

## **Erratum to: How does working on university–industry collaborative projects affect science and engineering doctorates’ careers? Evidence from a UK research-based university**

**Hsing-fen Lee · Marcela Miozzo**

Published online: 7 June 2014  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

### **Erratum to: J Technol Transf DOI 10.1007/s10961-014-9340-4**

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. The presentation of Table 3 was incorrect. A horizontal line was placed at the wrong position in the table. The correct table is given below.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10961-014-9340-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-014-9340-4).

---

H. Lee (✉)  
Department of International Management and Innovation, Middlesex University Business School,  
Middlesex University, The Burroughs, Hendon, London NW4 4BT, UK  
e-mail: h.lee@mdx.ac.uk

M. Miozzo  
Manchester Business School, Manchester Institute of Innovation Research, University of Manchester,  
Booth Street West, Manchester M15 6PB, UK

**Table 3** Estimation results of the recursive multivariate probit model

	Project with industrial involvement		Promotion in the private sector		Promotion in the public sector	
	Coefficient (Robust SE)	Coefficient (Jackknife SE)	Coefficient (Robust SE)	Coefficient (Jackknife SE)	Coefficient (Robust SE)	Coefficient (Jackknife SE)
Project with industrial involvement						
Industrial relevance	0.657 (0.531)*	0.632 (0.404)	0.901 (0.362)**	0.935 (0.428)**	-0.583 (0.321)*	-0.567 (0.372)
Paper	-0.223 (0.103)**	-0.246 (0.126)*	-0.028 (0.067)	-0.030 (0.079)	0.253 (0.079)***	0.255 (0.096)**
Project's industrial communication:	0.499 (0.370)***	0.466 (0.126)***				
Meeting/presentation						
Lab has industrial contact	0.998 (0.370)***	0.767 (0.335)**				
Commercial-orientation	-0.073 (0.183)	-0.064 (0.280)	0.062 (0.161)	0.062 (0.187)	-0.166 (0.171)	-0.170 (0.200)
Scientific-orientation	-0.123 (0.167)	-0.112 (0.255)	-0.340 (0.165)**	-0.340 (0.197)*	-0.093 (0.151)	-0.101 (0.171)
Female			-0.923 (0.351)***	-0.909 (0.393)**	0.782 (0.335)**	0.783 (0.360)**
Engineering			-0.017 (0.340)	-0.010 (0.393)	0.435 (0.328)	0.446 (0.413)
UK			0.748 (0.515)	0.724 (0.679)	1.074 (0.653)	0.996 (3.912)
Constant	-1.072 (0.312)***	-2.240 (0.959)**	-1.141 (0.564)**	-1.140 (0.717)	-2.472 (0.707)***	-2.417 (3.824)
N	92					
Log pseudo likelihood						
Robust estimation	-112.911					
Jackknife estimation	-111.784					
Correlation coefficients						
Robust estimation	$\rho_{12} = -0.574^{***}, \rho_{13} = -0.534, \rho_{23} = 0.670^{***}$					
Jackknife estimation	$\rho_{12} = -0.574^{***}, \rho_{13} = -0.621^*, \rho_{23} = 0.654^{***}$					

\*\*\* Significant at the 1 % level

\*\* Significant at the 5 % level

\* Significant at the 10 % level