Novel insulation panels development from multilayered coir short and long fiber reinforced phenol formaldehyde polymeric biocomposites

K. M. Faridul Hasan¹ · Péter György Horváth¹ · Zsófia Kóczán² · Duong Hung Anh Le¹ · Miklós Bak¹ · László Bejő¹ · Tibor Alpár¹

Received: 20 July 2021 / Accepted: 3 November 2021 / Published online: 18 November 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

This study investigated about the developments of insulation panels from multilayered coir long and short fiber reinforced phenol formaldehyde polymeric (PF) resin. The lengths of coir long fibers (CLF) were within 3 mm, whereas the short fibers (CSF) ranged from 0.1 mm to 1.25 mm. Four composite panels of 360, 680, 800, and 1000 kg/m³ densities were developed by employing hot pressing technology. The thermal conductivity, microstructural, mechanical, and physical properties of the composite panels were investigated. Perceived thermal conductivity values ranged within 0.046280 (0.000494) to 0.062400 (0.001146) Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ of the composites demonstrating superior insulation properties. Moreover, the current study also found that mechanical and thermal properties showed improvement with the increase of density. Low-density fiberboards had the lowest performances compared to high-density composite panels, with the exception of the 1000 kg/m³ density, in which fiber agglomeration occurred. Furthermore, all the developed composite panels display superior potentiality for use as effective insulation materials. The FTIR (Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy) analysis also shows an efficient bonding between the cellulosic coir materials and PF resin. The overall characteristics of the composite panels, especially medium fiberboard, show prominent potential for industrial production units by fulfilling the consumer requirements.

Keyword Coir fiber · Biocomposites · Mechanical performance · Physical properties · Sustainable products

Introduction

The increasing awareness of worldwide environmental pollution has increased the importance of bio-based polymeric composite panels. The use of naturally derived fiber materials as potential reinforcements could facilitate the composite sector with enhanced sustainability [1–9]. Among other natural fibers, coir is a crucial renewable fiber material. Coir materials are derived from coconut husks and are produced extensively in Southeast Asian countries. Much research on

long coir fiber reinforced composites has been conducted. The bulk of the research focuses on coir reinforced with different thermoplastic (like polypropylene (PP), polylactic acid (PLA), PHBV (3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate), polybutylene succinate (PBS)) [10–14], thermosetting (like epoxy) [15, 16], and cementitious reagents like OPC (Ordinary Portland cement) [17–20]. Sometimes, nanoparticles (NPs) are also utilized to develop the hybrid nanocomposites in order to improve the performances [21–23]. Additionally, NPs are not yet widely implemented for industrial production. Therefore, it is tried to innovate the feasibility of multilayered coir material reinforced PF composites having improved insulation properties. However, research on coir chips, a byproduct generated during coir fiber processing, is not extensive, particularly not on chips with improved mechanical properties. In our previous study, we reported on the development of coir chips (without extracting into fiber) along with long coir materials reinforced with MUF (melamine-urea-formaldehyde) [24]. However, the internal bonding strengths were less than 0.5 MPa, which, depending

✉ K. M. Faridul Hasan
faridulwtu@outlook.com

✉ Tibor Alpár
alpar.tibor@uni-sopron.hu

¹ Simonyi Károly Faculty of Engineering, University of Sopron, Sopron, Hungary

² Paper Research Institute, Simonyi Károly Faculty of Engineering, University of Sopron, Sopron, Hungary

on density, is the standard for fiber composite panels. The reason for this may be the lowered compatibility between the coconut chips and MUF polymers although the coir materials were pretreated before the fabrications. However, if the chips are extracted into fiber forms, they could provide better reinforcement effects in the composite system, which may increase the fiber to polymer adhesions. Furthermore, the changes in polymeric materials could also provide better reinforcement effects. Coir fibers (short fiber extracted from chips and long fibers directly from coconut husks) reinforced with another potential thermosetting polymers such as PF have not been investigated yet. To our knowledge, this fabrication protocol has not been studied yet. The process involves employing hot-press technology, which provided excellent reinforcement effects with improved internal bonding strengths of higher than 0.5 MPa in the case of medium density panels.

As Table 1 shows, Coir fiber is a prominent lignocellulosic material possessing significant lignin ($48.3 \pm 1.9\%$), cellulose ($43.4 \pm 1.2\%$), and some other polymers like hemicellulose and ash. Chemically, coir fiber contains a higher lignin proportion than cellulose when compared to other natural fibers [25]. Previously, coconut husks were used extensively for culinary purposes, following the collection of liquid endosperm and copra [26]. The disposal of coconut husks and entire dried coconuts had become a considerable environmental threat as both the husks and the entire coconuts could remain in the environment for extended periods of time before decomposing. The utilization of coconut husks as fiber materials mitigates such challenges and ecological hazards. However, coconut husks could have extensive potential in biocomposite productions. In this regard, science and industry are investing significant attention into utilizing coir materials in gainful ways [15, 27–30]. For some time, thermoset polymers have played a considerable role in developing fiber reinforced composite products [31]. Thermoset polymers facilitate the composite materials with better mechanical, chemical, and dimensional stability as well as thermal performances by creating a “cross-link” with the polymers [32]. Among different thermosetting polymers,

polyester [33, 34], epoxy [35, 36], MUF [37], and PF [38] are used largely for natural fiber reinforced composite production. PF resin shows higher stiffness, chemical resistance, and excellent insulation properties [39]. In the circumstances mentioned above, PF resin is employed for developing tri-layered biocomposite panels through reinforcement with coir materials for insulation performances.

