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#### Abstract

We obtain a condition describing when the quasimodular forms given by the BlochOkounkov theorem as $q$-brackets of certain functions on partitions are actually modular. This condition involves the kernel of an operator $\Delta$. We describe an explicit basis for this kernel, which is very similar to the space of classical harmonic polynomials.
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## 1 Introduction

Given a family of quasimodular forms, the question which of its members are modular often has an interesting answer. For example, consider the family of theta series

$$
\theta_{P}(\tau)=\sum_{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}} P(\underline{x}) q^{x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{r}^{2}} \quad\left(q=e^{2 \pi i \tau}\right)
$$

given by all homogeneous polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$. The quasimodular form $\theta_{P}$ is modular if and only if $P$ is harmonic (i.e. $P \in \operatorname{ker} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$ ) [10]. (As quasimodular forms were not yet defined, Schoeneberg only showed that $\theta_{P}$ is modular if $P$ is harmonic. However, for every polynomial $P$ it follows that $\theta_{P}$ is quasimodular by decomposing P as in Formula (1).) Also, for every two modular forms $f, g$, one can consider the linear combination of products of derivatives of $f$ and $g$ given by

[^0]$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{r} f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)} \quad\left(a_{r} \in \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

This linear combination is a quasimodular form which is modular precisely if it is a multiple of the Rankin-Cohen bracket $[f, g]_{n}[4,9]$. In this paper, we provide a condition to decide which member of the family of quasimodular forms provided by the Bloch-Okounkov theorem is modular. Let $\mathscr{P}$ denote the set of all partitions of integers and $|\lambda|$ denote the integer that $\lambda$ is a partition of. Given a function $f: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, define the $q$-bracket of $f$ by

$$
\langle f\rangle_{q}:=\frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} f(\lambda) q^{|\lambda|}}{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} q^{|\lambda|}}
$$

The celebrated Bloch-Okounkov theorem states that for a certain family of functions $f: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ (called shifted symmetric polynomials and defined in Sect. 2) the $q$-brackets $\langle f\rangle_{q}$ are the $q$-expansions of quasimodular forms [2].

Besides being a wonderful result, the Bloch-Okounkov theorem has many applications in enumerative geometry. For example, a special case of the Bloch-Okounkov theorem was discovered by Dijkgraaf and provided with a mathematically rigorous proof by Kaneko and Zagier, implying that the generating series of simple Hurwitz numbers over a torus are quasimodular [5,7]. Also, in the computation of asymptotics of geometrical invariants, such as volumes of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials and Siegel-Veech constants, the Bloch-Okounkov theorem is applied [3,6].

Zagier gave a surprisingly short and elementary proof of the Bloch-Okounkov theorem [13]. A corollary of his work, which we discuss in Sect. 3, is the following proposition:

Proposition 1 There exists actions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S l}_{2}$ on both the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials $\Lambda^{*}$ and the algebra of quasimodular forms $\widetilde{M}$ such that the $q$-bracket $\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}: \Lambda^{*} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-equivariant.

The answer to the question in the title is provided by one of the operators $\Delta$ which defines this $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-action on $\Lambda^{*}$. Namely letting $\mathcal{H}=\left.\operatorname{ker} \Delta\right|_{\Lambda^{*}}$, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1 Let $f \in \Lambda^{*}$. Then $\langle f\rangle_{q}$ is modular if and only if $f=h+k$ with $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \operatorname{ker}\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$.

The last section of this article is devoted to describing the graded algebra $\mathcal{H}$. We call $\mathcal{H}$ the space of shifted symmetric harmonic polynomials, as the description of this space turns out to be very similar to the space of classical harmonic polynomials. Let $\mathcal{P}_{d}$ be the space of polynomials of degree $d$ in $m \geq 3$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$, let $\|x\|^{2}=\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}$, and recall that the space $\mathscr{H}_{d}$ of degree $d$ harmonic polynomials is given by ker $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}$. The main theorem of harmonic polynomials states that every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{d}$ can uniquely be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=h_{0}+\|x\|^{2} h_{1}+\ldots+\|x\|^{2 d^{\prime}} h_{d^{\prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h_{i} \in \mathscr{H}_{d-2 i}$ and $d^{\prime}=\lfloor d / 2\rfloor$. Define $K$, the Kelvin transform, and $D^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha$ an $m$-tuple of non-negative integers by

$$
f(x) \mapsto\|x\|^{2-m} f\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^{2}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad D^{\alpha}=\prod_{i} \frac{\partial_{i}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}} .
$$

An explicit basis for $\mathscr{H}_{d}$ is given by

$$
\left\{K D^{\alpha} K(1) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}, \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}=d, \alpha_{1} \leq 1\right\}
$$

see for example [1]. We prove the following analogous results for the space of shifted symmetric polynomials:

