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#### Abstract

We obtain a new characterization of the higher Sobolev space $W^{m, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in(1,+\infty)$ and of the space $B V^{m}$, the space of functions of higher order bounded variation. The characterizations are in term of BMO-type seminorms. The results unify and substantially extend previous results in Fusco et al. (ESAIM Control Optim. Calc Var., 24(2), 835-847 2018) and Farroni et al. (J. Funct. Anal., 278(9), 108451 2020).
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## 1 Introduction

Let $W_{\text {loc }}^{m, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq p<\infty)$, denote the Sobolev space of functions belonging to $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ whose distribution derivatives up to order $m$ belong to $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

In [3], the Authors studied a characterization of $W^{m, p}$ based on J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu's approach introduced in [5] (see also [7]). In particular they prove that if $f \in W^{m-1, p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$ and $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ then $f$ belongs to $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ if and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|R^{m-1} f(x, y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{m p}} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) d x d y<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]where $\rho_{\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon>0$, are radial mollifiers and $R^{m-1} f$ is the Taylor $(m-1)$ remainder of $f$. For $p=1$, the condition (1) describes $B V^{m}$.

Here we say that a $W^{m-1,1}(\Omega)$ is of $m$-th order bounded variation $B V^{m}$ if its $m$-th order partial derivatives in the sense of distributions are finite Radon measures. Spaces of this kind have been studied in [10] as applications in mathematical imaging in the setting of isotropic and anisotropic variants of the TV-model (see also [13]).

Another characterization of $W^{m, p}, 1<p<\infty,\left(B V^{m}\right.$ for $\left.p=1\right)$ formulated in terms of the $m$-th differences has been presented in [4].

In this article we are concerned with a characterization of $W^{m, p} 1<p<\infty,\left(B V^{m}\right.$ for $p=1$ ) as the limit of certain BMO-type seminorms similar to the one introduced by J . Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu in [6].

In [15] the Authors showed that a function $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<p<+\infty$, if and only if

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(\varepsilon, 1, p)<+\infty
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\varepsilon}(f, 1, p):=\varepsilon^{n-p} \sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f(x)-f_{Q^{\prime}} f\right|^{p} d x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the supremum on the right hand side is taken over all families $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ of disjoint $\varepsilon$-cubes $Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ of side length $\varepsilon$, centered in $x_{0}$, with arbitrary orientation. Moreover, if $f \in W_{\text {loc }}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $p \geq 1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\varepsilon}(f, 1, p)=\gamma(n, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla f|^{p} d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(n, p):=\max _{v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{Q}|x \cdot v|^{p} d x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}$.
Following some ideas in [1], an analogous representation formula is obtained for the total variation of $S B V$ functions in [14] (see also [11]). For related results see also [9, 12].

Here, given a function $f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m-1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), p \geq 1$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we consider

$$
K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p):=\varepsilon^{n-m p} \sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f(x)-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f](x)\right|^{p} d x
$$

where the families $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ are as above and $P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]$ is the polynomial of degree $m-1$ centered at $x_{0}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f](x)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left(D^{\alpha} f\right)(s) d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $m=1$ and $m=2$ we have:

$$
P_{Q^{\prime}}^{0}[f](x)=f_{Q^{\prime}} f ; \quad P_{Q^{\prime}}^{1}[f](x)=f_{Q^{\prime}} f+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{0_{i}}\right)\left(f_{Q^{\prime}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}}(y) d y\right) .
$$

Our main Theorem reads as follows:
Theorem 1 Let $p>1$ and $f \in W_{\text {loc }}^{m-1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla^{m} f\right| \in L_{l o c}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p)<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $f \in W_{\text {loc }}^{m, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $p \geq 1$ we have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p)=\beta(n, m, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant in Eq. 7 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(n, m, p):=\max _{v \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}}\left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)^{p} \int_{Q}\left|v \cdot x^{m}-\int_{Q} v \cdot y^{m} d y\right|^{p} d x . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=n^{m}$ and we refer to Section 2 for the notation.
Note that this Theorem is exactly an extension of Theorem 2.2 in [15] to the higher order case; indeed, in the case $m=1$, since $\int_{Q} x \cdot v d x=0$, the constant $\beta(n, 1, p)$ coincides with the one defined in Eq. 4.

A drawback of the formula Eq. 7 is that one does not recover the function in $B V^{m}$. However, we are able to show that it is possible to characterize the functions in $B V^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as the functions $f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m-1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\lim \sup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, 1)<+\infty$.

## 2 Notation and Preliminaries

We denote by $Q=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the unit cube with faces parallel to coordinate axes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we denote by $Q_{\varepsilon}(z)=z+\varepsilon Q$ the cube of sidelenght $\varepsilon$ centered in z .

