

## Correction to: Preliminary Validation of the self-report Measure Assessing Experiences of Negative Independent and Dependent Event Frequency in Japanese University Students

Akira Hasegawa<sup>1</sup> · Shin-ichi Oura<sup>2</sup> · Tetsuya Yamamoto<sup>3</sup> · Yoshihiko Kunisato<sup>4</sup> · Yoshikazu Fukui<sup>5</sup>

Accepted: 18 September 2022 / Published online: 5 December 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

The original version of the article was published online first without correcting some issues. The institution of first author (Akira Hasegawa) is Department of Psychology, International University of Health and Welfare. Faculty of Human Relations, Tokai Gakuin University is not the current institution of first author, and therefore, has been deleted.

Akira Hasegawa ahasegawa@iuhw.ac.jp

Shin-ichi Oura s-oura@tokaigakuin-u.ac.jp

Tetsuya Yamamoto t.yamamoto@tokushima-u.ac.jp

Yoshihiko Kunisato ykunisato@psy.senshu-u.ac.jp

Yoshikazu Fukui yfukui@konan-u.ac.jp

- Department of Psychology, International University of Health and Welfare, 4-1-26 Akasaka, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-8402, Japan
- Faculty of Human Relations, Tokai Gakuin University, 5-68 Naka-kirino, Kakamigahara City, Gifu 504-8511, Japan
- Graduate School of Technology, Industrial and Social Sciences, Tokushima University, 1-1, Minamijosanjima-cho, Tokushima 770-8502, Japan
- Department of Psychology, School of Human Sciences, Senshu University, 2-1-1, Higashimita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 214-8580, Japan
- Faculty of Letters, Konan University, 8-9-1 Okamoto, Higashinada-ku, Kobe, Hyougo 658-8501, Japan





 Table 1 Descriptive statistics of study measures

lable   Descriptive statistics of study measures								
	и	M	SD	Range	Skewness		Kurtosis	
					Statistic	SE	Statistic	SE
Negative interpersonal dependent events	240	38.97	11.18	25–70	0.62	0.16	-0.61	0.31
Negative non-interpersonal dependent events	243	25.22	6.05	14–48	79.0	0.16	0.46	0.31
Negative independent events	243	32.58	7.63	20–60	0.77	0.16	0.26	0.31
Depressive symptoms	240	13.65	10.63	0-52	1.12	0.16	1.13	0.31
Reassurance-seeking behaviors	244	22.58	8.08	6-40	-0.26	0.16	-0.48	0.31
Inattention	242	15.68	5.77	0–34	0.30	0.16	0.54	0.31
Lack of perseverance	246	23.71	4.90	12–39	0.10	0.16	0.11	0.31

a subscale score on the Revised Japanese Version of the Reassurance-Seeking Scale. Inattention means a subscale score on the Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale. Lack of Note: Negative interpersonal dependent events, negative non-interpersonal dependent events, and negative independent events are subscale scores on the Negative Independent/Dependent Events Scale. Depressive symptoms mean total score on the Beck Depression Inventory-Second Edition. Reassurance-seeking behaviors means perseverance means a subscale score on the UPPS-P Impulsive Behavior Scale 94 A. Hasegawa

	-	2	m	4	5	9
1. Negative interpersonal dependent events	. 1	į				
2. Negative non-interpersonal dependent events	69.0	1				
	[0.63, 0.76]					
3. Negative independent events	69.0	89.0	I			
	[0.62, 0.75]	[0.61, 0.74]				
4. Depressive symptoms	0.45	0.47	0.33	1		
	[0.35, 0.55]	[0.37, 0.57]	[0.22, 0.44]			
5. Reassurance-seeking behaviors	0.45	0.42	0.33	0.43	ı	
	[0.35, 0.55]	[0.32, 0.52]	[0.22, 0.44]	[0.33, 0.54]		
6. Inattention	0.45	0.61	0.38	0.56	0.40	ı
	[0.35, 0.55]	[0.53, 0.69]	[0.26, 0.49]	[0.47, 0.65]	[0.29, 0.51]	
7. Lack of perseverance	0.16	0.22	0.10	0.19	0.10	0.38
	[0.04, 0.28]	[0.10, 0.34]	[-0.02, 0.23]	[0.070, 0.31]	[-0.03, 0.22]	[0.27, 0.49]

