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#### Abstract

In this paper, we construct an SIRS epidemic model with birth pulse and pulse vaccination at the different fixed moment. The stability of the infection-free periodic solution is obtained by using the Poincaré map. The existence of nontrivial periodic solution bifurcated from the infection-free periodic solution is discussed by means of the bifurcation theory. It is shown that once a threshold is reached, a nontrivial periodic solution emerges via a supercritical bifurcation. Furthermore, some numerical simulations are given, which are in good accordance with the theoretical results.
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## 1 Introduction

Man has been facing a threat of many diseases, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) affected

[^0]in 2003, because environmental destruction is increasingly aggravated. Therefore, it is urgent for us to reveal the propagation mechanism of disease and find an effective prevention measure.

Epidemic models of the impulsive differential equation have been formulated by many researchers [1-5] in the past few years. Zeng [1] studied the epidemic model with the impulsive vaccination and obtained the condition for which infection-free periodic solution was globally asymptotically stable. Pei [2] investigated two delayed SIR models with the impulsive vaccination and a generalized nonlinear incidence. They obtained the sufficient conditions for the eradication and permanence of the disease, respectively. Li [3] formulated SIR and SVS epidemic models with the vaccination and obtained the basic reproduction number determining whether the disease died out or persisted eventually. An impulsive vaccination strategy of the epidemic model with the nonlinear incidence rate $\beta S^{2} I$ was considered in [4]. Using the discrete dynamical system determined by the stroboscopic map, they obtained the infectionfree periodic solution that was globally asymptotically stable. An SIS epidemic model with the impulsive vaccination was investigated in [5], and some results were obtained for the global stability of the infection-free periodic solution and the existence of the nontrivial periodic solution.

In population model, population births are usually assumed to be continuous and time independent. However, population growth rate mostly depends on the
numbers of reproducing offsprings, and these births are seasonal or occur in regular pulse. Hence, the continuous reproduction is removed from the model and replaced with an annual birth pulse [6-9]. In [9], authors formulated the following the model:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sigma S-\beta S I+\delta R  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} I}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\beta S I-(\gamma+\sigma) I \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} R}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\gamma I-(\delta+\sigma) I \\
\Delta S=(b-c N) N-p S \\
\Delta I=0 \\
\Delta R=p S
\end{array}\right\} t \neq n T
$$

where $\Delta S=S\left(t^{+}\right)-S(t), \Delta I=I\left(t^{+}\right)-I(t), \Delta R=$ $R\left(t^{+}\right)-R(t), c=r(b-d), N=S+I+R . S(t), I(t)$ and $R(t)$ denote the numbers of susceptible, infective, and removed individual at time $t$, respectively. $\sigma$ is the natural death rate, $\delta$ is the rate at which infective individual loses immunity and returns to the susceptible class, and $\gamma$ is the natural recovery rate of the infective population. Susceptible become infectious at a rate $\beta I$, where $\beta$ is the contact rate. $d$ is the maximum death rate, $r$ is a parameter reflecting the relative importance of density-dependent population regulating through birth and death, and $b$ is the maximum birth rate. At each vaccination time, a constant fraction $p(0<p<1)$ of susceptible population is vaccinated under the impulsive vaccination strategy, and $T$ is the impulsive period. They investigated the existence and stability of the infection-free periodic solution and the nontrivial periodic solution [9].

Recently, many impulsive effects are assumed to occur at the same fixed moment [10-12] for simplicity. Correspondingly, synchronous bifurcation has also been investigated in [10-12]. In fact, all kinds of impulsive effects [13-15] occur at the different fixed moment. Liu [13] introduced an nonsynchronous pulse into $S I$ epidemic model and obtained the disease-free periodic solution that was globally asymptotically attractive. Zhao [14] investigated the inshore-offshore fishing model with the impulsive diffusion and pulsed harvesting at the different fixed time. The existence and stability of both the trivial periodic solution and the positive periodic solution are obtained in [14]. Zhang et al. [15] formulated the integrated pest management of the spraying pesticides and releasing natural enemies at the different fixed moment, and they investigated the
stability of the pest-eradication periodic solution and nontrivial periodic solution emerging via a supercritical bifurcation. From the point of the above paper [1015], nonsynchronous bifurcation is investigated only for two state variables. In this paper, we will investigate the nonsynchronous bifurcation of the three state variables by the impulsive bifurcation theory.

