even better formula. The only obstacle that seemed to limit us was the 10 in the formula (7.26) above. This led us to look into the details of the proof of (7.26), and we observed that the 10 can be replaced by a k . This then led us to the discovery of the complete asymptotics. That is, we also got b_{10}, b_{11}, \dots , etc. At this point we still were not fully satisfied. Even though we observed that the formula (7.26) could be generalized, it was not a proper generalization because we could not say explicitly for which precise range of n the generalized inequality (4.3) for $p(n)$ holds. We only could say that there is some sufficiently big constant $C(k)$ such that (4.3) for all $n > C(k)$.

We felt that this is not a proper generalization because (7.26) gives $C(10)$ explicitly, namely $C(10) = 1206$. After some work, we realized that we can obtain an explicit expression for $C(k)$, which is very close to the optimal value, according to mathematical experiments. This $C(k)$ is our $g(k)$ of Sect. 4 where we gave a generalization of (7.26).

Because (7.26) could be generalized, we suspected that also (7.24) could be generalized. The difference between the two inequalities is that (7.24) is in terms of \sqrt{n} , while (7.26) is in terms of $\mu(n)$. We again took the log of both sides of the generalized version of (7.26) and aimed not only at getting a refined asymptotic but rather a new type of inequality. This was achieved in Sect. 6. However, even after we found a preliminary version of Theorem 6.6, still something was missing. We wondered whether we can guarantee that this inequality is optimal in some sense, and not overestimated. After various experiments, we got control in the form (6.3) and (6.4), where the error term in the inequality cannot be improved to a smaller integer in the numerator—the same time keeping the statement unaltered. This is the point where we stopped.

A. 2 Discovery of Kotesovec’s formula (1.5) by regression analysis

We used the procedure shown in Fig. 3 to compute the sequence $a(n)$ defined in (1.4). This procedure works fine for computing $a(n)$ in the range $1 \leq n \leq 2^{15}$. The computation took 24 h on a notebook computer with Intel Core i7 CPU.

To find the approximate relation between $\log a(n)$, \sqrt{n} , and $\log(n)$, substitute the values $n = 2^k, 2^{k+1}, 2^{k+2}$ into the target expression,

$$\log a(n) \sim \alpha \cdot \sqrt{n} - \beta \cdot \log(n) - \log(\gamma),$$

to obtain a system with three equations:

$$\begin{cases} \log_2 a(2^k) = a_k \log_2(e) \cdot \sqrt{2^k} - b_k \cdot k - c_k + \varepsilon_k, \\ \log_2 a(2^{k+1}) = a_k \log_2(e) \cdot \sqrt{2^{k+1}} - b_k \cdot (k + 1) - c_k + \varepsilon_{k+1}, \\ \log_2 a(2^{k+2}) = a_k \log_2(e) \cdot \sqrt{2^{k+2}} - b_k \cdot (k + 2) - c_k + \varepsilon_{k+2}, \end{cases}$$

and solve it successively for k from 1 to 13. Let (a_k, b_k, c_k) be the solution of the above equation system under the assumption $\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_{k+1} = \varepsilon_{k+2} = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, 13\}$. The numerical values of the (a_k, b_k, c_k) are presented in Fig. 4. In the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{\log_2 a(2^k) + \log_2 a(2^{k+2}) - 2 \log_2 a(2^{k+1})}{(3 - 2\sqrt{2})\sqrt{2^k} \log_2(e)} \rightarrow \alpha, \\ b_k &= \frac{\log_2 a(2^k) + \log_2 a(2^{k+2}) - 2 \log_2 a(2^{k+1})}{\sqrt{2} - 1} - \{\log_2 a(2^{k+1}) - \log_2 a(2^k)\} \rightarrow \beta, \\ c_k &= \frac{2^{a_k \log_2(e) \sqrt{2^k} - k} b_k}{a(2^k)} \rightarrow \log_2(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The numerical values in Fig. 4 clearly support the precise values

$$\alpha = \pi, \quad \beta = \frac{5}{4}, \quad \gamma = 2^3 = 8.$$

Note that we have used a sub-sequence $a(2^k), k = 1, 2, \dots, 15$. The regression analysis to obtain the numerical data for Figs. 1 and 2 are rather routine, so we will not list any further details here.

A. 3 Mathematica computations used in the proof of Theorem 6.6

We present Mathematica computations needed in the proof of Theorem 6.6. Note that in order to complete the proof of Theorem 6.6 we needed to bound four terms by 1; however, in each inequality proven with Mathematica as shown below, we checked

```
with(combinat);
rt := proc (n) local rtn, k;
  rtn := combinat:-numbpart(n);
  for k to (1/2)*n do
    rtn := rtn+combinat:-numbpart(k)*combinat:-numbpart(n-2*k)
  end do;
  rtn
end proc
```

Fig. 3 Procedure for computing the number of cubic partitions of n

$a_1 = 2.856681587,$	$b_1 = 0.829251071,$	$c_1 = 3.414213592,$
$a_2 = 3.104034810,$	$b_2 = 1.124879663,$	$c_2 = 3.936666941,$
$a_3 = 3.138359816,$	$b_3 = 1.182896591,$	$c_3 = 3.502681525,$
$a_4 = 3.134634608,$	$b_4 = 1.173992098,$	$c_4 = 3.516802205,$
$a_5 = 3.133095462,$	$b_5 = 1.168789090,$	$c_5 = 3.530255390,$
$a_6 = 3.135881324,$	$b_6 = 1.182107364,$	$c_6 = 3.482499147,$
$a_7 = 3.138560309,$	$b_7 = 1.200219526,$	$c_7 = 3.399441064,$
$a_8 = 3.140063351,$	$b_8 = 1.214590204,$	$c_8 = 3.319170509,$
$a_9 = 3.140825268,$	$b_9 = 1.224893620,$	$c_9 = 3.251316705,$
$a_{10} = 3.141207944,$	$b_{10} = 1.232211776,$	$c_{10} = 3.195805627,$
$a_{11} = 3.141399944,$	$b_{11} = 1.237403601,$	$c_{11} = 3.151230912,$
$a_{12} = 3.141496152,$	$b_{12} = 1.241082894,$	$c_{12} = 3.115957155,$
$a_{13} = 3.141544378,$	$b_{13} = 1.243690699,$	$c_{13} = 3.088371824.$

