

In silico integration of disease resistance QTL, genes and markers with the *Brassica juncea* physical map

Fabian Inturrisi · Philipp E. Bayer · Aldrin Y. Cantila · Soodeh Tirnaz · David Edwards · Jacqueline Batley

Received: 30 December 2021 / Accepted: 9 June 2022 / Published online: 27 June 2022 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$ The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Brassica juncea (AABB), Indian mustard, is a source of disease resistance genes for a wide range of pathogens. The availability of reference genome sequences for B. juncea has made it possible to characterise the genomic structure and distribution of these disease resistance genes. Potentially functional disease resistance genes can be identified by co-localization with genetically mapped disease resistance quantitative trait loci (QTL). Here we identify and characterise disease resistance gene analogs (RGAs), including nucleotide-binding site-leucinerich repeat (NLR), receptor-like kinase (RLK) and receptor-like protein (RLP) classes, and investigate their association with disease resistance QTL intervals. The molecular genetic marker sequences for four white rust (Albugo candida) disease resistance QTL, six blackleg (Leptosphaeria maculans) disease resistance QTL and BjCHI1, a gene cloned from B. juncea for hypocotyl rot disease, were extracted from previously published studies and used to compare with candidate RGAs. Our results highlight the complications for the identification of functional resistance

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-022-01309-5.

genes, including the duplicated appearance of genetic markers for several resistance loci, including Ac2(t), AcB1-A4.1, AcB1-A5.1, *Rlm6* and *PhR2* in both the A and B genomes, due to the presence of homoeologous regions. Furthermore, the white rust loci, Ac2(t) and AcB1-A4.1, mapped to the same position on chromosome A04 and may be different alleles of the same gene. Despite these challenges, a total of nine candidate genomic regions hosting 14 RLPs, 28 NLRs and 115 RLKs were identified. This study facilitates the mapping and cloning of functional resistance genes for applications in crop improvement programs.

Keywords Disease resistance genes \cdot Nucleotidebinding site-leucine-rich repeat (NLR) \cdot Quantitative trait locus (QTL) \cdot Receptor-like protein (RLP) \cdot Receptor-like protein kinase (RLK) \cdot *Brassica juncea*

Introduction

Brassica juncea, commonly known as Indian mustard, is extensively cultivated, with a total of 952,727 hectares producing 728,931 tonnes across 25 countries in 2018 (FAO 2020). However, its production is limited by several diseases, including blackleg (*Leptosphaeria maculans, L. biglobosa*), Sclerotinia stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*), white rust (*Albugo candida*), Alternaria blight (*Alternaria brassicae, A. brassicicola, A. raphani*), downy mildew (*Hyaloperonospora*

F. Inturrisi · P. E. Bayer · A. Y. Cantila · S. Tirnaz · D. Edwards · J. Batley (⊠) School of Biological Sciences and Institute of Agriculture, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia e-mail: Jacqueline.batley@uwa.edu.au

brassicae), white leaf spot (Pseudocercosporella capsellae) and powdery mildew (Erysiphe cruciferarum) (Oram et al. 1999; Pradhan and Pental 2011; Edwards et al. 2007; Inturrisi et al. 2021). Traditional disease management strategies include fungicide application and seed treatments, crop rotation and the use of resistant cultivars. However, the effectiveness of resistant cultivars can break down over time due to changing pathogen populations and the diversity of avirulence genes (Zhang et al. 2015; Rouxel and Balesdent 2017). For example, the breakdown of blackleg resistance in commercial cultivars of B. napus has been reported in Australia and Canada (Van De Wouw et al. 2016; Rouxel and Balesdent 2017; Van de Wouw et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015). Because of the potential to break down resistance, it is important to expand the sources of resistance for introgression into commercial B. napus cultivars. Sources of germplasm for resistance breeding have been identified in several B. juncea genotypes coming from the major producing countries, such as Australia, Canada, China and India, for resistance to L. maculans, S. sclerotium and A. candida (Li et al. 2006, 2007a, b, 2008a, b), and B. juncea has proven to be a valuable source of resistance genes for introgression into other Brassica crops, such as canola (B. napus) (Inturrisi et al. 2021).

Plant resistance gene analogs (RGAs) play an important role in plant resistance response against pathogens (Zhang et al. 2020; Sekhwal et al. 2015). The nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeats (NLR), receptor-like kinases (RLK) and receptor-like proteins (RLP) are the main classes of RGAs (Zipfel 2014, 2008; Kim et al. 2012; Stotz et al. 2014). In a typical NLR gene, the NBS and LRR domains are located in the middle and the C-terminus of the gene respectively (Meyers et al. 1999; Xiao et al. 2001; Shao et al. 2014). The remaining structure of NLR proteins consists of three main domains at the N-terminus; the TIR-NBS-LRR (TNL) class is characterized by a toll/interleukin-1 receptor domain; the CC-NBS-LRR (CNL) class contains the coiled-coil domain; and the RPW8-NBS-LRR (RNL) class contains the resistance to powdery mildew 8 (RPW8) domain. Different types of RLKs include leucinerich repeat-receptor-like kinases (LRR-RLKs), the largest gene family of RLKs and are highly conserved, and the less conserved lysin motif-receptorlike kinases (LysM-RLKs) (Gust et al. 2012; Wan et al. 2008; Zeng et al. 2012). In contrast, RLPs have an extracellular domain, a transmembrane domain and a short cytosolic domain without a signalling domain. Some of the main types of RLPs include leucine-rich repeat–receptor-like proteins (LRR-RLPs) (Jones et al. 1994; Jehle et al. 2013) and lysin motif–receptor-like proteins (LysM-RLPs) (Willmann et al. 2011). These genes have been identified and studied across *Brassica* species and *Brassica* pangenomes (Inturrisi et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021; Bayer et al. 2019; Dolatabadian et al. 2020), as well as wild and cultivated species of the Brassicaceae family (Tirnaz et al. 2020).

Genetic mapping in plants has been used extensively to identify genetic regions associated with traits (Rafalski 2002; Tanksley et al. 1989; Mohan et al. 1997; Xu et al. 2017). The availability of plant reference genomes and pangenomes allows for translation of these genetic loci to genomic regions and the identification of candidate gene variations underlying heritable traits (Dolatabadian et al. 2020; Hurgobin and Edwards 2017; Bayer et al. 2019), and the availability of the *B. juncea* genome (Yang et al. 2016) allows the identification of candidate disease resistance genes underlying previously identified disease resistance quantitative trait loci (QTL) in this species. Here we performed in silico analysis to identify disease resistance QTL from published literature and map genetic locations to B. juncea, based on flanking molecular genetic markers. Candidate genes for disease resistance were identified in the QTL intervals, including several NLR, RLP and RLK genes. These genes provide candidates for further assessment and validation for their role in defence against these important diseases.

Materials and methods

Genomic resources

Molecular genetic markers associated with resistance to infection by *L. maculans* and *A. candida* pathogens, and *BjCH11* resistance for hypocotyl rot disease in *B. juncea* were identified in published literature (Tables S1, S2, S3). The sequence of the markers and genes were downloaded from the literature, the NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) or TAIR (https:// www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp) websites (Tables S1, S2, S3). The list of RGAs, including NLRs, RLKs and RLPs, was extracted from previous studies (Tirnaz et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021; Inturrisi et al. 2020). All classes of RGAs were identified based on their domain structure using the RGAugury pipeline (Li et al. 2016b). RLKs were further classified into three types; 'RLK-LRR', 'RLK-LysM' and 'RLK-otherreceptor'. RLPs were classified into two types; 'RLP-LRR' and 'RLP-LysM' and NLRs were classified to 'NL', 'TN', 'TNL', 'CNL', 'N' and 'other' subclasses. The graphical representation of NLR, RLK and RLP genes was visualised using Mapchart V2.3 (Voorrips 2002).