Theorem 2 For every $f \in \Lambda_{n}^{*}$ there exists unique $h_{i} \in \mathcal{H}_{n-2 i}\left(i=0,1, \ldots, n^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.n^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ such that

$$
f=h_{0}+Q_{2} h_{1}+\ldots+Q_{2}^{n^{\prime}} h_{n^{\prime}}
$$

where $Q_{2}$ is an element of $\Lambda_{2}^{*}$ given by $Q_{2}(\lambda)=|\lambda|-\frac{1}{24}$.
Theorem 3 The set

$$
\left\{\operatorname{pr} K \Delta_{\lambda} K(1) \mid \lambda \in \mathscr{P}(n), \text { all parts are } \geq 3\right\}
$$

is a vector space basis of $\mathcal{H}_{n}$, where $\mathrm{pr}, K$, and $\Delta_{\lambda}$ are defined by (4), Definition 4, respectively, Definition 6.

The action of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ given by Proposition 1 makes $\Lambda^{*}$ into an infinite-dimensional $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}-$ representation for which the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ are the lowest weight vectors. Theorem 2 is equivalent to the statement that $\Lambda^{*}$ is a direct sum of the (not necessarily irreducible) lowest weight modules

$$
V_{n}=\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_{2}^{m} \mathcal{H}_{n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

## 2 Shifted symmetric polynomials

Shifted symmetric polynomials were introduced by Okounkov and Olshanski as the following analogue of symmetric polynomials [8]. Let $\Lambda^{*}(m)$ be the space of rational polynomials in $m$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ which are shifted symmetric, i.e. invariant under the action of all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{m}$ given by $x_{i} \mapsto x_{\sigma(i)}+i-\sigma(i)$ (or more symmetrically $\left.x_{i}-i \mapsto x_{\sigma(i)}-\sigma(i)\right)$. Note that $\Lambda^{*}(m)$ is filtered by the degree of the polynomials. We have forgetful maps $\Lambda^{*}(m) \rightarrow \Lambda^{*}(m-1)$ given by $x_{m} \mapsto 0$, so that we can define the space of shifted symmetric polynomials $\Lambda^{*}$ as $\underset{m}{\lim _{m}} \Lambda^{*}(m)$ in the category of
filtered algebras. Considering a partition $\lambda$ as a non-increasing sequence $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of non-negative integers $\lambda_{i}$, we can interpret $\Lambda^{*}$ as being a subspace of all functions $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

One can find a concrete basis for this abstractly defined space by considering the generating series

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\lambda}(T):=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{\lambda_{i}-i+\frac{1}{2}} \in T^{1 / 2} \mathbb{Z}[T]\left[\left[T^{-1}\right]\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ (the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ turns out to be convenient for defining a grading on $\Lambda^{*}$ ). As $w_{\lambda}(T)$ converges for $T>1$ and equals

$$
\frac{1}{T^{1 / 2}-T^{-1 / 2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)}\left(T^{\lambda_{i}-i+\frac{1}{2}}-T^{-i+\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

one can define shifted symmetric polynomials $Q_{i}(\lambda)$ for $i \geq 0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_{i}(\lambda) z^{i-1}:=w_{\lambda}\left(e^{z}\right) \quad(0<|z|<2 \pi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first few shifted symmetric polynomials $Q_{i}$ are given by

$$
Q_{0}(\lambda)=1, \quad Q_{1}(\lambda)=0, \quad Q_{2}(\lambda)=|\lambda|-\frac{1}{24} .
$$

The $Q_{i}$ freely generate the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials, i.e. $\Lambda^{*}=$ $\mathbb{Q}\left[Q_{2}, Q_{3}, \ldots\right]$. It is believed that $\Lambda^{*}$ is maximal in the sense that for all $Q: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ with $Q \notin \Lambda^{*}$ it holds that $\left\langle\Lambda^{*}[Q]\right\rangle_{q} \nsubseteq \widetilde{M}$.