Fig. 4 Numerical values of the (a_k, b_k, c_k)

that each inequality holds in fact for bounds smaller than 1, namely $\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{26},$ and $\frac{1}{26}$. The Mathematica computations are based on Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition [5].

$$\text{In[1]:= } a := \frac{\pi^2}{36 + \pi^2}$$

$$\text{In[2]:= } (\text{mu1}, \text{mu2}, \text{nu}) := \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{72} + 1 + \frac{a}{2(1-a)} \right), \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{a}{2} \right), 3\frac{a}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$\text{In[3]:= } \text{CylindricalDecomposition}\left[\left((2w-2)^2 \frac{\left(\frac{-\mu 1}{2w-1} + \frac{-x}{(24a)2w}\right)^2}{(-1+x)^2} < \frac{1}{5}, w \geq 1, x \geq 4\right), \{w, x\}\right]$$

$$\text{Out[3]= } w \geq 1 \ \&\& \ x \geq 4$$

$$\text{In[4]:= } \text{CylindricalDecomposition}\left[\left(\left(\frac{2w-3}{x-24a\text{mu1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2w-2} + \frac{x}{24a(2w-1)}\right)\right)^2 < \frac{1}{3}, w \geq 2, x \geq 7\right), \{w, x\}\right]$$

$$\text{Out[4]= } w \geq 2 \ \&\& \ x \geq 7$$

$$\text{In[5]:= } \text{CylindricalDecomposition}\left[\left((2w-2) \frac{\left(\frac{-\text{mu2}}{2w-1} + \frac{y}{24a(2w)}\right)}{y-2\text{nu}}\right)^2 < \frac{1}{26}, w \geq 1, y \geq 1\right), \{w, y\}\right]$$

$$\text{Out[5]= } w \geq 1 \ \&\& \ y \geq 1$$

$$\text{In[6]:= } \text{CylindricalDecomposition}\left[\left(\left(\frac{2w-3}{y+24a\text{mu2}}\right)\left(\frac{2\text{nu}}{2w-2} + \frac{y}{24a(2w-1)}\right)\right)^2 < \frac{1}{26}, w \geq 2, y \geq 1\right), \{w, y\}\right]$$

$$\text{Out[6]= } w \geq 2 \ \&\& \ y \geq 1$$

References

1. Chen, W.Y.C., Jia, D.X.Q., Wang, L.X.: Higher order Turán inequalities for the partition function. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **372**(3), 2143–2165 (2019)

2. Chen, W.Y.C., Wang, L.X., Xie, G.Y.B.: Finite differences of the logarithm of the partition function. *Math. Comput.* **85**, 825–847 (2016)
3. Chen, W.Y.C.: Recent developments on log-concavity and q-log-concavity of combinatorial polynomials. In: 22nd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2010). <http://www.billchen.org/talks/2010-FPSAC.pdf> (2010)
4. Chen, W.Y.C., Lin, B.L.S.: Congruences for the number of cubic partitions derived from modular forms. <https://archive.org/details/arxiv-0910.1263>
5. Collins, G.E.: Quantifier elimination for the elementary theory of real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition. *Lecture Notes Comput. Sci.* **33**, 134–183 (1975)
6. DeSalvo, S., Pak, I.: Log-concavity of the partition function. *Ramanujan J.* **38**(1), 61–73 (2015)
7. Erdős, P.: On an elementary proof of some asymptotic formulas in the theory of partitions. *Ann. Math.* **43**(2), 437–450 (1942). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968802>
8. Guy, R.K.: Letter to Morris Newman, Aug 21 1986, concerning A2513. <https://oeis.org/A002513/a002513.pdf>
9. Hardy, G.H., Ramanujan, S.: Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **17**, 75–115 (1918)
10. Kotesovec, V.: A method of finding the asymptotics of q -series based on the convolution of generating functions. *Arxiv*: 1509.08708
11. Lehmer, D.H.: On the remainders and convergence of the series for the partition function. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **46**, 362–373 (1939)
12. OEIS Foundation Inc.: The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org> (2021)
13. Schneider, C.: Symbolic summation assists combinatorics. *Sem. Lothar. Comb.* **56**, 1–36 (2007)
14. Shen, M., Yang, Z., Zeng, Z.: Some applications of sparse interpolation via compressive sensing. MICA2016, Milestones in computer algebra, July 16–18, Waterloo. https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/conferences/mica2016/MICA_abstract.pdf (2016)
15. Uspensky, J.V.: Les expressions asymptotiques des fonctions numériques, coïncidant dans les problèmes de dislocation des nombres en composés. *Bulletin de l'Académie des Sciences de Russie, VI série* **14**, 199–218 (1920)
16. Zeng, W.-H.: Some properties of Morris Newman sequence. Thesis for Bachelor Degree in Mathematics. East China Normal University, Shanghai, China (2016)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.