TOPIC REVIEW



Prevalence of symptoms in glioma patients throughout the disease trajectory: a systematic review

Margriet IJzerman-Korevaar¹ · Tom J. Snijders² · Alexander de Graeff¹ · Saskia C. C. M. Teunissen³ · Filip Y. F. de Vos¹

Received: 6 July 2018 / Accepted: 9 September 2018 / Published online: 30 October 2018 © The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Background Glioma patients suffer from a wide range of symptoms which influence quality of life negatively. The aim of this review is to give an overview of symptoms most prevalent in glioma patients throughout the total disease trajectory, to be used as a basis for the development of a specific glioma Patient Reported Outcome Measure (PROM) for early assessment and monitoring of symptoms in glioma patients.

Methods A systematic review focused on symptom prevalence in glioma patients in different phases of disease and treatment was performed in MEDLINE, CINAHL and EMBASE according to PRISMA recommendations. We calculated weighted means for prevalence rates per symptom.

Results The search identified 2.074 unique papers, of which 32 were included in this review. In total 25 symptoms were identified. The ten most prevalent symptoms were: seizures (37%), cognitive deficits (36%), drowsiness (35%), dysphagia (30%), headache (27%), confusion (27%), aphasia (24%), motor deficits (21%), fatigue (20%) and dyspnea (20%).

Conclusions Eight out of ten of the most prevalent symptoms in glioma patients are related to the central nervous system and therefore specific for glioma. Our findings emphasize the importance of tailored symptom care for glioma patients and may aid in the development of specific PROMs for glioma patients in different phases of the disease.

Keywords Glioma · Glioblastoma · Symptoms · Adverse events · Toxicity · Patient reported outcomes · PROM

Introduction

Gliomas are the most common primary malignant brain tumors in adults. The annual incidence of malignant glioma in the United States is $\sim 5/100,000$ with a slight

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-018-03015-9) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Margriet IJzerman-Korevaar m.ijzerman-korevaar-2@umcutrecht.nl

- ¹ Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Center, University Medical Center Utrecht, University Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ² Department of Neurology, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, University Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ³ Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, University Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands

predominance in males [1]. Despite multimodal treatment prognosis remains poor, especially for glioblastoma [2]. Glioma patients often suffer from a wide range of symptoms. These symptoms are often of a neurological nature [3] with a great impact on the patients' quality of life [4, 5]. Symptom burden in cancer patients may also influence treatment intensity [6]. Improving symptom management in order to maintain quality of life has therefore become a major treatment goal [7].

Symptoms in glioma patients can be caused by the tumor or occur as side effect of treatment. Adequate symptom management for glioma patients relies on knowledge about the prevalence of symptoms in this patient population and efficacy of symptom-aimed treatments [4, 8]. Different papers have reviewed the prevalence or treatment of unique symptoms in glioma patients, such as cognitive deficits [9], seizures [10], and depression [11]. In other papers side effects for specific treatment regimens were reviewed, e.g. toxicity of systemic treatment [12]. However, to our knowledge a review of the symptom burden of

the glioma population for the total disease trajectory has not been published.

A thorough overview of symptoms in the total trajectory of glioma patients may also stimulate the development of Patient Reported Outcome Measurements (PROMS) about symptoms for this population. PROMS for assessment of symptoms have been successfully introduced in patient care in the last decade and have been identified as an essential part of symptom management for glioma patients [13–15]. While a few PROMS have been validated to measure symptoms in brain tumor patients (Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Brain/FACT-Br [16], EORTC QLQ-BN20 [17], and MD Anderson Symptom Inventory-Brain/MDASI-BT) [18], only the MDASI-BT is suitable for daily use. The Edmonton Symptom Assessment System (ESAS) is one of the most used PROM's in symptom care worldwide and has been validated in different groups of patients [19]. Use of this tool resulted in significant improvement of patients symptom burden and symptom management delivered in a diversity of health care settings [20, 21]. However, the ESAS is based on most prevalent symptoms in cancer patients in general and does not include symptoms for specific tumor types like glioma. It has been recommended to add additional questions for specific patient groups [19].

The aim of this study is to perform a systematic review of symptom prevalence in patients with a glioma throughout the total disease trajectory, in order to enhance professionals' awareness of the symptom burden of glioma patients, and to provide a basis for the development of a symptom-directed glioma PROM suitable for use in clinical practice as well as in research.

Methods

We performed a systematic literature review using the databases MEDLINE, EMBASE and CINAHL, searching from January 1st 2000 until December 31, 2017. The search domain included synonyms for the 'glioma' population and for 'symptoms, signs, side effects and adverse events' (see Supplementary Material I). Papers in English or Dutch language were included if they described the prevalence of symptoms, signs or adverse events in adult glioma patients, present in any stage of the disease. We only included papers with 50 patients or more to avoid bias due to small sample sizes. Papers on HRQoL were included when prevalence of symptoms was reported. Papers were excluded if they:

- did not describe original studies
- described only severity of symptoms or hematological toxicities.