Remark 1 The space $\Lambda^{*}$ can equally well be defined in terms of the Frobenius coordinates. Given a partition with Frobenius coordinates $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)$, where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are the arm and leg lengths of the cells on the main diagonal, let

$$
C_{\lambda}=\left\{-b_{1}-\frac{1}{2}, \ldots,-b_{r}-\frac{1}{2}, a_{r}+\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
Q_{k}(\lambda)=\beta_{k}+\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{c \in C_{\lambda}} \operatorname{sgn}(c) c^{k-1}
$$

where $\beta_{k}$ is the constant given by

$$
\sum_{k \geq 0} \beta_{k} z^{k-1}=\frac{1}{2 \sinh (z / 2)}=w_{\emptyset}\left(e^{z}\right)
$$

We extend $\Lambda^{*}$ to an algebra where $Q_{1} \not \equiv 0$. Observe that a non-increasing sequence $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of integers corresponds to a partition precisely if it converges to 0 . If, however, it converges to an integer $n$, Eqs. (2) and (3) still define $Q_{k}(\lambda)$. In fact, in this case

$$
Q_{k}(\lambda)=\left(e^{n \partial}\right) Q_{k}(\lambda-n)
$$

by [13, Proposition 1] where $\partial Q_{0}=0, \partial Q_{k}=Q_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$, and $\lambda-n=$ ( $\lambda_{1}-n, \lambda_{2}-n, \ldots$ ) corresponds to a partition (i.e. converges to 0 ). In particular, $Q_{1}(\lambda)=n$ equals the number the sequence $\lambda$ converges to. We now define the Bloch-Okounkov ring $\mathcal{R}$ to be $\Lambda^{*}\left[Q_{1}\right]$, considered as a subspace of all functions from non-increasing eventually constant sequences of integers to $\mathbb{Q}$. It is convenient to work with $\mathcal{R}$ instead of $\Lambda^{*}$ to define the differential operators $\Delta$ and more generally $\Delta_{\lambda}$ later. Both on $\Lambda^{*}$ and $\mathcal{R}$, we define a weight grading by assigning to $Q_{i}$ weight $i$. Denote the projection map by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pr}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \Lambda^{*} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We extend $\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$ to $\mathcal{R}$.
The operator $E=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{m}}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ multiplies an element of $\mathcal{R}$ by its weight. Moreover, we consider the differential operators

$$
\boldsymbol{\partial}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{m+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{D}=\sum_{k, \ell \geq 0}\binom{k+\ell}{k} Q_{k+\ell} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Q_{k+1} \partial Q_{\ell+1}} .
$$

Let $\Delta=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathscr{D}-\partial^{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$
2 \Delta=\sum_{k, \ell \geq 0}\left(\binom{k+\ell}{k} Q_{k+\ell}-Q_{k} Q_{\ell}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Q_{k+1} \partial Q_{\ell+1}}-\sum_{k \geq 0} Q_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{k+2}}
$$

In the following (antisymmetric) table, the entry in the row of operator $A$ and column of operator $B$ denotes the commutator [ $A, B$ ], for proofs see [13, Lemma 3].

|  | $\Delta$ | $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ | $E$ | $Q_{1}$ | $Q_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta$ | 0 | 0 | $2 \Delta$ | 0 | $E-Q_{1} \partial-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ | 0 | 0 | $\partial$ | 1 | $Q_{1}$ |
| $E$ | $-2 \Delta$ | $-\partial$ | 0 | $Q_{1}$ | $2 Q_{2}$ |
| $Q_{1}$ | 0 | -1 | $-Q_{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $Q_{2}$ | $-E+Q_{1} \partial+\frac{1}{2}$ | $-Q_{1}$ | $-2 Q_{2}$ | 0 | 0 |

Definition 1 A triple $(X, Y, H)$ of operators is called an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple if

$$
[H, X]=2 X, \quad[H, Y]=-2 Y, \quad[Y, X]=H
$$

Let $\hat{Q}_{2}:=Q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}^{2}$ and $\hat{E}:=E-Q_{1} \partial-\frac{1}{2}$. The following result follows by a direct computation using the above table:
Proposition 2 The operators $\left(\hat{Q}_{2}, \Delta, \hat{E}\right)$ form an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple.
For later reference, we compute $\left[\Delta, Q_{2}^{n}\right]$. This could be done inductively by noting that $\left[\Delta, Q_{2}^{n}\right]=Q_{2}^{n-1}\left[\Delta, Q_{2}\right]+\left[\Delta, Q_{2}^{n-1}\right] Q_{2}$ and using the commutation relations in the above table. The proof below is a direct computation from the definition of $\Delta$.