Characterisation of published resistance QTL to the physical position on the reference genome

The physical chromosomal positions of the diseaseassociated molecular genetic markers were determined by comparing the sequences (Tables S1–S3) with the reference *B. juncea* genome v1.5 (Yang et al. 2016) on the Brassica database (BRAD) website (http://brassicadb.org/brad/blastPage.php). If a marker could not be placed on a pseudomolecule or a contig, it was removed from further analysis.

Previously predicted NLR, RLK and RLP genes (Tirnaz et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2021; Inturrisi et al. 2020) were assigned as candidate resistance genes if positioned within the flanking markers of a QTL interval or, alternatively, positioned 1 Mbp region upstream and downstream of the marker.

Results

Integration of QTL for disease resistance in *Brassica* juncea

White rust

In silico mapping for disease resistance has been conducted for white rust disease in *B. juncea*, where four QTL (Table S4) were identified. Marker sequences were available for white rust resistance loci: Ac2(t), *Acr*, AcB1-A5.1 and AcB1-A4.1 (Table S1). Four white rust resistance QTL had marker sequences available to locate the genomic region of the QTL. Sequences for the primer pair of ILP marker At5g41560 (Panjabi et al. 2008), and RAPD primers OPB06 (OPB06₁₀₀₀) and OPN01 (OPN01₁₀₀₀) were obtained from (Rajaseger et al. 1997; Ananga et al. 2006; Solmaz et al. 2010). In addition, *BjCHI1*, a gene cloned from *B. juncea* for hypocotyl rot resistance, was identified (Table S3).

Genomic sequences for *A. thaliana* genes At2g34510, At2g36360, At5g41560 and At5g41940 from which markers for white rust resistance loci AcB1-A4.1 and AcB1-A5.1 (Panjabi-Massand et al. 2010) were derived from the TAIR website. The DNA sequence of all four genes was compared with the *B. juncea* reference to identify their approximate position.

The same white rust QTL represent the genes Acr and $Ac2_1$. These genes were identified using the same mapping population from the crossing of susceptible J90-4317 and resistant J90-2733 (Prabhu et al. 1998; Cheung et al. 1998; Somers et al. 1999). In addition, it was suggested that $Ac2_1$ and Ac2(t) are two separate loci despite the absence of polymorphism for markers between the two cultivars identified with $Ac2_1$ and Ac2(t) (Mukherjee et al. 2001).

There were several markers and QTL that could not be analysed further due to unavailable sequence information, including RFLP markers for *Acr*; X140a, X42 and X83 (Cheung et al. 1998).

Blackleg

Ten blackleg QTL were identified in B. juncea (Table S5), while the flanking markers were unavailable for several QTL. Marker sequences were available for blackleg resistance loci, Rlm6, LMJR1, LMJR2, rjlm2 and PhR2, as well as a locus without an identifying name (Table S2). Sequences for the primer pair of ILP marker OPG02 (OPG02.800), OPT01 (OPT01.800), OPI01 (OPI01-HaeIII) and OPU9 were sourced from (Kumar et al. 2010; Srivastava et al. 2014; Delourme et al. 1994; Struss et al. 1996). The blackleg QTL, LMJR1 and LMJR2, were flanked by one RFLP and one SSR; however, the SSR sequences (LMJR1, sB31143F; LMJR2, sB1534) were unavailable. The flanking RFLP markers pN199RV and pN120cRI were found on the NCBI website as pN199 (GenBank: CZ692853.1) and pN120 (GenBank: CZ692836.1), respectively, where the RFLP were named differently depending on the linkage map, species and publication. In addition, the SSR markers positioned in the same linkage group to SSRs flanking the *LMJR1* and *LMJR2* resistance loci were analysed due to the unavailability of sBb31143F and sB1534. Additional literature (Fredua-Agyeman et al. 2014; Nelson et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2013; Navabi et al. 2010, 2011) was investigated for the *Brassica* B genome linkage groups that were used in Christianson et al. (2006) to determine the SSRs with available marker sequence found in the same *Brassica* B genome linkage group.

In some instances, multiple blackleg disease QTL were found to represent the same gene, for example Rlm6 and Jlm1 (Chèvre et al. 1997; Balesdent et al. 2002; Brun et al. 2000; Fudal et al. 2007) due to a change in nomenclature. Four RFLP and three AFLP markers were linked to the blackleg resistance gene, PhR2, where an RFLP (RP1513) and AFLP (S7G4) marker were converted to PCR-based STS markers linked to the same resistance gene and mapped to the same position in linkage maps (Plieske and Struss 2001).

Sequence information of three RFLP markers for *PhR2* (pRP1457.H, pRP1513.E, pRP1602.H) (Plieske et al. 1998), RFLP markers linked to three resistance loci (Dixelius and Wahlberg 1999) and one unnamed RFLP associated to resistance locus, *LmBR1* (Dixelius 1999), were not available and they were excluded from the analysis.

Physical mapping of candidate disease resistance genes in *Brassica juncea*

White rust

The physical map and distribution of previously predicted RGAs (Yang et al. 2021; Inturrisi et al. 2020; Tirnaz et al. 2020) on *B. juncea* chromosomes were produced (Figure S1, Fig. 1). An uneven distribution of NLRs, RLPs and RLKs was observed between *B. juncea* chromosomes (Figure S1). For example, RLP-LysM genes were only found on chromosomes A06 and B03 and the majority of RLKs were located on chromosomes A03, B02, B03, B05, B08 and 'unkn own'.

White rust resistance QTL for AcB1-A4.1, AcB1-A5.1 and Ac2(t) were located on the A genome chromosomes A04, A05 and A04, respectively (Fig. 1). Flanking markers for QTL AcB1-A4.1(B01), AcB1-A5.1(B06) and Ac2(t)(B01) aligned with regions on the B genome chromosomes. There were no

significant matches for the decamer primers for RAPD markers WR2 and WR3, which are flanking markers for Acr (= $Ac2_1$); hence, no genomic position could be determined.

The sequence of several flanking markers for white rust QTL had multiple matches on different chromosomes (Fig. 1). The sequences of E-ACC and M-CAA, for the marker E-ACC/M-CAA₃₅₀ for a white rust resistance locus Ac2(t), were found on chromosome B01. However, only M-CAA for marker E-ACC/M-CAA₃₅₀ was identified on chromosome A04 and the distance between the primers was 3,466,012 bp on chromosome B01, which is more than the estimated size of E-ACC/M-CAA₃₅₀, 350 bp.

Two genomic locations were found on chromosome A05 for the white rust resistance QTL, AcB1-A5.1. These had QTL interval sizes of 1,098,849 bp and 1,102,681 bp and were positioned 3832 bp apart. The flanking markers for AcB1-A4.1 were placed on chromosomes A04 and B01. The flanking marker At5g41940 for AcB1-A4.1 had top BLAST hits on chromosome A07 and Contig1207, although the other flanking marker At5g41560 failed to have top BLAST hits in these locations. It was also found with other markers for QTL regions that top BLAST hits were found on multiple chromosomes and multiple positions of the same chromosome (Fig. 1).

Candidate resistance genes were mapped within the locus and 1 Mb downstream and upstream from the white rust resistance (Table 1). RLKs were dominant in all QTL. The white rust QTL linked to AcB1-A4.1, B01, has the smallest size (304,083 bp) and lowest number of RGA (3) among all QTL. In total, there were five genomic regions of interest with 2 RLPs, 3 NLRs and 27 RLKs (Table 1).