Two researchers (FYFdV and MIJ) selected papers based on title and abstract. Agreement about the selection of full papers was reached in consensus meetings. All data from the selected studies by researcher one (FYFdV or MIJ) were checked by researcher two (FYFdV or MIJ). We hand-searched included papers for cross-references. Included studies were evaluated according to the STROBE statement (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) [22], see Supplementary Material Table II. We registered symptom prevalence for different phases of disease: at diagnosis; during treatment and follow-up; and in the end-of-life stage. Prevalence of symptoms by glioma grade was also described, when available. For symptoms that were defined differently in the included studies (e.g. cognitive disorders) the most deployed definition was used in this review, but all original descriptions were registered.

For all studies both the characteristics of the study population and the prevalence rates of symptoms were registered for the total group and for subgroups, if available. In one study the first author was contacted to provide additional information about prevalence rates of symptoms not explicitly mentioned in the paper [23].

This systematic review was conducted following the PRISMA statement (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis) [24].

Data analysis

We registered the prevalence rates of symptoms per study. Weighted means were calculated per symptom for the total disease trajectory and per phase of disease. Only studies describing the specific symptom were included in this analysis. For symptoms registered separately such as 'nausea' and 'vomiting' instead of 'nausea/vomiting' the highest rates were used for calculating weighted means to achieve prevalence rates best representing the total group. If symptom prevalence was only registered for different phases such as 'presenting symptoms' and 'phase of follow-up', with no registration of prevalence for the total disease trajectory, we also used the highest reported rates to calculate weighted means.

Results

Published papers

The search strategy identified 2074 unique papers of which 32 papers were included for this review with a total of 7656 patients included (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 Selection of papers



Study and patient characteristics

Study characteristics are presented in Table 1. Seven papers used a prospective design [25-31], one of which was a randomized controlled trial [28]. Data were usually collected by a search in the patients' medical records. In seven studies describing symptoms in the treatment phase, symptoms were registered according to the CTCAE (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events), varying from registering all grades, to only registering grade 3 and 4 [25, 28, 31–35]. In four studies data were collected by means of validated PROMs including symptoms: the EORTC module for brain cancer patients (EORTC OLO-BN20) [27], the ESAS-r (ESAS revised) [30], the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [26, 36], the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS) [36], and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [36]. Telephone interviews with patients were performed in the study of Sizoo, including 58 patients, in addition to data that were obtained from the medical records [37]. The study of Russo, including 527 patients, used face to face interviews [38]. Questionnaires completed by proxies and physicians after the patient died were conducted in the study of Koekkoek, including 178 patients [23].

Seventeen papers described symptoms in glioma patients at time of diagnosis [29, 33, 38-52]. In sixteen papers symptoms are described in the phase of treatment or follow-up [25, 27, 28, 31–36, 40, 43, 48, 50, 51, 53, 54]. After initial surgery, patients were treated with chemoradiation, chemotherapy or targeted therapy, or radiation. In eleven of the twelve papers recording symptoms and toxicities during or after systemic treatment, chemotherapy or chemoradiation with temozolomide was part of the treatment [25, 28, 31-35, 31-35]40, 43, 53, 54]. One paper that registered symptoms during follow-up did not describe which chemotherapy was administered to patients [27]. Three papers described symptoms in the first 10 weeks after surgery: 1-6 weeks postoperatively [48], within 30 days postoperatively [53] and within 10 weeks postoperatively [36]. Symptoms in the end-of-life phase were described in three papers, in which the definition of end of life varied from the moment no next lines of

Table 1 Study speci	ifics						
Study	u	Goal	Treatment	Time point	Retrospective/Prospective	Datacollection	References
Bae, 2013	300	Investigate signs and symp- toms during temozolomide	Chemotherapy	Treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records on CTCAE version 3.0, grade 1–4	[32]
Brada, 2001	138	Investigate efficacy and toxicity of temozolomide in glioblastoma patients	Chemotherapy	Treatment	P (phase II trial)	Medical records on CTCAE, grade 1–4	[25]
Cao, 2012	112	Investigate safety and efficacy during chemoradia- tion vs. radiation in elderly patients	Chemoradiation, radiation (hyofractioned) chemo- therapy	Diagnosis, treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records on CTCAE version 3.0, grade 1–5	[33]
Chen, 2017	712	Investigate mutant IDH1 and seizures in glioma patients		Diagnosis	R (cross-sectional)	Medical records	[39]
Diamond, 2017	50	Investigate prognostic awareness, communication and cognitive function in patients with glioma		All	۵.	HADS (score 9 or higher)	[26]
Ening, 2015	233	Investigate risk factors for glioma therapy complica- tions at diagnosis	Surgery, chemotherapy, chemoradiation, radiation	Treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records	[53]
Iuchi, 2014	121	Investigate incidence epi- lepsy in glioma patients	Surgery, chemoradiation	Diagnosis, FU**	R (cohort)	Medical records	[40]
Jakola, 2012	55	Investigate the association between location, survival, and long-term health in patients with low grade glioma	Surgery, radiation and/or chemotherapy	FU	<u>م</u>	EORTC-BN20 (Likert score 3 and 4)	[27]
Kerkhof, 2013	291	Investigate seizure control of valproic acid	Anti-epileptics	Diagnosis, All (diagnosis and FU)	R (cohort)	Medical records*	[41]
Kim, 2013	406	Investigate incidence epi- lepsy in glioma patients	Surgery, chemoradation, chemotherapy, radiation	Diagnosis, All (diagnosis and FU)	R (cohort)	Medical records*	[42]
Kocher, 2005	81	Investigate signs and symp- toms during chemoradia- tion	Chemoradiation	Treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records*	[54]
Koekkoek, 2014	178	Investigate signs and symp- toms at end-of life	Palliative care	End-of-life	R (cross-sectional)	Developed symptom ques- tionnaire, completed by physician's and proxies after patient died	[23]
Liang, 2016	184	Investigate indidence of epilepsy in supratentorial glioblastoma patients	Surgery, chemotherapy, (intra-tumor) radiotherapy	Diagnosis, FU	R (cohort)	Medical records	[43]
Malström, 2012	291	Investigate safety and effi- cacy during chemotherapy vs. radiation in elderly patients	Chemotherapy, (hypofrac- tioned) radiation	Treatment	P (RCT)	WHO grading system for AE grade 2–5; N/V by National Cancer Institute CTC version 2.0	[28]