Lemma 1 For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following relation holds

$$
\left[\Delta, Q_{2}^{n}\right]=-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{n-2}-n Q_{1} Q_{2}^{n-1} \partial+n Q_{2}^{n-1}\left(E+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)
$$

Proof Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], g \in \mathscr{R}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta(f g)=\Delta(f) g+\frac{\partial f}{\partial Q_{2}}\left(E g-Q_{1} \partial g\right)+f \Delta(g),  \tag{5}\\
& \Delta\left(Q_{2}^{n}\right)=n\left(n-\frac{3}{2}\right) Q_{2}^{n-1}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} Q_{2}^{n-2} Q_{1}^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5) and (6), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(Q_{2}^{n} g\right)= & \left(n\left(n-\frac{3}{2}\right) Q_{2}^{n-1}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{n-2}\right) g \\
& +n Q_{2}^{n-1}\left(E g-Q_{1} \partial g\right)+Q_{2}^{n} \Delta(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 An $\mathfrak{S l}_{2}$-equivariant mapping

The space of quasimodular forms for $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\tilde{M}=\mathbb{Q}[P, Q, R]$, where $P, Q$, and $R$ are the Eisenstein series of weight 2, 4, and 6, respectively (in Ramanujan's notation). We let $\widetilde{M}_{k}^{(\leq p)}$ be the space of quasimodular forms of weight $k$ and depth $\leq p$ (the depth of a quasimodular form written as a polynomial in $P, Q$, and $R$ is the degree of this polynomial in $P$ ). See [12, Section 5.3] or [13, Section 2] for an introduction into quasimodular forms.

The space of quasimodular forms is closed under differentiation, more precisely the operators $D=q \frac{d}{d q}, \mathfrak{d}=12 \frac{\partial}{\partial P}$, and the weight operator $W$ given by $W f=k f$ for $f \in \widetilde{M}_{k}$ preserve $\widetilde{M}$ and form an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple. In order to compute the action of $D$ in terms of the generators $P, Q$, and $R$, one uses the Ramanujan identities

$$
D(P)=\frac{P^{2}-Q}{12}, \quad D(Q)=\frac{P Q-R}{3}, \quad D(R)=\frac{P R-Q^{2}}{2} .
$$

In the context of the Bloch-Okounkov theorem, it is more natural to work with $\hat{D}:=$ $D-\frac{P}{24}$, as for all $f \in \Lambda^{*}$ one has $\left\langle Q_{2} f\right\rangle_{q}=\hat{D}\langle f\rangle_{q}$. Moreover, $\hat{D}$ has the property that it increases the depth of a quasimodular form by 1 , in contrast to $D$ for which $D(1)=0$ does not have depth 1 :

Lemma 2 Let $f \in \tilde{M}$ be of depth $r$. Then $\hat{D} f$ is of depth $r+1$.
Proof Consider a monomial $P^{a} Q^{b} R^{c}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By the Ramanujan identities, we find

$$
D\left(P^{a} Q^{b} R^{c}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{12}+\frac{b}{3}+\frac{c}{2}\right) P^{a+1} Q^{b} R^{c}+O\left(P^{a}\right)
$$

where $O\left(P^{a}\right)$ denotes a quasimodular form of depth at most $a$. The lemma follows by noting that $\frac{a}{12}+\frac{b}{3}+\frac{c}{2}-\frac{1}{24}$ is non-zero for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, letting $\hat{W}=W-\frac{1}{2}$, the triple $(\hat{D}, \mathfrak{d}, \hat{W})$ forms an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple as well. With respect to these operators, the $q$-bracket becomes $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-equivariant. The following proposition is a detailed version of Proposition 1:
Proposition 3 (The $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-equivariant Bloch-Okounkov theorem) The mapping $\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$ : $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-equivariant with respect to the $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple $\left(\hat{Q}_{2}, \Delta, \hat{E}\right)$ on $\mathcal{R}$ and the $\mathfrak{S l}_{2}$-triple $(\hat{D}, \mathfrak{d}, \hat{W})$ on $\widetilde{M}$, i.e. for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$, one has

$$
\hat{D}\langle f\rangle_{q}=\left\langle\hat{Q}_{2} f\right\rangle_{q}, \quad \mathfrak{d}\langle f\rangle_{q}=\langle\Delta f\rangle_{q}, \quad \hat{W}\langle f\rangle_{q}=\langle\hat{E} f\rangle_{q} .
$$

Proof This follows directly from [13, Equation (37)] and the fact that for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$ one has $\left\langle Q_{1} f\right\rangle_{q}=0$.

## 4 Describing the space of shifted symmetric harmonic polynomials

In this section, we study the kernel of $\Delta$. As $\left[\Delta, Q_{1}\right]=0$, we restrict ourselves without loss of generality to $\Lambda^{*}$. Note, however, that $\Delta$ does not act on $\Lambda^{*}$ as, for example, $\Delta\left(Q_{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}$. However, $\operatorname{pr} \Delta$ does act on $\Lambda^{*}$.