For $m, n \geq 1$, we denote by $N_{j}=n^{m-j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, m$. Given $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{0}}$ we denotes its components by $v_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, \ldots, i_{m}}$ with $i_{k}=1 \ldots n$. Taking $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, x=\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)_{i_{k} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}}$ we define the product $v \cdot x$ as the element of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{1}}$ given by

$$
(v \cdot x)_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_{m}}=\sum_{i_{k}=1}^{n} v_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}} x_{i_{k}} .
$$

The product of $v \in R^{N_{0}}$ and $m$ times the vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \cdot x \cdot x \cdots x$ is an element of $\mathbb{R}^{N_{m}}=\mathbb{R}$ and it is denoted for brevity by $v \cdot x^{m}$.

For a multi-index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right), \alpha_{i} \geq 0$ and a point $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote by

$$
x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}
$$

the monomial of degree $|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$.
In the same way,

$$
D^{\alpha} u=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}}
$$

is a weak partial derivative of order $|\alpha|$.
Sometimes, we use the convention that $D^{0} u=u$. Moreover, let $\nabla^{m} u$ be a vector with the components $D^{\alpha} u,|\alpha|=m$.

### 2.1 The Sobolev Space $W^{m, p}$

Definition 1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open set, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $1 \leq p<\infty$. The Sobolev space $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ is the space of all functions $u \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ which admit $\alpha-$ th weak derivative $D^{\alpha} u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ with $1 \leq|\alpha| \leq m$.

The space $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{m, p}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+\sum_{1 \leq|\alpha| \leq m}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}
$$

Definition 2 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open set, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $1 \leq p<\infty$. The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)$ is the space of all functions $u \in L_{l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ whose $\alpha$-th weak derivative $D^{\alpha} u$ belongs to $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ with $|\alpha|=m$.

Note that the inclusion

$$
W^{m, p}(\Omega) \subseteq \dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)
$$

holds. Moreover, as a consequence of Poincarè's inequality for sufficiently regular domains of finite measure the spaces $\dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ actually coincide.

The space $\dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)$ is equipped with the seminorm

$$
|u|_{\dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)}=\left\|\nabla^{m} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} .
$$

Sometimes we will also use the equivalent seminorm $u \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=m}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$.
The equivalence of the norm permit to have a useful density result as in [17, Remark 11.28]. Indeed, if $u \in \dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)$ then for every $\sigma>0$ there exists $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap \dot{W}^{m, p}(\Omega)$ such that $\|u-v\|_{W^{m, p}(\Omega)} \leq \sigma$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open bounded set and let $E \subset \Omega$ be a Lebesgue measurable set with finite positive measure. Let $1 \leq p \leq+\infty$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $u \in W^{m, p}(\Omega)$, there exists a polynomial $P_{E}^{m-1}[u]$ of degree $m-1$ such that for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $0 \leq|\alpha| \leq m-1$ (see [17, Exercise 13.26]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E}\left(D^{\alpha} u(x)-D^{\alpha} P_{E}^{m-1}[u](x)\right) d x=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 (Poincarè inequality in $W^{m, p}$ [17, Theorem 13.27]) Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $1 \leq p<$ $+\infty$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open, bounded and convex set. Then there exists a positive constant $C=C(m, n, p, \Omega)>0$ such that,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left\|\nabla^{k}\left(u-P_{\Omega}^{m-1}[u]\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|\nabla^{m} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}
$$

for every $u \in W^{m, p}$ and for every $k=0, \ldots, m-1$.
Notice that for $m=1$ the previous Theorem is the classical Poincarè inequality and the polynomial $P_{\Omega}[u]$ is the mean of $u$ over $\Omega$. In particular, if $u \in W^{m, p}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ with $Q^{\prime}=$ $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$, then there exists a unique polynomial $P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]$ of degree $m-1$ such that Eq. 9 holds and there exists a constant $C=C(n, m, p)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|u-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]\right|^{p} \leq C \varepsilon^{m p} \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{p} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider the Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg's embedding in $W^{m, p}$ (see Lemma 2.1 in [18]).

Let $n>m p, 1 \leq p<\frac{n}{m}$. Let $u \in W^{m, p}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ with $Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]$ of degree $m-1$ such that Eq. 9 holds and there exists a
constant $C=C(n, m, p)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|u-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]\right|^{p^{\star}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\star}}} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n-m p}} \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\star}=\frac{n p}{n-m p}$.
Moreover, the following easy properties of $P_{\Omega}[u]$ holds:

- Linearity:

$$
P_{\Omega}[u](x)+P_{\Omega}[v](x)=P_{\Omega}[u+v](x) .
$$

- Scaling:

$$
P_{\varepsilon \Omega}[u](\varepsilon x)=P_{\Omega}\left[u_{\varepsilon}\right](x),
$$

where $u_{\varepsilon}(x):=u(\varepsilon x)$.
We write

$$
T_{y}^{m} u(x)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^{\alpha} u(y) \frac{(x-y)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}
$$

for the Taylor polynomial of order $m$ and

$$
R^{m} u(x, y)=u(x)-T_{y}^{m} u(x)
$$

for the Taylor remainder of order $m$.