Blackleg

Markers for blackleg resistance QTL were identified on several chromosomes (Fig. 1). Markers for *PhR2* were positioned on chromosomes A03 and B03 with interval sizes of 1,358,032 bp and 1,224,376 bp, respectively. Markers for *LMJR1* were positioned on chromosome B03 with loci interval size of 8,176,380 bp based on the set of SSRs utilised in the study (Christianson et al. 2006). *PhR2* overlaps with *LMJR1* on chromosome B03 at 2,554,162 bp–3,778,538 bp. In addition, the genomic region of interest for *LMJR2* was identified



Fig. 1 Physical distribution of markers linked to disease resistance in *B. juncea* along with resistance genes on the physical map of *B. juncea*. *B. juncea* chromosomes (Chr) are represented as white bars with resistance gene names shown on the right-hand side. The physical positions of genetic markers are indicated as coloured horizontal bars and named for white rust (black), blackleg (red) and hypocotyl (green). The suffixes '-M', '-FP' and '-RP' indicate that the physical position was

using a similar approach to *LMJR1* where SSR markers of the same linkage group of the SSR that was linked to *LMJR2* were positioned on chromosome B08, with a locus interval of 20,282,056 bp. The markers for *Rlm6* were located on several chromosomes: A07, A09 and B01 (Fig. 1). The RAPD and RFLP markers were found to be linked to *Rlm6* from a couple of studies (Chèvre et al. 1997; Barret et al. 1998). CAPS and SCAR markers that were linked to *Rlm6* were used for screening *B. napus* and *B. juncea* interspecific hybrid populations

based on the sequence of the marker, a forward primer or a reverse primer, respectively. Regions of sequence identity for markers and genes are placed into a box with loci names. Letter subscript for loci names indicates more than one region of interest for a particular resistance locus or gene. Different colours and font of gene names reflect different classes of RGA; NLRs (dark green), RLKs (dark blue), RLPs (red). The chromosome size is shown above the chromosome bar (Mb)

(Rashid et al. 2018). The CAPS markers, BnHz_2 and BjHz_1, did not provide a QTL region, unlike r_jlm2 , PhR2, LMJR1 and LMJR2 (Christianson et al. 2006; Plieske and Struss 2001; Saal and Struss 2005; Saal et al. 2004), and were found on chromosome A07 and A09. However, the SCAR markers for Rlm6, B5-1520 and B5Rlm6_1, were found on chromosome B01 and they were shown to be located within the r_jlm2 locus (Fig. 1). All three SCAR markers linked to r_jlm2 , B51520, C5-1000 and RGALm, were found on chromosome B01.



Fig. 1 (continued)

Similar to white rust, candidate resistance genes were mapped within the locus and 1 Mb downstream and upstream from the loci for blackleg (Table 1), and all OTL for resistance had the highest proportion of RLKs. Most QTL contained more NLRs than RLPs, expect for LMJR2 on chromosome B08 (2 NLRs and 6 RLPs). Among all QTL, blackleg QTL linked to LMJR2, B08, has the largest size (20,282,056 bp) and highest number of RGA (48). Genomic regions of interest with a larger size interval tended to have a greater number of total RGAs. The SCAR markers, B5-1520 and B5Rlm6_1, were linked to Rlm6; however, there was no linkage map information provided by Rashid et al. (2018) and B5-1520 was the same SCAR marker utilised for $r_i lm2$. Rlm6 was located on chromosome B01 using the SCAR markers, B5-1520 and B5Rlm6 1, with an interval of 1467 bp where BjuB003452 overlapped with the interval of the markers (Rashid et al. 2018). Analysis of the locus interval along with 1 MB upstream and downstream mapped four RLK genes (BjuB003438, LRR-RLK; BjuB003480, LysM-RLK; BjuB005639, Other-receptor-RLK BjuB027118, LRR-RLK). There were two RLPs outside of this region analysis (BjuB002972, LRR-RLP, for B01, 16,299,149-16,300,492; BjuB024332, LRR-RLP, B01, 19,952,790-19,957,271). For blackleg resistance, in total, there were four genomic regions of interest with 12 RLPs, 25 NLRs and 88 RLKs (Table 1).



Fig. 1 (continued)

Hypocotyl rot

BjCHI1 (GenBank accession no. AAF02299), a chitinase gene for hypocotyl rot resistance, was mapped in an unnamed *B. juncea* genotype using primers derived from an *Arabidopsis* chitinase gene (Zhao and Chye 1999). The coding sequence for *BjCHII* was available and was BLASTed against the *B. juncea* reference genome and found to be positioned on chromosome A03, 20,353,574–20,355,565 bp, with a



Fig. 1 (continued)

coding sequence length of 1991 bp on the reference genome. An annotated gene from the *B. juncea* reference genome, BjuA012108, was shown to overlap with the physical position of the coding sequence for *BjCH11* on chromosome A03. The physical position of BjuA012108 was on chromosome A03 at 20,353,662 to 20,355,562 bp with a gene length of 1901 bp and consisting of two exons. BjuA012108 was not previously identified as a RGA in *B. juncea*; however, it is reported as a member of the glycosyl

defence mechanisms against microbes and herbivores (Mir et al. 2020).

Discussion

In this study, the association of RLKs, RLPs and NLRs, the main classes of RGAs, with *B. juncea* resistance QTL of white rust, blackleg and hypocotyl

hydrolases gene family which is also involved in plant



Fig. 1 (continued)









rot diseases were investigated. Similar genetic mapping studies were previously performed across various crop species for the identification of functional resistance genes. For instance, Sagi et al. (2017) validated candidate NLR genes for ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea that were co-localised within the QTL interval from previously published studies using qRT-PCR among three cultivars at four different time points. These candidate NLRs were selected for validation after the identification of NLR genes from the chickpea reference genome and physical positioning of the flanking markers for known disease resistance OTL in chickpea. Candidate genes have been identified in Brassica species through genetic analysis for disease resistance against Sclerotinia stem rot in B. napus (Wei et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016), clubroot disease in B. napus (Li et al. 2016a) and B. rapa (Yu et al. 2017), blackleg disease in B. napus (Cantila et al. 2020; Tollenaere et al. 2012; Raman et al. 2016), yellow wilt disease in B. oleracea (Lv et al. 2014; Shimizu et al. 2015), turnip mosaic virus disease in B. rapa (Lv et al. 2015) and downy mildew in B. rapa (Yu et al. 2016). Wu et al. (2016) performed a comparative analysis for Sclerotinia stem rot resistance in *B. napus* where OTL identified in the study and previous studies aligned to the B. napus genome based on the physical position of the markers (Wu et al. 2016). There were 41 genes identified for Sclerotinia stem rot resistance among 12 B. napus chromosomes along with three chromosomal regions with multiple QTL (Wu et al. 2016). An integration analysis of QTL for Sclerotinia stem rot in B. napus was conducted by Li et al. (2015) where QTL from previous studies were aligned to the B. napus genome to identify 26 candidate NLRs. In addition, 4 and 7 NLRs were identified on conserved QTL regions located on B. napus chromosome A9 and C6, respectively (Li et al. 2015). An integration analysis for disease resistance in B. juncea was similar to approaches implemented by Wu et al. (2016) to identify candidate resistance genes. Here we mapped over 100 RGAs in B. juncea genome; however, not all of them are necessarily involved in a resistance response. In particular RLK and RLP genes are also involved in various, other biological processes (Sekhwal et al. 2015).