Definition 2 Let

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{f \in \Lambda^{*} \mid \Delta f \in Q_{1} \mathcal{R}\right\}=\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{pr} \Delta
$$

be the space of shifted symmetric harmonic polynomials.
Proposition 4 If $f \in Q_{2} \Lambda^{*}$ is non-zero, then $f \notin \mathcal{H}$.
Proof Write $f=Q_{2}^{n} f^{\prime}$ with $f^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{*}$ and $f^{\prime} \notin Q_{2} \Lambda^{*}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{pr} \Delta(f)=Q_{2}^{n-1}\left(n\left(n+k-\frac{3}{2}\right) f^{\prime}+Q_{2} \operatorname{pr} \Delta f^{\prime}\right)
$$

by Lemma 1. As $f^{\prime}$ is not divisible by $Q_{2}$, it follows that $\operatorname{pr} \Delta(f)=0$ precisely if $f^{\prime}=0$.

Proposition 5 For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$
\Lambda_{n}^{*}=\mathcal{H}_{n} \oplus Q_{2} \Lambda_{n-2}^{*}
$$

Proof For uniqueness, suppose $f=Q_{2} g+h$ and $f=Q_{2} g^{\prime}+h^{\prime}$ with $g, g^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{n-2}^{*}$ and $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$. Then, $Q_{2}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)=h^{\prime}-h \in \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 4 we find $g=g^{\prime}$ and hence $h=h^{\prime}$.

Now, define the linear map $T: \Lambda_{n}^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n}^{*}$ by $f \mapsto \operatorname{pr} \Delta\left(Q_{2} f\right)$. By Proposition 4 we find that $T$ is injective, which by finite dimensionality of $\Lambda_{n}^{*}$ implies that $T$ is surjective. Hence, given $f \in \Lambda_{n}^{*}$ let $g \in \Lambda_{n-2}^{*}$ be such that $T(g)=\operatorname{pr} \Delta(f) \in \Lambda_{n-2}^{*}$. Let $h=f-Q_{2} g$. As $f=Q_{2} g+h$, it suffices to show that $h \in \mathcal{H}$. That holds true because $\operatorname{pr} \Delta(h)=\operatorname{pr} \Delta(f)-\operatorname{pr} \Delta\left(Q_{2} g\right)=0$.

Proposition 5 implies Theorem 2 and the following corollary. Denote by $p(n)$ the number of partitions of $n$.

Corollary 1 The dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ equals the number of partitions of $n$ in parts of size at least 3, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{n}=p(n)-p(n-1)-p(n-2)+p(n-3)
$$

Proof Observe that $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{n}^{*}$ equals the number of partitions of $n$ in parts of size at least 2. Hence, $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{n}^{*}=p(n)-p(n-1)$ and the Corollary follows from Proposition 5.

Proof of Theorem 1 If $\langle f\rangle_{q}$ is modular, then $\langle\Delta f\rangle_{q}=\mathfrak{d}\langle f\rangle_{q}=0$. Write $f=$ $\sum_{r=0}^{n^{\prime}} Q_{2}^{r} h_{r}$ as in Theorem 2 with $n^{\prime}=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. Then by Lemma 1 it follows that $\operatorname{pr} \Delta f=\sum_{r=0}^{n^{\prime}} r\left(n-r-\frac{3}{2}\right) Q_{2}^{r-1} h_{r}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{n^{\prime}} r\left(n-r \frac{3}{2}\right) \hat{D}^{r-1}\left\langle h_{r}\right\rangle_{q}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\left\langle h_{r}\right\rangle_{q}$ is modular, either it is equal to 0 or it has depth 0 . Suppose the maximum $m$ of all $r \geq 1$ such that $\left\langle h_{r}\right\rangle_{q}$ is non-zero exists. Then, by Lemma 2 it follows that the left-hand side of (7) has depth $m-1$, in particular is not equal to 0 . So, $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{ker}\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$. Note that $f \in \operatorname{ker}\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$ implies that $Q_{2} f \in \operatorname{ker}\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$. Therefore, $k:=\sum_{r=1}^{n^{\prime}} Q_{2}^{r} h_{r} \in \operatorname{ker}\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$ and $f=h+k$ with $h=h_{0}$ harmonic.

The converse follows directly as $\mathfrak{d}\langle h+k\rangle_{q}=\mathfrak{d}\langle h\rangle_{q}=\langle\Delta h\rangle_{q}=0$.
Remark 2 A description of the kernel of $\langle\cdot\rangle_{q}$ is not known.
Another corollary of Proposition 5 is the notion of depth of shifted symmetric polynomials which corresponds to the depth of quasimodular forms:
Definition 3 The space $\Lambda_{k}^{*(\leq p)}$ of shifted symmetric polynomials of depth $\leq p$ is the space of $f \in \Lambda_{k}^{*}$ such that one can write

$$
f=\sum_{r=0}^{p} Q_{2}^{r} h_{r}
$$

with $h_{r} \in \mathcal{H}_{k-2 r}$.