Motivated by [9-15], we introduce the nonsynchronous pulse into the following model:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\sigma S-\beta S I+\delta R, \\ \frac{\mathrm{~d} I}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\beta S I-(\gamma+\sigma) I, \\ \frac{\mathrm{~d} R}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\gamma I-(\delta+\sigma) R,\end{array}\right\} t \neq n T, \quad t \neq(n+l-1) T$,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\Delta S(t)=b N \mathrm{e}^{-N}, \\ \Delta I(t)=0, \\ \Delta R(t)=0,\end{array}\right\} \quad t=(n+l-1) T$,
$\Delta S=-p S$,
$\Delta I=0, \quad t=n T$,
$\Delta R=p S, \quad$,
$l(0<l<1)$ and $b$ are the positive constants. The meanings of other parameters are the same as system (1.1).

## 2 The stability of the infection-free periodic solution

Firstly, we give some basic properties about the following subsystem of system (1.2)
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\dot{S}(t)=-\sigma S+\delta R, \\ \dot{R}(t)=-(\delta+\sigma) R,\end{array}\right\} t \neq(n+l-1) T, \quad t \neq n T$,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\Delta S=b N \mathrm{e}^{-N}, \\ \Delta R=0,\end{array}\right\} \quad t=(n+l-1) T$,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\Delta S=-p S, \\ \Delta R=p S,\end{array}\right\} \quad t=n T$,
or
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\dot{N}(t)=-\sigma N, \\ \dot{R}(t)=-(\delta+\sigma) R,\end{array}\right\} t \neq(n+l-1) T, \quad t \neq n T$,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\Delta N=b N \mathrm{e}^{-N}, \\ \Delta R=0,\end{array}\right\} \quad t=(n+l-1) T$,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\Delta N=0, \\ \Delta R=p(N-R),\end{array}\right\} \quad t=n T$.

We can easily obtain the analytical solution of the system (2.2) on the interval $((n-1) T, n T]$.

We obtain $\lambda_{1}=(1+b) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \tau}, \lambda_{2}=(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) \tau}$, $0<\lambda_{2}<1$ and $0<\lambda_{1}<1$ for $b<\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1$. Hence,

$$
\begin{cases}N(t)= \begin{cases}N\left((n-1) T^{+}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma(t-(n-1) T)}, & (n-1) T<t \leq(n+l-1) T, \\ \left(1+b \exp \left(-N\left((n-1) T^{+}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma l T}\right)\right. \\ \times N\left((n-1) T^{+}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma(t-(n-1) T)},\end{cases}  \tag{2.3}\\ R(t)=R\left((n-1) T^{+}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-(\sigma+\delta)(t-(n-1) T)}, & (n-1) T<t \leq n T,\end{cases}
$$