Table 1 (continued)							
Study	u	Goal	Treatment	Time point	Retrospective/Prospective	Datacollection	References
Mamo, 2017	64	Investigate adverse events in glioblastoma patients with bevacizumab	Targeted therapy	Treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records, CTCAE grade 3 and 4	[34]
Piribauer, 2003	103	Investigate feasibility and toxicity during lomustine therapy in eldery patients	Chemotherapy	Diagnosis	R (cohort)	Medical records	[44]
Posti, 2015	142	Investigate presenting symp- toms at diagnosis		Diagnosis	R (cohort)	Medical records from emer- gency rooms, intensive care unit, and different inpatient wards; hospital and imag- ing referrals, disch letters	[45]
Rasmussen, 2017	1930	Investigate symptoms in glioma patients	Surgery	Diagnosis	P (cohort)	Danish Neuro-oncology Registry	[29]
Russo, 2017	527	Investigate prevalence of headache in glioma patients		Diagnosis	R (cross-sectional)	Face to face interviews	[38]
Sagberg, 2013	164	Investigate responsiveness of EQ-5D in glioma patients with surgery	Surgery	Diagnosis	R (cross-sectional)	Medical records	[46]
Saito, 2014	76	Investigate signs and symp- toms during chemoradia- tion in eldery patients	Chemoradiation, radiation, chemotherapy	Treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records-CTCAE grade 3 and 4	[35]
Salmaggi, 2005	134	Set up a registry for glioblas- toma patients in Lombar- dia, Italy	Surgery radiation chemo- therapy	Diagnosis	R (cohort)	Medical records-reports on signs/symptoms and seizures	[47]
Sanai, 2012	119	Investigate surgery associated complications	Surgery	Diagnosis, treatment	R (cohort)	Medical records and tel- ephone interviews	[48]
Seekatz, 2017	54	Screening for symptom bur- den in glioma patients		All	P(cohort)	Revised Edmonton Symp- tom Assessment System (ESAS-r) Score 4–10	[30]
Sizoo, 2010	58	Investigate signs and symp- toms at end-of life	Palliative care	End-of-life	R (cohort)	Medical records& charts of nurse specialist on telephone interviews about symptoms based on self- developed checklist	[37]
Stupp, 2002	64	Investigate toxicity of chemo- radation	Chemoradiation plus adju- vant chemotharapy	Treatment	P (cohort)	Medical records - CTCAE version 2.0, grade 3-4	[31]
Thrier, 2015	57	Investigate signs and symp- toms at end-of life	Palliative care	End-of-life	R (cohort)	Daily reporting of signs and symptoms by standardized protocol	[55]

Table 1 (continued)						
Study	n Goal	Treatment	Time point	Retrospective/Prospective	Datacollection	References
Valko, 2014	65 Investigate incidence fatigue after surgery in glioma patients	Surgery	Treatment	P (cohort)	Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS score 4–9), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS score 10 or higher), Hospi- tal Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS score 10 or higher)	[36]
Van Breemen, 2009	108 Investigate seizure control of anti-epileptics	. Anti-epileptics	Diagnosis, All (diagnosis and FU)	R (cohort)	Medical records	[49]
Woo, 2014	198 Investigate risk factors for seizures in glioma patients	Surgery, chemoradiation, chemotherapy	Diagnosis, FU	R (cohort)	Medical records	[50]
You, 2012	508 Investigate incidence epi- lepsy and postoperative seizure control	Surgery	Diagnosis, FU	R (cohort)	Medical records*	[51]
Yuile, 2006	133 Investigate signs and symp- toms during radiotherapy	Radiation	Diagnosis	R (cohort)	Medical records	[52]
*Not explicitly menti	oned, ** <i>FU</i> follow up					

established tumor treatment were possible [37] to 3 months and 1 week before death (retrospectively described by proxies and physicians after the patients' death) [23], and the last 10 days of life [55]. Two papers registered symptoms in all phases of the disease [26, 30]. Of all papers, nine recorded three or less predefined symptoms: seizures only in seven studies [39–41, 43, 49–51]; and seizures, cognitive deficits and headache in two studies [29, 38].

