Virtual currencies: different schemes and research opportunities

Gianluca Scheidegger¹ · Priya Raghubir²

Accepted: 31 January 2022 / Published online: 14 February 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

The digitization of money has led to the emergence of numerous virtual currencies. Despite their great financial relevance, virtual currencies have not received much attention in marketing research. We classify virtual currencies into three different schemes and highlight potential factors that influence consumer behavior related to these new payment methods. This article provides marketing researchers with a research framework as well as specific research questions to initiate discussions and future research on this novel topic.

Keywords Virtual currencies · Pricing · Consumer behavior

1 Introduction

Whenever people think about money, their home country's official currency, real economic money (REM), typically comes to mind first. Yet, many consumers generate non-cash resources, and businesses generate value through points, miles, and other virtual currencies (VCs) that form the backbone of their loyalty programs. These VCs encourage consumer engagement, and offer consumers real goods and services, as well as social standing and the perks accompanying them.

Gaming, an industry forecast to be worth \$314.40 billion by 2027¹ commonly uses VCs (e.g., CandyCrush gold bars). Then, there are VCs such as Pearls at the

¹ Mordor Intelligence (2022). Global Gaming Market—Growth, Trends, COVID-19 Impact, and Forecasts (2022—2027). Retrieved February 11, 2022, from <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/global-gaming-market>

✉ Gianluca Scheidegger
gianluca_scheidegger@hotmail.com

Priya Raghubir
raghubir@stern.nyu.edu

¹ Institute of Retail Management, University of St. Gallen, St. Gallen, Switzerland

² Leonard N. Stern School of Business, New York University, New York City, NY, USA

music festival Tomorrowland in Belgium, retailer store credits (e.g., BestBuy) and crypto currencies (e.g., bitcoin) that have started being advertised, despite concerns.² Given their financial relevance, VCs remain understudied. We introduce different VC schemes that vary across eight dimensions (Table 1), and suggest areas for research across seven information-processing stages (Fig. 1), with consequences for spending and post-purchase attitudes and behaviors. Payment systems (e.g., credit cards, Venmo) are out of the scope of this article.

2 VC schemes

The European Central Bank defines VCs as “[...] electronic money issued and usually controlled by its developers, and used and accepted among the members of a specific virtual community.”³ VCs can be classified into (1) closed system and (2) unidirectional or (3) bidirectional flow depending on their connection to real economy money.

2.1 Closed system

Closed system VCs cannot be bought with or resold for REM. They must be earned to buy virtual goods within the specific closed environment, and are often referred to as “soft” in-game currency. The aim is to increase consumer engagement, monetizing it through upselling and advertising. For example, World-of-Warcraft players earn “gold”. Other examples include FIFA21 (coins), Guild Wars (gold), and GTA V (\$).

2.2 Unidirectional flow

VCs in this category are issued by the issuing company with limited fungibility across customers, restricted convertibility to REM and no legal recognition. The exchange rates vary and are set by the issuing company, and the purpose is to encourage engagement and repurchase with the company and its partners.

Consumers can acquire unidirectional VCs by buying them *directly* with REM or *indirectly* by spending REM. This leads to three types of VCs where the acquisition is through:

- a) Indirect and direct purchase: Consumers can trade in VCs earned through flights, hotel stays, or credit-card usage, for products and services from the issuing company (e.g., Marriott-Bonvoy), or products available in their marketplace (e.g., United MileagePlus). There is limited transferability across users although VCs

² Daily Beast (2022). Matt Damon’s Viral Crypto Ad Is an Embarrassing Cash Grab. Retrieved February 11, 2022, from <https://www.thedailybeast.com/matt-damons-viral-crypto-ad-is-an-embarrassing-cash-grab>

³ European Central Bank (2012). Virtual Currency Schemes. Retrieved February 11, 2022 from <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/other/virtualcurrencyschemes201210en.pdf>