The developed products from coir fibers retain versatile potentiality for being used as the low as insulation material for the housing and construction sector and demanded green consumer products. Moreover, the automotive industries, air-space companies, and different sporting good manufacturers are using coir fiber reinforced composites too. Furthermore, coir fiber reinforced panels are getting also much attention by the composite community. However, the utilization of coir chips extracted into CSF materials with CLF (Fig. 1) could be an interesting area of research, one that would facilitate manufacturers with a new dimension of potential composite materials. Moreover, thermo-mechanical performances are also dependent on the density of composite materials, which was a main focus for this research. Manufacturers from a variety of industries utilize biocomposite materials with varying densities in products including but not limited to automobiles, furniture, insulation, etc. With the advancements of science and technology, demands on sustainable insulation products from natural fibers reinforced with polymeric resin is also getting attentions. Moreover, research studies on coir fibers extracted from coconut chip-reinforced PF resin multi-layered composite panels have not been investigated yet especially for insulation materials. The current study examines the reinforcement effects of different densities to provide more options that are viable for manufacturers. Such a novel fabrication technology could provide superior thermo-mechanical performances on the developed composite panels which are prerequisite to the panel industry.

Materials and methods

Materials

For the purposes of this research study, coconut (*cocos nucifera*) chips and CLF materials were collected from Pro Horto Ltd., (Szentes, Hungary). Prior to biocomposite fabrication, the coir chips were defibrated employing a defibrating machine (VZ 23,412 model, Dinamo Budapest, Hungary). The CLF were cut into nearly 3 mm lengths. Chemco a. s. Co., Slovakia generously supplied the chemical reagent PF required for the research. The characteristics of PF are as follows: reddish brown in appearance, viscose (240 to 380 mPa.s) and liquid, dry matter content (48), density $1210 \pm 20 \text{ kg/m}^3$, alkaline pH ranged from 10 to 12.

Table 1 Characteristics of coir fibers [40]

Constituent polymers	Coir fiber [41]
Cellulose	43.4 ± 1.2
Hemicellulose	4.0 ± 0.003
Lignin	48.3 ± 1.9
Ash	3.5 ± 0.2
Moisture content	10.2 ± 0.5
Crystallinity	44
Elongation (%)	8.0 ± 1.0
Tensile strength (MPa)	120 ± 5

Fig. 1 Physical and morphological photographs of coir fibers: (a) Physical photographs of short coir fibers extracted from chips; (b) Physical photographs of long coir fibers; (c) SEM photographs of coir short fibers; (d) SEM photographs of coir long fibers

Methods

Coir fiber preparation from coconut chips and sieving

Coconut husks were carefully defibrated by adjusting the distance between the grinders and grain to protect against fiber damage during processing. The defibrated coir fibers were sieved with a sieve analyzer (ANALYSETTE 3Pro, Germany) to produce uniform fibers before biocomposite panel fabrication. Our previous studies provide detailed descriptions of similar sieving protocols for different natural fibers [24, 42]. For this test, 100 g coir fibers were randomly

selected from the defibrated materials. The sieved lengths of CSF were within 0.1–1.25 mm (Fig. 2). The maximum fibers (42.7%) were of 1.25 mm lengths, whereas 26.6% of fibers possessed 0.355 mm, 22.5% of fibers 0.1, and 7.4% of fibers 0.8 mm lengths. The defibrated fibers exhibited diversified fiber lengths. Conversely, CLFs were cut into nearly 3 mm length before the composites fabrication.

Production of biocomposite panels

Before starting biocomposite panel fabrication, CSF and CLF moisture contents were measured. Our previous studies discuss the detailed measurement procedures [24, 43].

Fig. 2 (a) Size distribution of CSF and (b) Pressure versus time curve used for biocomposites manufacturing from CSF and CLF reinforced with PF resin

Fig. 3 Schematic physical photographs of produced composite panels from CSF and CLF material reinforced with PF resin

The investigated CSF moisture contents were 3.08%, while for CLF it was 3.18%. Furthermore, PF moisture content was nearly 34% and mat was around 10% (considered for recipe formulation). The CSF, CLF, and PF were measured proportionately as per recipe (Table 2). The proportion of CSF was 70.2%, CLF 17.8%, and PF adhesive 10%. However, the actual quantity of materials varied depending on different densities of the composite panels (360, 860, 800, and 1000 kg/m³). C@SL1 is recipe 1, whereas recipe 2 is C@SL2, recipe 3 is C@SL3, and recipe 4, C@SL4. The

Table 2 Experimental design for CSF and CLF material reinforced PF biocomposite production