Patient characteristics are described in Table 2. Most patients were male (60%) and suffered from glioblastoma WHO grade IV.

Symptom prevalence throughout the disease course

A total of 25 symptoms were identified: alopecia, anorexia, aphasia, anxiety/depression, cognitive deficits, constipation, confusion, diarrhea, dizziness, drowsiness, dyspepsia, dysphagia, dyspnea, fatigue, gait disturbance, headache, motor deficits, nausea/vomiting, pain, right-left-confusion, seizures, sensory deficits, skin problems, urinary incontinence, and visual deficits. The symptoms nausea/vomiting and anxiety/depression were commonly registered as paired symptoms. In this review we used this paired definition for these symptoms, but if prevalence rates were only described for the symptoms separately in studies, we registered both of these rates.

Most prevalent symptoms

The prevalence of symptoms for the total disease trajectory is recorded in Supplementary Material Table III. Table 3 shows weighted means of symptom prevalence. The ten most prevalent symptoms for the total disease trajectory are: seizures (37%), cognitive deficits (36%), drowsiness (35%), dysphagia (30%), headache (27%), confusion (27%), aphasia (24%), motor deficits (21%), fatigue (20%) and dyspnea (20%).

The symptoms presented here as most prevalent are not necessarily the symptoms reported in most studies. Confusion and dyspnea for example are reported in only three studies, including two studies in the end of life phase [23, 37]. When excluding studies which registered only unique symptoms (n=9), the most frequently reported symptoms in the 23 remaining studies are: seizures (16 studies), headache (14 studies), fatigue (13 studies), nausea/vomiting (12 studies), and motor deficits (10 studies).

Symptom prevalence per phase

The prevalence of symptoms per phase of disease is also recorded in Supplementary Material Table III, and weighted means in Table 3. The five most prevalent symptoms in the diagnostic phase are cognitive deficits (36%), seizures (35%), headache (31%), dizziness (24%), and motor deficits (22%). In the treatment and follow-up phase the most prevalent symptoms are seizures (37%), nausea/vomiting (23%), cognitive deficits (18%), fatigue (14%), visual deficits (13%) and anorexia (13%). Nausea/vomiting is more prevalent during systemic treatment than postoperatively. Other symptoms in the treatment phase are less common, with weighted prevalence means of 10% or less. In the end-of-life phase, drowsiness (81%), fatigue (50%), aphasia (48%), seizures (45%), cognitive deficits (44%), and motor deficits (44%) are most prevalent.

Most of the 25 symptoms are described in all three phases of disease and treatment. Alopecia, anorexia, dyspepsia and diarrhea are only reported during systemic treatment or radiation.

Symptom prevalence by tumor grade

In some studies symptom prevalence was described by tumor grade (see Table 4). Seizures show a high prevalence in all grades. Cognitive disorders are more prevalent in grade III and IV tumors, but their prevalence in grade II tumors is still considerable. The prevalence of headache is less different between tumor grades (22–38%).

Discussion

The most prevalent symptoms in patients with glioma throughout the total disease trajectory in this review are seizures, cognitive deficits, drowsiness, dysphagia, headache, confusion, aphasia, motor deficits, fatigue, and dyspnea. The exact prevalence of symptoms varies strongly between different phases of the disease. The findings of the review emphasize the unique nature of glioma patients' symptom burden, which is closer related to the symptoms of a brain disease than to the symptom burden of cancer patients in general [56, 57].

Seizures are highly prevalent in glioma patients. Seizures were assessed frequently and were registered exclusively in seven papers [39–41, 43, 49–51]. To avoid bias of increased attention for this symptom in these papers, we also calculated weighted mean prevalence of seizures in papers not exclusively registering the symptom. The prevalence of seizures then decreased to 28%, which is still high. The symptoms confusion, dysphagia and dyspnea show especially high prevalence in the end-of-life phase, but are reported less frequently during the phases of diagnosis and treatment and follow-up.

This review shows the unique nature of glioma patients' symptom burden. Symptoms seem to be largely caused by the tumor itself and to a much lesser degree by treatment. This is confirmed by results of other studies. A review of Sizoo [58] about symptoms in the end-of life-phase for glioma patients showed a comparable or even higher prevalence of neurological symptoms such as seizures, cognitive decline and progressive neurological deficits compared to our study. Except for fatigue, the more generally acknowledged end-of-life symptoms in cancer such as anorexia and weight loss occur less often in glioma patients than in other groups of palliative care patients. Ostgathe concluded that the prevalence of confusion in the end-of-life phase was significantly higher in patients with primary brain tumors than in patients with brain metastases or a general palliative care population [59]. In a systematic review of Wei [12] reporting toxicities in patients with high grade glioma treated with chemo-radiation, gastrointestinal toxicities and fatigue remained under 7%.