Here, QTL analysis indicates that the positions of the QTL markers did not always have hits on the same chromosomes. For example, for Ac2(t), the forward primer for OPB06₁₀₀₀ was aligned to

Table 1 Can	didate resistance	genes mapped in loci for	disease resist	ance from put	olished litera	ture			
Chromosome	Locus or gene	Locus interval position (loci interval ±1 Mb) (bp)	Locus interval size (bp)	Number of NBS-LRR(s) ¹	Number of RLK(s) ¹	Number of RLP(s) ¹	Candidate NBS-LRR(s) ¹	Candidate RLK (s) ¹	Candidate RLP(s) ¹
ChrA03	BjCHII (CDS)	20,353,574–20,355,565 (19,353,574–21,355,565)	1991	0	0	0	N.A	N.A	N.A
ChrA04	AcB1-A4.1 ^a	10,446,467-10,808,704 (9,446,467-11,808,704)	362,237	0	б	0	N.A	BjuA015427, BjuA015805, BjuA015874	N.A
ChrA05	AcB1-A5.1ª	4,795,221–5,894,070 (3,795,221–6,894,070)	1,098,849	_	Q	_	BjuA018965	BjuA042848, BjuA018243, BjuA018331, BjuA018448, BjuA018447, BjuA018447,	BjuA001537
	AcB1-A5.1 ^{a°}	4,795,221–5,897,902 (3,795,221–6,897,902)	1,102,681	_	6	-	BjuA018965	BjuA042848, BjuA018243, BjuA018331, BjuA018448, BjuA018447, BjuA042801	BjuA001537
ChrA08	PhR2ª	22,485,767–23,843,799 (21,485,767–24,843,799)	1,358,032	٢	6	0	BjuA030249, BjuA030984, BjuA043826, BjuA043830, BjuA031179, BjuA031180, BjuA031181	BjuA046720, BjuA030338, BjuA030340, BjuA030342, BjuA030455, BjuA030495, BjuA0330499, BjuA043836, BjuA043834, BjuA043874,	BjuA031185, BjuA031187
ChrB01	AcB1-A4.1 ^b	27,117,536-27,421,619 (26,117,536-28,421,619)	304,083	0	9	0	N.A	BjuB025795, BjuB025797, BjuB025855, BjuB025020, BjuB025019, BjuB025019,	Ϋ́Υ

Table 1 (con	ntinued)								
Chromosome	Locus or gene	Locus interval position (loci interval±1 Mb) (bp)	Locus interval size (bp)	Number of NBS-LRR(s) ¹	Number of RLK(s) ¹	Number of RLP(s) ¹	Candidate NBS-LRR(s) ¹	Candidate RLK (s) ¹	Candidate RLP(s) ¹
ChrB03	LMIRI	1,498,805–9,675,185 (498,805–10,675,185)	8,176,380	\$	27	en.	BjuB033491, BjuB043198, BjuB043200, BjuB043207, BjuB043227, BjuB043228, BjuB043223, BjuB043523, BjuB043523,	BjuB033531, BjuB033421, BjuB043144, BjuB043144, BjuB043150, BjuB043308, BjuB043308, BjuB043305, BjuB043485, BjuB043485, BjuB043485, BjuB043485, BjuB043485, BjuB043485, BjuB043455, BjuB043555, BjuB043555, BjuB043555, BjuB043555, BjuB032996, BjuB032995, BjuB032955, BjuB032055, BjuB032054, BjuB032024, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB032025, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB03305, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033205, BjuB033	BjuB047273 BjuB047273
	PhR2 ^b	2,554,162-3,778,538 (1,554,162-4,778,538)	1,224,376	7	12	_	BjuB043198, BjuB043200, BjuB043201, BjuB043207, BjuB043228, BjuB043323	BjuB043144, BjuB043150, BjuB043308, BjuB043308, BjuB043483, BjuB043485, BjuB043486, BjuB043486, BjuB043480, BjuB043451, BjuB043551,	BjuB043117
ChrB06	AcB1-A5.1 ^b	5,226,533-6,156,115 (4,226,533-7,156,115)	929,582	-	9	0	BjuB020200	BjuB020245, BjuB041652, BjuB020291, BjuB020311, BjuB020462, BjuB020499	N.A

Table 1 (ct	ontinued)								
Chromosome	Locus or gene	Locus interval position (loci interval±1 Mb) (bp)	Locus interval size (bp)	Number of NBS-LRR(s) ¹	Number of RLK(s) ¹	Number of RLP(s) ¹	Candidate NBS-LRR(s) ¹	Candidate RLK (s) ¹	Candidate RLP(s) ¹
ChrB08	TWIKS	0-21,282,056	20,282,056	7	64	ν ο	BjuB015230, BjuB015713	BjuB045905, BjuB001079, BjuB014674, BjuB014674, BjuB014672, BjuB0140983, BjuB01441002, BjuB014703, BjuB014713, BjuB014773, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015561, BjuB015521, BjuB015521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016521, BjuB016520, BjuB016521, BjuB016520, BjuB016520, BjuB016520, BjuB016520, BjuB016520, BjuB016531, BjuB016531, BjuB016531, BjuB016531, BjuB016531, BjuB016531,	BjuB014670. BjuB015627, BjuB045980, BjuB045981, BjuB016058, BjuB016056

🖄 Springer

N.A. not available. ¹Information provided for loci interval ± 1 Mb.

chromosomes A04 (e-value = 0.000297) and B01 (e-value = 0.001) although the reverse primer and the other flanking marker, E-ACC/M-CAA₃₅₀, were not strongly aligned. This observation could be due to differences in the marker sequences between the lines they were identified in and the reference genome, or it could be problems with genome assemblies. For example, the wrong placement of a contig in the genome assembly or duplication may lead to the forward and reverse primers for a marker, or different markers underlying the QTL being found on different chromosomes. In addition, at the time that markers were developed for these QTL, the reference genome of B. juncea was not available and it was impossible to design primers for exact genomic physical positions.

Some QTL had positions on multiple chromosomes. For example, AcB1-A4.1 had a similar QTL region size of 362,237 bp and 304,083 bp on chromosomes A04 and B01, respectively. There were two QTL regions for AcB1-A5.1 on chromosome A05. In addition, At2g34510 and At2g36360 for AcB1-A5.1 were located on chromosome B06 at an interval size of 929,582 bp. The candidate resistance genes for AcB1-A4.1, AcB1-A5.1 and Ac2(t) were placed on the A genome chromosomes, A04, A05 and A04, respectively. Mapping QTL on more than one chromosome and often different sub-genomes may be caused by homoeologous regions between the A and B genome and result in the identification of orthologous and homogeneous resistance genes. This will highlight the importance of performing a genomewide analysis and not only focus on the reported regions for a specific gene and marker to ensure all candidate genes have been considered.

In some cases, two different genes were mapped in the same position. For example, the disease locus of AcB1-A4.1 overlapped with Ac2(t) on chromosome A04, which could suggest two disease resistance loci on the same chromosome, or that AcB1-A4.1 and Ac2(t) were actually the same disease resistance locus. AcB1-A4.1 and Ac2(t) being the same disease resistance locus was suggested by Singh et al. (2015). This is a common challenge when different markers or populations are used and it is unclear whether loci are distinct genes, different alleles of the same gene or in fact the same gene.