Table 1 Virtual currency schemes

	Cash (real economic money or REM)	Virtual currency (VC)			Bidirectional flow
		Closed system	Unidirectional flow		
Short description	Physical money (also available in digital form) that is considered legal tender in an economy	VC (virtual currency) with no connection to REM (real economic money)	A VC (virtual currency) that has a direct (buying VC with REM) or indirect connection to REM (earning VCs through spending REM). VC cannot be converted back to REM (real economic money)	A VC (virtual currency) that can both be bought with, and converted back to REM (real economic money)	
Form	Physical and digital	Digital (Virtual)	Digital (Virtual)	Digital (Virtual)	
Acquisition possibilities	Earned (e.g., Salary, Wages, tips, investment returns, profit) and unearned (e.g., windfall gains, lottery, inheritance)	Engagement	Indirect and direct purchase	Direct purchase and engagement	Direct purchase
Legal recognition	Legal tender	Unrecognized	Unrecognized	Unrecognized	Unrecognized
Convertibility**	Yes	No	Limited	Yes	Yes
REM Exchange rate	-	-	-	Floating	Floating
Fungibility across customers***	Yes	It depends	Fees may apply	Yes	Yes
Authority	Central bank	Issuing company	Issuing company	Issuing company	Decentralized
Purpose	Buy virtual and physical goods and services, store and invest, exchange	Buy virtual goods and services within the system of the issuing company	Buy virtual and physical goods and services within the system of the issuing company and its partners and improve loyalty status	Buy virtual goods and services within the system of the issuing company	Buy physical goods and services within the system of the issuing company
					Buy virtual and physical goods and services outside the system of the issuing authority

Table 1 (continued)

Example	Virtual currency (VC)		Unidirectional flow		Bidirectional flow			Crypto money
	Closed system							
Real economy money	Soft in-game money		Miles	Hard in-game money	Loyalty points	Virtual economy money	Company-specific money	
US Dollars Cash money in physical or digital format that is regarded as official currency in the U.S. and is issued and controlled by the Federal Reserve. It can be converted into other official currencies (e.g., £, ¥) and back into US \$	World of Warcraft World of Warcraft is an online computer game. Players can earn "gold" by completing different quests or selling virtual goods. Gold can be exchanged among the players. The developers forbid the purchase or resale of gold for REM, but there are ways to purchase gold illegally		United MileagePlus United's frequent flyer miles can be acquired by flying United or affiliated airlines, using a MileagePlus credit card, earning points at partner companies (e.g., Marriott), or purchasing them with REM. Miles help establish premier status and benefits. They cannot be resold and have limited transfer opportunities. But goods, services and gift cards can be bought with miles, for self or other use or donation	Fortnite The developers of Fortnite, an online game, offer players both the possibility to earn their VC "V Bucks" through different tasks in the game and to purchase them directly in their online store, though earning possibilities of hard in-game money is limited. V Bucks cannot be exchanged among players	Starbucks Rewards For every dollar spent at Starbucks, depending on payment method, customers get up to 3 stars. These stars can be redeemed for rewards (e.g., food and drinks) but they cannot be converted back into REM	Second Life Second Life is both an online game and a virtual world. Users buy "Linden Dollars" with REM to purchase virtual goods within the Second Life world, at conversion rates set by market demand. Linden Dollars can be exchanged and sold on after the official platform "LindeX"	Tomorrowland Festival goers pay with "Pearls" for food, drinks and merchandise at the music festival Tomorrowland. Pearls have a fixed exchange rate (£1.6 = 1 Pearl). Unspent Pearls are automatically refunded in £ to the festival goer's card after the festival	Bitcoin Bitcoin is a virtual currency built on top of blockchain technology, regulated by a decentralized network. Bitcoins can be purchased with and converted back into REM. The conversion rate is volatile. While El Salvador has accepted bitcoin as legal tender, China has banned its use, and India is debating a ban. Its retail acceptance is growing and consumer advertising has started

Fig. 1 Framework to guide future research on virtual currencies

can be monetized by converting them into gift cards/products to be gifted, used, or donated.

- Direct purchase and engagement: Referred to as “hard” in-game currency, such as CandyCrush (gold bars), Clash of Clans (gems), Brawl Kings (gems), and Words-with-Friends (power-ups).
- Indirect purchase: Typical of loyalty programs, VC points are used to reward loyal customers and encourage repurchase (e.g., Starbucks stars, Best Buy and Expedia points, and Macy’s Money).