Composite materials	CSF (proportion)	U-CLF (proportion)	L-CLF (proportion)	A (proportion)	ND (kg/m ³)
C@SL1	80	5	5	10	360
C@SL2	80	5	5	10	680
C@SL3	80	5	5	10	800
C@SL4	80	5	5	10	1000

*CSF— Coir short fiber, U—CLF— Coir long fiber (Upper), L—CLF— Coir long fiber (Lower), and A— Adhesive, ND—Nominal density

dimensions (400 x 400 x 8 mm³) of the panels were also kept uniform. Initially, CSF and PF were mixed evenly by using a rotating drum in the laboratory. The adhesive was continuously sprayed with a spray gun until the completion of measured PF. An extra 10% of materials were taken in order to ensure that exact quantity would remain after the mixing of adhesive and fibers. Finally, the mixed materials were measured again (at the middle) for every composite panel, and then placed inside a wooden frame of 400 x 400 mm² over Teflon paper in the steel plate. However, CLF materials were positioned in the upper and lower parts of the mat (Fig. 3). When the materials were in place, the mat was pressed using another wooden lid. Both the wooden lids and framed boxes were removed, and another steel plate was placed over the mat after two 8 mm steel rods were positioned at the two sides. Finally, the mat in the steel plates was transferred to a hot press machine. The mat was pressed under 7.1, 4.7, and 3.2 MPa pressure; the temperature was 140 °C. The composite panels were pressed for a duration of 40 s (15 s for every 1 mm thickness) in three consecutive stages to ensure uniform release of the pressure from the panels. When the

Fig. 4 Load versus displacement curves of produced composite materials from CSF and CLF material reinforced with PF resin: (a) internal bonding strength and (b) flexural properties

pressing period was completed, the machine was cooled down to normal temperature (around 25 °C) and the produced panel was removed from the machine. All the panels were produced accordingly and cured for 1 day in ambient laboratory conditions.

Characterizations

CSF and CLF moisture contents were investigated using a Kern ULB 50–3 N moisture analyzer manufactured by KERN AND SOHN GmbH Co., Germany, according to the procedures of standard EN 322:1993. Thermal conductivity was characterized as per standard procedures of MSZ EN ISO 10456. Our previous studies provided detailed discussions of different cellulosic material reinforced composites [24, 44]. The mechanical properties, in terms of flexural performances and internal bonding strengths, were tested using Instron testing equipment (4208, USA). The testing standard for flexural properties followed the EN 310 standard, whereas the EN 319 standard was followed for internal bonding strengths. The crosshead movement speed for flexural properties testing was 5.0 mm/min and internal bonding strength was 0.8 mm/min. The test specimens were prepared using a circular saw (DCS570N XJ model, Pennsylvania, USA). The morphological characteristics were studied employing a scanning electron microscope (SEM, S 3400 N, High Technologies Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Japan) at different magnifications and 10.0 kV voltage. The chemical components present in the fabricated composite panels were also investigated in terms of SEM mediated EDX analysis. Moreover, the chemical bonding of the panels also performed by FT/IR-6300 equipment (Jasco, Japan) within the wavenumber 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} .

Results and discussion

Mechanical properties of the developed biocomposite panels

Figure 4a illustrates the typical load versus the displacement curves of the developed composite panels from CSF and CLF reinforced with PF resin are illustrated in reference to internal bonding strength properties. All the composite samples showed an elastic behavior until they reached to their maximum peak, which was followed by post-peak softening characteristics as well, especially for the coir fiber inclusion in the composite system [45]. However, the explicit effects of density on the composite materials are clearly

visible. After a certain density level, a difference evolved, especially in composite panel 4. This may be because the fibers agglomerated at higher density. Therefore, a sudden, declined peak is observed after composite panel 1, 2, and 3. The highest peak for composite panel 1 was at nearly 692 N. For composite panel 2, this figure was at 1674 N. Composite panels 3 and 4 had 2191 N and 626 N, respectively. The load continued with the extended delaminations until the total failure of the test specimens occurred. Conversely, similar characteristics were also observed for flexural properties load versus displacement characteristics Fig. 4b. The maximum load required to reach the highest peak for the composite panels were as follows: composite panel 1 is at nearly 29.78 N, composite panel 2 at 128 N, composite panel 3 at 309 N, and composite panel 4 at 250 N. However, composite panel 4 showed higher resistance against load compared to composite panels 1 and 2. Nevertheless, composite panel 3 still displayed the highest loading pattern, whereas the density was 800 N.

