Correction to: NoBLE for Lattice Trees and Lattice Animals

Robert Fitzner¹ · Remco van der Hofstad¹

Published online: 13 January 2022

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Journal of Statistical Physics

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-021-02816-z>

In this article, the handling of copy-editing of the paper, notation was changed. Upon request of the authors, these changes were undone in the final version of the paper. Unfortunately, this correction has not been implemented consistently. In particular, the notation for vectors, which should consistently be $\vec{v}$ for a vector in $\mathbb{C}^q$ for some integer q , was not changed back in several occasions. We now list these occasions.

In (2.12), $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(k)$ should have been $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(k)$ and $\hat{\Psi}_z(k)$ should have been $\vec{\hat{\Psi}}_z(k)$ (and this occurs twice). Note that the first occurrence of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_z(k)$ is correct, as this is a number, not a vector.

Also in (2.13), $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(k)$ should have been $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(k)$ and $\hat{\Psi}_z(k)$ should have been $\vec{\hat{\Psi}}_z(k)$. This also occurs two lines below (2.13).

In the first formula on page 14, P should have been $\vec{P}$ (which is a 5-dimensional vector), and E^{open} should have been $\vec{E}^{\text{open}}$. This occurs 3 more times for $\vec{P}$ up to and including the next formula, and 2 more times for $\vec{E}^{\text{open}}$. Further, the occurrences of v_i should all be $\vec{v}_i$, which occurs 3 times.

On page 49, two lines above formula (5.1), the matrix where a $\mathbf{N}$ appeared above a $\mathbf{A}$, the matrix should be $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$.

Communicated by Hal Tasaki.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-021-02816-z>.

✉ Remco van der Hofstad
rhofstad@win.tue.nl

Robert Fitzner
math@fitzner.nl

¹ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

In (7.3), the second $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_z(k)$ should have been $\vec{\hat{\mathcal{E}}}_z(k)$ and $\hat{\Psi}_z(k)$ should have been $\vec{\hat{\Psi}}_z(k)$. Again note that the first occurrence of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_z(k)$ in (7.3) is correct, as this is a number, not a vector. Again, in (7.4), $\hat{\Psi}_z(k)$ should have been $\vec{\hat{\Psi}}_z(k)$.

The Original article has been corrected.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.