Denote $N\left(n T^{+}\right)=N_{n T}, N\left((n-1) T^{+}\right)=N_{(n-1) T}$, $R\left(n T^{+}\right)=R_{n T}, R\left((n-1) T^{+}\right)=R_{(n-1) T}$, we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
N_{n T}= & N_{(n-1) T}\left(1+b \exp \left(-N_{(n-1) T} \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma l T}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma T},  \tag{2.4}\\
R_{n T}= & (1-p) R_{(n-1) T} \mathrm{e}^{-(\sigma+\delta) T} \\
& +p N_{(n-1) T}\left(1+b \exp \left(-N_{(n-1) T} \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma l T}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma T},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Equations (2.4) are difference equations. Difference system (2.4) has two fixed points $(0,0)$ and ( $N^{*}, R^{*}$ ), where $N^{*}=\mathrm{e}^{\sigma l T} \ln \frac{b}{\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1}, R^{*}=\frac{p N^{*}}{1-(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\sigma+\delta) T}}$. For each fixed point of difference equations, there is an associated periodic solution of system (2.2) and
the fixed point $(0,0)$ is stable if $b<\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1$. Similarly, for the fixed point $\left(N^{*}, R^{*}\right)$, we have
$\left|\begin{array}{ll}\lambda-1+\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \tau}\right) \ln \frac{b}{\mathrm{e}^{\sigma \tau}-1} & 0 \\ * & \lambda-(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) \tau}\end{array}\right|=0$,
where the asterisk does not influence on calculating the characteristic root; therefore, there is no need to calculate. From the above characteristic equation, we have $\lambda_{3}=1-\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \tau}\right) \ln \frac{b}{\mathrm{e}^{\sigma \tau}-1}, \lambda_{4}=(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) \tau}$. It is easy to compute that $0<\lambda_{4}<1$ and $-1<\lambda_{3}<1$ hold for $b<\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1\right) \ln \frac{2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma T}}$ and $b>\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1$. Therefore, the fixed point $\left(N^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ is stable for $\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-$ $1<b<\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1\right) \ln \frac{2}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma T}}$. Correspondingly, the infection-free periodic solution $\left(N *(t), 0, R^{*}(t)\right)$ of system (1.2) is given by the following form:

$$
\begin{cases}N^{*}(t)= \begin{cases}\mathrm{e}^{\sigma l T} \ln \frac{b}{\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma(t-(n-1) T)}, & (n-1) T<t \leq(n+l-1) T, \\ \mathrm{e}^{\sigma(l+1) T} \ln \frac{b}{\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma(t-(n-1) T)}, & (n+l-1) T<t \leq n T,\end{cases}  \tag{2.5}\\ R^{*}(t)=\frac{p \mathrm{e}^{\sigma l \tau} \ln \frac{b}{\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1}}{1-(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) T}} \mathrm{e}^{-(\sigma+\delta)(t-(n-1) T)}, \quad(n-1) T<t \leq n T,\end{cases}
$$

vice versa. Therefore, the dynamical behavior of system (2.3) is determined through the dynamical behavior of system (2.4) coupled with system (2.3). Thus, in the following, we will focus our attention on the system (2.3) and (2.4).

Next, we consider the stability of the fixed point by means of the characteristic equation. Firstly, the stability of the fixed point $(0,0)$ is determined by the following characteristic equation,
$\left|\begin{array}{ll}\lambda-(1+b) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \tau} & 0 \\ -p(1+b) \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma \tau} & \lambda-(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) \tau}\end{array}\right|=0$.

Therefore, we have:
Theorem 2.1 The infection-free periodic solution ( $\left.N^{*}(t), 0, R^{*}(t)\right)$ of system (1.2) is stable for $e^{\sigma T}-1<$ $b<\left(e^{\sigma T}-1\right) \ln \frac{2}{1-e^{-\sigma T}}$.

## 3 The bifurcation of the nontrivial periodic solution

In the following, we will view $b$ as a bifurcation parameter and investigate the bifurcation of the positive
periodic solution near the infection-free periodic solution $\left(N^{*}(t), 0, R^{*}(t)\right)$. From system (1.2) and $N(t)=$ $S(t)+I(t)+R(t)$, system (1.2) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{cases}\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} R}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\gamma I-(\delta+\sigma) R, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} I}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\beta(N-I-R) I \\
\quad-(\gamma+\sigma) I,
\end{array}\right\} & t \neq n T, \quad t \neq(n+l-1) T \\
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Delta R(t)=0, \\
\Delta I(t)=0, \\
\Delta R=p(N-I), \\
\Delta I=0,
\end{array}\right\} & t=(n+l-1) T, \\
\Delta I=n T .\end{cases}
$$