The similarity of the trends is also reflected in the perceived internal bonding strength and flexural properties tabulated in Table 3. Composite panel 1 (entailing lowest density) produced the lowest value of internal bonding strength at 0.185 (0.085) MPa. Composite panel 3 had the highest value at 0.74 (0.099) MPa. Composite panel 2 and 4 provided 0.70 (0.076) and 0.15 (0.03) MPa internal bonding strengths. However, composite panel 1 presented 30% less internal bonding strength than composite panel 3 and 27.8% less than composite panel 2. However, the agglomeration results in a deteriorated internal bonding strength for composite panel 4; hence, even composite panel 1 displayed 18.9% higher strength compared to composite panel 4. On the other hand, the density difference between composite panel 2 and 3 is not as high (both are medium density fiberboards); thus, they also displayed very little difference when it came to mechanical properties. Composite panel 3 showed 5.4% higher internal bonding strength compared to composite panel 2. Likewise, nearly similar results were also found for flexural strength and modulus (Table 3). The highest flexural properties were in C@SL3 15.47 (0.41) MPa whereas

Table 3 Mechanical properties of produced composites from CSF and CLF materials reinforced with PF resin

BC panel	D (kg/m^3)	MOR (MPa)	MOE (GPa)	IBS (MPa)
C@SL1	453.30 (13.31)	1.76 (0.67)	0.64 (0.008)	0.185 (0.085)
C@SL2	648.03 (52.53)	9.67 (0.99)	1.62 (0.17)	0.70 (0.076)
C@SL3	894.48 (75.75)	15.47 (0.41)	3.58 (0.38)	0.74 (0.099)
C@SL4	978.62 (79.12)	12.88 (0.98)	3.6 (0.31)	0.15 (0.03)

C@SL4 12.88 (0.98) MPa provided higher flexural strengths than C@SL1 and C@SL2. However, the flexural modulus exhibited an increasing trend with the rise of actual density from low to high. Interestingly, the results agree with other studies examining coir fiber reinforced with different polymers like epoxy and polyester composites [46, 47]. The actual densities obtained for different composite panels after fabrication were 453.30 (13.31), 648.03 (52.53), 894.48 (75.75), and 978.62 (79.12) kg/m³, while the nominal densities were 360, 680, 800, and 1000 kg/m³, respectively. The density differences possibly occurred due to errors in the fabrication processes and testing sample preparations. In summary, it can be concluded that the density of the composite panels significantly influence the mechanical performances.

Morphological properties of the developed biocomposite panels

The surface morphology of the fractured surfaces of CSF and CLF material reinforced PF biocomposite panels are shown in Fig. 5. The investigation on fractured surfaces of the biocomposite panels determine the reinforcement effects on the composite system in terms of fiber pull out. The fracture portions of the matrix clearly exhibit the presence of homogeneous distributions of coir materials in the composite materials.

Fig. 6 EDX spectrum of manufactured composite materials from CSF and CLF material reinforced with PF resin (a) control coir material, (b) C@SL1, (c) C@SL2, (d) C@SL3, and (e) C@SL4

The tearing of fibers demonstrates a strong fiber to matrix bonding, which is also reflected in their improved mechanical performances. After the reinforcement, surfaces of coir fibers become rougher, resulting in better fiber-to-matrix interactions [48]. Moreover, the fiber to polymer gap did not appear significantly, likely because of the stronger interactions between the fiber and the polymer leading to less void generation during composite formation [49]. Stronger chemical bonding is also reflected in the FTIR and EDX investigations. The overall discussions signify strong and successful reinforcement effects in the composite systems.

EDX characterization of developed biocomposite panels

The elemental quantity of control coir fiber and associated PF bonded polymeric composites were examined further. C and O are the main chemical components of natural fibers, which is also shown in Fig. 6. However, when the coir fibers were reinforced with PF resins, another chemical element, N, is significantly detected in the composite system, in

Fig. 5 SEM photographs of test specimens (after fracture) of manufactured composite materials from CSF and CLF materials reinforced with PF resin (a) C@SL1, (b) C@SL2, (c) C@SL3, and (d) C@SL4

proportions ranging from 18.28% (C@SL3) to 19.95% (C@SL2). However, the presence of C and O are still the highest components present in all cases, although their weight percentage decreased slightly after the reinforcements with PF resin. The presence of carbon is 53.17% in the case of the control coir material, which decreased to 42.11% for C@SL1, 44.21% for C@SL2, 51.1% for C@SL3, and 43.17% for C@SL4. However, the presence of O also decreased from 43.67% (control coir) to 35.67% for C@SL1, 34.68% for C@SL2, 30.44% for C@SL3, and 34.68% for C@SL4. Conversely, N represents 18.44% for C@SL3 and 19.39% for C@SL4. The overall discussions confirm the successful reinforcement of coir materials with PF polymeric resins in different densities of composites.

Thermal conductivity of the developed biocomposite panels

The thermal conductivity of all the composite panels (around $400 \times 400 \times 8 \text{ mm}^3$) were investigated before the samples

were cut for other tests. It is well-known that the lower values of thermal conductivity demonstrate higher insulation properties [50]. Thermal conductivity of phenolic resin is 0.29 to $0.32 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ in the case of 32 to 64 kg/m^3 density, and it is 0.35 to $0.40 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ in the case of 112 to 160 kg/m^3 density [51]. Conversely, thermal conductivity of the coir fibers were $0.058 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ for 30 to 115 kg/m^3 fiber density [52]. However, thermal conductivity observed in this current research for the C@SL4 sample containing the lowest thermal conductivity value by 0.046280 (0.000494) $\text{Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ indicating the highest insulation property of the material. Conversely, C@SL1 samples provided the highest value of thermal conductivity by 0.062400 (0.001146) $\text{Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, demonstrating comparatively poor insulation properties compared to other panels. Moreover, composite panels 2 and 3 also provided moderate insulation properties while their thermal conductivity values are 0.062000 (0.000667) and 0.061470 (0.000502) $\text{Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, respectively. However, all the composite panels provided superior insulation properties for coir fiber reinforced composites