Eight of the ten most prevalent symptoms in this review are included in at least two of the three existing PROMS measuring symptoms in glioma patients with the same or different wordings (EORTC QLQ-BN20, FACT-Br, MDASI-BT). Confusion and dysphagia are not included in one of them. This could be because of their prominence in the end-of-life phase: other PROMS did not include all phases of the total disease trajectory in development of the PROM. Dyspnea and fatigue are reported in the core versions of the three PROMS (dyspnea not on the FACT-Br). 'Visual deficits' is included in all three mentioned PROMS, but showed a prevalence of only 12% in this review. No other neurological symptoms are included in at least two of those three PROMS.

Limitations

In this review only seven of the 32 studies we included used prospective data. In only four studies patients were asked about symptoms themselves by a validated PROM, only one of which was specifically developed for patients with brain tumors (QLQ-BN-20). Most studies used collected data in medical records only, which possibly resulted in symptoms being missed because patients were not asked about them or the symptoms were not documented in the records. Patients are more likely to reveal their real symptom burden with the use of a questionnaire than through spontaneous self-report [60]. This phenomenon is likely to have led to underreporting of symptoms. The poor representation of brain tumor PROMS in this review is likely to be caused by difficulties in using these questionnaires in this patient population in general: questionnaires are quickly experienced as being too long or difficult due to cognitive or functional impairments, which can result in decreased compliance and use [13]. A glioma PROM that is perceived as brief and easy could increase its use. Secondly, we had to exclude some studies who did use a specific PROM but only reported scale scores, and not prevalence. Another limitation of this review

Table 2 Patient charactu	eristics									
Study	z	M/F	Age (year) Mean range	KPS (%) (Mean)	KPS ≥70%	Glioma WHO II (n)	Glioma WHO III (n)	Glioma WHO IV (n)	Median OS (months) range	References
Bae, 2013	300	187/113	49 17–84	87		20	67	213		[32]
Brada, 2001	138	85/53	54 24-77		100% (KPS>70%)			138		[25]
Cao, 2012	112	73/39	70 60–86	80		0	0	112	7	[33]
Chen, 2017	712	400/312	55			LL	128	507		[39]
Diamond, 2017	50	34/16	50 18–77				16	34		[26]
Ening, 2015	233	117/116	58		79% (KPS>70%)	0	0	233	9.5 0–72	[53]
Iuchi, 2014	121	74/47	58			19	21	81		[40]
Jakola, 2012	55	30/25	41		91% (KPS≥80%)		55			[27]
Kerkhof, 2013	291	169/122	60 24–85			0	0	291	13	[41]
Kim, 2013	406	244/162	51 18–86		75% (KPS > 70%)	0	124	282		[42]
Kocher, 2005	81	53/28	52 15–72	83		12	22	47		[54]
Koekkoek, 2014	178	125/53	60		20%3 m 2%1 w	0	19	159	12.4 gr III 10.6–14.1 10.6 gr IV 9.2–12.1	[23]
Liang, 2016	184	100/84	49 20–69	47 e 56 we				184		[43]
Malström, 2012	291	173/118	70			0	0	291	8.3 chemo 6.0 rt 7.5 hypofr rt	[28]
Mamo, 2017	64	40/24	54 26–83		88%			64		[34]
Piribauer, 2003	103	65/38	> 55 55–83	79		0	0	103	17.5 py 8.6 pe	[44]
Posti, 2015	142	76/66	09			29	31	82		[45]
Rasmussen, 2017	1930	1158/772	18–79			247	279	1364		[29]
Russo, 2017	527	314/213	53			139	87	268		[38]
Sagberg, 2013	164		56	73		43		121		[46]

Study	N	M/F	Age (year) Mean range	KPS (%) (Mean)	KPS ≥70%	Glioma WHO II (n)	Glioma WHO III (n)	Glioma WHO IV (n)	Median OS (months) range	References
Saito, 2014	76	50/26	47 py 71 pe		82% py 70% pe	0	0	76	15.2 12.9–18.5 21.6 py 15.6 pe	[35]
Salmaggi, 2005	134	82/52	61		85%	0	0	134		[47]
Sanai, 2012	119		45 18–81	75		34	23	62		[48]
Seekatz, 2017	54		60 24–79					54		[30]
Sizoo, 2010	58	39/19	52 18–81			0	15	41	21 gr III 11–86 12 gr IV 0.5–71	[37]
Stupp, 2002	64	39/25	52 24–70		64% (KPS>80%)			64	23	[31]
Thrier, 2015	57	39/18	59	30		0	0	57	12	[55]
Valko, 2014	65	44/21	57	80		0	0	65		[36]
Van Breemen, 2009	108	54/54	40 53			33	75		>8 years HGG 19 LGG	[49]
Woo, 2014	198	122/76	55 18–88		81%		125	73	9.0 11.0 gr III 8.0 gr IV	[50]
You, 2012	508	306/202	38 16–72		88% (KPS ≥ 80%)	508	0	0	32.9 12–58.3	[51]
Yuile, 2006	133	84/49	59 22–86			0	0	133	10 0.1–51.8	[52]
<i>Chemo</i> chemotherapy, patients of 65 years or o	e with epi	lepsy, gr III gr ttients younger	ade III glioma, <i>gr</i> than 65 years, <i>rt</i> r	· IV grade IV adiotherapy, we	glioma, <i>HGG</i> high e without epilepsy,	e grade glioma, 3 m 3 months b	<i>hypofr</i> hypofrac efore death, 1 w	tioned, <i>LGG</i> lov 1 week before d	<i>w</i> grade glioma, <i>OS</i> overal surveath	ival rate, <i>pe</i>