To this purpose, we shall employ a fixed point argument. We denote by $\Phi\left(t, U_{0}\right)$ the solution of the (unperturbed) system consisting of the first two equations of (1.2) for the initial data $U_{0}=\left(u_{0}^{1}, u_{0}^{2}\right)$; also, $\Phi=$ $\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}\right)$. We define the mapping $I_{1}, I_{2}: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by
$I_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$,
$I_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}-p x_{2}+p N, x_{2}\right)$
and the mapping $F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right): R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by
$F_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\gamma x_{2}-(\delta+\sigma) x_{1}$,
$F_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\beta\left(N-x_{2}-x_{1}\right) x_{2}-(\gamma+\sigma) x_{2}$.
Furthermore, let us define $\Psi:[0, \infty) \times R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by
$\Psi\left(T, U_{0}\right)=I_{2}\left(\Phi\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, U_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right) ;$
$\Psi\left(T, U_{0}\right)=\left(\Psi_{1}\left(T, U_{0}\right), \Psi_{2}\left(T, U_{0}\right)\right)$.
It is easy to see that $\Psi$ is actually the stroboscopic mapping associated with the system (3.1), which puts in the correspondence the initial data at $0_{+}$with the subsequent state of the system $\Psi\left(T^{+}, U_{0}\right)$ at $T_{+}$, where $T$ is the stroboscopic time snapshot.

We reduce the problem of finding a periodic solution of (3.1) to a fixed problem. Here, $U$ is a periodic solution of period $T$ for (3.1) if and only if its initial value $U(0)=U_{0}$ is a fixed point for $\Psi(T, \cdot)$. Consequently, to establish the existence of nontrivial periodic solutions of (3.1), one needs to prove the existence of the nontrivial fixed point of $\Psi$.

We are interested in the bifurcation of nontrivial periodic solution near $\left(R^{*}(t), 0\right)$. Assume that $X_{0}=$ $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ is starting point for the trivial periodic solution $\left(R^{*}(t), 0\right)$, where $x_{0}=R^{*}\left(0^{+}\right)$.To find a nontrivial
periodic solution of period $\tau$ with initial value $X$, we need to solve the fixed point problem $X=\Psi(\tau, X)$, or denoting $\tau=T+\widetilde{\tau}, X=X_{0}+\widetilde{X}$,
$X_{0}+\widetilde{X}=\Psi\left(T+\widetilde{\tau}, X_{0}+\widetilde{X}\right)$.
Let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
N(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{X}) & =X_{0}+\widetilde{X}-\Psi\left(T+\tilde{\tau}, X_{0}+\widetilde{X}\right) \\
& =\left(N_{1}(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{X}), N_{1}(\tilde{\tau}, \widetilde{X})\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

At the fixed point $N(\widetilde{\tau}, \widetilde{X})=0$. Let us denote
$D_{X} N(0,(0,0))=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{0}^{\prime} & b_{0}^{\prime} \\ c_{0}^{\prime} & d_{0}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$.
It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0}^{\prime}= & 1-\exp (-(\sigma+\delta) T)>0, \\
b_{0}^{\prime}= & -\gamma\left[\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) T} \int_{0}^{l T} \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{s}\left(\beta N(\tau)-\beta R^{*}(\tau)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} \tau} \mathrm{~d} s\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma)(1-l) T} \mathrm{e}^{-(\gamma+\sigma) u-\int_{0}^{u}\left(\beta N(\tau)-\beta R^{*}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau} d u\right], \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$c_{0}^{\prime}=0$,
$d_{0}^{\prime}=1-\exp \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta N(t)-\beta R^{*}(t)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} t$.
(see Appendix $A_{1}$ for details). A necessary condition for the bifurcation of nontrivial periodic solution near $\left(R^{*}(t), 0\right)$ is then
$\operatorname{det}\left[D_{X} N(0,(0,0))\right]=0$.
Since $D_{X} N(0,(0,0))$ is an upper triangular matrix and $1-\exp (-(\delta+\sigma) T)>0$, it consequently follows that $d_{0}^{\prime}=0$ is necessary for the bifurcation. It is easy to see that $d_{0}^{\prime}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1\right) \exp \left(\frac{(\sigma+\gamma) T}{\frac{\beta\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1\right)}{\sigma}+\frac{p \beta \mathrm{e}^{\sigma l T}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) T}\right)}{(\delta+\sigma)\left(1-(1-p) \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) T}\right)}}\right) \\
& \triangleq b^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It now remains to show that this necessary condition is also sufficient. This assertion represents the statement of the following theorem, which is our main result.