Fig. 7 Thermal conductivity of manufactured composite from CSF and CLF materials reinforced with PF resin

Fig. 8 FTIR analysis of manufactured composite materials from CSF and CLF material reinforced with PF resin: **(a)** control coir material, **(b)** C@SL1, **(c)** C@SL2, **(d)** C@SL3, and **(e)** C@SL4

Table 4 Tabulated results of FTIR peaks in terms of different chemical bonding presence

Test samples	Control representing wavenumbers (cm ⁻¹)	C@SL1 representing wavenumbers (cm ⁻¹)	C@SL2 representing wavenumbers (cm ⁻¹)	C@SL3 representing wavenumbers (cm ⁻¹)	C@SL4 representing wavenumbers (cm ⁻¹)
—OH bond	3337	3396	3392	3361	3396
Aromatic C=C bonding	1616	1617	1617	1617	1617
Deformations of alcoholic C—H bond	1419	1419	1419	1419	1419
Phenolic resin	871	871	872	872	871
Carbonyl group and aromatic skeletal vibrations due to lignin and hemicellulose [59]	1647	1647	1647	1647	1647

(Fig. 7). Furthermore, the density of composite panels varies the distinct thermal conductivity of the panels due to differences in associated densities. In a recent research for coir fiber reinforced panel board, the reported values varied within 0.0547 ± 0.0004 to $0.1205 \pm 0.0006 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ depending on different fiber lengths [53]. In another study for *Calotropis procera* fiber reinforced composites, the achieved thermal conductivity was within 0.137 to 0.146 $\text{Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ [54]. The overall discussion demonstrates that the perceived thermal conductivity for this current research provided superior insulation properties.

FTIR analysis of developed biocomposite panels

Moreover, the chemical bonding of the molecules in CSF, CLF, and associated composite panels reinforced with PF resins were also investigated in this current study. The FTIR study is an excellent tool for investigating the formation of covalent bonding, the presence of metallic components, and the detection of functional groups in the

materials. The absorption bands appearing at 3368 cm^{-1} indicate the presence of —OH bond [55] in the polymeric structure of both coir and other composited materials, demonstrating a strong presence of cellulosic coir materials in the matrix system (Fig. 8). The absorption bands at 1610 cm^{-1} is related to aromatic C=C bonding [56]. However, the peaks after the reinforcements with PF resin show an upward band compared to the control coir materials. Furthermore, the symmetric and asymmetric deformations of alcoholic C—H bond is ascribed by the peaks around 1407 cm^{-1} [57]. The peak at 1407 cm^{-1} is most prominent for the materials after PF resin reinforcement due to the assignment of C=C benzene ring of phenolic resin [58]. The peaks around 871 cm^{-1} are related with CH assignment for isolated H, which is also a characteristic of phenolic resin [58]. Interestingly, the presence of this peak is noticed only for composites materials but absent from control coir. Overall, the FTIR spectrum (Table 4) also demonstrates the strong reinforcement effects of coir materials with the PF resin.

Fig. 9 Physical properties of manufactured composite materials from CSF and CLF reinforced with PF resin: (a) moisture content and (b) thickness swelling

Fig. 10 TGA analysis of control coir, CSF, and CLF materials reinforced with PF resin composites

Moisture content and thickness swelling properties of the developed biocomposite panels

Figure 9 illustrates the moisture content and thickness swelling of the composite panels after 2 h and 24 h of water immersion and drying for the same periods. Both moisture content and thickness swelling showed decreasing trends with the increase of density in the composite panels from 360 to 1000 kg/m³. However, other studies also provided a similar explanation for coir fiber/epoxy composites [60]. Natural lignocellulosic fibers contain $-OH$, $-COOH$, CO , and so on [61, 62] in their polymeric structure, which are hydrophilic in nature. Therefore, natural fiber reinforced composite materials also absorb certain amounts of moisture from the atmosphere; hence, the moisture content of the composite materials should be investigated. Moreover, it is also important to check the thickness swelling to ensure the dimensional stability of biocomposites. Composite panel 1 had the highest moisture content values at 6.23 (0.30) and 8.59 (0.31)% after 2 and 24 h of drying, whereas composite panel 4 exhibited the lowest values with 5.72 (0.60)% and 8.17 (0.01)%. On the other hand, composite panels 2 and 3 displayed moderate moisture content values. Concerning thickness swelling, composite panel 1 also displayed the highest value 29.83 (0.49)% and 33.24 (0.69)% after 2 and 24 h of water immersion. The lowest thickness swelling occurred in composite panel 4 as well

at 16.68 (0.32)% and 22.79 (0.65)% for the same duration of time. However, composite panels 2 and 3 also exhibited the thickness swelling range within composite panel 1 and 4. Furthermore, the results discussed here also agree with some previous studies for different natural fiber reinforced composites [63–65]. In summary, it can be concluded that successful reinforcement of CSF and CLF reinforced PF composite panels also significantly influences physical properties, depending on different densities.