Unidirectional VCs cannot be converted back to REM without resorting to unauthorized third-party platforms.

2.3 Bidirectional flow

Bidirectional flow VCs share many similarities to REM. They can be exchanged from and into REM, but they lack legal recognition and are not issued by a central bank. There are three types: virtual economic money (e.g., Second Life’s tradable Linden dollars), company-specific money (e.g., Tomorrowland Pearls, virtual casino chips), and crypto currencies (e.g., bitcoin). Companies issue company-specific VCs for payments within their ecosystem. For example, Tomorrowland’s “Pearls” must be purchased with REM, used for all payments at the festival, with unused Pearls refunded.

3 Research opportunities

VCs open new avenues for academic research. VC features (Table 1) are antecedents to seven information processing stages discussed below, with user characteristics moderating these relationships. Consequences include spending and post-transaction outcomes. Research questions that arise from this framework are presented below.

3.1 Economic utility

Economic utility refers to a VCs purchase price and its expected appreciation/depreciation, volatility, and REM convertibility. Companies choose their own conversion rate when introducing a VC which can be either a fraction or a multiple of REM (e.g., Pearls = €1.6; \$1 = 100 miles = 0.000015 BTC), a factor that can affect spending (Raghubir & Srivastava, 2002).

- *Do VC exchange rates influence consumers' price perceptions and purchase behavior?*
- *Is price elasticity contingent on VC versus REM?*

Exchange rates of VCs can be pegged to REM (e.g., Pearls-€), be determined by market supply and demand (e.g., Bitcoins), or by company-related factors (e.g., miles devaluation).

- *How do different exchange rate schemes, and their stability, influence their perceived value and affect purchase behavior, satisfaction and loyalty?*
- *How do usage and purchase behavior change when the VC is considered a stored value versus a medium of exchange?*
- *Does devaluation affect spending of VCs, loyalty, satisfaction, and engagement?*
- *Do fluctuating and volatile exchange rates increase price complexity?*
- *Can increasing VC fungibility across customers increase engagement?*

Many hard in-game currencies can be bought in different bundles with volume discounts (e.g., 6 packages of “CandyCrush gold bars”, with the price/bar half in a 1000- vs. 10-bar pack), and be used to purchase additional in-game packages, leading to several different prices.

- *How do consumers account for different exchange rates?*
- *Do consumers' expected volume discounts differ for REM versus VC?*
- *Does price complexity affect purchase intentions, satisfaction, and engagement?*

3.2 Transaction utility

Transaction utility relates to the acceptance of a VC. Paying with company-specific (vs. fungible) funds increases consumer preference for company-typical products (Reinholtz et al., 2015).

- *Are VCs typically used for purchases similar to how they were earned?*
- *What individual differences moderate the likelihood of saving versus spending a VC?*

Prioritized that consumers spend more using credit cards and gift certificates than they do using cash (Raghubir & Srivastava, 2008). This suggests:

- *Are company-specific VCs more likely to be spent versus REM?*

3.3 Accounting

Accounting refers to the mental accounts that consumers use to categorize their VCs (with each account used for a different purpose), and includes whether a VC is thought of as a resource to be spent (vs. invested in). On occasion, VCs are issued into two separate accounts (e.g., United's Miles, PlusPoints).

- *Do consumers have different mental accounts for different VCs from the same issuing company?*
- *Do consumers include VC balances in their net worth assessment?*

3.4 Perceptions

Perceptions include the perceptual fluency of a VC as well as consumers' price perceptions. When paying with gift cards, consumers try to avoid unspent funds (White, 2008), sometimes leading them to spend over the gift card's face value. Uneven prices in in-app purchases lead to residual values.

- *Do residual values in VCs enhance spending or purchase avoidance?*
- *Do residual values affect post-purchase satisfaction and engagement?*

3.5 Cognitions

Cognition includes the similarity of a VC to REM, price-complexity, conversion-ease, and perceived value. The lower the similarity, the lower the negative feelings associated with spending, and the higher purchase likelihood, especially for hedonic products (Thomas et al., 2011).