Table 3	Weigh	nted mea	uns (in %)) of sym	nptorn p	orevalenc	e																	
	Sei- zures (1)	Cogni- tive defi- cits (2)	Drowsi- ness (3)	Dys- phagia	Head- ache	Confu- sion(4)	Apha- sia (5)	Motor deficits (6)	Fatigue (7)	Dysp- 1 nea s (8) i i	Nau- L sea/ ii vomit- ti ng (9)	Jrinary H ncon- (inence 10)	2ain <i>A</i> (11) e d s s ((Anxi- / ty/ r lepres- (ion 12)	Ano- S exia s (13) d c	en- D ory zi efi- (1 its	iz- Vis ness ual 4) dei cit	- Gai dis- 1- tur-	t Alo- pecia ce	Skin prob- lems (16)	Right left confu- sion	Con- stipa- tion	Diar- rhea	Dys- pepsia
Total dis- ease trajec- tory	36.5	35.9	35.3	30.0	27.2	26.5	23.7	21.4	20.3	19.6	19.0	16.5	15.5	15.1	13.5	3.3 1	3.0 12	.1 10.	0 8.1	6.7	5.0	4.3	2.6	2.0
Diag- nostic phase	34.7	36.0	15.0	4.0	30.5		20.1	21.6			6.5					3.3 2	3.5 6	.7 10.	0					
Treat- ment/ FU phase	36.7	18.3	7.7		<i>T.T</i>	3.0	8.4	10.5	13.7		23.2	7.5		6.8	12.7		5.9 12	∞.	8.1	5.2	5.0	3.8	2.6	2.0
End-of- Life phase	44.6	44.3	81.3	41.9	37.3	40.3	48.0	44.2	49.9	17.7	19.2	37.0	15.2	15.8			2.0 23	0.		22.0		0.6		

Table 4 Symptoms by grade of glioma

Study	Histological	grade glioma	
	WHO II	WHO III	WHO IV
Seizures			
Iuchi	47% pr 74% t	29% pr 67% t	20% pr 57% t
Kim		34-37%	29%
Posti	83%	65%	38%
Van Breemen	70% pr 76% t		52% pr 80% t
Rasmussen	58% pr	45% pr	24%
Cognitive disorders			
Posti	21%	45%	74%
Rasmussen	24%	41%	48%
Headache			
Rasmussen	22%	30%	38%

is the use of different definitions for symptoms and pairing of symptoms in the included studies, which may have influenced our results.

Strengths

This is the first published systematic review of symptoms in glioma patients throughout the whole continuum of the disease trajectory, as well as per phase and (where possible) by grade of glioma.

Conclusion and recommendations

Eight out of ten of the most prevalent symptoms in glioma patients in this review are neurological in nature. Because of this unique symptom burden differing from symptoms in cancer patients in general and its effect on quality of life and treatment, the results of our review stress a need for tailored symptom care in glioma patients. This care will be improved by use of a specific glioma PROM focusing on glioma specific symptoms throughout all disease stages and suitable for daily use.

Funding Funding was provided by Cancer Center University Medical Center Utrecht.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