TGA analysis of developed biocomposite panels

Figure 10 presents the thermal stability of multilayered coir fiber reinforced composites. The thermal stability of fabricated hybrid composites from coir materials and PF resin is

Table 5 Tabulated data for control coir, CSF, and CLF materials reinforced with PF resin composites

Test Samples	T _{onset} (°C)	T _{Max} (°C)	Residues/char remaining @ maximum temperatures of weight loss
Control coir	224	800	36.78
C@SL1	231	750	30.24
C@SL2	231	750	34.91
C@SL3	238	750	34.28
C@SL4	238	750	33.83

also investigated in terms of TGA, which is responsible for certain factors like moisture absorption rate, heat contraction, and thermal expansion [66]. The degradation behavior of fiber and polymeric matrix in terms of weight loss against certain temperature can easily be quantified using TGA. Some extent of weight loss in the materials due to moisture evaporation was observed at the beginning of heat exposure. Initially, a weight loss (around 3 to 5%) is observed around 100 °C in the case of control coir materials and other composited products [19]. However, noteworthy weight loss is observed within 220 to 300 °C (Table 5), likely due to the lower proportions of hemicellulose content in coir materials [67]. Moreover, the degradations around 300 to 400 °C are related with the cellulosic materials [55]. However, after reinforcing the coir fibers with PF resin, a better resistance against heat exposure is observed for all the cases until 356 °C. After that, the composite materials start to degrade more when compared to the control coir materials. The control coir materials had a higher char yield than the composite products. Char yield also shows higher values in higher density panels. This demonstrates that higher density composite materials provide less degradation against temperature.

Conclusions

The current study developed and reported upon CSF and CLF material reinforced with PF resin composite panel of variable densities with superior insulation properties. Coir chips were defibrated and then sieved before the fabrication into composites. Subsequently, long fibers were cut into 3 mm lengths before going into composite production. The study produced tri-layered composite panels where the fibers defibrated from chips were used in the core layer and long fibers in the upper and bottom layers in different proportions. The panels were produced by varying the densities from low to medium to high to investigate the reinforcement effects with PF resin in order to attain significant thermal conductivities. The panels demonstrated improved performances with the increase in densities just except the case of high density at 1000 kg/m³. However, all the medium density composite panels displayed internal bonding strengths higher than 0.6 MPa, which meets the requirements of fiberboards in terms of mechanical point of view. The insulation properties of composite panels provided superior performances ranging within 0.0624 (0.001146) to 0.04628 (0.000494) W/(m.K), with a 25.8% increase from the lowest to highest densities. The morphological, EDX, and FTIR studies also demonstrate successful reinforcements between the coir materials and PF resin. This current study would be a benchmark for better insulation properties to the composite panel community.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the “Stipendium Hungaricum” scholarship. Moreover, this article was produced within the framework of “EFOP-3.6.1-16-2016-00018 projects. Authors are also Kun Gábor (University of Sopron) for the cooperation to conduct this research.

Funding Open access funding provided by University of Sopron.

Data availability The datasets needed for reproducing these findings/used during current research are available from corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest for the submitted work.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Mahmud S et al (2021) Comprehensive review on plant fiber-reinforced polymeric biocomposites. *J Mater Sci* 56:7231–7264
2. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Kóczán Z, Bak M, Alpár T (2021) Nanotechnology for waste wood recycling, in *Nanotechnology in Paper and Wood Engineering*. Woodhead Publishing: Duxford, United Kingdom
3. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Zsolt K, Alpár T (2021) Design and fabrication technology in biocomposite manufacturing, in *Toward the value-added biocomposites: technology, innovation and opportunity*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, USA
4. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Zsolt K, Alpár T (2021) Introduction to biomass and biocomposites, in *Toward the value-added biocomposites: technology, innovation and opportunity*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, USA
5. Ramamoorthy SK, Skrifvars M, Persson A (2015) A review of natural fibers used in biocomposites: plant, animal and regenerated cellulose fibers. *Polym Rev* 55(1):107–162
6. Li M et al (2020) Recent advancements of plant-based natural fiber-reinforced composites and their applications. *Compos Part B Eng* 200:108254
7. Latif R et al (2019) Surface treatments of plant fibers and their effects on mechanical properties of fiber-reinforced composites: A review. *J Reinf Plast Compos* 38(1):15–30
8. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Alpár T (2021) Silk protein and its nanocomposites. In *Biopolym Nanomater: Fundament Appl Elsevier* p 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824364-0.00027-7>
9. Hasan K, Horváth PG, Alpár T (2021) Potential fabric-reinforced composites: a comprehensive review. *J Mater Sci* 56:14381–14415