Theorem 3.1 A supercritical bifurcation occurs at $b=b^{*}$ in system (1.2), in the sense, for $\varepsilon>0$ such that $b \in\left(b^{*}, b^{*}+\varepsilon\right)$ there is a nontrivial periodic solution.

Proof With the above notations, it is that
$\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left[D_{X} N(0,(0,0))\right]\right)=1$,


Fig. 1 Graph describes the trivial periodic solution, $\sigma=$ $0.7, \beta=0.8, \delta=1, \gamma=1, b=2, p=0.3, T=2.5, l=0.5$. a Time series of the susceptible population. b Time series of the


infectious population. c Time series of the Removed population. d Phase space of the trivial periodic solution

Therefore, by the implicit function theorem, one may solve the equation $f_{1}(\widetilde{\alpha}, \alpha, z)=0$ near $(0,0,0)$ with respect to $z$ as a function of $\widetilde{\tau}$ and $\alpha$ and find $z=z(\tilde{\tau}, \alpha)$ such that $z(0,0)=0$ and
$f_{1}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha, z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha))=N_{1}\left(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)=0$.

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}(0,(0,0)) \cdot\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}(0,(0,0)) \\
& \quad+\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}(0,(0,0)) \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently,
$a_{0}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right)+b_{0}^{\prime}+a_{0}^{\prime} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)=0$.


(b)

(d)
the infectious population. c Time series of the removed population. d Phase space of the infection-free periodic solution

We may obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0) \cdot\left(1-\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \cdot(1-l) \\
&+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \cdot l,
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)= & \frac{1}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\left[-(\delta+\sigma) R^{*}(T)(1-l)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma)(1-l) T} R^{*}(l T) l\right] \\
= & -\frac{\delta+\sigma}{a_{0}^{\prime}} R^{*}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$



(a)

(c)

Fig. 3 Graph describes the nontrivial periodic solution, $\sigma=$ $0.7, \beta=0.8, \delta=1, \gamma=1, b=13.4, p=0.3, T=2, l=$ 0.5 . a Time series of the susceptible population. $\mathbf{b}$ Time series of

Then $N(\tilde{\tau}, \widetilde{X})=0$ if and only if
$f_{2}(\tilde{\tau}, \alpha)=N_{2}\left(\tilde{\tau},\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}} \alpha+z(\tilde{\tau}, \alpha), \alpha\right)\right)=0$.

Equation (3.8) is called the "determining equation," and the number of its solutions equals the number of periodic solutions of (1.2). We now proceed to solving (3.8). Let us denote
$f(\tilde{\tau}, \alpha)=f_{2}(\tilde{\tau}, \alpha, z)$.
Firstly, it is easy to see that $f(0,0)=N_{2}(0,(0,0))=$ 0 . We determine the Taylor expansion of $f$ around $(0,0)$. For this, we compute the first-order partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)$ and observe that

(b)