 $\underline{\underline{\mathscr{D}}}$  Springer

**Table 3** Negative interpersonal dependent events items (English translations)

		urance-seeking behaviors	]	nattention
I had a quarrel with my family member.	0.29	[0.18, 0.41]	0.33	[0.22, 0.44]
I disagreed with a close person such as my friend or a lover.	0.36	[0.25, 0.47]	0.26	[0.14, 0.38]
I was criticized or teased by my friends and colleagues about what I did.	0.26	[0.14, 0.38]	0.11	[-0.01, 0.24]
I was criticized by others where I wasn't.	0.25	[0.13, 0.37]	0.19	[0.06, 0.31]
Others were worried due to my wrong behaviors.	0.33	[0.22, 0.44]	0.33	[0.21, 0.44]
Others said or acted as if they did not trust me.	0.37	[0.26, 0.48]	0.29	[0.18, 0.41]
I hurt others.	0.33	[0.21, 0.44]	0.36	[0.25, 0.47]
I was pointed out or warned by my superiors that I had a bad attitude.	0.12	[-0.00, 0.24]	0.21	[0.09, 0.33]
I offended others.	0.37	[0.27, 0.48]	0.36	[0.25, 0.47]
I was left out of my friends.	0.17	[0.05, 0.29]	0.28	[0.16, 0.40]
I was at the mercy of an acquaintance.	0.31	[0.19, 0.42]	0.33	[0.21, 0.44]
I got into the troubles and problems of my friends.	0.19	[0.07, 0.31]	0.08	[-0.05, 0.21]
Although I tried to get the attention of a person of the opposite gender, I was treated	0.23	[0.11.0.25]	0.10	F 0.02 0.221
cold by him/her.	0.23	[0.11, 0.35]	0.10	[-0.02, 0.23]
I had a quarrel with or had a terrible relationship with my superior.	0.12	[-0.00, 0.25]	0.11	[-0.02, 0.24]
I couldn't convey what I wanted to say to others.	0.40	[0.29, 0.51]	0.42	[0.32, 0.52]
I was ridiculed by a friend	0.24	[0.13, 0.36]	0.26	[0.15, 0.38]
My relationship got worse at my part-time job	0.24	[0.12, 0.36]	0.15	[0.03, 0.28]
I couldn't get along with my friends.	0.25	[0.14, 0.37]	0.3	[0.18, 0.42]
When I pointed out other about his/her bad behaviors, I was counterattacked.	0.17	[0.05, 0.29]	0.18	[0.06, 0.30]
I had a fight or a quarrel with a close person such as friends and a lover.	0.26	[0.15, 0.38]	0.20	[0.08, 0.32]
I broke up with my lover, or was turned down by a favorite person.	0.06	[-0.06, 0.19]	0.07	[-0.05, 0.20]
I was ignored by or turned away from my friends.	0.23	[0.11, 0.35]	0.25	[0.13, 0.38]
I bothered others.	0.36	[0.25, 0.47]	0.44	[0.34, 0.54]
I had a terrible relationship with my friend.	0.20	[0.08, 0.32]	0.24	[0.13, 0.36]
I disagreed with my family.	0.25	[0.13, 0.36]	0.37	[0.26, 0.48]

Note: Items with underlines means those with skewness of 2.00 or more, or Kurtsies of 4.00 or more. Items with a gray marker mean that their correlations with reassurance-seeking behaviors were less than 0.20. Absolute correlations greater than 0.15 are significant at p < 0.05. Numbers in parentheses indicate 95% confidence intervals.

**Table 4** Negative non-interpersonal dependent events items (English translations)

		urance-seeking behaviors	I	nattention
It took a long time to finish the assignments such as reports.	0.15	[0.02, 0.27]	0.33	[0.21, 0.44]
I could not finish assignments such as reports by the due date.	0.09	[-0.04, 0.21]	0.27	[0.15, 0.39]
I wasn't satisfied with my presentation.	0.33	[0.22, 0.45]	0.36	[0.25, 0.47]
I was arrested for breaking the law including traffic violations and unpaid rides.	0.09	[-0.04, 0.23]	0.01	[-0.14, 0.16]
I left my stuff outside the house.	0.27	[0.15, 0.38]	0.33	[0.21, 0.44]
I broke or lost something important.	0.23	[0.12, 0.35]	0.31	[0.19, 0.42]
I didn't have enough money, or was in debt.	0.26	[0.15, 0.38]	0.31	[0.20, 0.43]
I could not improve my hobbies or lessons as I expected.	0.21	[0.09, 0.33]	0.32	[0.20, 0.43]
I had bad results in the exam.	0.18	[0.06, 0.30]	0.24	[0.12, 0.35]
I have accumulated tasks that I have to do such as reports.	0.24	[0.12, 0.36]	0.47	[0.37, 0.57]
I was in trouble about getting a job.	0.20	[0.08, 0.32]	0.24	[0.12, 0.36]
The professor gave me a low rating when I made a presentation in class.	0.25	[0.14, 0.37]	0.32	[0.21, 0.43]
My report was badly rated.	0.22	[0.10, 0.34]	0.30	[0.19, 0.42]
I couldn't go well with my learning, study, graduation, etc.	0.32	[0.20, 0.43]	0.49	[0.40, 0.59]

Note: Items with underlines means those with skewness of 2.00 or more, or Kurtsies of 4.00 or more. Items with a gray marker mean that their correlations with inattention were less than 0.20. Absolute correlations greater than 0.15 are significant at p < .05. Numbers in parentheses indicate 95% confidence intervals.