10. Ayırlmis N et al (2011) Coir fiber reinforced polypropylene composite panel for automotive interior applications. *Fibers Polym* 12(7):919–926
11. Javadi A et al (2010) Processing and characterization of solid and microcellular PHBV/coir fiber composites. *Mater Sci Eng C* 30(5):749–757
12. Nam TH et al (2011) Effect of alkali treatment on interfacial and mechanical properties of coir fiber reinforced poly (butylene succinate) biodegradable composites. *Compos Part B Eng* 42(6):1648–1656
13. Surin P et al (2015) Effects of coir fiber and maleic anhydride modification on the properties of thermoplastic starch/PLA composite laminates. *J Nat Fibers* 12(2):108–120
14. Sultana MZ et al (2019) Green synthesis of glycerol monostearate-modified cationic waterborne polyurethane. *Emerg Mater Res* 8(2):137–147
15. Biswas S, Kindo S, Patnaik A (2011) Effect of fiber length on mechanical behavior of coir fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Fibers Polym* 12(1):73–78
16. Romli FI et al (2012) Factorial study on the tensile strength of a coir fiber-reinforced epoxy composite. *AASRI Proc* 3:242–247
17. Ferraz JM et al (2011) Effects of treatment of coir fiber and cement/fiber ratio on properties of cement-bonded composites. *BioResources* 6(3):3481–3492
18. Andiç-Çakır Ö et al (2014) Physical and mechanical properties of randomly oriented coir fiber–cementitious composites. *Compos Part B Eng* 61:49–54
19. Nam TH, Ogihara S, Kobayashi S (2012) Interfacial, mechanical and thermal properties of coir fiber-reinforced poly (lactic acid) biodegradable composites. *Adv Compos Mater* 21(1):103–122
20. Hasan KF et al (2021) Semi-dry technology-mediated coir fiber and Scots pine particle-reinforced sustainable cementitious composite panels. *Constr Build Mater* 305:124816
21. Tan C et al (2021) Development of coir-fiber-reinforced nanocomposite for shell eco marathon vehicle body application. *Mater Today: Proceed*
22. Arshad MN et al (2021) Effect of coir fiber and TiC nanoparticles on basalt fiber reinforced epoxy hybrid composites: Physico-mechanical characteristics. *Cellulose* 28(6):3451–3471
23. Hasan KF et al (2021) Hemp/glass woven fabric reinforced laminated nanocomposites via in-situ synthesized silver nanoparticles from *Tilia cordata* leaf extract. *Compos Interfaces*
24. Hasan KF et al (2021) Thermo-mechanical properties of pretreated coir fiber and fibrous chips reinforced multilayered composites. *Sci Rep* 11(1):1–13
25. Hasan KMF, Horváth PG, Alpár T (2020) Potential natural fiber polymeric nanobiocomposites: A review. *Polymers* 12(5):1–25
26. Hasan KF et al (2021) A state-of-the-art review on coir fiber-reinforced biocomposites. *RSC Adv* 11(18):10548–10571
27. Li Z, Wang L, Wang X (2006) Flexural characteristics of coir fiber reinforced cementitious composites. *Fibers Polym* 7(3):286–294
28. Gupta N, Ramkumar P (2021) Effect of coir content on mechanical and thermal properties of LLDPE/coir blend processed by rotational molding. *Sādhanā* 46(1):1–12
29. Thamaraiselvan V, Mekala N, Dhanapriya G (2021) Development of acoustic absorption composite with application of coir and viscose. *IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng* 1059(1):012028
30. Guna V et al (2021) Wool and coir fiber reinforced gypsum ceiling tiles with enhanced stability and acoustic and thermal resistance. *J Build Eng* 102433
31. Thakur VK, Thakur MK (2014) Processing and characterization of natural cellulose fibers/thermoset polymer composites. *Carbohydr Polym* 109:102–117
32. Adesina O et al (2019) Mechanical evaluation of hybrid natural fibre–reinforced polymeric composites for automotive bumper beam: a review. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 103(5):1781–1797
33. Kumar SS (2020) Dataset on mechanical properties of natural fiber reinforced polyester composites for engineering applications. *Data Brief* 28:105054
34. Gallos A et al (2017) Lignocellulosic fibers: a critical review of the extrusion process for enhancement of the properties of natural fiber composites. *RSC Adv* 7(55):34638–34654
35. Premnath AA (2018) Impact of surface treatment on the mechanical properties of sisal and jute reinforced with epoxy resin natural fiber hybrid composites. *J Nat Fibers* 16(5):718–728
36. Kumar S et al (2019) Himalayan natural fiber-reinforced epoxy composites: effect of *Grewia optiva*/Bauhinia Vahlia fibers on physico-mechanical and dry sliding wear behavior. *J Nat Fibers* 18(2):192–202
37. Hasan KMF, Péter György H, Tibor A (2020) Thermomechanical Behavior of Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate-Bonded Flax/Glass Woven Fabric Reinforced Laminated Composites. *ACS Omega* 6(9):6124–6133
38. Bharath K et al (2019) Effect of stacking sequence on properties of coconut leaf sheath/jute/E-glass reinforced phenol formaldehyde hybrid composites. *J Ind Text* 49(1):3–32
39. Maya M et al (2017) Mechanical properties of short sisal fibre reinforced phenol formaldehyde eco-friendly composites. *Polym Renew Res* 8(1):27–42
40. Barbosa V Jr et al (2010) Biobased composites from tannin–phenolic polymers reinforced with coir fibers. *Ind Crops Prod* 32(3):305–312
41. Malkapuram R, Kumar V, Negi YS (2009) Recent development in natural fiber reinforced polypropylene composites. *J Reinf Plast Compos* 28(10):1169–1189
42. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Alpár T (2021) Development of lignocellulosic fiber reinforced cement composite panels using semi-dry technology. *Cellulose* 28:3631–3645
43. Hasan KMF, Horváth PG, Alpár T (2021) Development of lignocellulosic fiber reinforced cement composite panels using semi-dry technology. *Cellulose* 28(6):3631–3645
44. Hasan KF et al (2021) Rice straw and energy reeds fiber reinforced phenol formaldehyde resin hybrid polymeric composite panels. *Cellulose*
45. Yan L et al (2016) Effect of alkali treatment on microstructure and mechanical properties of coir fibres, coir fibre reinforced-polymer composites and reinforced-cementitious composites. *Constr Build Mater* 112:168–182
46. Jayabal S et al (2013) Mechanical and machinability behaviors of woven coir fiber-reinforced polyester composite. *Fibers Polym* 14(9):1505–1514
47. dos Santos JC et al (2018) Effects of sodium carbonate on the performance of epoxy and polyester coir-reinforced composites. *Polym Test* 67:533–544
48. Suresh S, Sudhakara D, Vinod B (2020) Investigation on industrial waste eco-friendly natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites. *J Bio-and Tribo-Corrosion* 6(2):1–14
49. Rout J et al (2003) SEM observations of the fractured surfaces of coir composites. *J Reinf Plast Compos* 22(12):1083–1100
50. Ma Y, Wang C, Chu F (2017) Effects of Fiber Surface Treatments on the Properties of Wood Fiber-Phenolic Foam Composites. *BioResources* 12(3):4722–4736
51. Yang Y (2007) Thermal Conductivity. In: Mark JE (ed) *Physical properties of polymers handbook*. Springer, New York, USA, pp 155–164
52. Kochhar GS, Manohar K (1997) Use of coconut fiber as a low-cost thermal insulator, in *insulation materials: testing and applications*, 3rd volume. ASTM Int p 283–291