Although it was found the majority of RGAs underlying the QTL were NLR genes and they

play a major role in plant disease resistance (Meyers et al. 1999; McHale et al. 2006), most of the previously cloned resistance genes for white rust and blackleg resistance were reported as RLKs and RLPs. For instance, the resistance genes for blackleg resistance cloned from *Brassica* species, i.e. Rlm2 and LepR3, were shown to encode extracellular leucine-rich receptor (eLRR) receptor-like proteins (RLPs) on chromosome A10 (Larkan et al. 2013, 2015). LepR3 was annotated as Bra008930 in B. rapa, which was 1890 bp gene length, 851 amino acid length and motif structure predicted by InterProScan analysis to include a single peptide at the N-terminal, eLRR region, transmembrane motif and cytoplasmic C-terminal region at the C-terminal (Larkan et al. 2013). Rlm2 was cloned in B. napus and had a protein motif structure to include a single peptide at the N-terminal, eLRR region, transmembrane motif and cytoplasmic C-terminal region at the C-terminal (Larkan et al. 2015). Rlm2, an LRR-RLP, was shown to interact with AtSOBIR1, a LRR-RLK gene (Larkan et al. 2015), and LepR3 was shown to interact with BnSOBIR1, a B. napus RLK (Ma and Borhan 2015). These highlight the importance of including RLKs and RLPs, in addition to NLRs for the identification of functional resistance genes.

Many of the QTL intervals were shown to contain clustered RGAs. This has been observed in previous studies, which found QTL located in a resistance gene–rich region containing NLR gene clusters that confer resistance to a number of different pathogens (Wang et al. 2010; Jeong et al. 2001). A clustered region of NLR genes has also been found to underly different QTL conferring fungal resistance in soybeans (Kang et al. 2012). This may have implications for disease resistance and be beneficial for resistance gene evolution.

The markers for resistance against the same race of pathogen isolates are possibly useful for further analysis between plant species, especially closely related plant species like diploid *B. nigra* and allotetraploid *B. juncea* which both possess the *Brassica* B genome. *B. juncea* has been shown to have resistance to multiple races (1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9) of *Xanthomonas campestris*, the causal agent for black rot, where it was postulated that *B. juncea* have black rot resistance genes, *R1, R5* and possibly *R4* (Vicente et al. 2001; Jensen et al.

2010; Vicente and Holub 2013). The resistance genes for R1 and R4 were established to be single dominant genes, which correspond to avirulence genes A1 and A4, respectively. It was also postulated that *B. juncea* cultivar Guangtou possessed resistance gene Rc1, which corresponds to avirulence gene avrXccC (xopAH) (He et al. 2007), and resistance genes Rc1 and Rc3 may be resistance genes R1 and R4.

To conclude, with advances in whole-genome sequencing technologies and availability of crops genome sequence, it is important to perform genome-wide mapping studies of genes and OTL linked to agronomically important traits, such as disease resistance, to facilitate the identification and application of these genes in the breeding programme. Here we mapped nine genomic regions related to disease resistance in B. juncea where the regions carry14 RLPs, 28 NLRs and 115 RLKs. We also indicated and discussed a number of challenges that can affect the accuracy of gene identification, including identifying QTL markers in various locations across the genome, which can be observed as a result of the homologs genomic regions. Altogether, we expected the outcome assists and facilitates the identification of functional genes towards breeding improvements.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the support of the University of Western Australia.

Author contribution F. I., D. E. and J. B. planned and designed the study. F. I. and P. E. B. performed data collection and analysis. F. I., S. T. and A. Y. C wrote the manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions The Australian Government supported this work through the Australian Research Council (Projects DP210100296 and DP200100762).

Availability of data and materials Access to the data were presented in Table S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not Applicable.

Consent for publication The authors give the consent for publication.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Ananga AO, Cebert E, Soliman K, Kantety R, Pacumbaba R, Konan K (2006) RAPD markers associated with resistance to blackleg disease in Brassica species. Afr J Biotechnol 5:2041–2048
- Balesdent MH, Attard A, Kuhn ML, Rouxel T (2002) New avirulence genes in the phytopathogenic fungus *Lepto-sphaeria maculans*. Phytopathology 92(10):1122– 1133. https://doi.org/10.1094/phyto.2002.92.10.1122
- Barret P, Guérif J, Reynoird JP, Delourme R, Eber F, Renard M, Chèvre AM (1998) Selection of stable *Brassica napus-Brassica juncea* recombinant lines resistant to blackleg (*Leptosphaeria maculans*). 2. A 'to and fro' strategy to localise and characterise interspecific introgressions on the *B. napus* genome. Theor Appl Genet 96(8):1097–1103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220050 844
- Bayer PE, Golicz AA, Tirnaz S, Chan CKK, Edwards D, Batley J (2019) Variation in abundance of predicted resistance genes in the *Brassica oleracea* pangenome. Plant Biotechnol J 17:789–800
- Brun H, Levivier S, Somda I, Ruer D, Renard M, Chevre AM (2000) A field method for evaluating the potential durability of new resistance sources: application to the *Leptosphaeria maculans-Brassica napus* pathosystem. Phytopathology 90(9):961–966. https://doi.org/10. 1094/phyto.2000.90.9.961
- Cantila AY, Saad NSM, Amas JC, Edwards D, Batley J (2020) Recent findings unravel genes and genetic factors underlying *Leptosphaeria maculans* resistance in *Brassica napus* and its relatives. Int J Mol Sci 22(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010313
- Chen S, Wan Z, Nelson MN, Chauhan JS, Redden R, Burton WA, Lin P, Salisbury PA, Fu T, Cowling WA (2013) Evidence from genome-wide simple sequence repeat markers for a polyphyletic origin and secondary centers of genetic diversity of *Brassica juncea* in China and India. J Hered 104(3):416–427. https://doi.org/10. 1093/jhered/est015
- Cheung WY, Gugel RK, Landry BS (1998) Identification of RFLP markers linked to the white rust resistance gene (*Acr*) in mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. and Coss.). Genome 41(4):626–628

- Chèvre AM, Barret P, Eber F, Dupuy P, Brun H, Tanguy X, Renard M (1997) Selection of stable *Brassica napus-B. juncea* recombinant lines resistant to blackleg (*Lepto-sphaeria maculans*). 1.Identification of molecular markers, chromosomal and genomic origin of the introgression. Theor Appl Genet 95(7):1104–1111. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s001220050669
- Christianson JA, Rimmer SR, Good AG, Lydiate DJ (2006) Mapping genes for resistance to *Leptosphaeria maculans* in *Brassica juncea*. Genome 49(1):30–41. https:// doi.org/10.1139/g05-085
- Delourme R, Bouchereau A, Hubert N, Renard M, Landry BS (1994) Identification of RAPD markers linked to a fertility restorer gene for the Ogura radish cytoplasmic male sterility of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Theor Appl Genet 88(6):741–748. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf01253979
- Dixelius C (1999) Inheritance of the resistance to *Leptosphaeria maculans* of *Brassica nigra* and *B. juncea* in nearisogenic lines of *B. napus*. Plant Breed 118(2):151–156
- Dixelius C, Wahlberg S (1999) Resistance to *Leptosphaeria* maculans is conserved in a specific region of the Brassica B genome. Theor Appl Genet 99(1–2):368–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051246
- Dolatabadian A, Bayer PE, Tirnaz S, Hurgobin B, Edwards D, Batley J (2020) Characterization of disease resistance genes in the *Brassica napus* pangenome reveals significant structural variation. Plant Biotechnol J 18(4):969–982
- Edwards D, Salisbury PA, Burton WA, Hopkins CJ, Batley J (2007) Indian mustard. In: Kole C (ed) Oilseeds, vol 2. Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp 179–210. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-540-34388-2_5
- FAO (2020) FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/ QC. Accessed 20 February 2020
- Fredua-Agyeman R, Coriton O, Huteau V, Parkin IAP, Chèvre A-M, Rahman H (2014) Molecular cytogenetic identification of B genome chromosomes linked to blackleg disease resistance in *Brassica napus × B. carinata* interspecific hybrids. Theor Appl Genet 127(6):1–14. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00122-014-2298-7
- Fudal I, Ross S, Gout L, Blaise F, Kuhn ML, Eckert MR, Cattolico L, Bernard-Samain S, Balesdent MH, Rouxel T (2007) Heterochromatin-like regions as ecological niches for avirulence genes in the *Leptosphaeria maculans* genome: map-based cloning of *AvrLm6*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 20(4):459–470. https://doi.org/10.1094/ MPMI-20-4-0459
- Gust AA, Willmann R, Desaki Y, Grabherr HM, Nürnberger T (2012) Plant LysM proteins: modules mediating symbiosis and immunity. Trends Plant Sci 17(8):495–502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.003
- He Y-Q, Zhang L, Jiang B-L, Zhang Z-C, Xu R-Q, Tang D-J, Qin J, Jiang W, Zhang X, Liao J, Cao J-R, Zhang S-S, Wei M-L, Liang X-X, Lu G-T, Feng J-X, Chen B, Cheng J, Tang J-L (2007) Comparative and functional genomics reveals genetic diversity and determinants of host specificity among reference strains and a large collection of Chinese isolates of the phytopathogen *Xanthomonas*