Theorem 4 If $f \in \Lambda_{k}^{*(\leq p)}$, then $\langle f\rangle_{q} \in \tilde{M}_{k}^{(\leq p)}$.
Proof Expanding $f$ as in Definition 3 we find

$$
\langle f\rangle_{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{p}\left\langle Q_{2}^{k} h_{k}\right\rangle_{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{p} \hat{D}^{k}\left\langle h_{k}\right\rangle_{q} .
$$

By Lemma 2, we find that the depth of $\langle f\rangle_{q}$ is at most $p$.
Next, we set up notation to determine the basis of $\mathcal{H}$ given by Theorem 3 . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}=\mathcal{R}\left[Q_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right]$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}=\Lambda^{*}\left[Q_{2}^{-1 / 2}\right]$ be the formal polynomial algebras graded by assigning to $Q_{k}$ weight $k$ (note that the weights are-possibly negativeintegers). Extend $\Delta$ to $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and observe that $\Delta(\tilde{\Lambda}) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$. Also extend $\mathcal{H}$ by setting

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}=\left\{f \in \tilde{\Lambda} \mid \Delta f \in Q_{1} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\right\}=\left.\operatorname{ker} \operatorname{pr} \Delta\right|_{\tilde{\Lambda}}
$$

Definition 4 Define the partition-Kelvin transform $K: \tilde{\Lambda}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_{3-n}$ by

$$
K(f)=Q_{2}^{3 / 2-n} f
$$

Note that $K$ is an involution. Moreover, $f$ is harmonic if and only if $K(f)$ is harmonic, which follows directly from the computation

$$
\Delta K(f)=Q_{2}^{3 / 2-n} \Delta f-\left(\frac{3}{2}-n\right) Q_{1} Q_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}-n} \partial f-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-n\right) Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}-n} f .
$$

Example 1 As $K(1)=Q_{2}^{3 / 2}$, it follows that $Q_{2}^{3 / 2} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.
Definition 5 Given $\underset{\underline{i}}{ } \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}$, let

$$
|\underline{i}|=i_{1}+i_{2}+\ldots+i_{n}, \quad \partial_{\underline{i}}=\frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial Q_{i_{1}+1} \partial Q_{i_{2}+1} \cdots \partial Q_{i_{n}+1}} .
$$

Define the $n$th order differential operators $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ by

$$
\mathscr{D}_{n}=\sum_{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n}}\binom{|\underline{i}|}{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}} Q_{|\underline{i}|} \partial_{\underline{i}},
$$

where the coefficient is a multinomial coefficient.
This definition generalises the operators $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ to higher weights: $\mathscr{D}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\partial}, \mathscr{D}_{2}=\mathscr{D}$, and $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ reduces the weight by $n$.

Lemma 3 The operators $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ commute pairwise.
Proof Set $I=|\underline{i}|$ and $J=|\underline{j}|$. Let $\underline{a}^{\hat{k}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\binom{I}{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}} Q_{I} \partial_{\underline{i}},\binom{J}{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}} Q_{J} \partial_{\underline{j}}\right]} \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{i_{k}, J-1} J\binom{I}{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{k}, \ldots, i_{n}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}} Q_{I} \partial_{\underline{i}_{\underline{k}}} \partial_{\underline{j}}+  \tag{8}\\
& \quad-\sum_{l=1}^{m} \delta_{j_{l}, I-1} I\binom{J}{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, \hat{j}_{l}, \ldots, j_{m}} Q_{J} \partial_{\underline{i}} \partial_{\underline{j} \underline{j}^{\imath}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}, \mathscr{D}_{m}\right]$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $Q_{|a|+1} \partial_{a}$, where $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+m-1}$. We collect all terms for different vectors $\underline{a}$ which consists of the same parts (i.e. we group all vectors $\underline{a}$ which correspond to the same partition). Then, the coefficient of such a term equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n-1}}\left(a_{\sigma(1)}+\ldots+a_{\sigma(m)}\right)\binom{|\underline{a}|+1}{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+m-1}} \\
& -\sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n-1}}\left(a_{\sigma(1)}+\ldots+a_{\sigma(n)}\right)\binom{|\underline{a}|+1}{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+m-1}} \\
& =(m n-m n) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n-1}} a_{\sigma(1)}\binom{|\underline{a}|+1}{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n+m-1}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}, \mathscr{D}_{m}\right]=0$.
It does not hold true that $\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}, Q_{1}\right]=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we introduce the following operators:

## Definition 6 Let

$$
\Delta_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i}
$$

For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ let

$$
\Delta_{\lambda}=\binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\lambda_{i}}
$$

(Note that $\Delta_{0}=\mathscr{D}_{0}=1$, so this is in fact a finite product.)