53. Asasutjarit C et al (2007) Development of coconut coir-based lightweight cement board. *Constr Build Mater* 21(2):277–288
54. Yoganandam K et al (2019) Thermogravimetric analysis of Calotropis procera fibers and their influence on the thermal conductivity and flammability studies of polymer composites. *Mater Res Expr* 6(10):105341
55. Kumar SS, Duraibabu DA, Subramanian K (2014) Studies on mechanical, thermal and dynamic mechanical properties of untreated (raw) and treated coconut sheath fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Mater Des* 59:63–69
56. Laili Z, Omar M, Yasir MS, Ibrahim MZ, Yahaya MY, Murshidi JA (2010) Instrumental characterization of coir pith by XRD, FTIR and SEM after radium adsorption from aqueous solution under the presence of humic acids. in *AIP Conference Proceedings*. Am Inst Phys
57. Verma D, Gope P (2015) The use of coir/coconut fibers as reinforcements in composites. *Biofiber Reinforcements in Composite Materials*. Woodhead Publishing, Duxford, United Kingdom, pp 285–319
58. Holopainen T et al (1998) *J Appl Polym Sci* 69(11):2175–2185. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4628\(19980912\)69:11](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(19980912)69:11)
59. Abraham E et al (2013) Environmental friendly method for the extraction of coir fibre and isolation of nanofibre. *Carbohydr Polym* 92(2):1477–1483
60. Das G, Biswas S (2016) Physical, mechanical and water absorption behaviour of coir fiber reinforced epoxy composites filled with Al₂O₃ particulates. *IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng* 115(1):012012
61. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Alpár T (2021) Lignocellulosic fiber cement compatibility: A state of the art review. *J Nat Fibers* 1–26
62. Hasan KF, Horvath PtGr, Alpar T (2021) Thermomechanical Behavior of Methylene Diphenyl Diisocyanate-Bonded Flax/Glass Woven Fabric Reinforced Laminated Composites. *ACS Omega* 6(9):6124–6133
63. Balaji A et al (2019) Study on mechanical and morphological properties of sisal/banana/coir fiber-reinforced hybrid polymer composites. *J Brazilian Soc Mech Sci Eng* 41(9):1–10
64. Saw SK et al (2014) Hybrid composites made from jute/coir fibers: Water absorption, thickness swelling, density, morphology, and mechanical properties. *J Nat Fibers* 11(1):39–53
65. Jawaid M et al (2011) Hybrid composites made from oil palm empty fruit bunches/jute fibres: Water absorption, thickness swelling and density behaviours. *J Polym Environ* 19(1):106–109
66. Singh Y et al (2020) Fabrication and characterization of coir/carbon-fiber reinforced epoxy based hybrid composite for helmet shells and sports-good applications: influence of fiber surface modifications on the mechanical, thermal and morphological properties. *J Mater Res Technol* 9(6):15593–15603
67. Hasan KF, Horváth PG, Kóczán Z, Alpár T (2021) Thermo-mechanical properties of pretreated coir fiber and fibrous chips reinforced multilayered composites. *Sci Rep* 1–13

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.