For items with correlation coefficients of less than 0.20 with reassurance-seeking behaviors in Table 3, their correlations and 95% confidence intervals need to be marked with a gray marker. Similarly, for an item with a correlation coefficient of less than 0.20 with inattention in Table 4, and for items with correlation coefficients of 0.20 or more with reassurance-seeking behaviors and inattention in Table 5, their correlations and 95% confidence intervals need to be marked with a gray marker. Furthermore, one of 95% confidence intervals in Table 4 (i.e., [-0.14, 0.16]) and a correlation coefficient (i.e., [-0.02]) in Table 5 were written on two lines in the original



96 A. Hasegawa

 Table 5 Negative independent events items (English translations)

		urance-seeking behaviors	I	nattention
The neighborhood was noisy.	0.16	[0.04, 0.28]	0.14	[0.02, 0.26]
I got into trouble with my relatives.	0.18	[0.06, 0.31]	0.17	[0.05, 0.30]
I was hit by a disaster such as heavy rain or heavy snow.	0.15	[0.03, 0.27]	0.07	[-0.05, 0.20]
I had to wait for a long time at government offices, stores, banks, etc.	0.12	[-0.01, 0.24]	0.25	[0.13, 0.37]
I was treated coldly at government offices, stores, banks, etc.	0.12	[-0.00, 0.25]	0.02	[-0.11, 0.15]
My family member or a close person were ill, injured, or died.	0.13	[0.01, 0.26]	0.11	[-0.02, 0.23]
I was the victim of crime including theft and molester.	0.07	[-0.06, 0.19]	0.02	[-0.10, 0.15]
Others did something insane to me.	0.26	[0.14, 0.38]	0.29	[0.18, 0.41]
The event that I was looking forward to was canceled or postponed.	0.16	[0.03, 0.28]	0.20	[0.08, 0.32]
I was forced to practice hard in club or circle activities.	0.12	[-0.01, 0.24]	0.11	[-0.01, 0.24]
University facilities such as cafeterias and toilets were inconvenient.	0.25	[0.13, 0.37]	0.23	[0.12, 0.35]
My friend or a lover have moved far away.	0.06	[-0.06, 0.19]	-0.02	[-0.15, 0.11]
The product I purchased was defective.	0.16	[0.03, 0.28]	0.19	[0.06, 0.32]
Many exams and assignments were imposed in my classes.	0.21	[0.09, 0.33]	0.32	[0.21, 0.43]
The household budget in my family has deteriorated.	0.11	[-0.01, 0.24]	0.19	[0.06, 0.31]
I had to make an unexpected expense.	0.24	[0.12, 0.35]	0.38	[0.27, 0.48]
Classroom discipline such as being quiet during the class was not observed.	0.15	[0.02, 0.27]	0.16	[0.03, 0.28]
I heard that there was a trouble in my family.	0.23	[0.11, 0.35]	0.33	[0.22, 0.44]
Suspensions or delays occurred in public transportation such as trains or buses when I used them.	0.13	[0.01, 0.26]	0.11	[-0.02, 0.23]
There was a traffic jam.	0.09	[-0.04, 0.21]	0.13	[0.01, 0.25]

Note: Items with underlines means those with skewness of 2.00 or more, or Kurtsies of 4.00 or more. Items with a gray marker mean that their correlations with reassurance-seeking behaviors and inattention were 0.20 or more. Absolute correlations greater than 0.13 are significant at p < .05. Numbers in parentheses indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Table 6 Short Negative Independent/Dependent Events Scale's correlations with other scales (N=247)

	1	2	3
1. Negative interpersonal dependent events (15 items)	_		
2. Negative non-interpersonal dependent events (12	0.66	_	
items)	[0.59, 0.73]		
3. Negative independent events (9 items)	0.53	0.52	_
	[0.44, 0.62]	[0.43, 0.61]	
4. Depressive symptoms	0.51	0.45	0.27
	[0.42, 0.61]	[0.35, 0.55]	[0.15, 0.38]
5. Reassurance-seeking behaviors	0.49	0.41	0.26
	[0.39, 0.58]	[0.31, 0.51]	[0.14, 0.38]
6. Inattention	0.48	0.61	0.29
	[0.39, 0.58]	[0.53, 0.69]	[0.18, 0.41]
7. Lack of perseverance	0.16	0.20	0.07
	[0.04, 0.28]	[0.08, 0.32]	[-0.06, 0.19]

Note: Absolute correlations that was 0.16 or greater were significant at p<.05. Numbers in parentheses indicate 95% confidence intervals

version of the article. These values should be written on one line. These issues have been corrected with this erratum.

In addition, the second line from the top left of Table 1 is superfluous and has been removed. Furthermore, the names of the variables in Tables 2 and 6 were incorrectly broken and have been corrected.

The Supplementary Material 1 has been removed as it is a file that did not need to be uploaded online. In table S1 of the Supplementary Material 2 (since the Supplementary Material 1 was deleted, "the Supplementary Material 2" was moved up to



become "the Supplementary Material 1" in the revised article), *t*-value of "Depressive symptoms" was significant at the 5% level but not at the 1% level. Therefore, the *t*-value for this variable went from two asterisks to one.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-022-00485-9.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