campestris pv. campestris. Genome Biol 8(10):R218. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-10-r218

- Hurgobin B, Edwards D (2017) SNP discovery using a pangenome: has the single reference approach become obsolete? Biology (Basel) 6(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/biolo gy6010021
- Inturrisi F, Bayer PE, Yang H, Tirnaz S, Edwards D, Batley J (2020) Genome-wide identification and comparative analysis of resistance genes in *Brassica juncea*. Mol Breeding 40(8):78. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11032-020-01159-z
- Inturrisi FC, Barbetti MJ, Tirnaz S, Patel DA, Edwards D, Batley J (2021) Molecular characterization of disease resistance in *Brassica juncea* – the current status and the way forward. Plant Pathol 70(1):13–34. https://doi. org/10.1111/ppa.13277
- Jehle AK, Lipschis M, Albert M, Fallahzadeh-Mamaghani V, Fürst U, Mueller K, Felix G (2013) The receptor-like protein ReMAX of *Arabidopsis* detects the microbeassociated molecular pattern eMax from *Xanthomonas*. Plant Cell 25(6):2330–2340. https://doi.org/10.1105/ tpc.113.110833
- Jensen BD, Vicente JG, Manandhar HK, Roberts SJ (2010) Occurrence and diversity of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. campestris in vegetable Brassica fields in Nepal. Plant Dis 94(3):298–305. https://doi.org/10.1094/ pdis-94-3-0298
- Jeong SC, Hayes AJ, Biyashev RM, Maroof MAS (2001) Diversity and evolution of a non-TIR-NBS sequence family that clusters to a chromosomal "hotspot" for disease resistance genes in soybean. Theor Appl Genet 103(2):406–414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220100 567
- Jones DA, Thomas CM, Hammond-Kosack KE, Balint-Kurti PJ, Jones JDG (1994) Isolation of the tomato Cf-9 gene for resistance to Cladosporium fulvum by transposon tagging. Science 266(5186):789–793
- Kang Y, Kim K, Shim S, Yoon M, Sun S, Kim M, Van K, Lee S-H (2012) Genome-wide mapping of NBS-LRR genes and their association with disease resistance in soybean. BMC Plant Biol 12(1):139
- Kim J, Lim C, Lee B-W, Choi J-P, Oh S-K, Ahmad R, Kwon S-Y, Ahn J, Hur C-G (2012) A genome-wide comparison of NB-LRR type of resistance gene analogs (RGA) in the plant kingdom. Mol Cells 33(4):385–392. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10059-012-0003-8
- Kumar N, Modi AR, Singh AS, Gajera BB, Patel AR, Patel MP, Subhash N (2010) Assessment of genetic fidelity of micropropagated date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) plants by RAPD and ISSR markers assay. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 16(2):207–213. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12298-010-0023-9
- Larkan NJ, Lydiate DJ, Parkin IAP, Nelson MN, Epp DJ, Cowling WA, Rimmer SR, Borhan MH (2013) The *Brassica napus* blackleg resistance gene *LepR3* encodes a receptor-like protein triggered by the *Leptosphaeria maculans* effector AVRLM1. New Phytol 197(2):595–605. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12043
- Larkan NJ, Ma L, Borhan MH (2015) The *Brassica napus* receptor-like protein RLM2 is encoded by a second allele

of the *LepR3/Rlm2* blackleg resistance locus. Plant Biotechnol J:n/a-n/a.https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12341

- Li CX, Li H, Sivasithamparam K, Fu TD, Li YC, Liu SY, Barbetti MJ (2006) Expression of field resistance under Western Australian conditions to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in Chinese and Australian *Brassica napus* and *Brassica juncea* germplasm and its relation with stem diameter. Aust J Agric Res 57(10):1131–1135. https://doi.org/10.1071/AR06066
- Li C, Li H, Sivasithamparam K, Fu T, Li Y, Lui S, Barbetti M (2007a) Sources of resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *Brassica napus* and *B. juncea* germplasm for China and Australia. In: 12th International Rape Seed Congress, Wuhan, pp 424–426
- Li C, Sivasithamparam K, Walton G, Salisbury P, Burton W, Banga S, Banga S, Chattopadhyay C, Kumar A, Singh R (2007b) Identification of resistance to *Albugo candida* in Indian, Australian and Chinese *Brassica juncea* genotypes. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Rapeseed Congress, Wuhan, China. pp 408–410
- Li CX, Sivasithamparam K, Walton G, Fels P, Barbetti MJ (2008a) Both incidence and severity of white rust disease reflect host resistance in *Brassica juncea* germplasm from Australia, China and India. Field Crops Res 106(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.10.003
- Li CX, Wratten N, Salisbury PA, Burton WA, Potter TD, Walton G, Li H, Sivasithamparam K, Banga S, Banga S, Singh D, Liu SY, Fu TD, Barbetti MJ (2008b) Response of *Brassica napus* and *B. juncea* germplasm from Australia, China and India to Australian populations of *Leptosphaeria maculans*. Australas Plant Pathol 37(2):162–170. https://doi.org/10.1071/AP08005
- Li J, Zhao Z, Hayward A, Cheng H, Fu D (2015) Integration analysis of quantitative trait loci for resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *Brassica napus*. Euphytica 205(2):483–489. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-015-1417-0
- Li L, Luo Y, Chen B, Xu K, Zhang F, Li H, Huang Q, Xiao X, Zhang T, Hu J, Li F, Wu X (2016a) A genome-wide association study reveals new loci for resistance to clubroot disease in *Brassica napus*. Front Plant Sci 7:1483. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01483
- Li P, Quan X, Jia G, Xiao J, Cloutier S, You FM (2016b) RGAugury: a pipeline for genome-wide prediction of resistance gene analogs (RGAs) in plants. BMC Genomics 17(1):852. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12864-016-3197-x
- Lv H, Fang Z, Yang L, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Liu Y, Zhuang M, Yang Y, Xie B, Liu B, Liu J, Kang J, Wang X (2014) Mapping and analysis of a novel candidate Fusarium wilt resistance gene FOC1 in *Brassica oleracea*. BMC Genomics 15(1):1094. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2164-15-1094
- Lv S, Changwei C, Tang J, Li Y, Wang Z, Jiang D, Hou X (2015) Genome-wide analysis and identification of TIR-NBS-LRR genes in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. pekinensis) reveal expression patterns to TuMV infection. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 90:89–97. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.pmpp.2015.04.001
- Ma L, Borhan MH (2015) The receptor-like kinase SOBIR1 interacts with *Brassica napus* LepR3 and is required for *Lepto-sphaeria maculans* AvrLm1-triggered immunity. Front Plant Sci 6:933. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00933