Remark 3 By Möbius inversion

$$
\mathscr{D}_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i} \Delta_{n-i} \partial^{i}
$$

The first three operators are given by

$$
\Delta_{0}=1, \quad \Delta_{1}=0, \quad \Delta_{2}=\mathscr{D}-\partial^{2}=2 \Delta .
$$

Proposition 6 The operators $\Delta_{\lambda}$ satisfy the following properties: for all partitions $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}$
(a) the order of $\Delta_{|\lambda|}$ is $|\lambda|$;
(b) $\left[\Delta_{\lambda}, \Delta_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=0$;
(c) $\left[\Delta_{\lambda}, Q_{1}\right]=0$.

Proof Property (a) follows by construction and (b) is a direct consequence of Lemma 3. For property (c), let $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ be given. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}\left(Q_{1} f\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i}\left(Q_{1} f\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}\left((n-i) \mathscr{D}_{n-i-1} \partial^{i} f+Q_{1} \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i} f+i \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i-1} f\right) \\
& =Q_{1} \Delta_{n}(f)+\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}\left((n-i) \mathscr{D}_{n-i-1} \partial^{i} f+i \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i-1} f\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that by the identity

$$
(n-i)\binom{n}{i}=(i+1)(n 1+1),
$$

the sum in the last line is a telescoping sum, equal to zero. Hence $\Delta_{n}\left(Q_{1} f\right)=$ $Q_{1} \Delta_{n}(f)$ as desired.

In particular, the above proposition yields $\left[\Delta_{\lambda}, \Delta\right]=0$ and $\left[\Delta_{\lambda}, \operatorname{pr}\right]=0$.
Denote by $(x)_{n}$ the falling factorial power $(x)_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x-i)$ and for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ define $Q_{\lambda}=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{\lambda_{i}}$. Let

$$
h_{\lambda}=\operatorname{pr} K \Delta_{\lambda} K(1) .
$$

Observe that $h_{\lambda}$ is harmonic, as $\operatorname{pr} \Delta$ commutes with pr and $\Delta_{\lambda}$.

Proposition 7 For all $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$ there exists an $f \in \Lambda_{n-2}^{*}$ such that

$$
h_{\lambda}=\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{n} n!Q_{\lambda}+Q_{2} f .
$$

Proof Note that the left-hand side is an element of $\Lambda^{*}$ of which the monomials divisible by $Q_{2}^{i}$ correspond precisely to terms in $\Delta_{\lambda}$ involving precisely $n-i$ derivatives of $K(1)$ to $Q_{2}$. Hence, as $\Delta_{\lambda}$ has order $n$ all terms not divisible by $Q_{2}$ correspond to terms in $\Delta_{\lambda}$ which equal $\frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial Q_{2}^{n}}$ up to a coefficient. There is only one such term in $\Delta_{\lambda}$ with coefficient $\binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}} \lambda_{1}!\ldots \lambda_{r}!Q_{\lambda}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{R}$, we let $f^{\vee}$ be the operator where every occurrence of $Q_{i}$ in $f$ is replaced by $\Delta_{i}$. We get the following unusual identity:

Corollary 2 If $h \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{\operatorname{pr} K h^{\vee} K(1)}{n!\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{n}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By Proposition 7, we know that the statement holds true up to adding $Q_{2} f$ on the right-hand side for some $f \in \Lambda_{n-2}^{*}$. However, as both sides of (9) are harmonic and the shifted symmetric polynomial $Q_{2} f$ is harmonic precisely if $f=0$ by Proposition 4, it follows that $f=0$ and (9) holds true.

Proof of Theorem 3 Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\left\{h_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\right.$ all parts are $\left.\geq 3\right\}$. First of all, observe that by Corollary 1 the number of elements in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is precisely the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_{n}$. Moreover, the weight of an element in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ equals $|\lambda|=n$. By Proposition 7 it follows that the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ are linearly independent harmonic shifted symmetric polynomials.
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## Appendix: Tables of shifted symmetric harmonic polynomials up to weight 10

We list all harmonic polynomials $h_{\lambda}$ of even weight at most 10. The corresponding $q$-brackets $\left\langle h_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{q}$ are computed by the algorithm prescribed by Zagier [13] using SageMath [11].