(d)
the infectious population. $\mathbf{c}$ Time series of the removed population. d Phase space of the nontrivial periodic solution
$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)=0$.
(see Appendix $A_{2}$ for the proof of this fact). Furthermore, it is observed in Appendix $A_{3}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\frac{\partial^{2} f(0,0)}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}^{2}}, B=\frac{\partial^{2} f(0,0)}{\partial \alpha \partial \widetilde{\tau}}<0, \\
C & =\frac{\partial^{2} f(0,0)}{\partial \alpha^{2}}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\tau}, \alpha)= & \frac{\partial^{2} f(0,0)}{\partial \alpha \partial \widetilde{\tau}} \alpha \widetilde{\tau}+\frac{\partial^{2} f(0,0)}{\partial \tilde{\tau}^{2}} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} \\
& +o(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha)\left(\tilde{\tau}^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha \tilde{\tau}}(0,0)<0$, by denoting $\tilde{\tau}=l \alpha$ (where $l=l(\alpha))$, we obtain that (3.7) is equivalent to
$B l+C \frac{l^{2}}{2}+o(l \alpha, \alpha)\left(1+l^{2}\right)=0$.
Since $B<0$ and $C>0$, this equation is solvable with respect to $l$ as a function of $\alpha$. Moreover, here, $l \approx-\frac{2 B}{C}>0$, which implies that there is a supercritical bifurcation to a nontrivial periodic solution near a period $T$ which satisfies the sufficient condition for the bifurcation $b=b^{*}$.

## 4 Discussion

In this paper, an SIRS epidemic model with birth pulse and pulse vaccination is discussed by means of a Poincaré map and bifurcation theory. We obtain the trivial periodic solution that is stable (see Fig. 1) for the birth rate $b<\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1$, which means population tends to extinction, and infection-free periodic solution $\left(S^{*}(t), 0, R^{*}(t)\right)$ is asymptotically stable for $\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1<b<\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma T}-1\right) \ln \frac{2}{\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma T}\right)}$, which is simulated in Fig. 2. Next, the bifurcation of nontrivial periodic solution via a projection method is investigated in Sect. 3, and there is a supercritical bifurcation of a nontrivial periodic solution which satisfies the sufficient condition for the bifurcation $b=b^{*}$. In Fig. 3, a nontrivial periodic solution is simulated for $b^{*}=0.636$.

## 5 Appendix $A_{1}$ : The first-order partial derivatives of $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$

By formally deriving the equation
$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}\left(\Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right)=F\left(\Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right)$,
which characterized the dynamics of the unperturbed flow associated with the first two equations in (1.2), one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[D_{X} \Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right]=D_{X} F\left(\Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right) D_{X} \Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation will be integrated in what follows in order to compute the components of $D_{X} \Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)$ explicitly. Firstly, it is clear that
$\Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)=\left(\Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right), 0\right)$.

Then we deduce that (5.1) takes the particular form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(t, X_{0}\right) \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
-(\delta+\sigma) & \gamma \\
0 & \beta N(t)-\beta R^{*}(t)-\gamma-\sigma
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(t, X_{0}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

the initial condition for (5.2) at $t=0$ being

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} \Phi\left(0, X_{0}\right)=I_{2} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $I_{2}$ is the identity matrix in $M_{2}(R)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}= & \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta N(s)-\beta R^{*}(s)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(0, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies, using the initial condition (5.3), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}=0, \text { for } t \geq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute $\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2},}$, from (5.2), one obtains that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}\right)= & -(\delta+\sigma) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}\right)= & -(\delta+\sigma) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} \\
& +\gamma \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}\right)= & \left(\beta N(t)-\beta R^{*}(t)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the initial condition, we obtain that
$\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}=\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) t}$,
$\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{s}\left(\beta N(t)-\beta R^{*}(t)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s$,
$\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(t, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{\left.\int_{0}^{t} \beta N(s)-\beta R^{*}(s)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} s}$.
From (3.1), we obtain that
$D_{X} N(0,(0,0))=I_{2}-D_{X} \psi\left(T, X_{0}\right)$,
which implies
$D_{X} N(0,(0,0))=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{0}^{\prime} & b_{0}^{\prime} \\ 0 & d_{0}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$,
with $a_{0}^{\prime}, b_{0}^{\prime}, d_{0}^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0}^{\prime}= & 1-\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{1}},  \tag{5.5}\\
b_{0}^{\prime}= & -\left[\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}((1-l) T, \\
& \left.\left.I_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right],  \tag{5.6}\\
d_{0}^{\prime}= & 1-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