### 2.2 Functions of Higher-Order Bounded Variation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open set. A function $u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ is of bounded variation (for short $u \in B V(\Omega)$ ) if $u$ has a distributional gradient in form of a Radon measure of finite total mass and write

$$
|\nabla u|(\Omega)=\sup \left\{u \operatorname{div} \varphi: \varphi \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega),\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

We define

$$
B V^{m}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in W^{m-1,1}(\Omega), \quad \nabla^{m-1} u \in B V\left(\Omega, S^{m-1}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right\}
$$

the space of (real valued) functions of m-th order bounded variation, i.e. the set of all functions, whose distributional gradients up to order $m-1$ are represented through 1-integrable tensor-valued functions and whose $m$-th distributional gradient is a tensor-valued Radon measure of finite total variation. Here $S^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the set of all symmetric tensors of order $k$ with real components, which is naturally isomorphic to the set of all $k$-linear symmetric maps $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see [10]).

It becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{B V^{m}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{W^{m-1,1}(\Omega)}+\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|(\Omega)
$$

Here the total variation of $\nabla^{m-1} u$ is denoted by $\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|(\Omega)$ and defined by

$$
\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|(\Omega)=\sup \left(\sum_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} D_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}} u \cdot \partial_{\alpha_{m}} \varphi_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}} d x\right)
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$.
Obviously, $W^{m, 1}(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $B V^{m}(\Omega)$.
The definition of $B V^{m}$ generalizes that of the classical space of functions of bounded variation and many results about $B V$ can be obtained in $B V^{m}$ similarly (see [16]). We recall
a higher-order variant of the famous Poincaré inequality, which will be useful throughout the sequel:

Theorem 3 (Poincarè inequality in $B V^{m}$ [13, Lemma 2.2]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open and bounded subset with Lipschitz boundary, $m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq p<\infty$. Then there exist a constant $C>0$, depending only on $\Omega, m$ and $n$ such that for all $u \in B V^{m}(\Omega)$

$$
\|u\|_{B V^{m}(\Omega)} \leq C\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|(\Omega) .
$$

In particular, the following version of Poincare's inequality holds.
Let $u \in B V^{m}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ with $Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$, then there exists a unique polynomial $P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]$ of degree $m-1$ such that Eq. 9 holds and there exists a constant $C=C(n, m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|u-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{m}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the nature of its definition, the space $B V^{m}$ inherits the Poincare-Wirtinger inequality which can be proved exactly as the corresponding first order result.

Let $n>m, u \in B V^{m}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ with $Q^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]$ of degree $m-1$ such that Eq. 9 holds and there exists a constant $C=C(n, m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{Q^{\prime}} \left\lvert\, u-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[u]^{\frac{n}{n-m}}\right.\right)^{\frac{n-m}{n}} \leq C \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n-m}}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We end this subsection with a higher- order variant of the compactness result in $B V$ (Theorem 3.23 in [2]).

Proposition 1 (Compactness result in $B V^{m}\left[16\right.$, Lemma 2.1]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and bounded with Lipschitz boundary, and let $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $B V^{m}$ functions such that

$$
\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{B V^{m}(\Omega)} \leq M
$$

for some constant $M>0$. Then there is a subsequence $\left(u_{k_{l}}\right)_{l=1}^{\infty}$ and a function $u \in$ $B V^{m}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\|u-u_{k_{l}}\right\|_{W^{m-1,1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } l \rightarrow \infty \text { and }\|u\|_{B V^{m}(\Omega)} \leq M .
$$

### 2.3 Other Useful Inequalities

The following tools will be useful in the sequel.
Given $\delta \in(0,1)$, from the convexity of the function $t \rightarrow|t|^{p}$ we get for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a+b|^{p}=\left|\frac{1}{(1+\delta)}(1+\delta) a+\frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} b\right|^{p} \leq(1+\delta)^{p}|a|^{p}+\frac{(1+\delta)^{p}}{\delta^{p}}|b|^{p} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Eq. 14, we also obtain the following pointwise inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a-b|^{p} \geq \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^{p}}|a|^{p}-\frac{1}{\delta^{p}}|b|^{p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\xi|^{p}-|\eta|^{p}\right| \leq p(|\xi|+|\eta|)^{p-1}|\xi-\eta| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, given $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}-\frac{\eta}{|\eta|}\right| \leq 2 \frac{|\xi-\eta|}{|\xi|} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 The Local Version of the Functional $K_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{p})$

We define the following local counterpart of Eq. 2 which will be use in Step 3 of proof of Theorem 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathcal{E}}(f, m, p, \Omega)=\varepsilon^{n-m p} \sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f(x)-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f](x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum on the right hand side is taken over all families $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ of disjoint open cubes of sidelenght $\varepsilon$ and arbitrary orientation contained in $\Omega$.