- McHale L, Tan X, Koehl P, Michelmore RW (2006) Plant NBS-LRR proteins: adaptable guards. Genome Biol 7(4):212. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2006-7-4-212
- Meyers B, Dickerman A, Michelmore R, Sivaramakrishnan S, Sobral B, Young N (1999) Plant disease resistance genes encode members of an ancient and diverse protein family within the nucleotide-binding superfamily. Plant J 20:317–332
- Mir ZA, Ali S, Shivaraj SM, Bhat JA, Singh A, Yadav P, Rawat S, Paplao PK, Grover A (2020) Genome-wide identification and characterization of Chitinase gene family in *Brassica juncea* and *Camelina sativa* in response to *Alternaria brassicae*. Genomics 112(1):749–763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.05.011
- Mohan M, Nair S, Bhagwat A, Krishna TG, Yano M, Bhatia CR, Sasaki T (1997) Genome mapping, molecular markers and marker-assisted selection in crop plants. Mol Breeding 3(2):87–103. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009651919792
- Mukherjee AK, Mohapatra T, Varshney A, Sharma R, Sharma RP (2001) Molecular mapping of a locus controlling resistance to *Albugo candida* in Indian mustard. Plant Breeding 120(6):483–497. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2001. 00658.x
- Navabi ZK, Parkin IAP, Pires JC, Xiong Z, Thiagarajah MR, Good AG, Rahman MH (2010) Introgression of B-genome chromosomes in a doubled haploid population of *Brassica napus* × *B. carinata.* Genome 53(8):619–629. https://doi.org/10.1139/G10-039
- Navabi ZK, Stead KE, Pires JC, Xiong Z, Sharpe AG, Parkin IAP, Rahman MH, Good AG (2011) Analysis of B-genome chromosome introgression in interspecific hybrids of *Brassica napus* × *B. carinata.* Genetics 187(3):659–673. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110. 124925
- Nelson M, Mason A, Castello M-C, Thomson L, Yan G, Cowling W (2009) Microspore culture preferentially selects unreduced (2n) gametes from an interspecific hybrid of *Brassica napus* L. × *Brassica carinata* Braun. Theor Appl Genet 119(3):497–505. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00122-009-1056-8
- Oram RN, Salisbury PA, Kirk J, Burton W (1999) *Brassica juncea* breeding. In: Canola in Australia: The First Thirty Years. Proceedings of the 10 th International Rapeseed Congress, Canberra, Australia. pp 37–40
- Panjabi-Massand P, Yadava SK, Sharma P, Kaur A, Kumar A, Arumugam N, Sodhi YS, Mukhopadhyay A, Gupta V, Pradhan AK, Pental D (2010) Molecular mapping reveals two independent loci conferring resistance to *Albugo candida* in the east European germplasm of oilseed mustard *Brassica juncea*. Theor Appl Genet 121(1):137–145. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-010-1297-6
- Panjabi P, Jagannath A, Bisht N, Padmaja KL, Sharma S, Gupta V, Pradhan A, Pental D (2008) Comparative mapping of *Brassica juncea* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* using Intron Polymorphism (IP) markers: homoeologous relationships, diversification and evolution of the A, B and C Brassica genomes. BMC Genomics 9(1):113
- Plieske J, Struss D (2001) STS markers linked to Phoma resistance genes of the Brassica B-genome revealed sequence homology between *Brassica nigra* and *Brassica napus*.

Theor Appl Genet 102(4):483–488. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s001220051671

- Plieske J, Struss D, Röbbelen G (1998) Inheritance of resistance derived from the B-genome of Brassica against Phoma lingam in rapeseed and the development of molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet 97(5):929–936. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220050973
- Prabhu KV, Somers DJ, Rakow G, Gugel RK (1998) Molecular markers linked to white rust resistance in mustard *Brassica juncea*. Theor Appl Genet 97(5–6):865–870
- Pradhan AK, Pental D (2011) Genetics of *Brassica juncea*. In: Schmidt R, Bancroft I (eds) Genetics and genomics of the Brassicaceae. Springer, Ney York, New York, pp 323–345. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7118-0_11
- Rafalski JA (2002) Novel genetic mapping tools in plants: SNPs and LD-based approaches. Plant Sci 162(3):329– 333. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(01)00587-8
- Rajaseger G, Tan HTW, Turner IM, Kumar PP (1997) Analysis of genetic diversity among Ixora cultivars (Rubiaceae) using random amplified polymorphic DNA. Ann Bot 80(3):355–361. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1997.0454
- Raman H, Raman R, Coombes N, Song J, Diffey S, Kilian A, Lindbeck K, Barbulescu DM, Batley J, Edwards D, Salisbury PA, Marcroft S (2016) Genome-wide association study identifies new loci for resistance to *Leptosphaeria maculans* in canola. Front Plant Sci 7:1513–1513. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01513
- Rashid MH, Zou Z, Fernando WGD (2018) Development of molecular markers linked to the *Leptosphaeria maculans* resistance gene Rlm6 and inheritance of SCAR and CAPS markers in *Brassica napus* × *Brassica juncea* interspecific hybrids. Plant Breeding 137(3):402–411. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12587
- Rouxel T, Balesdent M-H (2017) Life, death and rebirth of avirulence effectors in a fungal pathogen of Brassica crops, *Leptosphaeria maculans*. New Phytol 214(2):526– 532. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14411
- Saal B, Struss D (2005) RGA- and RAPD-derived SCAR markers for a *Brassica* B-genome introgression conferring resistance to blackleg in oilseed rape. Theor Appl Genet 111(2):281–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00122-005-2022-8
- Saal B, Brun H, Glais I, Struss D (2004) Identification of a Brassica juncea-derived recessive gene conferring resistance to Leptosphaeria maculans in oilseed rape. Plant Breeding 123(6):505–511. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1439-0523.2004.01052.x
- Sagi MS, Deokar AA, Tar'an B (2017) Genetic analysis of NBS-LRR gene family in chickpea and their expression profiles in response to Ascochyta blight infection. Front Plant Sci 8(838). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00838
- Sekhwal MK, Li P, Lam I, Wang X, Cloutier S, You FM (2015) Disease resistance gene analogs (RGAs) in plants. Int J Mol Sci 16(8):19248–19290. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms160819248
- Shao Z-Q, Zhang Y-M, Hang Y-Y, Xue J-Y, Zhou G-C, Wu P, Wu X-Y, Wu X-Z, Wang Q, Wang B (2014) Long-term evolution of nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat genes: understanding gained from and beyond the legume family. Plant Physiol 166(1):217–234