6 Appendix $A_{2}$ : The first partial derivatives of $f$
By (3.1) and (3.7), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\alpha-\psi_{2}\left(T+\bar{\tau}, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right] \\
&= 1-\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\Phi _ { 2 } \left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
&= 1-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right) \times\left(\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 1 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}\right.\right. \\
&+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha))\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(\bar{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
&\left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l\left(T+\bar{\tau}, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l \left(T+\bar{\tau}, X_{0}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\left(\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 2 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l\left(T+\bar{\tau}, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right) . \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f(0,0)}{\partial \alpha}= & 1-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)=0, \\
& \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)=0, \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

it is seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)= & 1-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \\
= & d_{0}^{\prime}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.1) and (3.7), it is seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left[\alpha-\Psi_{2}\left(T+\bar{\tau}, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right] \\
&=-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left[\Phi _ { 2 } \left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right] \\
&=-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)(1-l) \\
&-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right) \cdot\left(\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 1 } } { \partial \overline { \tau } } \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right) \cdot l+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(\bar{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
&-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)(1-q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 2 } } { \partial \overline { \tau } } \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right) \cdot l+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(\bar{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(0,0) \\
&=-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)(1-l) \\
&-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \cdot l+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(0,0)\right) \\
&-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
&(1-q)\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \cdot l+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(0,0)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)=0  \tag{6.4}\\
& \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)=0 \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\tau}}(0,0)=0
$$

## 7 Appendix $\boldsymbol{A}_{3}$ : The second-order partial derivatives of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Again, by formally deriving

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right)=F\left(\Phi\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right)
$$

as done in appendix $A_{1}$, we may get $\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)$, $\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right), \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)$ as the solutions of certain initial value problems.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\beta N(t)-\beta \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \\
& -\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, X_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 0
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta N(s)-\beta \Phi_{1}\left(s, X_{0}\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} s} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(0, X_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(0, X_{0}\right)=0$, this implies that $\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)=0$ for $t \geq 0$.

By similar method, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\beta N(t)-\beta \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right) \\
& \quad-\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since
$\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(0, X_{0}\right)=0$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)= & -\beta \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta N(s)-\beta \Phi_{1}\left(s, X_{0}\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} t} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(s, X_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we may compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
\quad= & \left(\beta N(t)-\beta \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right) \\
& -\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to $\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(t, X_{0}\right)= & -\beta \mathrm{e}^{\int_{0}^{t}\left(\beta N(t)-\beta \Phi_{1}\left(t, X_{0}\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} t} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(s, X_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that
$\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial \bar{\tau}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)=0$,
$\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)=0$,
$\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)=0$.
Considering (7.1)-(7.3) with (6.2)-(6.5), we obtain
$\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \bar{\tau}^{2}}(0,0)=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}^{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)(1-l)^{2}$.
Since
$\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial \bar{\tau}^{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)=0$,
we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \bar{\tau}^{2}}(0,0)=0
$$

We then compute $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha^{2}}(0,0)$. By (6.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha^{2}}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) \\
&= \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}\left(\alpha-\Psi_{2}\left(T+\widetilde{\tau}, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right) \\
&=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}\left(\Phi _ { 2 } \left((1-l)(T+\widetilde{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi(T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
&=-\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 2 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left((1-l)(T+\widetilde{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right] \times\left(\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 1 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
&\left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right) \\
&-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left((1-l)(T+\widetilde{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi \left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right) \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 1 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
&\left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 2 } } { \partial x _ { 2 } } \left((1-l)(T+\widetilde{\tau}), I_{1}\left(\Phi(T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right] \times\left(\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 1 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l)(T+\widetilde{\tau}), I_{1}\left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right) \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 2 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((T+\widetilde{\tau}) l, X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\widetilde{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