This quantity is strictly related to the $L^{p}$ norm of $\nabla^{m} f$. Indeed, for $p<\frac{n}{m}$ with $p^{\star}=$ $\frac{n p}{n-m p}$, by using Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{p}(Q)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p^{\star}}(Q)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there exists a constant $C$ depending only on $Q, m, p$ such that for $Q^{\prime}=\varepsilon Q+x_{0}$, by Eqs. 19 and 11, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{n-m p} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f(x)-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x \leq C \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing over all sets $Q^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f(x)-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x \leq C\left\|\nabla^{m} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}
$$

and therefore

$$
K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p, \Omega) \leq C\left\|\nabla^{m} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} .
$$

We conclude this subsection, by observing that if $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ is a vector maximizing the integral in Eq. 8, $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $Q_{\eta}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a cube of side length $\eta$ with center in $x_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(m!)^{p}} \int_{Q_{\eta}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left|\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{m} \cdot \bar{v}-\int_{Q_{\eta}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{m} \cdot \bar{v} d y\right|^{p} d x=\beta(n, m, p) \cdot \eta^{n+m p} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The Case $m=2$

In this section we deal with the case $m=2$. In this case it is easier to make some explicit computations. Moreover we give an estimates on the constant $\beta(n, 2, p)$ in terms of the Laplacian of the function $f \in W^{2, p}$.

We prove the following
Proposition 2 Let $f \in W^{2, p}$ and $\beta(n, 2, p)$ as in Eq. 8. Then the following estimate from below holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(n, 2, p) \geq C_{n, p}|\Delta f(0)|^{p} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, by virtue of Eq. 9, it is possible to characterize $P_{\Omega}[u]$ for $m=2$. Fixed $x_{0} \in \Omega$, a generic polynomial of degree 1 centered in $x_{0}$ is given by

$$
P_{\Omega}^{1}[u](x)=\left\langle a, x-x_{0}\right\rangle+b, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, b \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

By Eq. 9 with $|\alpha|=0$, we have

$$
b|\Omega|=\int_{\Omega}\left(u(x)-\left\langle a, x-x_{0}\right\rangle\right) d x
$$

which implies

$$
b=f_{\Omega}\left(u(x)-\left\langle a, x-x_{0}\right\rangle\right) d x
$$

Moreover, for every $i=1, \ldots, n$, again Eq. 9 for $|\alpha|=1$ gives

$$
a_{i}=f_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}(x) d x
$$

and we write

$$
a=f_{\Omega} \nabla u(x) d x .
$$

Then the polynomial $P_{\Omega}^{1}(u)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Omega}^{1}[u](x)=f_{\Omega}\left(u(y)-\left\langle f_{\Omega} \nabla u, y\right\rangle\right) d y+\left\langle f_{\Omega} \nabla u(y) d y, x-x_{0}\right\rangle \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, with a slight abuse of notation, we mean

$$
\left\langle f_{\Omega} \nabla u(y) d y, x-x_{0}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{0_{i}}\right) f_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{i}}(y) d y .
$$

Remark 1 We observe that if $\Omega$ is symmetric with respect to $x_{0}$, the polynomial $P_{\Omega}^{1}[u]$ has a simpler form, indeed

$$
f_{\Omega}\left\langle f_{\Omega} \nabla u, y\right\rangle d y=0,
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Omega}^{1}[u](x)=f_{\Omega} u(y) d y+\left\langle f_{\Omega} \nabla u(y) d y, x-x_{0}\right\rangle \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Proposition 5 We observe that when $m=2, p \geq 1$, Eq. 8 reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(n, 2, p):=\max _{v \in \mathbb{S}^{2}-1} \frac{1}{4} \int_{Q}\left|v \cdot x^{2}-\int_{Q} v \cdot y^{2} d y\right|^{p} d x . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case $v \cdot x^{2}$ can equivalently be write as

$$
\langle A x, x\rangle
$$

where $A \in \mathcal{M}(n)$ is a matrix $n \times n$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denote the usual scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
It is worth to remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(n, 2, p) \geq \frac{1}{2^{p}} f_{Q}\left|\left\langle\nabla^{2} f(0) x, x\right\rangle-f_{Q}\left\langle\nabla^{2} f(0) y, y\right\rangle\right|^{p} d x . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly we observe that denoting by $e_{i}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, by $O \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ an orthogonal matrix and by $\mathcal{R} \in S O(n)$ a rotation around the origin taking $O^{-1}(Q)$ into $Q$ we have
$\int_{O^{-1}(Q)} y_{i}^{2} d y=\int_{O^{-1}(Q)}\left(y \cdot e_{i}\right)^{2} d y=\int_{R \circ O^{-1}(Q)}\left(R w \cdot e_{i}\right)^{2} d w=\int_{Q}\left(w \cdot R^{-1} e_{i}\right)^{2} d y=\frac{1}{12}$
Moreover, given $A \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ a symmetric matrix there exist $O \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}(n)$ such that $A=O D O^{-1}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q}\langle A z, z\rangle d z & =\int_{Q}\left\langle\left(O D O^{-1}\right) z, z\right\rangle d z=\int_{Q}\left\langle\left(D O^{-1}\right) z, O^{-1} z\right\rangle d z=\int_{O^{-1}(Q)}\langle D y, y\rangle d y \\
& =\int_{O^{-1}(Q)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i}^{2} d y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \int_{O^{-1}(Q)} y_{i}^{2} d y=\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can estimate from below $\beta(n, 2, p)$ using Eqs. 26 and 27, proving Eq. 22. Indeed, setting $\nabla^{2} f(0)=A$ we have

