

Erratum to: Negative concord and nominal licensing in Xhosa and Zulu

Vicki Carstens¹ · Loyiso Mletshe²

Published online: 3 February 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Erratum to: Nat Lang Linguist Theory (2016) DOI 10.1007/s11049-015-9320-x

In the abstract, [S...Neg...[−A]...] should have appeared with the S subscripted: [S...Neg...[−A]...]. Please find below the correct version of the abstract that should be regarded by the reader as the final version.

Abstract Xhosa and Zulu nominals have restricted distributions when lacking an outer class prefix known as the *augment*. We argue that in negative contexts augmentless ([−A]) nominals bear negative concord features, *uNeg*, which must Agree with a negative licenser *iNeg* (Zeijlstra 2004). This accounts for the fact that some of them can be used as negative sentence fragment answers, and at the same time cannot appear in sentences without c-commanding negation. It also explains a clausemate requirement [S...Neg...[−A]...] consistently reported by speakers of both languages in our study for all but subjunctive and (occasionally) neg-raising environments. We demonstrate that further distributional constraints attributed by Halpert (2012, 2015) to special Case-licensing needs of Zulu [−A] nominals are shared by [+A] DPs modified by *kuphela* ‘only’, and [+/−A] wh-phrases are subject to near-identical restrictions. This is a state of affairs that Halpert’s approach would not predict and cannot explain. We build on Sabel and Zeller (2006), and Zeller (2008) in attributing the pattern to incompatibility between [+focus] features characteristic of negative concord

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s11049-015-9320-x.

✉ V. Carstens
carstenv@siu.edu

¹ Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 6291, USA

² University of the Western Cape, Belleville 7535, Republic of South Africa

items, 'only'-modified DPs, and *wh*-phrases, and [–focus] features of certain Zulu and Xhosa clausal positions. Thus all aspects of [–A] nominal distribution reduce to independently motivated features of the class of expressions to which they belong.