

## The role of $^{99m}$ Tc-tetrofosmin brain SPECT in differentiating treatment-induced necrosis from recurrent brain tumor

George A. Alexiou · Andreas D. Fotopoulos ·  
Spyridon Tsioris · Spyridon Voulgaris ·  
Athanasios P. Kyritsis

Received: 17 October 2010/Accepted: 13 December 2010/Published online: 28 December 2010  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC. 2010

### To the Editor:

We read with great interest the recent article by Jain et al. [1] on the differentiation of treatment-induced radiation necrosis from recurrent or progressive brain tumor using several functional imaging modalities. The authors reviewed the current literature regarding diffusion- and perfusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), MR spectroscopy and positron emission tomography (PET). They discussed the contribution of the above imaging modalities in differentiating between recurrent brain tumor and radiation necrosis as well as their limitations.

Apart from PET, single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) is a molecular imaging modality that is more widely available and at lower cost. We have acquired significant experience with the brain tumor imaging properties of technetium-99 m-tetrofosmin ( $^{99m}$ Tc-TF), a SPECT tracer routinely used for myocardial perfusion imaging. In contrast to  $^{99m}$ Tc-Sestamibi, an

extensively studied SPECT tracer,  $^{99m}$ Tc-TF is not influenced by the multi-drug resistance (MDR) glioma phenotype. Thus,  $^{99m}$ Tc-TF may be superior for brain tumor imaging [2]. We have previously demonstrated that  $^{99m}$ Tc-TF may hold promise for the differentiation of radiation necrosis from glioma recurrence and of neoplastic from non-neoplastic intracerebral hemorrhage [3, 4]. Given these recent findings in small series of patients, we would suggest that  $^{99m}$ Tc-TF brain SPECT may offer a valuable alternative to PET imaging in this patient population, and we would argue that prospective clinical studies that incorporate SPECT imaging into the algorithms suggested by Jain et al. would be warranted.

### References

1. Jain R, Narang J, Sundgren PM, Hearshen D, Saksena S, Rock JP, Gutierrez J, Mikkelsen T (2010) Treatment induced necrosis versus recurrent/progressing brain tumor: going beyond the boundaries of conventional morphologic imaging. *J Neurooncol* 100:17–29
2. Alexiou GA, Goussia A, Kyritsis AP et al. (2010) Influence of Glioma's multidrug resistance phenotype on ( $^{99m}$ Tc)-Tetrofosmin uptake. *Mol Imaging Biol*. doi:[10.1007/s11307-010-0369-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-010-0369-y)
3. Alexiou GA, Fotopoulos AD, Papadopoulos A, Kyritsis AP, Polyzoidis KS, Tsioris S (2007) Evaluation of brain tumor recurrence by ( $^{99m}$ Tc)-tetrofosmin SPECT: a prospective pilot study. *Ann Nucl Med* 21:293–298
4. Fotopoulos AD, Kyritsis AP, Tsioris S et al (2010) Characterization of intracranial space-occupying lesions by ( $^{99m}$ Tc)-Tetrofosmin SPECT. *J Neurooncol* 101:83–89

G. A. Alexiou (✉) · S. Voulgaris  
Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital of Ioannina,  
P. O. BOX 103, Neochoropoulo, 455 00 Ioannina, Greece  
e-mail: alexiougrg@yahoo.gr; alexiougr@gmail.com

A. D. Fotopoulos · S. Tsioris  
Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital  
of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece

A. P. Kyritsis  
Neurosurgical Institute, University Hospital of Ioannina,  
Ioannina, Greece

A. P. Kyritsis  
Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Ioannina,  
Ioannina, Greece