$$
\int_{Q}\langle A x, x\rangle=\frac{\Delta f(0)}{12} .
$$

Moreover setting $\bar{y}=\min y_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2^{p}} \int_{Q}\left|\langle A x, x\rangle-\int_{Q}\langle A y, y\rangle\right|^{p} d x=\frac{1}{2^{p}} \int_{Q}\left|\left\langle\left(D O^{-1}\right) x, O^{-1} x\right\rangle-\frac{\Delta f(0)}{12}\right|^{p} d x \\
=\frac{1}{2^{p}} \int_{Q}\left|\sum \lambda_{i} y_{i}^{2}-\frac{\Delta f(0)}{12}\right|^{p} d x \geq \frac{1}{2^{p}} \int_{O^{-1}(Q)}\left|\sum \lambda_{i} \bar{y}^{2}-\frac{\Delta f(0)}{12}\right|^{p} d x \\
=\frac{1}{2^{p}}|\Delta f(0)|^{p} \int_{O^{-1}(Q)}\left|\bar{y}-\frac{1}{12}\right|^{p} d x=C_{n, p}|\Delta f(0)|^{p} . \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

## 4 A Characterization of $W^{m, p}$

Proof of Theorem 1 We divide the proof in three steps, proving first the limsup and liminf inequalities in Eq. 7 and then the validy of Eq. 6.

As a starting point we fix a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f \in W^{m, p}(\Omega)$. Given $\sigma>0$, there exists a function $g \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\|f-g\|_{W^{m, p}(\Omega)}<\sigma$ and we choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)-\nabla^{m} g(y)\right| \leq \sigma, \quad \forall x, y,|x-y| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n} \varepsilon}{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take now a family $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ of disjoint open cubes $Q^{\prime}$ of side length $\varepsilon$ and arbitrary orientation and let us denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ the subfamily of $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ made by all cubes $Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ such that $Q^{\prime} \subset \Omega$.

Step1 (limsup inequality) We are going to show that

$$
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p) \leq \beta(n, m, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} d x .
$$

We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|\nabla^{m} f\right| \in L^{p}(\Omega)$. Using Eq. 14 and the linearity of $P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]$, for any $Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x \leq(1+\delta)^{p} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|g-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x+M_{\delta} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|(f-g)-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f-g]\right|^{p} d x \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{\delta}=(1+\delta)^{p} / \delta^{p}$.
We recall the notation in Section 2, so denoting by $x_{0}$ the center of the cube $Q^{\prime}$ and for all $x \in Q^{\prime}$ we write

$$
g(x)=T_{x_{0}}^{m} g(x)+R^{m} g\left(x, x_{0}\right)
$$

where $\left|R^{m} g(x, y)\right|<\left(n^{\frac{m}{2}} \sigma \varepsilon^{m}\right) / 2^{m}=C_{1} \sigma \varepsilon^{m}$.

We now estimate the two terms in Eq. 30. Let us focus on the first addendum: using again Eq. 14 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|g-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x \\
& =f_{Q^{\prime}} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{m!} \nabla^{m} g\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{m}+R^{m} g\left(x, x_{0}\right)-\left[f_{Q^{\prime}} \frac{1}{m!} \nabla^{m} g\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{m} d y+f_{Q^{\prime}} R^{m} g\left(y, x_{0}\right) d y\right]^{p} d x\right. \\
& \leq(1+\delta)^{p} \frac{1}{(m!)^{p}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{m}-f_{Q^{\prime}} \nabla^{m} g\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(y-x_{0}\right)^{m} d y\right|^{p} d x+2^{p} M_{\delta} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|R^{m} g\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq(1+\delta)^{p} \beta(n, m, p) \varepsilon^{m p}\left|\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p}+C_{2} M_{\delta} \sigma^{p} \varepsilon^{m p} . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, applying again Eqs. 14 and 29 we have

$$
\left|\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{p} \leq(1+\delta)^{p} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)\right|^{p} d x+C_{3} M_{\delta} \sigma^{p}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|g-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x \leq \beta(n, m, p)(1+\delta)^{2 p} \varepsilon^{m p} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)\right|^{p} d x+C_{4} M_{\delta} \varepsilon^{m p} \sigma^{p} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us focus now on the second addendum in Eq. 30. By Poincaré inequality in $W^{m, p}$ (see Theorem 2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|(f-g)-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f-g]\right|^{p} d x \leq C_{p} \varepsilon^{m p-n} \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m}(f-g)\right|^{p} d x \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is the Poincaré constant for cubes.
Observe now that $\sharp\left(\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{-n}|\Omega|$ and set $\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)>\varepsilon \sqrt{n}\}$. Using Eqs. 30, 32 and 33 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{n-m p} & \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x+C_{6} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}} \mid \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \\
& \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} \\
& \leq(1+\delta)^{p} \varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|g-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x+C_{p} M_{\delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m}(f-g)\right|^{p}+C_{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq(1+\delta)^{3 p} \beta(n, m, p) \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}^{\prime}} \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)\right|^{p} d x+C_{4} M_{\delta} \varepsilon^{n} \sigma^{p}+C_{p} M_{\delta} \sigma^{p}+\left.C_{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} d x  \tag{34}\\
& \leq\left.(1+\delta)^{3 p} \beta(n, m, p) \int_{\Omega^{2}} \nabla^{m} f(x)\right|^{p} d x+C_{4} M_{\delta} \varepsilon^{n} \sigma^{p}+C_{p} M_{\delta} \sigma^{p}+C_{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