OpenAccess This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate

credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Ostrom QT et al (2016) Neuro-oncology System tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2009–2013. Neuro-oncology 18:1–75
- Stupp R et al (2005) Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 352(10):987–996
- Mukand JA, Blackinton DD, Crincoli MG, Lee JJ, Santos BB (2001) Incidence of neurologic deficits and rehabilitation of patients with brain tumors. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 80(5):346–350
- Boele FW, Klein M, Reijneveld JC, Verdonck-De IM, Leeuw, Heimans JJ (2014) Symptom management and quality of life in glioma patients. CNS Oncol 3(1):37–47
- Microsoft. Janda S, Steginga D, Langbecker J, Dunn D, Walker, Eakin E (2007) Quality of life among patients with a brain tumor and their carers. J Psychosom Res 63(6):617–623
- Koldenhof JJ et al (2014) Symptoms from treatment with sunitinib or sorafenib: a multicenter explorative cohort study to explore the influence of patient-reported outcomes on therapy decisions. Support Care Cancer 22(9):2371–2380
- Minniti G et al (2013) Health-related quality of life in elderly patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma treated with shortcourse radiation therapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 86(2):285–291
- Walbert T, Chasteen K (2015) Palliative and supportive care for glioma patients. Cancer Treat Res 163:171–184
- Boone M, Roussel M, Chauffert B, Le Gars D, Godefroy O (2016) Prevalence and profile of cognitive impairment in adult glioma: a sensitivity analysis. J Neuro-oncol 129(1):123–130
- Koekkoek JAF, Kerkhof M, Dirven L, Heimans JJ, Reijneveld JC, Taphoorn MJB (2015) Seizure outcome after radiotherapy and chemotherapy in low-grade glioma patients: a systematic review. Neuro-oncology 17(11), pp. 924–934
- Rooney AG, Carson A, Grant R (2010) Depression in cerebral glioma patients: a systematic review of observational studies. J Natl Cancer Inst 103(1):61–76
- Wei W, Chen X, Ma X, Wang D (2015) The efficacy and safety of various dose-dense regimens of temozolomide for recurrent highgrade glioma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. J Neurooncol 125(2):339–349
- Boele FW et al (2016) Attitudes and preferences toward monitoring symptoms, distress, and quality of life in glioma patients and their informal caregivers. Support Care Cancer 24(7):3011–3022
- Bultz BD, Waller A, Cullum J, Jones P, Halland J (2013) Implementing routine screening for distress, the sixth vital sign, for patients with head and neck and neurologic cancers. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 11(10):1249–1261
- Trad W et al. (2015) Screening for psychological distress in adult primary brain tumor patients and caregivers: considerations for cancer care coordination. 5(9):3–10
- Weitzner MD, Meyers CA, Gelke CK, Byrne KS, Cella DF, Levin VA (1995) The functional assessment of cancer therapy (FACT) scale development of a brain subscale and revalidation of the general version (FACT-G) in patients with primary brain tumors. Cancer 75(5):1151–1161
- Osoba AD et al. (2017) The development and psychometric validation of a brain cancer quality-of-life questionnaire for use in combination with general cancer-specific questionnaires. Qual Life Res 5(1):139–150

- Armstrong TS et al (2006) Validation of the M. D. Anderson symptom inventory brain tumor module (MDASI-BT). J Neurooncol 80(1):27–35
- Richardson La, Jones GW (2009) A review of the reliability and validity of the Edmonton Symptom Assessment System. Current Oncology 16(1):53–64
- Barbera L et al (2015) Does routine symptom screening with ESAS decrease ED visits in breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy? Support Care Cancer 23(10):3025–3032
- Strasser F et al (2016) The effect of real-time electronic monitoring of patient-reported symptoms and clinical syndromes in outpatient workflow of medical oncologists: E-MOSAIC, a multicenter cluster-randomized phase III study (SAKK 95/06). Ann Oncol 27(2):324–332
- 22. Von Elm E et al (2014) The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Int J Surg 12(12):1495–1499
- Koekkoek JaF et al (2014) Symptoms and medication management in the end of life phase of high-grade glioma patients. J Neuro-oncol 120(3):589–595
- 24. Moher D et al (2015) Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 4(1):1–9
- 25. Brada M et al (2017) Original article multicenter phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with glioblastoma multiforme at first relapse. Ann Oncol 12(2):259–266
- Diamond EL et al (2018) Neuro-oncology cognitive function in patients with malignant glioma. N Engl J Med 10(1):1532–1541
- 27. Jakola AS et al (2012) Low grade gliomas in eloquent locationsimplications for surgical strategy, survival and long term quality of life. PLoS One 7(12):1–8
- Malmström A et al (2012) Temozolomide versus standard 6-week radiotherapy versus hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients older than 60 years with glioblastoma: the nordic randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 13(9):916–926
- Rasmussen B, Steinbjørn R, Laursen RJ, Kosteljanetz M, Schultz H, Mertz B (2017)Epidemiology of glioma: clinical characteristics, symptoms, and predictors of glioma patients grade I– IV in the the Danish Neuro-oncology Registry. J Neuro-oncol 135(3):571–579
- 30. Seekatz B et al (2017) Screening for symptom burden and supportive needs of patients with glioblastoma and brain metastases and their caregivers in relation to their use of specialized palliative care. Support Care Cancer 25(9):2761–2770,
- Stupp BR et al (2002) Promising survival for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme treated with concomitant radiation plus temozolomide followed by adjuvant. J Clin Oncol 20(5):1375–1382
- Bae SH et al (2014) Toxicity profile of temozolomide in the treatment of 300 malignant glioma patients in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 29(7):980–984
- Cao JQ, Fisher BJ, Bauman GS, Megyesi JF, Watling CJ, Macdonald DR (2012) Hypofractionated radiotherapy with or without concurrent temozolomide in elderly patients with glioblastoma multiforme: a review of ten-year single institutional experience. J Neuro-oncol 107(2):395–405
- 34. Mamo A et al (2016) Progression pattern and adverse events with bevacizumab in glioblastoma. Curr Oncol 23(5):468–471
- 35. Saito K et al (2014) Toxicity and outcome of radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide in elderly patients with glioblastoma: a retrospective study. Neurol Med Chir 54(4):272–279
- Valko PO, Siddique A, Linsenmeier C, Zaugg K, Held U, Hofer S (2015) Prevalence and predictors of fatigue in glioblastoma: a prospective study. Neuro-Oncology 17(2):274–281