- *How similar do consumers perceive different VCs to be to cash?*
- *What are the antecedents of these similarity perceptions?*
- *What offerings should loyalty programs offer to encourage purchase?*
- *What individual differences moderate the effect of perceived similarity on spending?*

Consumers depreciate costs over time (Gourville & Soman, 1998), with longer gaps making costs less relevant at the time of consumption.

- *Do consumers depreciate VC costs over time?*

3.6 Affect

Pain-of-paying is a negative affective reaction, and positive feelings associated with higher loyalty status are positive affective reactions. Shah et al. (2016) showed that the greater the pain-of-payment, the greater brand attachment.

- *Is pain of payment lower for VCs than for REM?*
- *Is brand attachment lower when purchased with VCs?*

3.7 Memory

Memory refers to the strength of the memory trace for the price paid, and is weaker for credit cards versus cash (Srivastava & Raghurir, 2002).

- *Is the memory trace for a VC weaker than REM?*
- *Does a weaker memory trace encourage VC spending?*

3.8 User characteristics

Approximately 3.5% of gamers spend REM on hard in-app money.⁴

- *What personality traits increase likelihood to spend REM on VCs, and VCs?*
- *What policies should be implemented to prevent overspending?*

Alter and Oppenheimer (2008) show that consumers who pay with unfamiliar (vs. familiar) forms of currency believe they have less purchasing power.

- *Does a VCs name affect familiarity perceptions?*
- *How does consumer familiarity with a VC affect processing fluency of VC prices and purchase intentions?*

4 Conclusion

VCs are a prevalent, growing, yet understudied, subject in marketing. Their shared features and their heterogeneity offer novel research questions along the purchase process, especially as virtual environments bear untapped potential to predict real-world behavior (e.g., Lofgren & Fefferman, 2007).

⁴ AppsFlyer (2016). The State of In-App Spending: Global & Regional Benchmarks. Retrieved February 11, 2022 from https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/597489/IAP_Guide/The_State_of_In-App_Spending_AppsFlyer.pdf

Funding Open access funding provided by University of St.Gallen.

Data availability Not applicable.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest/Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Alter, A. L., & Oppenheimer, D. M. (2008). Easy on the mind, easy on the wallet: The roles of familiarity and processing fluency in valuation judgments. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 15(5), 985–990. <https://doi.org/10.3758/PBR.15.5.985>
- Gourville, J. T., & Soman, D. (1998). Payment depreciation: The behavioral effects of temporally separating payments from consumption. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 25(2), 160–174. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209533>
- Lofgren, E. T., & Fefferman, N. H. (2007). The untapped potential of virtual game worlds to shed light on real world epidemics. *The Lancet*, 7(September), 625–629. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(07\)70212-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70212-8)
- Raghubir, P., & Srivastava, J. (2002). Effect of face value on product valuation in foreign currencies. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(3), 335–347. <https://doi.org/10.1086/344430>
- Raghubir, P., & Srivastava, J. (2008). Monopoly money: The effect of payment coupling and form on spending behavior. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 14(3), 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-898X.14.3.213>
- Reinholtz, N., Bartels, D. M., & Parker, J. R. (2015). On the mental accounting of restricted-use funds: How gift cards change what people purchase. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(4), 596–614. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucv045>
- Shah, A. M., Eisenkraft, N., Bettman, J. R., & Chartrand, T. L. (2016). “Paper or plastic?”: How we pay influences post-transaction connection. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(5), 688–708. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucv056>
- Srivastava, J., & Raghubir, P. (2002). Debiasing using decomposition: The case of memory-based credit card expense estimates. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 12(3), 253–264. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327663JCP1203_07
- Thomas, M., Desai, K. K., & Seenivasan, S. (2011). How credit card payments increase unhealthy food purchases: Visceral regulation of vices. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 38(1), 126–139. <https://doi.org/10.1086/657331>
- White, R. (2008). The mental accounting of gift card versus cash gift funds. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 35, 722–723.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.