where the constants depend only on $n, p$ and $|\Omega|$. Then, taking the supremum over all the families of cubes $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$, and then letting first $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}, \sigma \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\Omega \uparrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we conclude.

Step2 (liminf inequality) We fix $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we assume again that $f \in W_{l o c}^{m, p}(\Omega)$ and we fix $\sigma>0$ and $g \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ as in the previous Step. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p) \geq \beta(n, m, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p} d x \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for $\eta \in(0,1)$ we consider the set

$$
U_{\eta}=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)\right|>\eta\right\}
$$

With a clever use of Lemma 2.95 of [2] (as in Proposition 3.6 of [14]) it is possible to find $k$ sufficiently small pairwise disjoint open sets $S_{j} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ covering $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. Precisely,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \bar{S}_{j}=\mathbb{S}^{N-1} \\
\operatorname{diam} S_{j}<\eta \text { for all } j=1 \ldots k \\
\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{k}\left\{x \in U_{\eta}: \frac{\nabla^{m} g(x)}{\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)\right|} \in \partial S_{j}\right\}\right|=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For all $j=1, \ldots, k$ we denote

$$
A_{j}=\left\{x \in U_{\eta}: \frac{\nabla^{m} g(x)}{\left|\nabla^{m} g(x)\right|} \in S_{j}\right\},
$$

which are open sets with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|U_{\eta} \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} A_{j}\right|=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ we consider the family $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ of all open cubes with faces parallel to the coordinate planes, side length $\varepsilon$, centered at all points of the form $\varepsilon v$, with $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then for all $j=1, \ldots, k$ we choose $M_{j} \in S_{j}$ and we denote by $R_{j} \in S O(n)$ a rotation that takes $e_{1}$ into $M_{j}$.

Note that in this way, denoting by $x^{\prime}$ the center of the cube $Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$, we have (see Eq. 21),

$$
\frac{1}{(m!)^{p}} \int_{R_{j}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}\left|\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)^{m} \cdot \bar{v}-\int_{R_{j}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}\left(y-x^{\prime}\right)^{m} \cdot \bar{v} d y\right|^{p} d x=\beta(n, m, p) \cdot \varepsilon^{n+m p} .
$$

For all $j=1, \ldots, k$ we denote by $R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right), Q_{h, j} \in \mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}, h=1, \ldots, m_{j}$, the elements of $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ contained in $A_{j}$. By Eq. 36 there exists $\varepsilon(\sigma, \eta)$ such that if $\varepsilon<\varepsilon(\sigma, \eta)$ then

$$
\left|U_{\eta} \backslash \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \bigcup_{h=1}^{m_{j}} \mathcal{R}_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)\right| \leq \eta^{p} .
$$