- 37. Sizoo EM et al (2010) Symptoms and problems in the endof-life phase of high-grade glioma patients. Neuro-Oncology 12(11):1162–1166
- Russo M et al (2017) Headache as a presenting symptom of glioma: a cross-sectional study. Cephalalgia 0(0):1–6
- Chen H, Judkins J, Thomas C, Golfinos JG, Lein P, Chetkovich DM (2017) Mutant IDH1 and seizures in patients with glioma. Neurology 88(19):1805–1813,
- Iuchi T, Hasegawa Y, Kawasaki K, Sakaida T (2015) Epilepsy in patients with gliomas: incidence and control of seizures. J Clin Neurosci 22(1):87–91
- Kerkhof M et al (2013) Effect of valproic acid on seizure control and on survival in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Neurooncology 15(7):961–967
- Kim YH et al (2013) Seizures during the management of highgrade gliomas: clinical relevance to disease progression. J Neurooncology 113(1):101–109
- 43. Liang S, Zhang J, Zhang S, Fu X (2016)Epilepsy in adults with supratentorial glioblastoma: incidence and influence factors and prophylaxis in 184 patients. PLoS ONE 11(7): 1–12
- Piribauer M et al (2003) Feasibility and toxicity of CCNU therapy in elderly patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Anticancer Drugs 14(2):137–143
- 45. Posti JP et al (2015) Presenting symptoms of glioma in adults. Acta Neurol Scand 131(2):88–93
- 46. Sagberg LM, Jakola AS, Solheim O (2014) Quality of life assessed with EQ-5D in patients undergoing glioma surgery: what is the responsiveness and minimal clinically important difference?. Qual Life Res 23(5):1427–1434
- Salmaggi a et al (2005) A multicentre prospective collection of newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients in Lombardia, Italy. Neurol Sci 26(4):227–234
- Sanai N, Martino J, Berger MS (2012) Morbidity profile following aggressive resection of parietal lobe gliomas. J Neurosurg 116(6):1182–1186
- Van Breemen MSM, Rijsman RM, Taphoorn MJB, Walchenbach R, Zwinkels H, Vecht CJ (2009) Efficacy of anti-epileptic drugs in patients with gliomas and seizures. J Neurol 256(9):1519–1526

- 50. Woo PYM et al (2015) Risk factors for seizures and antiepileptic drug-associated adverse effects in high-grade glioma patients: a multicentre, retrospective study in Hong Kong. Surg Pract 19(1):2–8
- You G et al (2012) Seizure characteristics and outcomes in 508 resection of low-grade gliomas: a clinicopathological study. Neuro-oncology 14(2):230–241
- 52. Yuile P, Dent O, Cook R, Biggs M, Little N (2006) Survival of glioblastoma patients related to presenting symptoms, brain site and treatment variables. J Clin Neurosci 13(7):747–751
- Ening G, Osterheld F, Capper D, Schmieder K, Brenke C (2015) Risk factors for glioblastoma therapy associated complications. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 134:55–59
- Kocher M, Kunze S, Eich HT, Semrau R, Müller RP (2005) Efficacy and toxicity of postoperative temozolomide radiochemotherapy in malignant glioma. Strahlenther Onkol 181(3):157–163
- 55. Thier K, Calabek B, Tinchon A, Grisold W, Oberndorfer S (2016) The last 10 days of patients with glioblastoma: assessment of clinical signs and symptoms as well as treatment. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 33(10):985–988
- van den Beuken-van MHJ, Everdingen JM, de Rijke AG, Kessels HC, Schouten M, van Kleef, Patijn J (2009) Quality of life and non-pain symptoms in patients with cancer. J Pain Symptom Manage 38(2):216–233
- Teunissen SCCM, Wesker W, Kruitwagen C, de Haes HCJM, Voest EE, de Graeff A (2007) Symptom prevalence in patients with incurable cancer: a systematic review. J Pain Symptom Manage 34(1):94–104
- Sizoo EM, Pasman HRW, Dirven L, Marosi C (2014) The endof-life phase of high-grade glioma patients: a systematic review. Support Care Cancer 22(3):847–857,
- Ostgathe C et al (2010) Differential palliative care issues in patients with primary and secondary brain tumours. 18(9):1157-1163
- Homsi J et al (2006) Symptom evaluation in palliative medicine: Patient report vs systematic assessment. Support Care Cancer 14(5):444–453