- Shimizu M, Pu Z-j, Kawanabe T, Kitashiba H, Matsumoto S, Ebe Y, Sano M, Funaki T, Fukai E, Fujimoto R, Okazaki K (2015) Map-based cloning of a candidate gene conferring Fusarium yellows resistance in *Brassica oleracea*. Theor Appl Genet 128(1):119–130. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00122-014-2416-6
- Singh BK, Nandan D, Ambawat S, Ram B, Kumar A, Singh T, Meena HS, Kumar V, Singh VV, Rai PK, Singh D (2015) Validation of molecular markers for marker-assisted pyramiding of white rust resistance loci in Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Can J Plant Sci 95(5):939–945. https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps-2014-215
- Solmaz I, Sari N, Aka-Kacar Y, Yalcin-Mendi NY (2010) The genetic characterization of Turkish watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) accessions using RAPD markers. Genet Resour Crop Evol 57(5):763–771. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10722-009-9515-2
- Somers D, Rakow G, Raney P, Prabhu V, Séguin-Swartz G, Rimmer R, Gugel R, Lydiate D, Sharpe A (1999) Developing marker-assisted breeding for quality and disease resistance traits in Brassica oilseeds. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Rapeseed Congress, Canberra, Australia
- Srivastava D, Khan NA, Shamim M, Yadav P, Pandey P, Singh KN (2014) Assessment of the genetic diversity in bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* [Molina] Standl.) genotypes using SDS-PAGE and RAPD markers. Natl Acad Sci Lett 37(2):155–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40009-013-0207-2
- Stotz HU, Mitrousia GK, de Wit PJGM, Fitt BDL (2014) Effector-triggered defence against apoplastic fungal pathogens. Trends Plant Sci 19(8):491–500. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.04.009
- Struss D, Quiros CF, Plieske J, Röbbelen G (1996) Construction of Brassica B genome synteny groups based on chromosomes extracted from three different sources by phenotypic, isozyme and molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet 93(7):1026–1032. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf00230120
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989) RFLP mapping in plant breeding: new tools for an old science. Nat Biotechnol 7(3):257–264
- Tirnaz S, Bayer P, Inturrisi F, Neik T, Yang H, Dolatabadian A, Zhang F, Severn-Ellis A, Patel D, Pradhan A, Edwards D, Batley J (2020) Resistance gene analogs in the Brassicaceae: identification, characterization, distribution and evolution. Plant Physiol 184(2):909–982
- Tollenaere R, Hayward A, Dalton-Morgan J, Campbell E, Lee JR, Lorenc MT, Manoli S, Stiller J, Raman R, Raman H, Edwards D, Batley J (2012) Identification and characterization of candidate Rlm4 blackleg resistance genes in *Brassica napus* using next-generation sequencing. Plant Biotechnolol J 10(6):709–715. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2012.00716.x
- Van De Wouw AP, Marcroft SJ, Howlett BJ (2016) Blackleg disease of canola in Australia. Crop Pasture Sci 67(4):273–283. https://doi.org/10.1071/CP15221
- Van de Wouw AP, Marcroft SJ, Ware A, Lindbeck K, Khangura R, Howlett BJ (2014) Breakdown of resistance to the fungal disease, blackleg, is averted in commercial canola (*Brassica napus*) crops in Australia. Field Crop

Res 166:144–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.06. 023

- Vicente JG, Holub EB (2013) Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris (cause of black rot of crucifers) in the genomic era is still a worldwide threat to brassica crops. Mol Plant Pathol 14(1):2–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1364-3703.2012.00833.x
- Vicente JG, Conway J, Roberts SJ, Taylor JD (2001) Identification and origin of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. campestris races and related pathovars. Phytopathology 91(5):492– 499. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2001.91.5.492
- Voorrips RE (2002) MapChart: software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. J Hered 93(1):77– 78. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/93.1.77
- Wan J, Zhang X-C, Neece D, Ramonell KM, Clough S, Kim S-y, Stacey MG, Stacey G (2008) A LysM receptor-like kinase plays a critical role in chitin signaling and fungal resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 20(2):471–481. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.056754
- Wang H, Waller L, Tripathy S, St. Martin SK, Zhou L, Krampis K, Tucker DM, Mao Y, Hoeschele I, SaghaiMaroof MA, Tyler BM, Dorrance AE (2010) Analysis of genes underlying soybean quantitative trait loci conferring partial resistance to *Phytophthora sojae*. Plant Genome 3(1):23–40. https://doi.org/10.3835/plantgenome2009.12.0029
- Wei L, Jian H, Lu K, Filardo F, Yin N, Liu L, Qu C, Li W, Du H, Li J (2016) Genome-wide association analysis and differential expression analysis of resistance to Sclerotinia stem rot in *Brassica napus*. Plant Biotechnol J 14(6):1368–1380. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12501
- Willmann R, Lajunen HM, Erbs G, Newman M-A, Kolb D, Tsuda K, Katagiri F, Fliegmann J, Bono J-J, Cullimore JV, Jehle AK, Götz F, Kulik A, Molinaro A, Lipka V, Gust AA, Nürnberger T (2011) Arabidopsis lysin-motif proteins LYM1 LYM3 CERK1 mediate bacterial peptidoglycan sensing and immunity to bacterial infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108(49):19824–19829. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1112862108
- Wu J, Zhao Q, Liu S, Shahid M, Lan L, Cai G, Zhang C, Fan C, Wang Y, Zhou Y (2016) Genome-wide association study identifies new loci for resistance to Sclerotinia stem rot in *Brassica napus*. Front Plant Sci 7(1418). https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01418
- Xiao S, Ellwood S, Calis O, Patrick E, Li T, Coleman M, Turner JG (2001) Broad-spectrum mildew resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* mediated by *RPW8*. Science 291(5501):118–120. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.291. 5501.118
- Xu Y, Li P, Yang Z, Xu C (2017) Genetic mapping of quantitative trait loci in crops. Crop J 5(2):175–184. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cj.2016.06.003
- Yang H, Bayer PE, Tirnaz S, Edwards D, Batley J (2021) Genome-wide identification and evolution of receptorlike kinases (RLKs) and receptor like proteins (RLPs) in

Brassica juncea. Biology 10(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/ biology10010017

- Yang J, Liu D, Wang X, Ji C, Cheng F, Liu B, Hu Z, Chen S, Pental D, Ju Y, Yao P, Li X, Xie K, Zhang J, Wang J, Liu F, Ma W, Shopan J, Zheng H, Mackenzie SA, Zhang M (2016) The genome sequence of allopolyploid *Brassica juncea* and analysis of differential homoeolog gene expression influencing selection. Nat Genet 48:1225. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3657. https://www.nature. com/articles/ng.3657#supplementary-information
- Yu F, Zhang X, Peng G, Falk KC, Strelkov SE, Gossen BD (2017) Genotyping-by-sequencing reveals three QTL for clubroot resistance to six pathotypes of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in *Brassica rapa*. Sci Rep 7(1):4516. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-04903-2
- Yu S, Su T, Zhi S, Zhang F, Wang W, Zhang D, Zhao X, Yu Y (2016) Construction of a sequence-based bin map and mapping of QTLs for downy mildew resistance at four developmental stages in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. ssp. pekinensis). Mol Breeding 36 (no. 4):44–44–2016, 2036 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11032-016-0467-x
- Zeng L, Velásquez AC, Munkvold KR, Zhang J, Martin GB (2012) A tomato LysM receptor-like kinase promotes immunity and its kinase activity is inhibited by AvrPtoB. Plant J 69(1):92–103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04773.x
- Zhang X, Peng G, Kutcher HR, Balesdent M-H, Delourme R, Fernando WGD (2015) Breakdown of *Rlm3* resistance in the *Brassica napus–Leptosphaeria maculans* pathosystem in western Canada. Eur J Plant Pathol:1–16. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0819-0
- Zhang Y, Thomas W, Bayer PE, Edwards D, Batley J (2020) Frontiers in dissecting and managing Brassica diseases: from reference-based RGA candidate identification to building Pan-RGAomes. Int J Mol Sci 21(23). https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms21238964
- Zhao K-J, Chye M-L (1999) Methyl jasmonate induces expression of a novel *Brassica juncea* chitinase with two chitinbinding domains. Plant Mol Biol 40(6):1009–1018. https:// doi.org/10.1023/a:1006266407368
- Zipfel C (2008) Pattern-recognition receptors in plant innate immunity. Curr Opin Immunol 20(1):10–16. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.coi.2007.11.003
- Zipfel C (2014) Plant pattern-recognition receptors. Trends Immunol 35(7):345–351

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.