We denote by $x_{h, j}$ the center of the cube $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)$ and we argue as in Step 1. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left|g-P_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j)}\right.}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^{p}} \frac{1}{(m!)^{p}} f_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left|\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{h, j}\right)^{m}-\int_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)} \nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{h, j}\right)^{m}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \left.-\frac{2^{p}}{\delta^{p}} \int_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)} \right\rvert\, R^{m} g\left(x,\left.x_{h, j}\right|^{p} d x\right. \\
& \geq \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^{2 p}} \frac{\mid \nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right)^{p}}{(m!)^{p}} f_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left|M_{j} \cdot\left(x-x_{h, j}\right)^{m}-\int_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)} M_{j} \cdot\left(x-x_{h, j}\right)^{m}\right|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{2^{p}}{\delta^{p}} \frac{\mid \nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right)^{p}}{(m!)^{p}} \int_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left|\left(\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right)-M_{j}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{h, j}\right)^{m}-\int_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left(\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right)-M_{j}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{h, j}\right)^{m}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \frac{-C_{7} \frac{\sigma^{p} \varepsilon^{m p}}{\left.\varepsilon^{m p} \beta(n, m p, p) \nabla^{m} g(x, h, j)\right)^{p}}}{(1+\delta)^{2 p}}-\frac{C_{8} \eta^{p} \varepsilon^{m p}}{\delta^{p}}\left\|\nabla^{m} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}-C_{7} \frac{\sigma^{p} g^{m p}}{\delta p} . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, adding on $j$ and $h$ the previous inequality, recalling Eq. 36, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{n-m p} & \sum_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right) \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} f_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left|g-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \frac{\varepsilon^{m p} \beta(n, m, p)\left|\nabla^{m} g\left(x_{h, j}\right)\right|^{p}}{(1+\delta)^{2 p}}-\frac{C_{8} \eta^{p} \varepsilon^{m p}}{\delta^{p}}\left\|\nabla^{m} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}-C_{7} \frac{\sigma^{p} \varepsilon^{m p}}{\delta^{p}} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta(n, m, p)}{(1+\delta)^{p} p} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \int_{R_{j}\left(Q_{h, j}\right)}\left|\nabla^{m} g\right|^{p}-\frac{C_{8} \eta^{p} \varepsilon^{h}}{\delta^{p}}\left\|\nabla^{m} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}-C_{7} \frac{\sigma^{p} \varepsilon^{n}}{\delta^{p}} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta(n, m, p)}{(1+\delta)^{3 p} p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} g\right|^{p}-\frac{C \eta^{p}}{\delta^{p}}\left(1+\left\|\nabla^{m} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}\right)-C \frac{\sigma^{p}}{\delta^{p}} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta(n, m, p)}{(1+\delta)^{4 p}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p}-\frac{C \eta^{p}}{\delta^{p}}\left(1+\left\|\nabla^{m} g\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}\right)-C \frac{\sigma^{p}}{\delta^{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constants may change from line to line and depend only on $p, n$ and $|\Omega|$. We conclude choosing $\eta$ small enough and consequently $\varepsilon$ small,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}} & \left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^{p}} \varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|g-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[g]\right|^{p} d x-\frac{1}{\delta^{p}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m}(f-g)\right|^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta(n, m, p)}{(1+\delta)^{5 p}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|^{p}-\frac{C \sigma^{p}}{\delta^{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where again $C$ may change from line to line and depend on $p, n$ and $|\Omega|$. To conclude we take the supremum over all the families $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ and let first $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \sigma \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\Omega \uparrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, proving Eq. 35 .

Step3 (proof of Eq. 6) Now let $p>1, f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{m-1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p)<\infty$. We fix $\sigma>0, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and observe that there exist $r>0$ and a finite family of pairwise disjoint open cubes $Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right|<\sigma  \tag{38}\\
& \left|\nabla^{m} f(x)-\nabla^{m} f(y)\right|<\sigma \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover we fix $0<\varepsilon<r$ and we set $f_{\varepsilon}(x)=\left(\varrho_{\varepsilon} * f\right)(x)$, where $\varrho$ is a standard mollifier with compact support in the unit cube $Q$ and $\varrho_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{-n} \varrho(x / \varepsilon)$.

For every $Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)$ we consider a family $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}$ of pairwise disjoint cubes $Q_{j}=z_{j}+\varepsilon Q \subset$ $Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)$, for $j=1, \ldots, k$.

We compute now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{p}=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(y) \nabla^{m} \rho_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{j}-y\right) d y\right|^{p}=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right) \nabla^{m} \rho_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{j}-y\right) d y\right|^{p} \\
& \quad \leq \varepsilon^{(-m-n) p+n p-n} \int_{Q_{j}}\left|f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right|^{p} d y=\varepsilon^{-m p} f_{Q_{j}}\left|f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right|^{p} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

noindent Moreover, by Eqs. 29 and 14, we have

$$
\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|^{p} \geq \frac{1}{1+\delta} \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{Q_{j}}\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{p} d x-\frac{C}{\delta^{p}} \sigma^{p}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1}{1+\delta} \int_{Q_{j}}\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq \varepsilon^{n-m p} f_{Q_{j}}\left|f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right|^{p} d y+\frac{C}{\delta^{p}} \sigma^{p} \varepsilon^{n} .
$$

Summing up all the cubes in $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \frac{1}{1+\delta} \int_{Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)}\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1+\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{Q_{j}}\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq \varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{Q_{j}}\left|f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right|^{p} d y+\frac{C}{\delta^{p}} \sigma^{p} \varepsilon^{n} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{n-m p} \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{Q_{j}}\left|f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right|^{p} d y+\frac{C}{\delta^{p}} \sigma^{p} r^{n}, \quad \text { (40) } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows since $k \varepsilon^{n} \leq r^{n}$. Taking the supremum with respect to all families $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}$ and the liminf with respect to $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{1+\delta} \int_{Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)}\left|\nabla^{m} f(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}\left(f, m, p, Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right)+\frac{C}{\delta^{p}} \sigma^{p} r^{n}
$$

Summing up with respect to $i$ and using Eq. 38 we have

$$
\frac{1}{1+\delta} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} f(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p, \Omega)+\frac{C}{\delta^{p}} \sigma^{p}|\Omega| .
$$

Letting $\sigma \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\Omega \uparrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we conclude.
Remark 2 We observe that Theorem 7 hold also in an open set $\Omega$ with the same proof replacing $K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p)$ by the quantity $K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, p, \Omega)$ defined in Eq. 18.

