AUTHOR CORRECTION

Author Correction: Inter-Jurisdictional Comparison of Public Asset Utilization in Tokyo Metropolitan Local Governments

Thien-Vu Tran[1,2] · Hosomi Shoichiro[3] · Masayoshi Noguchi[3]

Author Correction: Public Organization Review
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-021-00585-7

The original version of this article unfortunately contained mistakes which are listed below.

✉ Thien-Vu Tran
  ttvu@vku.udn.vn

  Hosomi Shoichiro
  hosomi@tmu.ac.jp

  Masayoshi Noguchi
  mynoguchi@tmu.ac.jp

[1] Department of Digital Economics and E-Commerce, Vietnam-Korea University of Information and Communication Technology, The University of Danang, Nam Ky Khoi Nghia Street, Hoa Quy ward, Ngu Hanh Son District, Da Nang City, Vietnam

[2] VN-UK Institute for Research and Executive Education, The University of Danang, Da Nang City, Vietnam

[3] Department of Business Administration, Graduate School of Management, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1 Chome-1, Minamiosawa, Hachioji, Tokyo, Japan

- **Page 7–8:**

  The mathematical formulas described from the end of page 7 to the top of page 8 and the formulas in lines 12–13 of page 8 should be replaced by the formulas shown in (1) and (2) below, respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{Min } \theta_0 \\
&\text{Subject to } \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_{ij} \leq \theta_0 x_{i0,} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\
&\qquad\qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_{rj} \geq y_{r0,} \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, s, \\
&\qquad\qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = 1 \\
&\qquad\qquad \lambda_j \geq 0 \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{Min } \theta_0^{VRS-\sup er} \\
&\text{Subject to } \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq 0}}^{n} \lambda_j x_{ij} \leq \theta_0^{VRS-\sup er} x_{i0,} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\
&\qquad\qquad \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq 0}}^{n} \lambda_j y_{rj} \geq y_{r0,} \qquad r = 1, 2, \ldots, s, \\
&\qquad\qquad \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq 0}}^{n} \lambda_j = 1, \\
&\qquad\qquad \theta_0^{VRS-\sup er} \geq 0, \\
&\qquad\qquad \lambda_j \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

- **Page 8:**

  Line 6, a period "." should be inserted between "DMUj" and "θj".

- **Page 10:**

  Line 4, "per 1000 persons" should be deleted.

- **Page 11:**

  From the first line, "R0.864", "R" should be deleted.

  The measurement unit for Taxable income in Table 2, "*thousand yen/person*" should be replaced by "%".

- **Page 16:**

  The Line 7 from the bottom, "the Hausman test results suggest that" should be deleted.

- **Page 17:**

  Table 5 should be replaced by this new Table.

Table 5 Correlation matrix of variables of interest (Pearson's correlations/Spearman rank correlations)

Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
(1) SDEA Scores	1.000	−0.201***	−0.261***	−0.594***	−0.087	−0.173***	0.015	0.058	−0.052	−0.160***	−0.270***	−0.543***	−0.268***
(2) Living and infrastructure	−0.337***	1.000	0.590 ***	0.273***	0.211***	0.057	−0.0580	−0.046	0.026	0.090	0.098	0.0167	0.157***
(3) Education	−0.267***	0.701 ***	1.000	0.501***	0.358***	0.378***	−0.0179	−0.095	−0.019	0.229***	0.170***	0.1409**	0.275***
(4) Welfare	−0.501***	0.510***	0.663***	1.000	0.074	0.380 ***	−0.0700	−0.262***	−0.005	0.606***	0.456***	0.663***	0.462***
(5) Hygiene	−0.183***	0.316***	0.426***	0.241 ***	1.000	0.158***	0.0731	0.1136*	0.015	0.046	−0.139**	−0.273***	0.078
(6) Industry	−0.169***	0.237***	0.329***	0.341 ***	0.172***	1.000	0.309***	−0.277***	−0.318***	0.211***	0.237***	0.086	0.112*
(7) Firefighting	−0.038	−0.199 ***	−0.035	0.011	0.186***	0.358***	1.00	−0.021	−0.217***	−0.184***	−0.295***	−0.080	−0.130***
(8) Budget expenditure consistency	0.041	−0.170***	−0.321***	−0.359***	−0.072	−0.205***	−0.025	1.000	0.654***	−0.280***	−0.092*	−0.073	−0.148***
(9) Budget income consistency	−0.018	−0.052	−0.194***	−0.156***	−0.081	−0.246***	−0.174***	0.679***	1.000	−0.084*	0.096**	0.072	−0.020
(10) Ratio	−0.094**	0.278***	0.419***	0.632***	0.158***	0.294***	−0.133**	−0.336***	−0.122***	1.000	0.294***	0.376***	0.250***
(11) Taxable income	−0.091*	0.215***	0.172***	0.297***	−0.194***	0.222***	−0.334***	−0.009	0.093*	0.265***	1.000	0.612***	0.274***
(12) Population density	−0.530***	0.100*	0.120**	0.545***	−0.163***	0.101*	−0.002	0.002	0.083*	0.317***	0.446***	1.000	0.276***
(13) Population growth	−0.198***	0.366***	0.391***	0.477***	0.196***	0.154***	−0.035	−0.145***	−0.100**	0.187***	0.096***	0.188***	1.000

*** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

- **Page 18:**

The Lines 3–4, the sentence "Also, the correlation coefficient changed into a positive sign in the TCs, though statistical significance remained)." should be deleted.

Table 6 should be replaced by this new Table.

The second line from the bottom, "and social welfare (β=0.0593; p<0.10)" should be deleted.

The Lines 5–6 in the first paragraph: "invest more in hygiene and sanitation assets" should be deleted.

The second line of the second paragraph: the line should be read as "could only be identified for investment in educational assets" with the insertion of "educational" between "in" and "assets".

Table 6 Results of data analysis (the fixed- and mixed-effects models) over 2008–2017

VARIABLES	Model 1 (FE)	Model 2 (ME)	Model 3 (ME)
Living and infrastructure	.0302(.1819)	.0415**(.0172)	−.1572***(.0359)
Education	−.1429** (.0684)	−.0227 (.0190)	.0835**(.0385)
Welfare	.0390 (.0519)	−.0257(.0290)	.0227(.0263)
Hygiene	.0407(.0606)	−.06905**(.0278)	−.0084 (.0135)
Industrial	.0235(.0310)	−.0226(.0208)	−.0311(.0234)
Firefighting	.0302(.0430)	.0154(.0148)	.0094(.0139)
Budget expenditure consistency	−.0021(.0073)	−.0089(.0183)	−.0029 (.0077)
Budget income consistency	−.0121(.0115)	.0227(.0146)	−.0074(.0091)
Percentage of labor costs	−.0308(.0243)	−.0103(.0201)	−.02743(.0194)
Taxable income	−.0107(.0633)	−.1109***(.0245)	.0235(.0295)
Population density	−.4540***(.12744)	−.0895***(.0203)	−.0841*(.0477)
Population growth	.0092(.0074)	−.0025(.0169)	−.0018(.0142)
Constant	.8823***(.0321)	1.115***(.0711)	.8864***(.0477)
Year fixed-effect	*Yes*	*Yes*	*Yes*
R-square *or* Log pseudolikelihood	0.2750	98.2651	194.000
Observations	270	109	161
F-statistic (p value) or Wald χ^2 (p value)	4.33 (0.0000)	–	698.81 (0.0000)

*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1. Standard errors in parentheses

- **Page 19:**

The first line "(β=−0.1626; p<0.01)" should be replaced by "(β=−0.1572; p<0.01)".

The Lines 4–5 in the 4th paragraph, "services jointly supplied by the TMG" should be deleted.

The Line 6, "basically" should be replaced by "partly".

The second line in the 5th paragraph, "($\beta = -0.1089$; $p < 0.01$)" should be replaced by "($\beta = -0.1109$; $p < 0.01$)".

The second and third lines in the 5th paragraph, ", but a positive association ($\beta = -0.1109$; $p < 0.01$) for the SWs" should be deleted.

- **Page 20:**

The Line 4 "random" should be replaced by "mixed".