

Isopiestic Determination of the Osmotic and Activity Coefficients of $\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$ at $T = 298.15$ and 323.15 K, and Representation with an Extended Ion-Interaction (Pitzer) Model

Joseph A. Rard · Simon L. Clegg · Donald A. Palmer

Published online: 26 September 2008
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

Erratum to: J Solution Chem (2007) 36: 1347–1371
DOI 10.1007/s10953-007-9190-x

The article reported a value of the solubility product, $K_s = 4\{(m(\text{sat.})/\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1})^3 \times \{\gamma_{\pm}(\text{sat.})\}^3 a_w(\text{sat.})\} = 2.617 \pm 0.193$ at 298.15 K for the thermodynamically stable phase $\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr})$ in equilibrium with its saturated solution. The last two digits of K_s were inadvertently transposed. The subsequent calculations of the standard Gibbs energy of solution and standard entropy of solution, the standard Gibbs energy of formation, and the standard entropy of $\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr})$ were all affected. The standard enthalpies of solution and formation of $\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{cr})$ are not affected.

The corrected results are $K_s = 2.6712 \pm 0.193$, $\Delta_{\text{sol}}G_m^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(2.436 \pm 0.179) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H_m^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(17.86 \pm 0.15) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (unchanged), and $\Delta_{\text{sol}}S_m^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(51.73 \pm 0.79) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$.

Combining these results with the CODATA recommended values for the standard thermodynamic properties of $\text{Li}^+(\text{aq})$, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}(\text{aq})$, and $\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ then yields $\Delta_f G_m^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(1564.54 \pm 0.51) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_f H_m^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = -(1734.25 \pm 0.46) \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ (unchanged), and $S_m^\circ(\text{Li}_2\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{cr}, 298.15 \text{ K}) = (164.66 \pm 0.94) \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10953-007-9190-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-007-9190-x).

J.A. Rard (✉)
Atmospheric, Earth and Energy Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore,
CA 94550, USA
e-mail: solution_chemistry2@comcast.net

S.L. Clegg
School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK

D.A. Palmer
Oliver Springs, TN 37840, USA

The corrections in the evaluated standard thermodynamic properties are nearly insignificant, being much less than the assigned uncertainties.

Acknowledgement We thank Dr. Klaus-Dieter Grevel for bringing this matter to our attention.