Corollary 1 Let $p>1, n>m p, p^{\star}=\frac{n p}{n-m p}, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ a pairwise disjoint family of translations $Q^{\prime}$ of $\varepsilon Q$ contained in $\Omega$. Then, the following three statements are equivalent:
i) $f \in W^{m, p}(\Omega)$;
ii)

$$
\sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{n-m p} \mathcal{f}_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p}<+\infty ;
$$

iii)

$$
\sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}}\left\|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right\|_{L^{p^{\star}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}^{p}<+\infty
$$

Proof In this proof the constant $C$ may change from line to line.
We prove that $i i i) \Rightarrow i i$ ). By Hölder's inequality it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{n-m p} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{p} d x \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{n-m p}}{\varepsilon^{n}}\left(\int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|^{\frac{n p}{n-m p}}\right)^{\frac{n-m p}{n}}\left|Q^{\prime}\right|^{\frac{m p}{n}}=\left\|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right\|_{L^{p^{*}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}^{p} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing over all sets $Q^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ and passing to the supremum, we conclude.
We prove that $i) \Rightarrow i i i)$. Using the Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality Eq. 11, we obtain that there exists a constant $C=C(n, m, p)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right\|_{L^{p^{\star}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla^{m} f\right\|_{L^{p}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing over $Q^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ and passing to the supremum over all families $G_{\varepsilon}$ the proof is completed.

The equivalence $i) \Leftrightarrow i i$ ) is proved in [8].

## 5 A Characterization of Higher Order Bounded Variation

In this section we deal with the case $p=1$. This case is not included in Theorem 1 since Eq. 6 hold only for $p>1$.

The case $m=1$ was treated in [15]. They proved that (see Proposition 2.4 of [15]) if $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in B V\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, 1,1)<+\infty \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Precisely, they prove that for $f \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ it holds

$$
\frac{1}{4}|\nabla f|\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\varepsilon}(f, 1,1) \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\varepsilon}(f, 1,1) \leq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla f|\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

where the total variation of $f$ in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, possibly equal to $+\infty$, is defined by setting

$$
|\nabla f|(\Omega):=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} f(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) d x \quad: \varphi \in C_{c}^{1}(\Omega),\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\}
$$

We prove a similar characterization for the case $m>1$. Now an equivalence similar to Eq. 43 involve the space $B V^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of functions of m -th order bounded variation (see Section 2).

Precisely, we prove the following
Proposition 3 Let $f \in W_{l o c}^{m-1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then

$$
f \in B V^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, 1)<+\infty
$$

Moreover, there is a positive constants $C$, independent of $f$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}(f, m, 1) \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, 1) \leq C\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof To prove the first inequality in Eq. 44 we argue as in Step 3 of Theorem 1. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\delta} \int_{Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)}\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x \leq \varepsilon^{n-m} \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{Q_{j}}\left|f(y)-P_{Q_{j}}^{m-1}[f](y)\right| d y+\frac{C}{\delta} \sigma r^{n} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the supremum with respect to all families $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}$ and the liminf with respect to $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{1+\delta} \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)}\left|\nabla^{m} f_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}\left(f, m, Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right)+\frac{C}{\delta} \sigma r^{n}
$$

By the compactness in $B V^{m}$ (Proposition 4), we get

$$
\frac{1}{1+\delta}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|\left(Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right) d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}\left(f, m, Q\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right)+\frac{C}{\delta} \sigma r^{n}
$$

Summing up with respect to $i$ and using Eq. 38 we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{1+\delta}\left|\nabla^{m} f\right|(\Omega) d x \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} K_{\varepsilon}(f, m, \Omega)+\frac{C}{\delta} \sigma r^{n}|\Omega|
$$

We conclude letting $\sigma \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0, \Omega \uparrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
In order to prove the estimate from above in Eq. 44, it is is sufficient to apply the Poincare' inequality in $B V^{m}$ (see Section 2).

Corollary 2 Let $n>m, 1^{\star}=\frac{n}{n-m}, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ is any pairwise disjoint family of translations $Q^{\prime}$ of $\varepsilon Q$ contained in $\Omega$. Then, the following three statements are equivalent:
i) $f \in B V^{m}(\Omega)$;
ii)

$$
\sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{n-m} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|<+\infty
$$

iii)

$$
\sup _{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{Q^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}}\left\|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right\|_{L^{1 \star}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}<+\infty
$$

Proof We prove that $i i i) \Rightarrow$ ii). By Hölder's inequality it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{n-m} f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right| d x \leq\left|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right|_{L^{1^{\star}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion follows by summing over all sets $Q^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$.
We prove that $i) \Rightarrow i i i)$. By using Eq. 12 there exists a constant $C=C(n . m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-P_{Q^{\prime}}^{m-1}[f]\right\|_{L^{1^{\star}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla^{m} f\right\|_{L^{p}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion follows again by summing over all sets $Q^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$.
The equivalence $i) \Leftrightarrow i i$ ) is proved in [8].
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