| $\lambda$ | $h_{\lambda}$ | $\left\langle h_{\lambda}\right\rangle_{q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| () | 1 | 1 |
| $(4)$ | $\frac{27}{4}\left(Q_{2}^{2}+2 Q_{4}\right)$ | $\frac{9}{320} Q$ |
| $(6)$ | $\frac{225}{4}\left(63 Q_{6}+9 Q_{2} Q_{4}+Q_{2}^{3}\right)$ | $-\frac{55}{384} R$ |
| $(3,3)$ | $\frac{225}{4}\left(63 Q_{3}^{2}-108 Q_{2} Q_{4}+2 Q_{2}^{3}\right)$ | $\frac{115}{384} R$ |
| $(8)$ | $\frac{19845}{16}\left(3960 Q_{8}+360 Q_{2} Q_{6}+20 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{4}+Q_{2}^{4}\right)$ | $\frac{19173}{4096} Q^{2}$ |
| $(5,3)$ | $\frac{19845}{2}\left(495 Q_{3} Q_{5}+45 Q_{2} Q_{3}^{2}-1350 Q_{2} Q_{6}-50 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{4}+2 Q_{2}^{4}\right)$ | $-\frac{2415}{128} Q^{2}$ |
| $(4,4)$ | $\frac{297675}{8}\left(132 Q_{4}^{2}+24 Q_{2} Q_{3}^{2}-440 Q_{2} Q_{6}-28 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{4}+Q_{2}^{4}\right)$ | $-\frac{38241}{2048} Q^{2}$ |
| $(10)$ | $\frac{382725}{8}\left(450450 Q_{10}+30030 Q_{2} Q_{8}+1155 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{6}+35 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{4}+Q_{2}^{5}\right)$ | $-\frac{205345}{4096} Q R$ |
| $(7,3)$ | $\frac{1913625}{8}\left(90090 Q_{3} Q_{7}+6006 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{5}-336336 Q_{2} Q_{8}+231 Q_{2} Q_{3}^{2}+\right.$ |  |
|  | $\left.-12936 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{6}-112 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{4}+10 Q_{2}^{5}\right)$ | $\frac{11975985}{4096} Q R$ |
| $(6,4)$ | $\frac{13395375}{8}\left(12870 Q_{4} Q_{6}+1716 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{5}+858 Q_{2} Q_{4}^{2}-96096 Q_{2} Q_{8}+\right.$ |  |
|  | $\left.\quad+132 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}-6501 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{6}-89 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{4}+5 Q_{2}^{4}\right)$ | $\frac{21255885}{4096} Q R$ |
| $(5,5)$ | $\frac{8037225}{4}\left(10725 Q_{5}^{2}+1430 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{5}+1430 Q_{2} Q_{4}^{2}-10010 Q_{2} Q_{8}+\right.$ |  |
|  | $\left.\quad+165 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}-7700 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{6}-120 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{4}+6 Q_{2}^{5}\right)$ | $\frac{7759395}{1024} Q R$ |
| $(4,3,3)$ | $\frac{13395375}{8}\left(12870 Q_{3}^{2} Q_{4}-34320 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{5}+10296 Q_{2} Q_{4}^{2}+363 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}+\right.$ |  |
|  | $\left.+55440 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{6}-376 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{4}+10 Q_{2}^{5}\right)$ | $-\frac{16583805}{4096} Q R$ |

In case $|\lambda|$ is odd, the harmonic polynomials $h_{\lambda}$ up to weight 9 are given in the following table. The $q$-bracket of odd degree (harmonic) polynomials is zero, hence trivially modular.

| $\lambda$ | $h_{\lambda}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(3)$ | $-\frac{9}{4} Q_{3}$ |
| $(5)$ | $-\frac{135}{4}\left(5 Q_{5}+Q_{2} Q_{3}\right)$ |
| $(7)$ | $-\frac{14175}{16}\left(126 Q_{7}+14 Q_{2} Q_{5}+Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}\right)$ |
| $(4,3)$ | $-\frac{99225}{16}\left(18 Q_{3} Q_{4}-40 Q_{2} Q_{5}+Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}\right)$ |
| $(9)$ | $-\frac{297675}{8}\left(7722 Q_{9}+594 Q_{2} Q_{7}+27 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{5}+Q_{2}^{3} Q_{3}\right)$ |
| $(6,3)$ | $-\frac{893025}{4}\left(1287 Q_{3} Q_{6}+99 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}-4158 Q_{2} Q_{7}-162 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{5}+5 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{3}\right)$ |
| $(5,4)$ | $-\frac{8037225}{8}\left(286 Q_{4} Q_{5}+66 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}-1540 Q_{2} Q_{7}-117 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{5}+3 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{3}\right)$ |
| $(3,3,3)$ | $-\frac{893025}{4}\left(1287 Q_{3}^{3}-3564 Q_{2} Q_{3} Q_{4}+3240 Q_{2}^{2} Q_{5}+10 Q_{2}^{3} Q_{3}\right)$ |
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