After a few computations, we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha^{2}} & (0,0) \\
= & -\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac { \partial \Phi _ { 2 } } { \partial x _ { 1 } } \left((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}), I_{1}(\Phi(l(T+\bar{\tau}),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]\left.\right|_{(\bar{\tau}, \alpha)=(0,0)} \\
& \times\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}((1-l)(T+\bar{\tau}),\right. \\
& I_{1}\left(\Phi \left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]\left.\right|_{(\bar{\tau}, \alpha)=(0,0)} \\
& \left.\times\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)\right)+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\left[\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l(T+\bar{\tau}), X_{0}+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \times\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)\right)+\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l \left(T+\bar{\tau}, X_{0}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+\alpha Y_{0}+z(\bar{\tau}, \alpha) E_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]\left.\right|_{(\bar{\tau}, \alpha)=(0,0) .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again (7.2) and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \alpha}(0,0)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha^{2}}(0,0) \\
&=-2 \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \times \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)-\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times\left[2 \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, it can be deduced from above that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha^{2}}(0,0)>0
$$

It is also seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha \partial \bar{\tau}}(0,0) \\
&=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2} \partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)(1-l) \\
&-\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) l+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)\right) \\
&-\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2} \partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we compute the right-hand side of the equation above. It is showed that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2} \partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right)(1-l) \\
& \quad=-\left(\beta N(T)-\beta R^{*}(T)-\gamma-\sigma\right)(1-l), \\
& -\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{(1-l) T} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) t} \mathrm{~d} t, \\
& \frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) l+\frac{\partial \Phi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0) \\
& \quad=-(\delta+\sigma) R^{*}(l T) l+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) l T}\left(-(\delta+\sigma) R^{*}(T)\right)}{a_{0}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-(\delta+\sigma)\left(R^{*}(l T) l+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) l T} R^{*}(T)}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \\
- & \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2} \partial \widetilde{\tau}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) l\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)\right) \\
= & -\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left((1-l) T, I_{1}\left(\Phi\left(l T, X_{0}\right)\right)\right)((\beta N(l T) \\
& \left.-\beta R^{*}(l T)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) l \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(l T, X_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{l T} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) s} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{\tau}}(0,0)\right) \\
= & -\left[\left(\beta N(l T)-\beta R^{*}(l T)-\gamma-\sigma\right) l\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{l T} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) s} \mathrm{~d} s \frac{(\delta+\sigma) R^{*}(T)}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it is concluded that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha \partial \tilde{\tau}}(0,0)= & -\left(\beta N(T)-\beta R^{*}(T)-\gamma-\sigma\right)(1-l) \\
& -(\delta+\sigma)\left(R^{*}(l T) l+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) l T} R^{*}(T)}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{(1-l) T} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& -\left[\left(\beta N(l T)-\beta R^{*}(l T)-\gamma-\sigma\right) l\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{l T} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-(\delta+\sigma) s} \mathrm{~d} s \frac{(\delta+\sigma) R^{*}(T)}{a_{0}^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}>0$ and $\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(N(s)-R^{*}(s)\right)-\right.$ $\gamma-\sigma) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{T}(\beta S(u)-\gamma-\sigma) d u=0$, we have $\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta\left(N(s)-R^{*}(s)\right)-\gamma-\sigma\right) \mathrm{d} s<\beta S(l T) l-(\gamma+$ $\sigma) l+\beta S(T)(1-l)-(\gamma+\sigma)(1-l)$. Consequently, one notes that $\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \alpha \partial \tau}(0,0)<0$.
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